

# Deformations of Toeplitz Determinants: Applications, Asymptotics, and Orthogonality Structures.

Roozbeh Gharakhloo  
Colorado State University

MSRI

October 8, 2021

The  $N \times N$  Toeplitz matrix associated to the symbol  $\phi$  is defined as

$$T_N[\phi] = \{\phi_{j-k}\}_{j,k=0}^{N-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_{-1} & \cdots & \phi_{-N+1} \\ \phi_1 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{-N+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{N-1} & \phi_{N-2} & \cdots & \phi_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\phi_k$ 's are the Fourier coefficients of  $\phi$

$$\phi_k = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-k} \phi(z) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz}.$$

Let

$$D_N[\phi] := \det T_N[\phi].$$

The large- $N$  asymptotics of the Toeplitz determinants are well known and given by the Szegő-Widom theorem by

$$D_N[\phi] \sim G[\phi]^N E[\phi],$$
$$G[\phi] = \exp([\log \phi]_0) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n[\log \phi]_n [\log \phi]_{-n}\right).$$

Let  $Q_n$  and  $\widehat{Q}_n$  be respectively defined by

$$Q_n(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n[\phi]D_{n+1}[\phi]}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_{-1} & \cdots & \phi_{-n} \\ \phi_1 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1} & \phi_{n-2} & \cdots & \phi_{-1} \\ 1 & z & \cdots & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_n(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n[\phi]D_{n+1}[\phi]}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_{-1} & \cdots & \phi_{-n+1} & 1 \\ \phi_1 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{-n+2} & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_n & \phi_{n-1} & \cdots & \phi_1 & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \kappa_\ell^{(n)} z^\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Q}_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \widehat{\kappa}_\ell^{(n)} z^\ell,$$

where

$$\kappa_n = \sqrt{\frac{D_n[\phi]}{D_{n+1}[\phi]}}.$$

Let  $Q_n$  and  $\widehat{Q}_n$  be respectively defined by

$$Q_n(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n[\phi]D_{n+1}[\phi]}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_{-1} & \cdots & \phi_{-n} \\ \phi_1 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1} & \phi_{n-2} & \cdots & \phi_{-1} \\ 1 & z & \cdots & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_n(z) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n[\phi]D_{n+1}[\phi]}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_{-1} & \cdots & \phi_{-n+1} & 1 \\ \phi_1 & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{-n+2} & z \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_n & \phi_{n-1} & \cdots & \phi_1 & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

One can readily observe that  $\{Q_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\widehat{Q}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  form the bi-orthogonal system of polynomials on the unit circle with respect to the weight  $\phi$  :

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} Q_n(z) \widehat{Q}_n(z^{-1}) \phi(z) \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} = \delta_{nk}, \quad n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is due to J.Baik, P.Deift and K.Johansson that the following matrix-valued function constructed out of the polynomials  $Q_n$  and  $\widehat{Q}_n$

$$X(z; n) := \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_n^{-1} Q_n(z) & \kappa_n^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{Q_n(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta^n} \\ -\kappa_{n-1} z^{n-1} \widehat{Q}_{n-1}(z^{-1}) & -\kappa_{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\widehat{Q}_{n-1}(\zeta^{-1})}{(\zeta - z)} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem for BOPUC, which in the subsequent parts of this text will occasionally be referred to as the  $X$ -RHP:

- ▶ **RH-X1**  $X : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  is analytic,
- ▶ **RH-X2** The limits of  $X(\zeta)$  as  $\zeta$  tends to  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  from the inside and outside of the unit circle exist, and are denoted  $X_{\pm}(z)$  respectively and are related by

$$X_+(z) = X_-(z) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z^{-n} \phi(z) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

