

# On the Borel complexity of modules

Chris Laskowski  
University of Maryland

Joint work with  
D. Ulrich

MSRI – DDC2  
28 July, 2022

**Study:** The complexity of the class of **countable** models of a theory  $T$  in a countable language.

**Study:** The complexity of the class of **countable** models of a theory  $T$  in a countable language.

**One basic question:** How many isomorphism classes of countable models of  $T$  are there? i.e., What is  $I(T, \aleph_0)$ ?

**Study:** The complexity of the class of **countable** models of a theory  $T$  in a countable language.

**One basic question:** How many isomorphism classes of countable models of  $T$  are there? i.e., What is  $I(T, \aleph_0)$ ?

**Sadly:** “99% of all theories  $T$  have  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .”

**Study:** The complexity of the class of **countable** models of a theory  $T$  in a countable language.

**One basic question:** How many isomorphism classes of countable models of  $T$  are there? i.e., What is  $I(T, \aleph_0)$ ?

**Sadly:** “99% of all theories  $T$  have  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .”

Would like finer invariants.

# Borel complexity of classes of countable models

Fix  $L$  a countable language. Let

$$Str_L = \{L\text{-structures } M \text{ with universe } \omega\}$$

# Borel complexity of classes of countable models

Fix  $L$  a countable language. Let

$$\text{Str}_L = \{L\text{-structures } M \text{ with universe } \omega\}$$

**Topologize:** Basic open sets

$$U_{\phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \{M \in \text{Str}_L : M \models \phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)\}$$

$\text{Str}_L$  is a standard Borel space (separable, completely metrizable of size continuum).

# Borel complexity of classes of countable models

Fix  $L$  a countable language. Let

$$\text{Str}_L = \{L\text{-structures } M \text{ with universe } \omega\}$$

**Topologize:** Basic open sets

$$U_{\phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \{M \in \text{Str}_L : M \models \phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)\}$$

$\text{Str}_L$  is a standard Borel space (separable, completely metrizable of size continuum).

**Only interested in Borel subsets** So whether we take  $\{U_\phi : \text{all } \phi\}$  or  $\{U_\phi : \phi \text{ q.f.}\}$  give the same collection of Borel subsets.



# Borel complexity of classes of countable models

Fix  $L$  a countable language. Let

$$\text{Str}_L = \{L\text{-structures } M \text{ with universe } \omega\}$$

**Topologize:** Basic open sets

$$U_{\phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \{M \in \text{Str}_L : M \models \phi(n_1, \dots, n_k)\}$$

$\text{Str}_L$  is a standard Borel space (separable, completely metrizable of size continuum).

**Only interested in Borel subsets** So whether we take  $\{U_\phi : \text{all } \phi\}$  or  $\{U_\phi : \phi \text{ q.f.}\}$  give the same collection of Borel subsets.

For  $T$  any  $L$ -theory,  $\text{Mod}(T) = \{M \in \text{Str}_L : M \models T\}$  is a Borel subset.

# How complicated is $(Mod(T), \cong)$ ?

**Friedman-Stanley** Given two theories  $T, S$  (possibly in different countable languages  $L, L'$ ) we say  $(Mod(T), \cong)$  is Borel reducible to  $(Mod(S), \cong)$ ,  $T \leq_B S$ , if there is a Borel  $f : Str_L \rightarrow Str_{L'}$  such that for all  $M, N \in Mod(T)$ ,  $f(M), f(N) \in Mod(S)$  and

$$M \cong N \iff f(M) \cong f(N)$$

$T$  and  $S$  are **Borel equivalent** if  $T \leq_B S$  and  $S \leq_B T$ .

