

Boundedness questions for polynomials in many variables

Daniel Erman (Wisconsin)

Steven Sam (UCSD)

Andrew Snowden (Michigan)

May 14, 2020: Zoom

Hilbert's Landmark Theorems (1890s)

Throughout: \mathbf{k} is a field and $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. All ideals, modules, etc. will be homogeneous.

- **Hilbert Basis Theorem:** S is noetherian (i.e. every ideal in S is finitely generated).
- **Hilbert Syzygy Theorem:** every module has a free resolution

$$F_0 \leftarrow F_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow F_n \leftarrow 0$$

of length $\leq n$.

These yield a huge array of finiteness results in modern algebra.

Question

Are there interesting analogues of these results as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Projective Dimension

Any (graded) S -module M has a free resolution:

$$F_0 \xleftarrow{\phi_1} F_1 \xleftarrow{\phi_2} F_2 \leftarrow \dots$$

Each F_i is free; $\text{coker } \phi_1 = M$; and $\text{image } \partial_{i+1} = \ker \partial_i$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Definition

The projective dimension of M , denoted $\text{pdim}(M)$ is

$$\min \left\{ p \text{ where } M \text{ has a free resolution} \right. \\ \left. \text{of the form } F_0 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow F_p \leftarrow 0 \right\} \quad (\text{or } \infty).$$

Theorem (Hilbert Syzygy Theorem, 1890)

For any S -module M , $\text{pdim}(M) \leq n$.

Example

$S/(f)$ has free resolution $S \xleftarrow{f} S$; so $\text{pdim}(S/(f)) = 1$.

What happens to Hilbert's Theorems as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

At first pass, nothing good seems to happen! Over $\mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$:

- **Basis Theorem fails:** (x_1, x_2, \dots) requires infinitely many generators.
- **Syzygy Theorem fails:** there are ideals of arbitrarily large (or even ∞) projective dimension. E.g. $\text{pdim}((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)) = r - 1$.

But what if we narrow the question, e.g.:

Question

Let I be an ideal generated by 5 cubic polynomials in 10^{10} variables. Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem says $\text{pdim}(I) \leq 10^{10}$. Can we do better?

Goal: to describe some recent frameworks where analogues of Hilbert's results hold, even as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Stillman's Conjecture

Stillman's Conjecture (Proven by Ananyan–Hochster 2015)

Let f_1, \dots, f_r be polynomials of degree $\leq d$. One can bound the projective dimension of (f_1, \dots, f_r) solely in terms of r and d .

This is a version of Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note:

Example

$\text{pdim}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = r$. (So bound must involve r .)

Example (Beder, McCullough, Nunez-Betancourt, Seceleanu, Snapp, Stone, 2011)

For any d , one can find polynomials f_1, f_2, f_3 of degree d where

$\text{pdim}((f_1, f_2, f_3)) \geq \sqrt{d}^{\sqrt{d}-1}$. (So bound must involve d .)

A notion of complexity for polynomials

The **strength** of a homogeneous polynomial f is the minimal s for which we can write $f = \sum_{i=0}^s g_i h_i$ with g_i and h_i of positive degree, or ∞ if no such decomposition exists.

The **collective strength** of f_1, \dots, f_r is the minimal strength of a homogeneous \mathbf{k} -linear combination of the f_i .

Example

The strength $x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + x_5 x_6$ is 2.

Example

A polynomial has strength ∞ if and only if it is a nonzero linear form.

This is a measure of complexity which can only go to ∞ if $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Example (Banks-Bruce, in progress)

A general quartic in 100 variables has strength 90. (Related: a general quartic hypersurface $V(Q) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{99}$ contains a \mathbb{P}^9 .)

Ananyan–Hochster Principle

Ananyan–Hochster Principle

If the collective strength of f_1, \dots, f_r is sufficiently large (relative to d, r) then f_1, \dots, f_r will behave approximately like independent variables.

The principle takes a fact about independent variables and predicts a corresponding statement for polynomials of high strength:

Fact about linear forms:

x_1, \dots, x_r form
a regular sequence

$\xRightarrow{\text{AH Principle}}$

Predicted statement:

Any f_1, \dots, f_r of high enough
strength form a reg. seq

The predicted statement could then be proven or disproven. (In the above case, it is a theorem of Ananyan–Hochster.)