- ▶ **RH-X3** As  $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$X(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \begin{pmatrix} z^n & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us first recall the two-dimensional Ising model, solved by Onsager. In this model a  $2\mathcal{M} \times 2\mathcal{N}$  rectangular lattice is considered with an associated spin variable  $\sigma_{jk}$  taking the values 1 and  $-1$  at each vertex  $(j, k)$ ,  $-\mathcal{M} \leq j \leq \mathcal{M} - 1$ ,  $-\mathcal{N} \leq k \leq \mathcal{N} - 1$ . There are  $2^{4\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$  possible spin configurations  $\{\sigma\}$  of the lattice (a configuration corresponds to values of all  $\sigma_{jk}$  fixed). By  $J_h$  and  $J_v$  we respectively denote the horizontal and vertical nearest neighbor coupling constants and with each configuration we associate its nearest-neighbor coupling energy given by

$$E(\{\sigma\}) = - \sum_{j=-\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{M}-1} \sum_{k=-\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}-1} (J_h \sigma_{j,k} \sigma_{j,k+1} + J_v \sigma_{j,k} \sigma_{j+1,k}), \quad J_h, J_v > 0.$$

The probability of a spin configuration  $\{\sigma\}$  is given by

$$P_{\{\sigma\}} = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \exp\left(-\frac{E(\{\sigma\})}{k_B T}\right),$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann's constant and  $Z(T)$  denotes the partition function and is naturally defined as

$$Z(T) = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \exp\left(-\frac{E(\{\sigma\})}{k_B T}\right).$$

The spin-spin correlation function between the vertices  $(m', n')$  and  $(m, n)$  is defined as the following *thermodynamic limit*

$$\langle \sigma_{m', n'} \sigma_{m, n} \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Z(T)} \sum_{\{\sigma\}} \sigma_{m', n'} \sigma_{m, n} \exp \left( -\frac{E(\{\sigma\})}{k_B T} \right).$$

The quantity  $\lim_{m^2+n^2 \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{m,n} \rangle$  is referred to as the long-range order in the lattice at a temperature  $T$ . Indeed, the spontaneous magnetization  $M$  is defined as square of the large- $n$  limit of *diagonal* correlations

$$M := \sqrt{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{n,n} \rangle}.$$

Let us introduce the notations,

$$S_h = \sinh \left( \frac{2J_h}{k_B T} \right), \quad S_v = \sinh \left( \frac{2J_v}{k_B T} \right),$$
$$C_h = \cosh \left( \frac{2J_h}{k_B T} \right), \quad C_v = \cosh \left( \frac{2J_v}{k_B T} \right),$$

and

$$k = S_h S_v.$$

---

It is famously known that, unlike the one-dimensional case, the two-dimensional Ising model exhibits a phase transition in the spontaneous magnetization at some temperature  $T_c$ , characterized by

$$k = 1.$$

In this talk I will focus on

$$k > 1,$$

which corresponds to the low temperature regime  $T < T_c$ .

For the diagonal correlations  $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle$  and the horizontal correlations  $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{0,N} \rangle$ , one has Toeplitz determinant representations. Indeed, for the diagonal correlations we have

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = D_N[\hat{\phi}], \quad \hat{\phi}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - k^{-1}z^{-1}}{1 - k^{-1}z}},$$

and for the horizontal correlations

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{0,N} \rangle = D_N[\hat{\eta}], \quad \hat{\eta}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_1 z)(1 - \alpha_2 z^{-1})}{(1 - \alpha_1 z^{-1})(1 - \alpha_2 z)}},$$

where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are given by

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{z_h(1 - z_v)}{1 + z_v}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1 - z_v}{z_h(1 + z_v)}, \quad z_{h,v} = \tanh \frac{J_{h,v}}{k_B T}.$$



In the low temperature regime, the symbols  $\widehat{\phi}$  and  $\widehat{\eta}$  enjoy the regularity properties required by the strong Szegő limit theorem and the diagonal and horizontal long-range orders

$$M_D := \sqrt{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad M_H := \sqrt{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{0,N} \rangle},$$

both evaluate to

$$(1 - k^{-2})^{1/8}.$$

In an interesting development, It was shown by Au-Yang and Perk in 1987 that the next-to-diagonal two point correlation function is given by the following *bordered Toeplitz determinant*,