# How complicated is $(Mod(T), \cong)$ ?

**Friedman-Stanley** Given two theories  $T, S$  (possibly in different countable languages  $L, L'$ ) we say  $(Mod(T), \cong)$  is Borel reducible to  $(Mod(S), \cong)$ ,  $T \leq_B S$ , if there is a Borel  $f : Str_L \rightarrow Str_{L'}$  such that for all  $M, N \in Mod(T)$ ,  $f(M), f(N) \in Mod(S)$  and

$$M \cong N \iff f(M) \cong f(N)$$

$T$  and  $S$  are **Borel equivalent** if  $T \leq_B S$  and  $S \leq_B T$ .

Clearly,  $T \leq_B S$  implies  $I(T, \aleph_0) \leq I(S, \aleph_0)$ , but Borel complexity can separate some theories with  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

## Some examples

$Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$ , RCF have  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

- $Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$  are  $\leq_B$ -incomparable, but both  $<_B$  RCF.
- Isomorphism is Borel on  $Mod(Th(\mathbb{Z}, +))$ , but not on  $Mod(REF(bin))$ .

## Some examples

$Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$ , RCF have  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

- $Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$  are  $\leq_B$ -incomparable, but both  $<_B$  RCF.
- Isomorphism is Borel on  $Mod(Th(\mathbb{Z}, +))$ , but not on  $Mod(REF(bin))$ .

There is a maximal  $\equiv_B$ -class, containing graphs, linear orders, RCF,  $DCF_0$ . A theory  $T$  is **Borel complete** if  $(Mod(T), \cong)$  is in this maximal class.

## Some examples

$Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$ , RCF have  $I(T, \aleph_0) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

- $Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $REF(bin)$  are  $\leq_B$ -incomparable, but both  $<_B$  RCF.
- Isomorphism is Borel on  $Mod(Th(\mathbb{Z}, +))$ , but not on  $Mod(REF(bin))$ .

There is a **maximal**  $\equiv_B$ -class, containing graphs, linear orders, RCF,  $DCF_0$ . A theory  $T$  is **Borel complete** if  $(Mod(T), \cong)$  is in this maximal class.

**Borel completeness does not play nicely with the usual dividing lines:** Some  $\omega$ -stable, Morley rank 2 theories are Borel complete, as are some weakly minimal, trivial theories; DLO (or any  $\omega$ -categorical theory) is  $\leq_B$ -minimal;  $Th(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  has a Borel complete reduct.

Fix a countable ring  $R$  (with unit). Let  $L_R = \{+, 0, f_r : r \in R\}$ . Study the class of countable left  $R$ -modules (typically an incomplete  $L_R$  theory).

(Ex: For  $n \neq m$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +, 0)$  is not elementarily equivalent to  $(\mathbb{Z}^m, +, 0)$ .)

# Modules

Fix a countable ring  $R$  (with unit). Let  $L_R = \{+, 0, f_r : r \in R\}$ . Study the class of countable left  $R$ -modules (typically an incomplete  $L_R$  theory).

(Ex: For  $n \neq m$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}^n, +, 0)$  is not elementarily equivalent to  $(\mathbb{Z}^m, +, 0)$ .)

**Will see:** For many (countable) rings  $R$ ,  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete, but not always:

- If  $R$  is a finite field, then any two countably infinite  $R$ -modules (vector spaces) are isomorphic.
- If  $R$  is a countably infinite field, there are countably many non-isomorphic countable vector spaces.
- If  $R$  is a finite product of fields, then again, countably many countable  $R$ -modules.



# The main theorem

## Theorem (L-Ulrich)

*Let  $R$  be any countable, commutative ring (with 1). Then*

- either  $\text{Th}(R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete; or*
- There are only countably many isomorphism types of countable  $R$ -modules.*

# The main theorem

## Theorem (L-Ulrich)

Let  $R$  be any countable, commutative ring (with 1). Then

- either  $\text{Th}(R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete; or
- There are only countably many isomorphism types of countable  $R$ -modules.

The second condition holds iff  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring  
iff  $R$  is a finite product of local rings, each with a principal maximal ideal  
iff  $R$  has 'finite representation type' (see [Prest](#)).

