

Densely Computable Structures and Isomorphisms, Part II: Math is About Functions

Wesley Calvert



MSRI

July 20, 2022

Theorem

- 1 Let K be a computable field. There is a computable field \overline{K} and a computable embedding $K \hookrightarrow \overline{K}$ such that \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K .

Theorem

- 1 *Let K be a computable field. There is a computable field \overline{K} and a computable embedding $K \hookrightarrow \overline{K}$ such that \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K .*
- 2 *There is a computable algebraic field K such that there exist two algebraic closures J_1, J_2 of K such that there is no computable isomorphism $J_1 \rightarrow J_2$.*

Definition

A computable structure \mathcal{A} is said to be computably categorical if and only if any two copies $\mathcal{A}_0 \cong \mathcal{A}_1 \cong \mathcal{A}$ are isomorphic via a computable isomorphism.

Question

Does “almost computably isomorphic” mean

- 1 “isomorphic, almost computably,”

Question

Does “almost computably isomorphic” mean

- ① “isomorphic, almost computably,”
- ② “almost isomorphic, computably,” or

Question

Does “almost computably isomorphic” mean

- 1 “isomorphic, almost computably,”
- 2 “almost isomorphic, computably,” or
- 3 “almost isomorphic, almost computably,”

Remark

Composition does not always play nicely with exceptions.

Remark

Still two ways to trivialize:

Remark

Still two ways to trivialize:

- 1 Maybe the only “densely” computable isomorphisms are the ones where there’s actually a computable isomorphism.

Remark

Still two ways to trivialize:

- 1 Maybe the only “densely” computable isomorphisms are the ones where there’s actually a computable isomorphism.
- 2 Maybe there are “densely” computable isomorphisms everywhere.

Definition

Let $F : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a total function. We say that F is generically computable if there is a partial computable function θ such that $\theta = F$ on the domain of θ , and such that the domain of θ has asymptotic density 1.

Definition

- 1 We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a generically computable isomorphism if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

Definition

- 1 We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a generically computable isomorphism if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:
 - 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;

Definition

- 1 We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a generically computable isomorphism if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:
 - 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
 - 2 $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;

Definition

- 1 We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a generically computable isomorphism if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:
 - 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
 - 2 $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
 - 3 The image $F[C]$ has asymptotic density one and is the domain of a substructure of \mathcal{B} .

Definition

- ① We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a generically computable isomorphism if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:
 - ① C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
 - ② $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
 - ③ The image $F[C]$ has asymptotic density one and is the domain of a substructure of \mathcal{B} .
- ② A structure \mathcal{A} is generically computably isomorphic to a structure \mathcal{B} if there is a generically computable isomorphism F mapping \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} .

Example

Let A, B be dense coinfinite c.e. sets. Then the structures (\mathbb{N}, A) and (\mathbb{N}, B) are generically computably isomorphic.

Example

Let A, B be dense coinfinite c.e. sets. Then the structures (\mathbb{N}, A) and (\mathbb{N}, B) are generically computably isomorphic.

To do this, take one-to-one enumerations of the two sets, and let θ be the function matching corresponding elements. We define F_0 on A to be θ , and extend F_0 arbitrarily to a total bijection.

Example

Let A, B be dense coinfinite c.e. sets. Then the structures (\mathbb{N}, A) and (\mathbb{N}, B) are generically computably isomorphic.

To do this, take one-to-one enumerations of the two sets, and let θ be the function matching corresponding elements. We define F_0 on A to be θ , and extend F_0 arbitrarily to a total bijection.

If (\mathbb{N}, A) and (\mathbb{N}, B) were computably isomorphic, then A and B would be 1-equivalent.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equivalence structure. Then \mathcal{A} is computably categorical if and only if one of the following holds:

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equivalence structure. Then \mathcal{A} is computably categorical if and only if one of the following holds:

- *\mathcal{A} has only finitely many finite classes, or*

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be an equivalence structure. Then \mathcal{A} is computably categorical if and only if one of the following holds:

- *\mathcal{A} has only finitely many finite classes, or*
- *\mathcal{A} has finitely many infinite classes, there is a bound on the size of the finite classes, and there is at most one k such that \mathcal{A} has infinitely many classes of size k .*

Example

A $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structure is an equivalence structure having

- infinitely many classes of size 1,
- infinitely many classes of size 2,
- and nothing else.

