Exercise Sheet 1

 $|\textsf{Exercise 1}|$

1 We need to prove that for any two points x_{ig}le A+B and theto, 1] then $tx + (1-t)g \in A+B$

Let $a, c \in A$, b, d eB . Set x=a+b and y=c+d. Then $tx + (1-t)4 = t(a+b) + (1-t)(c+d) = ta + tb + (1-t)c + (1-t)d$ $t\alpha + (1-t)c \in A$ since A convex $tb + (1-t) b \in B$ since B convex

and therefore $tx+$ (1-t)y \in A+B. \Box $\overline{2}$ $_{\shortparallel}$ \Rightarrow " Let x_iyek and de[0,1] $\overline{\text{exp}}$ dx+(1-d)yek We can write $dx + (1-1)y = \lambda (dx + (1-4x)) + (1-4) (dy + (1-4)y)$
 $= 1$

Thus we have expressed $dx + (1-d)y$ as a convex combination of points in K \Rightarrow dx + (1-d)y \in dk + (1-d)k. μ d=" Let $x_{1}y \in K$, $A \in CO_{1}1$. Then $dx + (1-\lambda)y \in AK + (1-\lambda)K$ and hence $dx + (1-A)y \in K$ by assumption $\Rightarrow K$ convex. 3 f is convex on $Ia_1b_1 \implies f$ is continuous on $[a_1b] \implies f$ is Riemann integrable on Ia_1b_1

\n① Let
$$
x, y \in S_t
$$
, $d \in I_0, 1$.\n

\n\nSince φ is convex, $\varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda \varphi(x) + (1-\lambda) \varphi(y) \leq dt + (1-\lambda)t = t$ \n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S_t$ \n

\n\n $\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \in S$

(1)
$$
E[H_t] = E[E[H_t | H_{t1}] = E[H_{t2}]
$$

$$
W_{t21}
$$

\nTherefore, $a00$ the have some expectation.

\nIn particular, $E[H_{t1}] = E[H_0]$. \Box

\n① We apply Jensen's inequality

\nRecall: $\Diamond x := \text{max } \{x, 0\} \rightarrow g$ is convex

\nIn $\{a(x): = \text{max } \{x, 0\} \rightarrow g$ is convex

\nIn $\{a(x): = \text{max } \{x, 0\} \rightarrow g$ is convex

\nIn $\{a(x): = \text{max } \{x, 0\} \rightarrow g$ is convex

\nIn $\{a(x): = \text{max } \{x, 0\} \rightarrow g(\exists x: (1-\lambda)y) \land g\}$

\n $\leq \text{max } \{a(x, 0) + \text{max } \{((1-\lambda)y) \land g\}$

\n $= \text{log}(x) + (1-\lambda)g(y)$

\nand case: $dx + (1-\lambda)y \leq 0 \Rightarrow g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = \text{max } \{dx + (1-\lambda)y, 0\} = 0$

\n $\leq \text{max } \{x, 0\} + (1-\lambda) \text{max } \{x, 0\}$

\nLet $s \in t$

\n $= \text{deg}(x) + (1-\lambda) \text{max } \{x, 0\}$

\n $= \text{deg}(x) + (1-\lambda) \text{max } \{x, 0\}$

$$
3 \times 4 \text{ Hz} \cdot 0 \} = 3 (\text{ Hz} + 13s) + \text{ Hz} - \text{ E}[\text{ Hz} + 3s])
$$

= 3 (\text{ E}[\text{ Hz} + 13s] + \text{ Hz} - \text{ E}[\text{ Hz} + 3s])
= 8 \text{ cm/s} \times 8 (\text{ E}[\text{ L} + 13s]) + 8 (\text{ E}[\text{ L} + 13s] - \text{ E}[\text{ L} + 13s])
= \text{ E}[\text{ max} \{ \text{ Hz} \cdot 0 \} + 3s] \qquad \Box