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N} \rangle = D_N^B[\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\psi}],$$

where  $\widehat{\phi}$  is the symbol for diagonal correlations, and

$$\widehat{\psi}(z) = \frac{C_v z \widehat{\phi}(z) + C_h}{S_v(z - c_*)}, \quad \text{with} \quad c_* = -\frac{S_h}{S_v}.$$

The bordered Toeplitz determinant,  $D_N^B[\phi; \psi]$ , is defined as

$$D_N^B[\phi; \psi] := \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_{N-2} & \psi_{N-1} \\ \phi_{-1} & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{N-3} & \psi_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{2-N} & \phi_{3-N} & \cdots & \phi_0 & \psi_1 \\ \phi_{1-N} & \phi_{2-N} & \cdots & \phi_{-1} & \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N > 1.$$

We have the following elementary properties of bordered Toeplitz determinants:

$$D_N^B \left[ \phi, \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \psi_j \right] = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j D_N^B[\phi, \psi_j],$$

$$D_N^B[\phi, \phi] = D_N[\phi],$$

and

$$D_N^B[\phi, 1] = D_{N-1}[\phi].$$

Now, let us recall the Szegő function of the symbol  $\phi$ :

$$\alpha(z) := \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\ln(\phi(\tau))}{\tau - z} d\tau \right].$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $D_N^B[\phi; \psi]$  be the bordered Toeplitz determinant with  $\psi = q_1\phi + q_2$ , where

$$q_1(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \frac{b_0}{z} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{b_j z}{z - c_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad q_2(z) = \hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_1z + \frac{\hat{b}_0}{z} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\hat{b}_j}{z - c_j},$$

where all parameters are complex and the  $c_j$  are nonzero and do not lie on the unit circle, and  $\phi$  of Szegő type. Then, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$D_N^B[\phi, \psi] = G[\phi]^N E[\phi] \left( F[\phi; \psi] + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\epsilon N}) \right),$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$G[\phi] = \exp([\log \phi]_0) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n [\log \phi]_n [\log \phi]_{-n} \right),$$

and  $F[\phi; \psi]$  is given by

$$F[\phi; \psi] = a_0 + b_0 [\log \phi]_1 + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ 0 < |c_j| < 1}}^m b_j \frac{\alpha(c_j)}{\alpha(0)} + \frac{1}{\alpha(0)} \left( \hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_1 [\log \phi]_{-1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ |c_j| > 1}}^m \frac{\hat{b}_j}{c_j} \alpha(c_j) \right).$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N} \rangle$  be the next-to-diagonal two point correlation function in the Ising model. Then, in the low-temperature regime, the long-range order in the next-to-diagonal direction for the anisotropic square lattice Ising model is the same as of the diagonal and horizontal ones, i.e. is described as follows

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N} \rangle = (1 - k^{-2})^{1/4}.$$

**Theorem 3.** The next-to-diagonal two point correlation function has, in the low-temperature regime  $k > 1$ , the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  asymptotics

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N-1,N} \rangle = (1 - k^{-2})^{1/4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - k^{-2})} \left( \frac{1}{C_v^2} + \frac{1}{k^2 - 1} \right) N^{-2} k^{-2N} \left( 1 + O(N^{-1}) \right) \right)$$

For comparison, asymptotics of the diagonal correlation function is given by

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = (1 - k^{-2})^{1/4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - k^{-2})^2 k^2} N^{-2} k^{-2N} \left( 1 + O(N^{-1}) \right) \right),$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that  $\psi(z)$  admits an analytic continuation in a neighborhood of the unit circle and let  $\phi$  be of Szegő type. Then

$$D_N^B[\phi, \psi] = G[\phi]^N E[\phi] \left( F[\phi; \psi] + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\epsilon N}) \right),$$

where

$$G[\phi] = \exp([\log \phi]_0) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\phi) = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n [\log \phi]_n [\log \phi]_{-n} \right),$$

and  $F[\phi; \psi]$  is given by

$$F[\phi; \psi] = \frac{[\alpha - \psi]_0}{\alpha(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha(0)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_-(w) \psi(w) \frac{dw}{2\pi i w},$$

and  $\epsilon$  is some positive constant.