Definition

We say that the equivalence structure $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbb{N}, E)$ has generic character K for a finite subset K of $\mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ if, for each $k \in K$, the set $\mathcal{A}(k)$ has positive asymptotic density and the union $\bigcup_{k \in K} \mathcal{A}(k)$ has asymptotic density one.

Theorem

- 1 *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are computable $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structures, each having generic character $\{2\}$, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.*

Theorem

- 1 *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are computable $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structures, each having generic character $\{2\}$, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.*
- 2 *Any computable $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structure \mathcal{A} with generic character $\{2\}$ is generically computably isomorphic to a computable structure \mathcal{C} in which the set of elements of size 2 is computable.*

Theorem

If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically c.e. $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structures, each having generic character $\{2\}$, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.

Theorem

If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are isomorphic computable equivalence structures with finitely many infinite classes such that the infinite classes constitute a set of asymptotic density 1 in each structure, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.

Theorem

If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are isomorphic computable equivalence structures with finitely many infinite classes such that the infinite classes constitute a set of asymptotic density 1 in each structure, then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.

It follows that any such structure \mathcal{A} is generically computably isomorphic to a computable structure \mathcal{C} in which the set of elements that belong to infinite classes is computable.

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be isomorphic generically c.e. equivalence structures, each with a single infinite class of asymptotic density 1. Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generically computably isomorphic.

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly generically computably isomorphic if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly generically computably isomorphic if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

- (i) C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly generically computably isomorphic if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

- (i) C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- (ii) $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly generically computably isomorphic if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

- (i) C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- (ii) $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- (iii) $F[C]$ has asymptotic density one and is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C}_1 of \mathcal{B} .

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly generically computably isomorphic if there are a c.e. set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, and a partial computable function θ with $C \subseteq \text{Dom}(\theta)$, which satisfy the following:

- (i) C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- (ii) $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- (iii) $F[C]$ has asymptotic density one and is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C}_1 of \mathcal{B} .
- (iv) θ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}_1 .

Theorem

For any $k > 1$ and any rational number p with $0 < p \leq 1$, there exist computable $(1, k)$ -equivalence structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A}(1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(1)$ each have asymptotic density p , which are not weakly generically computably isomorphic.

Remark

We'd like $*$ -isomorphism to be an equivalence relation.

Remark

We'd like $*$ -isomorphism to be an equivalence relation.

We can at least show that this is symmetric and reflexive.

Definition

A partial computable function ψ mapping a set C to a set D is density preserving if for any subset A of C with asymptotic density p , the image $\psi(A)$ also has asymptotic density p .

Definition

A partial computable function ψ mapping a set C to a set D is density preserving if for any subset A of C with asymptotic density p , the image $\psi(A)$ also has asymptotic density p .

Proposition

Density-preserving generically computable and weakly generically computable isomorphism are transitive.

Question

We know that the composition of two generically computable isomorphisms need not be a generically computable isomorphism. Is it transitive anyway?

Proposition

Suppose that a structure \mathcal{A} has a c.e. substructure \mathcal{D} on a dense computable set, the domain of \mathcal{D} . Then there are a c.e. structure \mathcal{B} and a weakly generically computable density preserving isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} .

Proposition

Suppose that a structure \mathcal{A} has a c.e. substructure \mathcal{D} on a dense computable set, the domain of \mathcal{D} . Then there are a c.e. structure \mathcal{B} and a weakly generically computable density preserving isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} .

Moreover, if there is a weakly generically computable density preserving isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to a c.e. structure, then \mathcal{A} is generically computable.

Definition

A function F is said to be coarsely computable if and only if there is a total computable function θ such that $\{n : F(n) = \theta(n)\}$ has asymptotic density 1.