# The main theorem

## Theorem (L-Ulrich)

Let  $R$  be any countable, commutative ring (with 1). Then

- either  $\text{Th}(R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete; or
- There are only countably many isomorphism types of countable  $R$ -modules.

The second condition holds iff  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring iff  $R$  is a finite product of local rings, each with a principal maximal ideal iff  $R$  has 'finite representation type' (see [Prest](#)).

**Conclusion:** Borel complexity says nothing interesting for (incomplete) theories of commutative  $R$ -modules.

## Corollary

*(to proof) The class of torsion free abelian groups is Borel complete.*

# An easy Borel reduction

**Fact:** For any countable ring  $R$  (with 1), and for any 2-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$ ,

$$\text{left } R/I\text{-modules} \leq_B \text{left } R\text{-modules}$$

# An easy Borel reduction

**Fact:** For any countable ring  $R$  (with 1), and for any 2-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$ ,

$$\text{left } R/I\text{-modules } \leq_B \text{ left } R\text{-modules}$$

**Why?** Any  $R/I$ -module  $M$  is naturally expanded to an  $R$ -module  $M'$  by  $ra := (r + I)a$ . Thus

$$M \mapsto M'$$

gives a Borel reduction.

# An easy Borel reduction

**Fact:** For any countable ring  $R$  (with 1), and for any 2-sided ideal  $I \subseteq R$ ,

$$\text{left } R/I\text{-modules} \leq_B \text{left } R\text{-modules}$$

**Why?** Any  $R/I$ -module  $M$  is naturally expanded to an  $R$ -module  $M'$  by  $ra := (r + I)a$ . Thus

$$M \mapsto M'$$

gives a Borel reduction.

**Thus,** if  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R/I\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete, then so is  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$ .

# Tagged $R$ -modules

Fix a countable ring  $R$  (with 1), but not necessarily commutative. A **tagged left  $R$ -module**  $\overline{V} = (V, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a left  $R$ -module  $V$  with countably many named left  $R$ -submodules  $V_n$ . (R. Göbel)

## Theorem 1

*For **any** countable ring  $R$ , the class of tagged left  $R$ -modules is Borel complete.*



# Tagged $R$ -modules

Fix a countable ring  $R$  (with 1), but not necessarily commutative. A **tagged left  $R$ -module**  $\overline{V} = (V, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a left  $R$ -module  $V$  with countably many named left  $R$ -submodules  $V_n$ . (R. Göbel)

## Theorem 1

For **any** countable ring  $R$ , the class of tagged left  $R$ -modules is Borel complete.

## Corollary

For any countable ring  $R$ , the class of left  $R$ -modules with 4 distinguished submodules is Borel complete.

# Tagged $R$ -modules

Fix a countable ring  $R$  (with 1), but not necessarily commutative. A **tagged left  $R$ -module**  $\overline{V} = (V, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a left  $R$ -module  $V$  with countably many named left  $R$ -submodules  $V_n$ . (R. Göbel)

## Theorem 1

For **any** countable ring  $R$ , the class of tagged left  $R$ -modules is Borel complete.

## Corollary

For any countable ring  $R$ , (**even if  $R$  is a finite field**) the class of left  $R$ -modules with 4 distinguished submodules is Borel complete.

# Model theoretic construction

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a class of countable structures  $A$  such that:

- $\mathbf{K}$  is closed under  $\cong$ ;
- Every  $A \in \mathbf{K}$  is finitely generated (there is some finite  $X \subseteq A$  so that  $cl(X) = A$ );
- Some  $A \in \mathbf{K}$  is  $\emptyset$ -generated and every  $A \in \mathbf{K}$  has a proper extension;
- $\mathbf{K}$  has disjoint amalgamation.