 E reroise 3

Note that

\n- \n
$$
(x_i)
$$
 bounded \Rightarrow $St + 0t$, $S_t^2 + 0t$ for $(0t)^{-1} \exp(\lambda St)$ are integrable.\n
\n- \n $St = m\cos\theta s \sin\theta t$ is $\cos x$.\n
\n- \n $\Rightarrow \cos y \sin t \mod t$ check the $\sin t$ in the t .\n
\n- \n $\Rightarrow \cos y \sin t \mod t$ check the $\sin t$ in the t .\n
\n- \n $\Rightarrow \cos y \sin t \mod t$ is $\frac{1}{2}t$.\n
\n- \n $\Rightarrow \text{ } \frac{1}{2}t$.\n

Then it must hold

\n
$$
0t = 2m_{t+1} + 0t_{t+1}
$$
\n
\n $= 2m_{t+1} + 0t_{t+1}$ \n
\n $0t = -\sum_{k=1}^{t} m_k$ \n
\n $0t = -\sum_{k=1}^{t} (0k^2 + m_k^2 + 20k m_k)$ \n

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{(3)} & \mathbb{E}\left[\begin{array}{c}\n\frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & e^{\lambda S_{t+1}} \mid \mathcal{I}_t\n\end{array}\right] & = & \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda S_t} e^{\lambda X_{t+1}} \mid \mathcal{I}_t\right] \\
& = & \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & e^{\lambda S_t} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{t+1}} \mid \mathcal{I}_t\right] \\
& = & \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & e^{\lambda S_t} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{t+1}}\right] \\
& = & \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & e^{\lambda S_t} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{t+1}}\right] \\
& = & \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} & e^{\lambda S_t} & \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{t+1}}\right]\n\end{array}
$$

Exercise 4 By Markou's ineq. $\mathbb{P}(x \ge \alpha) = \mathbb{P}(e^{tx} \ge e^{ta}) \le e^{-ta} N_x(t)$ \bigcirc the infinum. take $\mathsf{N}_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tx}] = e^{t \cdot 0} \mathbb{P}(x = c) + e^{t \cdot 1} \mathbb{P}(x = 1) = (1-p) + pe^{t}$ (2) Note $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}: 1+x \leq e^{x}$ (3) M_{S_N} (d) = $E[e^{A \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[e^{dX_i}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + p_i(e^{d} - 1))$ $\leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp (p_i (e^d - 1)) = \exp ((e^d - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i) = \exp (\mu (e^d - 1))$ Therefore,

 \Box

$$
\mathbb{P}(\text{Sn} \geq t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \exp\left((e^{\lambda} - 1)\mu\right)
$$

Substitute $\lambda = \ln\left(\frac{t}{\mu}\right)$.

(1)
$$
E[X_{n+1} | X_{n=0}] = 0
$$

\nEl $X_{n+1} | X_{n=2}^n] = 2^n$

\n(2) Since $X_n \ge 0$ $\forall n$

\nEl $|X_n| = E[X_n] = E[X_0] = 1$ $\forall n$

\n(3) We use the following result

\n(X_n)n bounded martingale in $L^4 \Rightarrow X_n \frac{a.s}{n+a0}$, X_{∞} and $X_{\infty} \in L^4$

\n(This claim actually holds for sub-marfingales)

\n $\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{k} | X_k > 0\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(X_n = 0\right) = 2^{-n} \longrightarrow 0$

\nNote that $E[X_{\infty}] + \lim_{k \to \infty} E[X_n] \implies \text{if doesn't converge in } L^4$