The bordered Toeplitz determinants  $D_{n+1}^B[\phi, \frac{1}{z-c}]$ , and  $D_{n+1}^B[\phi, \frac{\phi}{z-c}]$  are encoded into  $X$ -RHP data described by

$$D_{n+1}^B[\phi, \frac{1}{z-c}] = \begin{cases} 0, & |c| < 1, \\ -c^{-n-1}D_n[\phi]X_{11}(c; n), & |c| > 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_{n+1}^B[\phi, \frac{\phi}{z-c}] = -\frac{1}{c}D_{n+1}[\phi] + \frac{1}{c}D_n[\phi]X_{12}(c, n), \quad c \neq 0,$$

$$D_n^B[\phi, \frac{\phi}{z}] = -D_{n+1}^B[\tilde{\phi}, z],$$

$$D_{n+1}^B[\phi, z] = D_n[\phi] \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{X_{11}(z; n) - z^n}{z^{n-1}} \right) \equiv D_n[\phi] \frac{\kappa_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\kappa_n},$$

$$D_{n+1}^B[\phi, z^2] = D_n[\phi] \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{X_{11}(z; n) - z^n - \frac{\kappa_{n-1}^{(n)}}{\kappa_n} z^{n-1}}{z^{n-2}} \right) \equiv D_n[\phi] \frac{\kappa_{n-2}^{(n)}}{\kappa_n},$$

and so on. Note that

$$D_{n+1}^B[\phi, z^k] = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})^T$  and  $\vec{\psi} = (\psi_{N-1}, \psi_{N-2}, \dots, \psi_0)^T$ . Applying the Cramer's rule to the linear system  $T_n[\tilde{\phi}]\vec{x} = \vec{\psi}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_{N-1} &= \frac{1}{D_N[\tilde{\phi}]} \det \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_0 & \tilde{\phi}_{-1} & \cdots & \tilde{\phi}_{-N+2} & \psi_{N-1} \\ \tilde{\phi}_1 & \tilde{\phi}_0 & \cdots & \tilde{\phi}_{-N+3} & \psi_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\phi}_{N-1} & \tilde{\phi}_{N-2} & \cdots & \tilde{\phi}_1 & \psi_0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{D_N[\phi]} \det \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 & \phi_1 & \cdots & \phi_{N-2} & \psi_{N-1} \\ \phi_{-1} & \phi_0 & \cdots & \phi_{N-3} & \psi_{N-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{1-N} & \phi_{2-N} & \cdots & \phi_{-1} & \psi_0 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D_N^B[\phi; \psi] = D_N[\phi]x_{N-1} = D_N[\phi] \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \left( T_N^{-1}[\tilde{\phi}] \right)_{N-1, \ell} \psi_{N-1-\ell}$$

$$(T_n^{-1}[\phi])_{j,k} = \delta_{jk} + \langle \mathcal{R}_n^{(\phi)}[z^k], z^j \rangle, \quad 0 \leq j, k \leq n-1,$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker delta function,

$$\langle f(z), g(z) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz},$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{(\phi)} : f(z) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathcal{R}_n^{(\phi)}(z, w) f(w) dw$$

is the *Resolvent* operator with the kernel

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{(\phi)}(z, w) = \frac{X_{11}^{(\phi)}(z)X_{21}^{(\phi)}(w) - X_{11}^{(\phi)}(w)X_{21}^{(\phi)}(z)}{z - w} \frac{\phi(w) - 1}{2\pi iw^n},$$

Using this approach we can show

$$D_N^B[\phi, \psi] = G[\phi]^N E[\phi] F[\phi; \psi] \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cN})\right), \quad c > 0.$$

where  $F[\phi; \psi]$  is given by

$$F[\phi; \psi] = \frac{[\alpha_- \psi]_0}{\alpha(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha(0)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \alpha_-(w) \psi(w) \frac{dw}{2\pi iw}.$$