Definition

We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a coarsely computable isomorphism if there are a set C of asymptotic density one and a (total) computable function θ such that:

Definition

We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a coarsely computable isomorphism if there are a set C of asymptotic density one and a (total) computable function θ such that:

- 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;

Definition

We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a coarsely computable isomorphism if there are a set C of asymptotic density one and a (total) computable function θ such that:

- 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- 2 $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;

Definition

We say that an isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a structure \mathcal{A} to a structure \mathcal{B} is a coarsely computable isomorphism if there are a set C of asymptotic density one and a (total) computable function θ such that:

- 1 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- 2 $F(x) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- 3 The image $F[C]$ has asymptotic density one and is the domain of a substructure of \mathcal{B} .

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B, S)$ be isomorphic equivalence structures with generic character $\{1\}$ (that is, both $\mathcal{A}(1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(1)$ have asymptotic density one). Then there is a density preserving coarsely computable isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B, S)$ be isomorphic equivalence structures with generic character $\{1\}$ (that is, both $\mathcal{A}(1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(1)$ have asymptotic density one). Then there is a density preserving coarsely computable isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} have generic character $\{1\}$, and let \mathcal{B} be an equivalence structure, with a coarsely computable isomorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{B}(1)$ has asymptotic density 1.

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic if there is a set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a total computable function θ , which satisfy the following:

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic if there is a set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a total computable function θ , which satisfy the following:

- 1 $\theta(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in C$;

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic if there is a set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a total computable function θ , which satisfy the following:

- 1 $\theta(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- 2 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic if there is a set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a total computable function θ , which satisfy the following:

- 1 $\theta(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- 2 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- 3 The image $F[C]$ also has asymptotic density one and is the universe of a substructure of \mathcal{B} .

Definition

We say that structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic if there is a set C of asymptotic density one, a bijection $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a total computable function θ , which satisfy the following:

- 1 $\theta(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in C$;
- 2 C is the domain of a substructure \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{A} ;
- 3 The image $F[C]$ also has asymptotic density one and is the universe of a substructure of \mathcal{B} .
- 4 θ is an isomorphism from \mathcal{C} to its image.

Proposition

If a structure \mathcal{A} is coarsely computable, then there is a density preserving weakly coarsely computable isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to a computable structure.

Proposition

If a structure \mathcal{A} is coarsely computable, then there is a density preserving weakly coarsely computable isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to a computable structure.

Moreover, if there is a weakly coarsely computable isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to a computable structure, then \mathcal{A} is coarsely computable.

Proof: Let \mathcal{E} be a computable structure, and let D be a dense set such that the structure \mathcal{D} with domain D is a substructure of both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} , and all relations and functions agree on D .

Proof: Let \mathcal{E} be a computable structure, and let D be a dense set such that the structure \mathcal{D} with domain D is a substructure of both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} , and all relations and functions agree on D .

Then the identity function serves as the desired isomorphism.

Proof: Let \mathcal{E} be a computable structure, and let D be a dense set such that the structure \mathcal{D} with domain D is a substructure of both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{E} , and all relations and functions agree on D .

Then the identity function serves as the desired isomorphism.

The converse is immediate from the definitions. \square

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbb{N}, R)$ is a computable $(1, 2)$ -structure such that $\mathcal{A}(1)$ has asymptotic density q , where $0 < q < 1$. Then there are a computable structure \mathcal{B} , such that $\mathcal{B}(1)$ is a computable set with computable asymptotic density q , and a density preserving weakly coarsely computable isomorphism from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} .

Theorem

Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are computable $(1, 2)$ -equivalence structures with domain \mathbb{N} such that the asymptotic density of $\mathcal{A}(1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(1)$ both equal the same computable real q . Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic.

Conjecture

Let $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ be a finite set and let q_1, \dots, q_n be positive reals such that $q_1 + \dots + q_n = 1$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be computable equivalence structures such that $\mathcal{A}(k_i)$ and $\mathcal{B}(k_i)$ have asymptotic density q_i for each i . Then \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are weakly coarsely computably isomorphic.

Densely Computable Structures and Isomorphisms, Part II: Math is About Functions

Wesley Calvert



MSRI

July 20, 2022