**Fact:** For any such  $\mathbf{K}$ , there is a  $\mathbf{K}$ -limit  $M = \bigcup_i A_i$  generated by  $X$  and an equivalence relation  $E \subseteq X^2$  such that  $X/E$  is infinite and **absolutely indiscernible**

- Every  $h \in \text{Sym}(X/E)$  lifts to an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $M$ , i.e., for all  $a \in X$ ,  $h(a/E) = \sigma(a)/E$ .

**Example:** Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the class of all finite, tagged  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector spaces  $(A, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  satisfying:

- $X := A \setminus \bigcup \{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a basis for  $A$ ;
- $V_n = \{0\}$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ .

Here,  $\mathbf{K}$  has only countably many isomorphism types, and  $M$  can be taken as the Fraïssé limit of  $\mathbf{K}$ .

- The universe  $M$  and each  $V_n^M$  are isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{F}_2$ .
- $X = M \setminus \bigcup \{V_n^M : n \in \omega\}$ ,  $X$  is a basis for  $M$ , but  $X$  is not indiscernible.
- There is an equivalence relation  $E \subseteq X^2$  such that  $X/E$  is infinite and absolutely indiscernible.

# Proof of Theorem 1

**Using this:** Given any countable  $R$ , construct a **single** countable tagged left  $R$ -module  $\overline{N} = (N, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  with a highly controlled automorphism group, and distinguished  $\text{Aut}(\overline{N})$ -invariant  $X, E$  with  $X/E$  absolutely indiscernible.

# Proof of Theorem 1

**Using this:** Given any countable  $R$ , construct a **single** countable tagged left  $R$ -module  $\overline{N} = (N, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  with a highly controlled automorphism group, and distinguished  $\text{Aut}(\overline{N})$ -invariant  $X, E$  with  $X/E$  absolutely indiscernible.

To prove that  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete:

Given a countable graph  $G = (X/E, R^G)$ , construct a left  $R$ -submodule  $U_G \leq N$  so that

$$G \mapsto N_G := (\overline{N}, U_G)$$

is a Borel reduction.

# From tagged $R$ -modules to $R$ -modules

**Warm-up:** A tagged  $R$ -module  $\bar{V} = (V, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is **free-like** if  $V = \bigoplus_{\omega} R$ , every  $V_n \cong \bigoplus_{\omega} R$ , and  $V/V_n \cong \bigoplus_{\omega} R$ .

## Lemma

*(tagged left  $R$ -modules)  $\leq_B$  (free-like tagged left  $R$  modules).*

Thus, for any  $R$ , (free-like tagged left  $R$  modules) is Borel complete.

## Theorem

*If a countable  $R$  has a 'defect' then there is a Borel reduction*

*free-like tagged left  $R$ -modules  $\leq_B$  left  $R$ -modules*

## Three 'defects'

- A. If there is a central, non-zero divisor, non-unit  $r \in R$ , then  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete.
- B. If there are central  $r, s \in R$  with  $(r) \cap (s) = 0$  and  $I = \text{Ann}(r) + \text{Ann}(s)$  proper ( $1 \notin I$ ) then  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete.
- C. If  $R$  is a commutative ring with a strictly descending chain of annihilator ideals  $\{\text{Ann}(X_n) : n \in \omega\}$  with  $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \text{Ann}(X_n) = 0$ , then  $\text{Th}(R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete.



**Fact:** If  $R$  is a countable, commutative ring such that no  $R/I$  satisfies **A**, **B** or **C**, then  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring.

- 1 If any  $R/I$  is an integral domain that is not a field, then **A**.
- 2 Thus, we may assume every prime ideal is maximal.
- 3 If there are infinitely many prime (maximal) ideals, then by a Ramsey argument, get **C** holding in some quotient.
- 4 Thus, there are only finitely many prime ideals, and the Jacobson radical = Nil-radical.
- 5 It follows that  $R$  is a finite product of local rings of the form  $Re$  for some idempotent  $e$ .
- 6 Fix one of the factors with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If the ideals  $\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  are not linearly ordered, then get **B** in some quotient.
- 7 If a factor is not Noetherian, then get a descending sequence of annihilator ideals, giving **C**.
- 8 Thus, in each factor there are only finitely many ideals, each principal.

