

Smooth Hilbert Schemes

Gregory G. Smith



18 February 2021

arXiv:2008.08938

Algebraic Context

DREAM: Describe all ideals in a commutative ring.

FOCUS: Let $R := \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be the standard \mathbb{Z} -graded polynomial ring. Consider a saturated homogeneous ideal I in R that is flat over \mathbb{Z} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(saturation)} \quad I &= I : \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle^\infty \\ &= \{f \in R \mid f R_n \subseteq I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

(flatness) For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the homogeneous part $(R/I)_j = (R_j/I_j)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module.

HILBERT POLYNOMIAL: There exists $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $p(j) = \text{rank}(R/I)_j$ for all $j \gg 0$.

Geometric Setting

BIJECTION: Saturated homogeneous ideals in R correspond to subschemes in $\mathbb{P}^m = \text{Proj}(R)$.

GROTHENDIECK (1961): $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ parametrizes subschemes in \mathbb{P}^m with Hilbert polynomial p :

- each I is identified with a point in $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$,
- deformations correspond to nearby points,
- one-parameter families correspond to curves.

CHALLENGE: Describe the geometry of the projective scheme $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$.

Combinatorial Beauty

MACAULAY (1926): $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r \geq 1$ and $q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{t+\lambda_i-i}{\lambda_i-1}$.

HARTSHORNE (1966): Each nonempty $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ is path connected.

GOTZMANN (1978): The Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of each ideal I parametrized by $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ is bounded above by r .

REEVES–STILLMAN (1997): Each nonempty $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ has a smooth point.

Classic Examples

- I is generated by $m - \lambda_1 + 1$ linear forms if and only if $p(t) = \binom{t + \lambda_1 - 1}{\lambda_1 - 1}$, so $r = 1$ implies that
$$\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m) = \text{Gr}(\lambda_1 - 1, \mathbb{P}^m).$$
- $I = \langle f \rangle$ is principal with $\deg(f) = r$ if and only if $p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{t + m - i}{m - 1}$, so $\lambda = (m^r) = (m, m, \dots, m)$ implies that $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{r+m}{m} - 1}$.
- When $\lambda = (2^3, 1^1)$ or $p(t) = 3t + 1$, $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has two irreducible components: a twisted cubic curve and a plane cubic union a point.

Monstrous Features

MUMFORD (1962): An irreducible component of $\text{Hilb}^{14t-23}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is generically nonreduced (singular at every point).

ELLIA-HIRSCHOWITZ-MEZZETTI (1992): The number of components of $\text{Hilb}^{dt+1-g}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is not bounded by a polynomial in d and g .

VAKIL (2006): Every singularity type appears in some $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^4)$.

AIM: Classify and understand all smooth Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$.

Complete Classification

THEOREM (Skjelnes–Smith): $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ is smooth if and only if one of the following holds:

- (1) $m = 2 \geq \lambda_1$
- (2) $m \geq \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_r \geq 2$,
- (3) $\lambda = (1)$ or $\lambda = (m^{r-2}, \lambda_{r-1}, 1)$ where $r \geq 2$
and $m \geq \lambda_{r-1} \geq 1$,
- (4) $\lambda = (m^{r-s-3}, \lambda_{r-s-2}^{s+2}, 1)$ where $r-3 \geq s \geq 0$
and $m-1 \geq \lambda_{r-s-2} \geq 3$,
- (5) $\lambda = (m^{r-s-5}, 2^{s+4}, 1)$ where $r-5 \geq s \geq 0$,
- (6) $\lambda = (m^{r-3}, 1^3)$ where $r \geq 3$,
- (7) $\lambda = (m+1)$ or $r = 0$.

Ideas of Proof

⇐: Work of Fogarty (1968), Staal (2020), and Ramkumar (2019) shows (1)–(7) are sufficient.

⇒: When $\lambda = (\lambda_1^{r-s-2}, 1^{s+2})$ or λ has at least three distinct parts with $\lambda_{r-1} = \lambda_r = 1$, an explicit monomial ideal is a singular point on $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$.

When $\lambda = (2^2, 1^1)$ or $\lambda = (2^3, 1^1)$, $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ has two components; the gap between (4) and (5).

When $\lambda = (1^{s+4})$, a known monomial ideal corresponds to a singularity on $\text{Hilb}^{s+4}(\mathbb{P}^m)$.

Residual Flags

DEFINITIONS: An inclusion $Y \subset X$ in \mathbb{P}^n is d -residual if there is a hypersurface D in \mathbb{P}^n of degree d such that $I_X = I_Y \cdot I_D$. A residual flag of type $(n_1, d_1), (n_2, d_2), \dots, (n_e, d_e)$ is a chain $\emptyset \subset X_e \subset X_{e-1} \subset \dots \subset X_1$ such that X_i lies in some \mathbb{P}^{n_i} and $X_{i+1} \subset X_i$ is d_i -residual in \mathbb{P}^{n_i} .

EXAMPLE: A residual flag of type $(3, 2), (2, 4)$ in \mathbb{P}^3 is a pair $X_2 \subset X_1$ where X_2 is a planar quartic curve and X_2 is a 2-residual scheme in X_1 ; $I_{X_1} := \langle f_2 \rangle \cap \langle f_1, f_4 \rangle$ where $\deg(f_i) = i$.

New Parameter Space

PROPOSITION: Residual flags of type (\vec{n}, \vec{d}) are parametrized by a projective bundle over the partial flag variety of type \vec{n} , so its cohomology ring has an explicit presentation.

PARTITIONS: Repackage the type of a residual flag as the partition $\lambda := (n_1^{d_1}, n_2^{d_2}, \dots, n_e^{d_e})$.

HILBERT POLYNOMIAL: For any residual flag $\emptyset \subset X_e \subset \dots \subset X_1$ of type (\vec{n}, \vec{d}) in \mathbb{P}^m , we have $p_{X_1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{t+\lambda_i-i}{\lambda_i-1}$ where $r := d_1 + \dots + d_e$.

Geometric Reinterpretation

PROPOSITION: Points on all $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ satisfying (2) and (3) are residual flags.

COROLLARY: When $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r > 1$, $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ is simply a partial flag variety.

PROPOSITION: $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ satisfying (4)–(6) are birational to the product of the parameter space for residual flags and \mathbb{P}^m . A general point on such $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^m)$ corresponds to the disjoint union of a residual flag and a point.