

## Section 5: RIDDLES ANSWERED (ctd.)

Recall (from last week) our current aim:

↙ **unstable dp-finite field**

Prop 5.6: Let  $K$  be a small model defining a critical coordinate configuration.

Let  $X \subseteq K$  be a heavy  $K$ -definable set.

$|K| \geq \kappa$   
suff. sat.

Then there ex.  $a \in K$  s.t. for all  $\varepsilon \in I_\kappa$ , we have

$$\text{dp-rk}(I_\kappa) \geq \rho \Rightarrow a + \varepsilon \in X.$$

↑  
**critical rank**

This generalizes the following fact from the dp-minimal world:

[Johnson, On dp-minimal fields, Prop 4.12]

If  $X$  is infinite,  $K$ -definable, then there is

$$a \in K \uparrow \text{s.t. } a + I_\kappa \subseteq X.$$

same as full dp-rank.

Prop 5.6 will be used to show

**TODAY!**

Ⓐ  $\rho = \text{dp-rk}(K)$

↷ heavy = full dp-rank

ⓑ The canonical topology is a field topology.

In analogy to the use of the above fact in the dp-minimal world.

## LAST TIME:

Def. • A coordinate configuration on  $M$  is a tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$  s.t.h

•  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$  are rank-minimal, defble

•  $y \in x_1 \times \dots \times x_n$  is broad and the

map  $y \mapsto T \subseteq M$  target

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1 + \dots + x_n$

has finite fibres.

• The critical rank of  $M$  is the max.  $M$  rank of a coord. configuration on  $K$ .

' $\text{dp-rk}(x_1 \times \dots \times x_n) = \text{dp-rk}(y) = \text{dp-rk}(T)$ '

• critical set: target of a crit. coord. conf.

Cor. 5.2: Let  $(Q_1, \dots, Q_n, P)$  be a coord. conf. of rank  $r$ . Then, there is a coord. conf.  $(Q_1', \dots, Q_n', P')$  s.t.h.

(1.)  $(Q_1', \dots, Q_n', P')$  has rank  $r$

(2.) For all  $i$   $Q_i' \subseteq Q_i$

RECALL

(2.) For all  $i$ ,  $Q_i = Q_i'$

$$(3.) P' = P \cap (Q_1' \times \dots \times Q_n')$$

(4.) The complement  $(Q_1' \times \dots \times Q_n') \setminus P$  is narrow,

$$\text{so } \text{dp-rk}((Q_1' \times \dots \times Q_n') \setminus P') < r.$$

## §5.1 Near interior.

Lemma 5.3: Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{K}$  be a heavy def.ble set. Then, there is a critical set  $W$  and a  $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$  s.th.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dp-rk}(\{(x, y) \in W \times W : x - y \notin X + \delta\}) \\ < \text{dp-rk}(W \times W) = 2 \cdot \delta. \end{aligned}$$

IDEA:  $x - y \in X + \delta$  for "almost all"  
 $(x, y) \in W \times W$ .

**RECALL** [ If  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$  is a critical coord configuration, then we call  $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}$  heavy if there is  $c \in \mathbb{K}$  s.th.  $\text{dp-rk}(T \cap (S + c)) = \text{dp-rk}(T)$ .  
 $\hookrightarrow$  critical sets are trivially heavy.

Proof: Let  $(Q_1, \dots, Q_n, P)$  be a crit. coord. conf

$$5.2 \Rightarrow \text{wma} \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dp-rk}((\Pi Q_i) \setminus P) &< p \\ \text{dp-rk}((\Pi Q_i) \cap P) &= p \end{aligned}$$

**RECALL** [dpI, 4.15]  $Y$  critical,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$  quasi-min.  
then ex.  $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.h.  
 $\{ \bar{x} \in \Pi Q_i : \sum x_i \in Y + \delta \}$   
is broad in  $\Pi Q_i$ .

Take  $Y \subseteq X$  critical

By def. of heavy, there is  $Y$  critical s.t.h.  
 $\text{dp-rk}(X + \alpha) \cap Y = \text{dp-rk}(Y)$   
 $\Rightarrow (X + \alpha) \cap Y$  critical  
 $\Rightarrow X \cap (Y - \alpha)$  critical.

$\Rightarrow$  ex.  $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.h.