Let  $G(2N)$  represent one of  $USp(2N)$ ,  $SO(2N)$ , or  $O^-(2N)$ . For  $A \in G(2N)$ , let  $\Lambda_A(z) = \det(I - Az)$  denote its characteristic polynomial and consider the  $k$ -th moment of  $\Lambda_A^{(m)}(1)$ :

$$M_k(G(2N), m) := \int_{G(2N)} \left( \Lambda_A^{(m)}(1) \right)^k dA,$$

where  $dA$  is the Haar measure on  $G(2N)$ . In

*S. A. Altug, S. Bettin, I. Petrow, Rishikesh, and I. Whitehead. A recursion formula for moments of derivatives of random matrix polynomials.*

the authors study large- $N$  asymptotics of  $M_n(G(2N), m)$ . Here is where the  $2j - k$  determinants come into the picture. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_{k,\ell}(u) = \det_{k \times k} (f_{2j-k+\ell}(u))$$

$$f(z; u) = \exp \left( z + \frac{u}{z^2} \right)$$

Then

$$M_k(USp(2N), 2) = b_k(USp(2N), 2) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}}),$$

where

$$b_k(USp(2N), 2) = 2^{-\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} (e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,0}(2u)) \Big|_{u=0}.$$

$$M_k(SO(2N), 2) = b_k(SO(2N), 2) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+k}{2}}),$$

where

$$b_k(SO(2N), 2) = 2^{-\frac{k^2+k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} (e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,-1}(2u)) \Big|_{u=0}.$$

$$M_k(O^-(2N), 3) = b_k(O^-(2N), 3) \cdot (2N)^{\frac{k^2+5k}{2}} + O(N^{\frac{k^2+3k}{2}}),$$

where

$$b_k(O^-(2N), 3) = 3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{k^2+3k}{2}} \frac{d^k}{du^k} (e^u \mathcal{T}_{k,0}(2u)) \Big|_{u=0}.$$

For a general symbol  $w$  we consider the  $2j - k$  and  $j - 2k$  determinants with offsets  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$D_n^{(r)} := \det_{0 \leq j, k \leq n-1} (w_{r+2j-k})$$
$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} w_r & w_{r-1} & w_{r-2} & \cdots & w_{r-n+1} \\ w_{r+2} & w_{r+1} & w_r & \cdots & w_{r-n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{r+2n-2} & w_{r+2n-3} & w_{r+2n-4} & \cdots & w_{r+n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_n^{(s)} := \det_{0 \leq j, k \leq n-1} (w_{s+j-2k})$$
$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} w_s & w_{s-2} & w_{s-4} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+2} \\ w_{s+1} & w_{s-1} & w_{s-3} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{s+n-1} & w_{s+n-3} & w_{s+n-5} & \cdots & w_{s-n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}_n[f(\zeta)] := \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_1}{2\pi i \zeta_1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_2}{2\pi i \zeta_2} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_n}{2\pi i \zeta_n} \prod_{j=1}^n f(\zeta_j) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\zeta_k - \zeta_j)(\zeta_k^{-2} - \zeta_j^{-2}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_n[f(\zeta)] := \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_1}{2\pi i \zeta_1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_2}{2\pi i \zeta_2} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\zeta_n}{2\pi i \zeta_n} \prod_{j=1}^n f(\zeta_j) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\zeta_k^2 - \zeta_j^2)(\zeta_k^{-1} - \zeta_j^{-1}).$$

$$\mathcal{D}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-r}] = D_n^{(r)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-s}] = E_n^{(s)}.$$

or each offset value  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let us consider two sequences of *monic* polynomials  $\{P_n(z; r)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{Q_n(z; r)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\deg P_n(z; r) = \deg Q_n(z; r) = n$ , with the *biorthogonality* condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} P_m(\zeta; r) Q_n(\zeta^{-2}; r) \zeta^{-r} \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{2\pi i \zeta} = h_n^{(r)} \delta_{mn},$$

Similarly, for each offset value  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider two sequences of monic polynomials  $\{R_n(z; s)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{S_n(z; s)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\deg R_n(z; s) = \deg S_n(z; s) = n$ , with the following biorthogonality condition:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} R_m(\zeta^2; s) S_n(\zeta^{-1}; s) \zeta^{-s} \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{2\pi i \zeta} = g_n^{(s)} \delta_{mn},$$