Other instances (due to Ananyan–Hochster): algebraic independence; defining a prime ideal; defining a smooth variety . . .

Results in the spirit of the Ananyan-Hochster Principle

Let $\mathbf{k} = \bar{\mathbf{k}}$. Let f_1, \dots, f_r be polynomials of degree $\leq d$ in n variables. If the collective strength is sufficiently large then:

- 1 f_1, \dots, f_r form a regular sequence (Ananyan-Hochster).
- 2 $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is smooth in high codimension (Ananyan-Hochster, Kazhdan–Ziegler).
- 3 $V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ has trivial Picard and Chow groups (Grothendieck, Paranjape, Esnault-Levine-Viehweg, ...).
- 4 $V(f_1)$ is unirational (Harris-Mazur-Pandharipande, Chen).
- 5 If $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{Q}$, $V(f_1)$ satisfies the Hasse principle (Birch).

Question

Can we better describe when the Ananyan-Hochster Principle will/won't apply to a specific property?

Non-example: (x_1, \dots, x_r) define a regular ring; but this can never happen for polynomials of higher degree.

Proof of Stillman's Conjecture I: setup

Lemma

Let $I \subseteq S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$. If the generators of I belong to a subalgebra $\mathbf{k}[g_1, \dots, g_t]$ where g_1, \dots, g_t are a regular sequence, then $\text{pdim}(I) \leq t$.

- Let $R = \mathbf{k}[z_1, \dots, z_t]$. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ given by $z_i \mapsto g_i$. This is faithfully flat since the g_i form a regular sequence.
- Since the generators of I lie in $\mathbf{k}[g_1, \dots, g_t]$, we can find an ideal $J \subseteq R$ where $\phi(J) = I$.
- By flatness: $\text{pdim}(J) = \text{pdim}(\phi(J))$.

Proof of Stillman's Conjecture II: induction argument

Sample case: Start with $I = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$ with $\deg(f_i) = 3$.

At each step, we either have a regular sequence and are done, or we can replace one polynomial with lower degree polynomials.

- If the collective strength of f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 is high enough, then they form a regular sequence and $\text{pdim}(I) \leq 4$.
- If not, we can rewrite $f_4 = \sum_{i=0}^N g_i h_i$. Then:
 - ▶ If the collective strength of $f_1, f_2, f_3, g_0, \dots, g_N, h_0, \dots, h_N$ is high enough then they form a regular sequence and $\text{pdim}(I) \leq 2n + 5$.
 - ▶ If not, we can rewrite $f_3 = \sum_{i=0}^{N'} g'_i h'_i$. Then:
 - ★ If the new set of f, g, h, g', h' are a regular sequence, then $\text{pdim}(I) \leq 2N + 2N' + 6 \dots$
 - ★ If not, we can rewrite ...
 - ▶ If not, we can rewrite $h_N = \sum_{i=0}^{N''} g''_i h''_i$. Then: ...

Eventually this process terminates, yielding:

Proof of Stillman's Conjecture III: small subalgebras

Theorem (Ananyan-Hochster's Small Subalgebra Theorem)

Let $I \subseteq \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ be an ideal generated by $\leq r$ polynomials of degree $\leq d$. There exists $s = s(r, d)$ (not depending on n) such that the generators of I lie in a subalgebra generated by a regular sequence of length $\leq s$.

This implies Stillman's Theorem by previous slides. It also explicitly connects Stillman's Conjecture to Hilbert's Syzygy Theorem.

Example (Two Quadrics)

For independent quadrics q_1, q_2 (in any number of variables):

- If q_1, q_2 is a regular sequence then they lie in $\mathbf{k}[q_1, q_2]$.
- If q_1, q_2 are not a regular sequence, then they must be reducible quadrics with a common factor. So $q_1 = l_1 l_2$ and $q_2 = l_1 l_3$ with l_i linear. In this case, the subalgebra is $\mathbf{k}[l_1, l_2, l_3]$.

Limit Ananyan–Hochster Principle

Limit Ananyan–Hochster Principle

If f_1, \dots, f_r have *infinite* collective strength, then they should behave *exactly* like independent variables.

Let $\mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ be the graded ring where

$$\mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]_d = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{arbitrary } \mathbf{k}\text{-linear combinations of} \\ \text{degree } d \text{ monomials in } x_1, x_2, \dots \end{array} \right\}$$

For example $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2$ is a degree two element.