(\*)  $\{ (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \Pi Q_i \times \Pi Q_i : \sum x_i - \sum y_i \in \underbrace{Y + \delta}_{\subseteq X + \delta} \}$   
is broad in  $\Pi Q_i \times \Pi Q_i$

Why?  $Q_i$  rank-min  $\Rightarrow -Q_i$   
4.15  $\Rightarrow$  ex.  $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.h.

$$\{(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) \in \prod Q_i \times \prod (-Q_i) : \sum x_i + \sum z_i \in Y + \delta\}$$

$\_$  is broad in  $\prod Q_i \times \prod (-Q_i) \Rightarrow (*)$

**LAST TIME**

Thm 5.1: Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$  be quasi-minimal and let  $P \in Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_n$  have full rank, i.e.

$$\text{dp-rk}(P) = \text{dp-rk}(Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_n).$$

Then there are smaller ~~quasi~~-minimal  $Q_i' \subseteq Q_i$  s.t.h. rank

$$\text{dp-rk}((Q_1' \times \dots \times Q_n') \cap P) = \text{dp-rk}(Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_n)$$

$$\text{dp-rk}((Q_1' \times \dots \times Q_n') \setminus P) < \text{dp-rk}(Q_1 \times \dots \times Q_n)$$

$\Rightarrow$  ex.  $Q_i', Q_i'' \subseteq Q_i$  infinite def. ble s.t.h.

$$A = \{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \prod Q_i' \times \prod Q_i'' : \sum x_i - \sum y_i \notin X + \delta\}$$

is narrow in  $\prod Q_i' \times \prod Q_i''$ , so

$$\text{dp-rk}(A) < 2p.$$

$$\text{Define } P' = P \cap \prod Q_i' \quad P'' = P \cap \prod Q_i''$$

$\Rightarrow (Q_1', \dots, Q_n', P')$  and  $(Q_1'', \dots, Q_n'', P'')$  are crit. coord. conf., say with targets  $T'$  and  $T''$ .

$$P = \{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in P' \times P'' : \sum x_i - \sum y_i \notin X + \delta\}$$

$$B = \{ (x, y) \in P \times P : \sum x_i - \sum y_i \notin X + \delta \}$$

$$\subseteq \{ (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \prod Q_i \times \prod Q_i : \sum x_i - \sum y_i \notin X + \delta \}$$

narrow

so  $\text{dp-rk}(B) < 2\rho$

$$\Rightarrow \text{dp-rk}(\{ (x, y) \in T' \times T'' : x - y \notin X + \delta \}) < 2\rho$$

$\uparrow$   
 $\uparrow$

images of the summing  
map  $\bar{z} \mapsto \sum z_i$

$T', T''$  critical  $\Rightarrow$  heavy

**RECALL** [ dp I, 4.20 (8):  $X, Y$  heavy, then so is  
 $X -_\infty Y = \{ \delta \in K : X \cap (Y + \delta) \text{ is heavy} \}$   
 in part,  $X -_\infty Y \neq \emptyset$ .

$\Rightarrow$  ex. some  $\tau \in K$  s.t.h.

$W := T' \cap (T'' + \tau)$  is heavy

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{ (x, y) \in W \times W : \underbrace{x}_{\in T'} - \underbrace{(y + \tau)}_{\in T''} \notin X + \delta \})$$

$$< 2\rho$$

$$\text{Now: } \text{dp-rk}(W) \leq \text{dp-rk}(T') = \rho$$

$$W \text{ heavy} \Rightarrow \text{dp-rk}(W) \geq \rho \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{dp-rk}(W) = \rho, \text{ so } W \text{ critical} \\ [\text{dpI}, 4.8]$$

Indeed:

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{f(x,y) \in W \times W : x-y \notin X + \delta\}) \\ < \text{dp-rk}(W \times W) \quad \stackrel{= \delta + \tau.}{\square}$$

"Problem": In 5.3, we have no control over the field of definition of  $W$  and  $\delta$ !

Lemma 5.4:  $K$  small model, defining a critical coord. conf. Let  $X$  be heavy and  $K$ -def-ble. Then there is a  $K$ -def-ble critical set  $W$  and a  $\delta \in K$  s.t.h.

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{f(x,y) \in W \times W : x-y \notin X + \delta\}) \\ < \text{dp-rk}(W \times W)$$

$$\langle \text{dp-rk}(W \times W) \rangle = 2\rho$$

Proof: Take  $(Q_1, \dots, Q_n, P)$   $K$ -def-ble crit. coord. cont. with target  $T$ .