**Theorem**

If  $D_n^{(r)} \neq 0$ , the polynomials  $P_n(z; r)$  and  $Q_n(z; r)$  exist and are uniquely given by

$$P_n(z; r) = \frac{1}{D_n^{(r)}} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_r & w_{r-1} & w_{r-2} & \cdots & w_{r-n} \\ w_{r+2} & w_{r+1} & w_r & \cdots & w_{r-n+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{r+2n-2} & w_{r+2n-3} & w_{r+2n-4} & \cdots & w_{r+n-2} \\ 1 & z & z^2 & \cdots & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$Q_n(z; r) = \frac{1}{D_n^{(r)}} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_r & w_{r-1} & w_{r-2} & \cdots & w_{r-n+1} & 1 \\ w_{r+2} & w_{r+1} & w_r & \cdots & w_{r-n+3} & z \\ w_{r+4} & w_{r+3} & w_{r+2} & \cdots & w_{r-n+5} & z^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{r+2n} & w_{r+2n-1} & w_{r+2n-2} & \cdots & w_{r+n+1} & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

from which one can observe that  $h_n^{(s)}$  exists and can be written as

$$h_n^{(r)} = \frac{D_{n+1}^{(r)}}{D_n^{(r)}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad D_0^{(r)} \equiv 1.$$

**Theorem**

If  $E_n^{(s)} \neq 0$ , the polynomials  $R_n(z; s)$  and  $S_n(z; s)$  exist and are uniquely given by

$$R_n(z; s) = \frac{1}{E_n^{(s)}} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_s & w_{s-2} & w_{s-4} & \cdots & w_{s-2n} \\ w_{s+1} & w_{s-1} & w_{s-3} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{s+n-1} & w_{s+n-3} & w_{s+n-5} & \cdots & w_{s-n-1} \\ 1 & z & z^2 & \cdots & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$S_n(z; s) = \frac{1}{E_n^{(s)}} \det \begin{pmatrix} w_s & w_{s-2} & w_{s-4} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+2} & 1 \\ w_{s+1} & w_{s-1} & w_{s-3} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+3} & z \\ w_{s+2} & w_s & w_{s-2} & \cdots & w_{s-2n+4} & z^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{s+n} & w_{s+n-2} & w_{s+n-4} & \cdots & w_{s-n+2} & z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

from which one can observe that  $g_n^{(s)}$  exists and can be written as

$$g_n^{(s)} = \frac{E_{n+1}^{(s)}}{E_n^{(s)}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \quad E_0^{(s)} \equiv 1.$$

## Theorem

The pure-degree recurrence relations for the  $2j - k$  polynomials are given by

$$P_{n+3}(z; r) - (\delta_{n+2}^{(r)} + \delta_{n+1}^{(r-1)})P_{n+2}(z; r) +$$

$$(\delta_{n+1}^{(r-1)}\delta_{n+1}^{(r)} - z^2)P_{n+1}(z; r) + (\delta_n^{(r)} + \eta_n^{(r-2)})z^2P_n(z; r) = 0$$

and

$$Q_{n+3}^*(z; r) - (1 + \beta_{n+2}^{(r)}z)Q_{n+2}^*(z; r) + (\beta_{n+1}^{(r)} + \alpha_{n+1}^{(r+1)} + \beta_{n+1}^{(r+1)} + \alpha_{n+1}^{(r+2)})zQ_{n+1}^*(z; r)$$

$$- (\beta_{n+1}^{(r+1)} + \alpha_{n+1}^{(r+2)})(\beta_n^{(r)} + \alpha_n^{(r+1)})z^2Q_n^*(z; r) = 0$$

where

$$\delta_n^{(r)} = -\frac{h_n^{(r-1)}}{h_n^{(r)}}, \quad \eta_n^{(r)} = \frac{D_n^{(r+2)}D_{n+1}^{(r-1)}}{D_{n+1}^{(r)}D_n^{(r+1)}}$$

$$\beta_n^{(r)} = -\frac{h_n^{(r+2)}}{h_n^{(r)}}, \quad \alpha_n^{(r)} = \frac{D_n^{(r-1)}D_{n+1}^{(r+2)}}{D_{n+1}^{(r)}D_n^{(r+1)}}.$$