- This is an inverse limit of the polynomial rings as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- It contains new elements of strength ∞ like $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2$.
- Non-noetherian: even $\mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]_1$ has an uncountable basis.
- Had appeared in Snellman's work on universal Gröbner bases.

Big polynomial rings

Theorem (E-Sam-Snowden, 2018)

The limit ring $\mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[\mathcal{Z}]$ where \mathcal{Z} is any maximal set of collective strength ∞ .

\mathcal{Z} contains uncountably many elements of degree d for each $d \geq 1$.

- Example: the power sums $\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^d\}_d$ have collective strength ∞ .
- The theorem verifies the limit Ananyan–Hochster principle.
- New possibilities for Stillman’s Conjecture, etc.
- One can define “universal polynomials” like $\sum c_{ij} x_i x_j$ to study universal Gröbner bases. (See work of Draisma, Laón, Leykin).
- Corollary: Finitely generated ideals in $\mathbf{k}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ have finite projective dimension.

Proof over \mathbb{C} :

By definition of \mathcal{Z} , we get a surjection:

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$$

where $z_i \mapsto g_i$. Choose a kernel element of minimal degree. WLOG it only involves z_1, \dots, z_r . By considering this as a polynomial in z_r with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}]$, we get a nontrivial relation:

$$a_r g_r^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i g_r^i \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}[g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}]$.

Now for the trick: take an appropriate partial derivative of both sides to get a relation of lower degree, yielding a contradiction.

(Subtlety: check that the new relation is nontrivial. Easy in characteristic 0. In characteristic p , use Hasse derivatives.)

The ultraproduct ring

Let \mathbf{S} be the graded ultraproduct of polynomial rings $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}]$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. A degree d element of \mathbf{S} is a collection (f_i) of degree d polynomials in $\mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}]$.

Theorem (E-Sam-Snowden, 2018)

The ultraproduct ring \mathbf{S} is isomorphic to a polynomial ring. Variables correspond to sequences (f_i) where the strength of f_i is unbounded.

Advantage of the ultraproduct limit is that we can work with *arbitrary* sequences of polynomials (f_i) . Makes it easier to pass properties from the infinite strength case to the finite strength.

A Hilbert Basis Theorem as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Topological Version: descending chains of Zariski closed subsets in \mathbb{A}^n stabilize.

Fails for \mathbb{A}^∞ . But something similar is true if we study infinite dimensional affine spaces with large group actions.

Example

Let $X_1 = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[c_1, c_2, \dots])$. X_1 corresponds to $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle\rangle_1$ via $(c_1, c_2, \dots) \mapsto \sum c_i x_i$, and this gives X_1 a GL_∞ -action. Any descending chain of **GL_∞ -invariant**, closed subsets of X_1 stabilizes.

Why? The only GL_∞ -invariant closed subsets are X_1 and the origin.

Example

Let $X_2 = \{\mathbf{k}\langle\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle\rangle_2\}$ with the induced GL_∞ -action. Any descending chain of **GL_∞ -invariant**, closed subsets of X_2 stabilizes.

Why? The only GL_∞ -invariant closed subsets are the loci of quadrics of rank $\leq r$ for some r .

A Hilbert Basis Theorem as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Sam–Snowden asked whether this held in a far more general setting. Draisma proved that it did.

Our setup: Let $X_d = \{\mathbf{k}\langle\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle\rangle_d\}$, endowed with the corresponding GL_∞ -action. Let X_d^r be the product of r copies of X_d .

Theorem (Draisma)

X_d^r is GL_∞ -noetherian, that is, any descending chain of GL_∞ -invariant closed subsets of X_d^r stabilizes.^a

^aDraisma's actual result applies to other Schur functors, etc.

Many related results: S_∞ -actions, FI -modules, twisted commutative algebras, stronger results in cases of quadrics and cubics, ...

Stillman's Conjecture and more via GL_∞ -noetherianity

Write $X_{d,r} = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[c_{i,\alpha}])$. We can define universal “polynomials”:

$$F_i = \sum_{\alpha} c_{i,\alpha} x^\alpha \in \mathbf{k}[c_{i,\alpha}][[x_1, x_2, \dots]].$$

We have a universal family $V(F_1, \dots, F_r) \rightarrow X_{d,r}$.