NOTE

$$\text{dp-rk}(T \times T) = 2\rho.$$

CLAIM: If  $\{D_b\}$  is a  $K$ -def-ble family of subsets of  $T \times T$ , then

$\{b : \text{dp-rk}(D_b) = 2\rho\}$   
is  $K$ -def-ble.

Proof of claim:

The map  $s: P \times P \rightarrow T \times T$   
 $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \mapsto (\sum x_i, \sum y_i)$   
is a surjection with finite fibres.

$$\Rightarrow \text{dp-rk}(D_b) = \text{dp-rk}(s^{-1}(D_b))$$

It suffices to prove the set

$$\{b : \text{dp-rk}(\underline{s^{-1}(D_b)}) = \text{dp-rk}(\underline{\prod Q_i \times \prod Q_i})\}$$

is  $K$ -def-ble.



This follows from (the proof of)

RECALL

dp I, 3.24: T NIP, eliminates  $\exists^\infty$ ,  $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$  quasi-min of finite rank.

$$r = \text{dp-rk}(\prod Q_i)$$

Given  $\{D_b\}_{b \in \gamma}$  def-ble family in  $\prod Q_i$ , the set

$\{b : \text{dp-rk}(D_b) = \text{dp-rk}(\prod Q_i)\}$  is def-ble.

- full dp-rank iff broad
- broadness needs no (new) parameters

□ claim

Use 5.3 to find  $\delta_0$  and critical  $W$  s.t.h.

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{f(x,y) \in W \times W : x - y \notin x + \delta_0\}) < 2\rho.$$

[dp I, 4.9]: Translations of critical are critical

[dp I, 4.18] Heaviness is well-defined

↳ intersection with some translate always has full rank

$$\hookrightarrow \text{WMA} \quad \text{dp-rk}(W \cap T) = \rho.$$

[dp I, 4.8]: Def-ble subsets of critical sets of

full rank are critical.

$\Rightarrow W' = W \cap Y$  is critical and

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{(x, y) \in W' \times W' : x - y \notin X + \delta\}) < 2\rho$$

Write  $W' = \varphi(K, b_0)$  and consider  $k$ -definable conditions on  $b, \delta$ :

$$(A) \quad \varphi(K, b) \subseteq T$$

$$(B) \quad \text{dp-rk}(\varphi(K, b)) = \rho \quad (\text{by } \textcircled{B})$$

$$(C) \quad \text{dp-rk}(\{(x, y) \in \varphi(K, b) \times \varphi(K, b) : x - y \notin X + \delta\}) < 2\rho$$

(by claim)

so we find  $b, \delta \in k$  satisfying (A)-(C)

$W'' = \varphi(K, b)$  is critical.

(C)  $\Rightarrow$  Lemma holds

$\square$

Recall:  $I_K =$  "group of additive  $K$ -infinitesimals"  
 $= \bigcap \{X \ominus X : X \subseteq K \text{ heavy \& } K\text{-def.ble}\}$   
\*not necessary (next time)

Prop. 5.6: Let  $K$  be a small model defining a critical coord. configuration.

Let  $X \subseteq K$  heavy  $K$ -def.ble set.

Then ex.  $a \in K$  s.th. for all  $\varepsilon \in I_K$ ,  
 $\text{dp-rk}(\varepsilon/K) \geq \rho \Rightarrow a + \varepsilon \in X.$

Proof: Use 5.4 to find  $K$ -def.ble critical  $W$  and  $a \in K$  s.th.

$$\text{dp-rk}(\{(x,y) \in W \times W : x - y \notin X - a\}) < 2\rho.$$

Take  $\varepsilon \in I_K$  with  $\text{dp-rk}(\varepsilon/K) \geq \rho.$

Then  $W(K) \subseteq D_0 \cup D_1$

$$D_0 = \{x \in W : x + \varepsilon \in W\}$$

$$D_1 = \{x \in W : x + \varepsilon \notin W\}$$

[ dp I, 4.21:  $X$   $K$ -def.ble & heavy,

$$X = D_0 \cup D_1$$

$\Rightarrow$  ex.  $K$ -def.ble heavy  $Y \subseteq X$  with

$Y(K) \subseteq D_i$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1\}.$

Take such  $Y$ .