## Theorem

The pure-offset recurrence relations for the  $2j - k$  and  $j - 2k$  polynomials are given by

$$\eta_n^{(r+1)} P_n(z; r+3) - z P_n(z; r+2) + \delta_n^{(r+1)} P_n(z; r+1) + z P_n(z; r) = 0,$$

$$Q_n^*(z; r+3) - Q_n^*(z; r+2) + \beta_n^{(r+1)} z Q_n^*(z; r+1) + \alpha_n^{(r+1)} z Q_n^*(z; r) = 0,$$

$$\rho_n^{(s+2)} R_n(z; s+3) + \varkappa_n^{(s+2)} R_n(z; s+2) - z R_n(z; s+1) + z R_n(z; s) = 0,$$

and

$$S_n^*(z; s+3) + \gamma_n^{(s+2)} z S_n^*(z; s+2) - S_n^*(z; s+1) + \theta_n^{(s+2)} z S_n^*(z; s) = 0.$$

It holds that

$$Q_n(z^2; r) = \frac{D_n^{(r+2)}}{D_n^{(r)}} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{2} \left[ P_{n+1}(z^{-1}; r+2)P_n(-z^{-1}; r+2) - P_{n+1}(-z^{-1}; r+2)P_n(z^{-1}; r+2) \right],$$

$$R_n(z^2; s) = \frac{E_n^{(s-2)}}{E_n^{(s)}} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{2} \left[ S_{n+1}(z^{-1}; s-2)S_n(-z^{-1}; s-2) - S_{n+1}(-z^{-1}; s-2)S_n(z^{-1}; s-2) \right].$$

Compare with the Toeplitz ( $j - k$ ) Case:

$$\widehat{\phi}_{n+1}(z) = \frac{z^{n+1}}{\phi_{n+1}(0)} \left[ \kappa_{n+1}\phi_{n+1}(z^{-1}) - \kappa_n z^{-1}\phi_n(z^{-1}) \right]$$

$$\phi_{n+1}(z) = \frac{z^{n+1}}{\widehat{\phi}_{n+1}(0)} \left[ \kappa_{n+1}\widehat{\phi}_{n+1}(z^{-1}) - \kappa_n z^{-1}\widehat{\phi}_n(z^{-1}) \right]$$

### Theorem

We have the following multiple integral representations for  $2j - k$  and  $j - 2k$  biorthogonal polynomials:

$$P_n(z; r) = \frac{1}{D_n^{(r)}} \mathcal{D}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-r}(z - \zeta)],$$

$$Q_n(z; r) = \frac{1}{D_n^{(r)}} \mathcal{D}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-r}(z - \zeta^{-2})],$$

$$R_n(z; s) = \frac{1}{E_n^{(s)}} \mathcal{E}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-s}(z - \zeta^2)],$$

$$S_n(z; s) = \frac{1}{E_n^{(s)}} \mathcal{E}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-s}(z - \zeta^{-1})].$$

Define the *reproducing kernel* for the  $2j - k$  and  $j - 2k$  systems respectively as

$$K_n(z, \tau; r) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{h_j^{(r)}} Q_j(z; r) P_j(\tau; r),$$

and

$$L_n(z, \tau; s) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{g_j^{(s)}} S_j(z; s) R_j(\tau; s).$$

It is easy to see that the following *reproducing properties* hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} K_n(z, \zeta; r) Q_\ell(\zeta^{-2}; r) \zeta^{-r} w(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} = \begin{cases} Q_\ell(z; r), & 0 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ 0, & \ell > n, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} K_n(\zeta^{-2}, \tau; r) P_\ell(\zeta; r) \zeta^{-r} w(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} = \begin{cases} P_\ell(\tau; r), & 0 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ 0, & \ell > n, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} L_n(z, \zeta^2; s) S_\ell(\zeta^{-1}; s) \zeta^{-s} w(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} = \begin{cases} S_\ell(z; s), & 0 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ 0, & \ell > n, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} L_n(\zeta^{-1}, \tau; r) R_\ell(\zeta^2; s) \zeta^{-s} w(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i \zeta} = \begin{cases} R_\ell(\tau; s), & 0 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ 0, & \ell > n. \end{cases}$$