- Over the generic point, $\mathbf{k}(c_{i,\alpha})[[x_1, x_2, \dots]]$ is a polynomial ring.
- This implies “generic flatness” for the universal family.
- We can thus build a (potentially infinite) flattening stratification for the universal family. We may assume each strata is GL_∞ -invariant.
- Draisma's result then implies that the stratification is finite.

This provides an alternate proof of Stillman's Conjecture. Moreover:

Theorem (E-Sam-Snowden, 2018)

Any ideal invariant which is semicontinuous in flat families and stable under adjoining a variable can be bounded in terms of d and r .

Is there a “dual” of Stillman’s Conjecture?

Context 1: Koszul duality/BGG correspondence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{polynomial ring} \\ \text{Sym}(V) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{exterior algebra} \\ \Lambda(V^*) \end{array} \right\}$$

Is there a “dual” of Stillman’s Conjecture?

Context 1: Koszul duality/BGG correspondence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{polynomial ring} \\ \text{Sym}(V) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{exterior algebra} \\ \wedge(V^*) \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem (McCullough, 2019)

There is no “good” analogue of Ananyan and Hochster’s results for modules over exterior algebras.

Is there a “dual” of Stillman’s Conjecture?

Context 1: Koszul duality/BGG correspondence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{polynomial ring} \\ \text{Sym}(V) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{modules over} \\ \text{exterior algebra} \\ \wedge(V^*) \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem (McCullough, 2019)

There is no “good” analogue of Ananyan and Hochster’s results for modules over exterior algebras.

Context 2: Boij-Söderberg theory: (Eisenbud-Schreyer, 2006)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{free resolutions of modules over} \\ \text{polynomial ring Sym}(V) \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cohomology of} \\ \text{sheaves on } \mathbb{P}(V^*) \end{array} \right\}$$

Sheaf Cohomology Tables

Question

Are there finiteness bounds for sheaf cohomology similar to the results of Ananyan and Hochster?

Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}^n . Define $\gamma_{i,j}(\mathcal{E}) := \dim_{\mathbf{k}} H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(j))$.

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \gamma_{n,0} & \gamma_{n,1} & \gamma_{n,2} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \gamma_{n-1,1} & \gamma_{n-1,2} & \gamma_{n-1,3} & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \gamma_{0,n} & \gamma_{0,n+1} & \gamma_{0,n+2} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

$$\gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & 6 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 10 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Main Theorem: Finiteness of Cohomology Tables

Theorem (E-Sam-Snowden, 2019)

Let \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' be column vectors and fix any k . Even as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there are only finitely many cohomology tables $\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ whose k and $(k+1)$ 'st columns are \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' .

$$\gamma(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \cdots & \gamma_{k,0} & \gamma_{k,1} & \gamma_{k,2} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \gamma_{k-1,1} & \gamma_{k-1,2} & \gamma_{k-1,3} & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \gamma_{0,k} & \gamma_{0,k+1} & \gamma_{0,k+2} & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: this parallels an alternate version of Stillman's Conjecture, which is phrased in terms of the Betti table of the ideal.

Small Projective Spaces

Parallel of Ananyan-Hochster's Small Subalgebra Theorem:

Theorem (E-Sam-Snowden, 2019)

Fix \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' and k . Then there exists $c = c(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}')$ with the following property: any sheaf \mathcal{E} where the k and $(k + 1)$ 'st columns of $\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ are \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' is the pushforward of a sheaf on \mathbb{P}^c via an embedding $\mathbb{P}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

- While c depends only on \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{b}' , but $\mathbb{P}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ depends on \mathcal{E} .
- Cohomology is stable under proper maps, so this implies the boundedness result on cohomology tables.

Conclusion: What next?

These results open the door to many more questions:

- Effective questions: (Work of McCullough, Mantero-McCullough, Ananyan–Hochster, and many more)
- GL_∞ -noetherianity beyond topological statements? (Connected to FI-modules, twisted commutative algebra, and more)
- Geometric applications? (Applications to Hartshorne's Conjecture, Fano varieties, ...)
- How strength relates to other notions of complexity: (Bik–Draisma–Eggermont, Kazhdan–Ziegler)
- Multigraded questions (Nobody yet?)
- How to compute strength in actual examples (Nobody? Chen?)