## Sketch of A.

Fix  $r \in R$  central, non-zero divisor, non-unit. Think about  $r$ -adics

$\langle (r^m) : m \geq 1 \rangle$  is strictly decreasing. If  $I = \bigcap_m (r^m) \neq 0$ , then work in  $R/I$ . So we may assume  $\bigcap_m (r^m) = 0$ .

Let  $\hat{R} = \varprojlim R/(r^m)$ . For each  $s \subseteq \omega$  finite, let  $\sigma_s = \sum_{i \in s} r^i$  and let

$$\Gamma = \varprojlim \{ \sigma_s : s \subseteq \omega \text{ finite} \}$$

**Find**  $\{ \gamma_n : n \in \omega \} \subseteq \Gamma$  algebraically independent, i.e.,  $p(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n) \neq 0$  for all non-constant  $p \in R[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . (Baire category)

## Sketch of A. (continued)

**Code:** Given a free-like tagged left  $R$ -module  $\bar{V} = (\bigoplus_{\omega} R, V_n)_{n \in \omega}$ , let  $f(\bar{V})$  be the smallest  $r$ -pure  $R$ -submodule of  $\bigoplus_{\omega} \hat{R}$  generated by

$$\bigoplus_{\omega} R \cup \bigcup_n \{\gamma_n V_n : n \in \omega\}$$

**Need:** If  $h : f(\bar{V}) \cong f(\bar{V}')$  as left  $R$ -modules, then  $\bar{V} \cong \bar{V}'$  as tagged left  $R$ -modules.

Two properties:

- Then map  $h : f(\bar{V}) \cong f(\bar{V}')$  is actually an  $\hat{R}$ -isomorphism (uses  $r$ -purity and density of  $\bigoplus_{\omega} R$  in  $\bigoplus_{\omega} \hat{R}$ )
- For any  $\mathbf{g} \in f(\bar{V})$ ,  $\mathbf{g} \in f(V_n)$  if and only if  $\gamma_n \mathbf{g} \in f(\bar{V})$  (uses algebraic independence and  $r$ -purity).

## Some corollaries to A.

A. If there is a central, non-zero divisor, non-unit  $r \in R$ , then  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete.

### Corollary

*If  $R$  is an integral domain that is not a field, then  $\text{Th}(R\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete. Furthermore, if  $R$  is torsion-free, every free-like  $R$ -module is torsion free, hence TFAB is Borel complete.*

### Corollary

*For any countable  $R$ ,  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R[x]\text{-modules})$  is Borel complete (take  $r = x$ ). Hence  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules } (V, T) \text{ with a named } T : V \rightarrow V)$  is Borel complete.*

Proof:  $(\text{left } R\text{-endomorphisms } (V, T)) \equiv_B (\text{left } R[x]\text{-modules})$

## Corollary

*For any countable  $R$ ,  $\text{Th}(\text{left } R\text{-modules with 4 named submodules})$  is Borel complete.*

Proof: Fix  $R$  and let  $(V, T)$  be a left  $R$ -module with a left endomorphism  $T : V \rightarrow V$ .

Let  $f(V, T)$  have be the left  $R$ -module with universe  $V \times V$ , let

- $V_0 := V \times \{0\}$ ;
- $V_1 := \{0\} \times V$ ;
- $V_2 := \{(v, v) : v \in V\}$ ; and
- $V_3 := \{(v, T(v)) : v \in V\}$ .

Then  $(V, T) \mapsto (V \times V, V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3)$  is a Borel reduction.

Thanks for listening!

Michael C. Laskowski and D. Ulrich, A proof of the Borel completeness of torsion free abelian groups, arXiv:2202.07452.