if  $i=1$ :  $x \in Y(K) \Rightarrow x \in D_n \Rightarrow x + \varepsilon \notin W$   
 $\Rightarrow x + \varepsilon \notin Y$   
i.e.  $Y$  is  $K$ -displaced by  $\varepsilon$   
3.6  $\Rightarrow Y$  is light.  $\downarrow$

So  $i=0$ ,  $Y(K) \subseteq D_0$ , and we have

(\*)  $(x \in K \wedge x \in Y) \Rightarrow x + \varepsilon \in W$

Note:  $\text{dp-rk}(Y) = p$

(" $\Rightarrow$ "  $Y$  is heavy)

Dabalo's talk, 4.5:

Let  $M$  be a dp-finite monster model,

$M \preccurlyeq M$  small. Given  $a, b \in M$ , we find  $a \equiv_M a'$ ,  $b \equiv_M b'$  s.t.

$$\text{dp-rk}(a'b'/M) = \text{dp-rk}(a'/M) + \text{dp-rk}(b'/M)$$

and

$\text{tp}(b'/Ma')$  is fin. sat. in  $M$ .

RECALL

[? Why can we assume  $\epsilon$  remains fixed?]

Recall:  $I_K =$  "group of additive  $K$ -infinitesimals"  
 $= \bigcap \{X \circledast X : X \subseteq IK \text{ heavy \& } K\text{-def.ble}\}$   
^not necessary (next time)

Prop. 5.6: Let  $K$  be a small model defining a critical coord. configuration.

Let  $X \subseteq IK$  heavy  $K$ -def.ble set.

Then ex.  $a \in K$  s.th. for all  $\varepsilon \in I_K$ ,  
 $\text{dp-rk}(\varepsilon/K) \geq \rho \Rightarrow a + \varepsilon \in X.$

AIM:  $\rho = \text{dp-rk}(IK)$

## §5.2 The critical rank

Prop 5.7: Let  $K$  be a small model defining a critical coord. conf., and  $I_K =$  group of  $K$ -infinitesimals.  
Then  $\text{dp-rk}(I_K) \leq \rho.$

Pf: Assume  $\text{dp-rk}(I_K) = \rho' > \rho.$

Take  $\varepsilon \in I_k$  with  $\text{dp-rk}(\varepsilon/k) = \rho'$ .

Let  $Y$  be a critical,  $k$ -def-ble set  
 $\leadsto Y$  heavy,  $\text{dp-rk}(Y) = \rho$

5.6.  $\Rightarrow$  ex.  $a \in K$  s.th.  $a + \varepsilon \in Y$

Now:  $\rho' = \text{dp-rk}(\varepsilon/k) = \text{dp-rk}(a + \varepsilon / k) \leq \text{dp-rk}(Y) = \rho \quad \square$

Lemma 5.8: Let  $K$  be a small model.  
Then  $\text{dp-rk}(I_k) = \text{dp-rk}(IK)$ .

Proof: Take  $n = \text{dp-rk}(IK)$ .

Choose an ICT-pattern  $\{\varphi(x, b_{ij})\}_{i < n, j < \omega}$   
of depth  $n$  in  $IK$ .

For each  $\eta: n \rightarrow \omega$ , take  $a_\eta \in IK$  s.th

$$\models \varphi(a_\eta, b_{ij}) \iff j = \eta(i).$$

Let  $K' \supseteq K$  small containing all  $a_\eta$ 's.

Let  $\varepsilon \in I_{K'} \setminus \{0\}$   $b_{ij} \in K'$

Then  $a_\eta \in \varepsilon^{-1} I_{K'}$  for all  $\eta$ .

[dp I, 6.9.3:  $M$  small  $\Rightarrow I_n \cdot M \subseteq I_n$

So there is an ICT-pattern of depth  $n$   
in  $\mathcal{E}^{-1} I_{k'}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dp-rk}(I_k) &\geq \text{dp-rk}(I_{k'}) && \text{since } I_{k'} \subseteq I_k \\ &= \text{dp-rk}(\mathcal{E}^{-1} I_{k'}) \\ &\geq n \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.9 (first part)  
 $\rho = \text{dp-rk}(I_k)$ .

Pf:  $\rho \leq \text{dp-rk}(I_k)$  by definition. □



