

Q Are the generic fibers also smosth?

I (A,m, k) = local domain of d:m 1 and char. p>o wlat A s.f. · a her no oth root in Alm= f, but · a has a pth root in Frac(A) Then, $A \longrightarrow \left(\frac{A[x]}{x!-a}\right)_{(n)}$ =Bhas regular closed fiber $BOR \simeq \left(\frac{k[x]}{x^{l-a}}\right)$ bat non-reduced goueric file $B \oplus Frac(A) \simeq \left(\frac{Frac(A)[x]}{x^{2}-a} \right)$

Grothendieck's localization problem [1965] q: (A, m, k) -> (B, n, 1) flat local 2 A -> Â geom. P Z => 4 geom. P?
& > Bok geom. P. In other words, doer the property of having geon. P fibers localize? Rem Name from Arramor & Forby [1994] R = regular, normal, reduced complete interaction, Grounstein, Cohen-Macaulay

what we	know	
P	GLP	Method
regular	Andrí [1974]	André-Quillen homology
normal } reduced J	Nolimura [1281]	- 11
complete	Tabea [1984]	<u> </u>
interaction	y D -D From	12] Grothendreck
Gounstein	Hall & Sharp [19] + Marst [1984]	duality
C P	Arramor & Forbe	y Cohea
Macanlacy	[194]	factorizations
2 Ren - [Navot 1984] follows from Hockster's		
Oni. on I small CM modules		
· [Brezulann - Ionescu 1934; Joyasca 2000]		
You can prove this using		

Next 32 What properties P? & 3 Techniques fin birational geometry Some prosts. & 2 What properties P? All properties IP above behave well under: . flat maps - deformations · localization Permanence conditions [GBD 1965] q: [A,m,k] -> (B,n,l) local flat (I) (Ascent) A's P 4 is geon. P) => B's P I (Dercent) B: P => A: P

(I) (Deformation) Alt is IP I thad in m =>A is PP IV (Localization) A is IP => Aup is IP VpSA prime Handout: Known verulti for Mabore , II for weak normality: excellent A [Bingener & Flenner 1993] In general [M] · F-mjective []+ [Dafte & M] [Hashinoto 2010] [schnede 2009] F-frite cM D: [Aberbach & Enescu 2009] Both Aty are CM [Datta & M] Only 4 ir CM

(I) Conj [Fedder 1981] III) is usually hordest: not satsfied · F-pure [Fedder 1981] · F-regular [Singh 1999] - F-nilpotent [Smiras & Takagi 2017] Lots of open questions. Main Thin [M] IP=property of local mgs Assume · regular => PP · E~ Thold for P $\mathcal{C}:(A,m,k) \longrightarrow (B,n,l)$ A -> Â geon. P & -> BØk geon PJ A

New · weakly normal · rational sing's (e.f.t. /k of char. 0) · seminornal) · F-rational (excellent) (Cohen-Macaulay + F-rijective Sknown privously under some finiteness conditions

The strategy Reduce to A=regular.
Main strategy Reduce to A=regular.
Prop[Gr&D 1965]

$$Q: (A,m,k) \rightarrow (B,n,k)$$
 local flat
 $P \text{ satisfies} \square + \square$
A is regular?
 $B \otimes k$ is P ? => local rings of
 $B \otimes k$ is P ? => local rings of
 $B \otimes k$ is P ? => local rings of
 $B \otimes k \cong B/(x_1,...,x_d)$ regular sop.
 $B \otimes k \cong B/(x_1,...,x_d)$ B is P
 \Rightarrow B is P => local rings of
 $B \otimes Frac(A)$ are $R \equiv$
 A is regular to p.
 $B \otimes k \cong B/(x_1,...,x_d)$ B is P
 \Rightarrow B is P => local rings of
 $B \otimes Frac(A)$ are $R \equiv$

Consider 7, E ીંય Los SA -> BOCi B 14× also has geon. Pfibes φ away fra gen. pt. C_{i} Frac(A) = Frac(Ci) Note and so (Boy = (S S Co)~ Ests is (B) J

Consider A: (Ci) p → (BØ(i)) l'égaler docal ring geon. Rfibre avay from generic pont ((c)) 2 (x, ..., x) mac'l ideal

Assumption + lemma $\Rightarrow (B \otimes C_{i}) \otimes ((x_{i}, ..., x_{d}))$ $\Rightarrow (B \otimes C_{i}) \otimes ((x_{i}, ..., x_{d}))$ $\Rightarrow (B \otimes C_{i}) \otimes ((x_{i}, ..., x_{d}))$ $\Rightarrow (B \otimes C_{i}) \otimes ((x_{i}, ..., x_{d}))$

Next case Azeft | field or certain DVR's Thm (alterations [de Jong 1996]) (A, m) domain . In algebraic version of Hronaka's them above, while we don't know whether {A -> C:} exits s.E. Frac(A) = Frac(Ci), we do know 3 EA->C:3 s.t. Frac(A) = Frac(Ci) au finite extins. Boz ~ (B@ Co)~ flat ZBy T STS is P.

Rem Previously used to show: R I-adrally complete => R guasi-R/I guasi-excellent } excellent (Gabber [Kurano & Shinomita) R=œ [Nishimura& Nishimura 1988] ·Guthendieck's Lifting Problem" Open Q Versions of I for other projective IP-