Define the *reproducing kernel* for the  $2j - k$  and  $j - 2k$  systems respectively as

$$K_n(z, \tau; r) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{h_j^{(r)}} Q_j(z; r) P_j(\tau; r),$$

and

$$L_n(z, \tau; s) := \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{g_j^{(s)}} S_j(z; s) R_j(\tau; s).$$

$$K_n(z_2, z_1; r) = \frac{1}{D_{n+1}^{(r)}} \mathcal{D}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-r}(z_1 - \zeta)(z_2 - \zeta^{-2})],$$

$$L_n(z_2, z_1; s) = \frac{1}{E_{n+1}^{(s)}} \mathcal{E}_n[w(\zeta)\zeta^{-s}(z_1 - \zeta^2)(z_2 - \zeta^{-1})].$$

$$K_n(z_2^2, z_1; r) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_n^{(r+2)}}{D_{n+1}^{(r)}} \frac{z_2^{2n+1}}{z_1^2 - z_2^{-2}} \times \det \begin{pmatrix} P_n(-z_2^{-1}; r+2) & P_{n+1}(-z_2^{-1}; r+2) & P_{n+2}(-z_2^{-1}; r+2) \\ P_n(z_2^{-1}; r+2) & P_{n+1}(z_2^{-1}; r+2) & P_{n+2}(z_2^{-1}; r+2) \\ P_n(z_1; r+2) & P_{n+1}(z_1; r+2) & P_{n+2}(z_1; r+2) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$L_n(z_2, z_1^2; s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_n^{(s-2)}}{E_{n+1}^{(s)}} \frac{z_1^{2n+1}}{z_1^{-2} - z_2^2} \times \det \begin{pmatrix} S_n(z_1^{-1}; s-2) & S_{n+1}(z_1^{-1}; s-2) & S_{n+2}(z_1^{-1}; s-2) \\ S_n(-z_1^{-1}; s-2) & S_{n+1}(-z_1^{-1}; s-2) & S_{n+2}(-z_1^{-1}; s-2) \\ S_n(z_2; s-2) & S_{n+1}(z_2; s-2) & S_{n+2}(z_2; s-2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Compare with the Christoffel-Darboux identity for Toeplitz  $(j-k)$  case

$$\widehat{K}_n(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{z_1^{n+1} \phi_{n+1}(z_1^{-1}) z_2^{n+1} \widehat{\phi}_{n+1}(z_2^{-1}) - \widehat{\phi}_{n+1}(z_1) \phi_{n+1}(z_2)}{1 - z_1 z_2}.$$

$$D_n^{(r)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} G(\frac{r+1}{2}) G(\frac{r+2}{2}) G(n+1)}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} (2r+n) G(n + \frac{r+1}{2}) G(n + \frac{r+2}{2})}$$

$$h_n^{(r)} = \frac{2^n n!}{(2n+r)!}.$$

$$\delta_n^{(r)} = -2n - r, \quad \eta_n^{(r)} = r$$

$$\beta_n^{(r)} = -\frac{1}{(2n+r+1)(2n+r+2)} \quad \alpha_n^{(r)} = \frac{r}{(2n+r)(2n+r+1)(2n+r+2)}.$$

$$P_n(z; r) = 2^n \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{m=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{m+n+\ell} \binom{\ell}{m} \left(\frac{r-m}{2}\right)_n z^{\ell},$$

and

$$Q_n(z; r) = \frac{(-1)^n}{4^n \left(\frac{r+1}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{r+2}{2}\right)_n} {}_3F_0\left(-n, \frac{r+1}{2}, \frac{r+2}{2}; ; 4z\right),$$

**Thank you!**

---