 $RecalC$ $diam(A) = \sup_{x,y \in A} ||x-y||_2$ \bigcirc For all $x,y \in Q^n$: $||x-y||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i-y_i|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i|+|y_i|)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n$ On the other hand, consider $X = \frac{1}{2} (1, ..., 1)$ $y = \frac{1}{2} (-1, ..., -1)$ Clearly, $x, y \in Q^n$ and $\|x-\mu\|^2_2 = \sum_{n=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 =$ \bigcap Thus, $\overline{m} \leq diam(Q^n) \leq \overline{m} \Rightarrow diam(Q^n) = \overline{m}$ $X_{1, \cdots, X_{n-1}} \setminus_{1, \cdots, X_{n}} X_{n}$ are independent r.v. distributed on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow E [||X - Y||2] = $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ E [|X : - Y₁|²] = \cap E [|X₁ - Y₁|²] = $\frac{0}{6}$ Conclusion: the L^2 - norm of the distance btw two random points X and Y has order of magnitude \sqrt{n} 3 Apply CLT: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i \longrightarrow O(C_0, 1)$ In 3 the weights were $\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ but it is not essential. \bigcirc $E[\theta_i Y_i] = \theta_i E[Y_i] = 0$ σ az $(\theta_i \ Y_i) = \theta_i^2 \ \sigma$ az $(Y_i) = \theta_i^2$ By Beny-Esseen thm we get HER $\left|\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} Y_{i} \leq t\right)\right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-s^{2}/2} ds \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \theta_{i}^{4}$ (\nexists) where C>0 is an absolute constant. $\theta_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ = RHS of $(\frac{1}{\alpha})$ is of order $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ Remark

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\textcircled{1} & \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \left| \mathfrak{f}(x) - \mathfrak{f}(y) \right|^2 dx dy & = & \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \mathfrak{f}^2(x) dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \mathfrak{f}^2(x) dx dy + \\ & & \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \mathfrak{f}(x) dx \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \mathfrak{f}(y) dy \\ & = & \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \mathfrak{f} dx \n\end{array}
$$

2 By fundamental
$$
\lim_{\Delta} d
$$
 = $\int_{0}^{4} \frac{d}{dt} f(t-t)x+ty dt = \int_{0}^{4} \nabla f(t-t)x+ty (y-x) dt$
\n3 If $(x) - f(y) = \int_{0}^{4} \frac{d}{dt} f(t-t)x+ty dt = \int_{0}^{4} \nabla f(t-t)x+ty (y-x) dt$
\n3 If $(x) - f(y) = \frac{d}{dx} (\lim_{\Delta} f(t) - \lim_{\Delta} f(t) dt + \lim_{\Delta} f(t) dt)$
\n5 if $(x-y) = \frac{d}{dx} (\lim_{\Delta} f(t) - \lim_{\Delta} f(t) dt + \lim_{\Delta} f(t) dt)$

We take the squares and apply Cauchy-Schwarz

\n
$$
|f(x) - f(y)|^2 \leq \text{diam}^2(K) \int_0^4 |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^2 dt
$$

we integrate over K

$$
\int_{K} f^{2}(x) d\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{K} \int_{\alpha}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dy dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{diam}^{2}(K) \int_{K} \int_{\gamma_{2}}^{1} |\nabla f((1+t)x + ty)|^{2} dx dt
$$
\n
$$
= \text{
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\textcircled{5} & \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}}^{2} d\lambda & \leq \oint\limits_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} dt \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} dx \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} |\nabla f(z)|^{2} dz \bigg\} 2^{n} \text{ diam}^{2} (k) \\
& = \underbrace{2^{n-1} \text{ diam}^{2} (k)}_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} |\nabla f(z)|^{2} dz \qquad \text{This is the first Poincaré inequality} \\
& = \underbrace{2^{n-1} \text{ diam}^{2} (k)}_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} |\nabla f(z)|^{2} dz \qquad \text{This is the first Poincaré inequality} \\
& = \underbrace{2^{n-1} \text{ diam}^{2} (k)}_{\mathsf{K}} \int\limits_{\mathsf{K}} |\nabla f(z)|^{2} dz \qquad \text{This is the first Poincaré inequality.}\n\end{array}
$$

Poincaré constant

① Xt is
$$
9t
$$
 - measurable = 0 $f(x_t)$ is $9t$ measurable
\nconvex functions are continuous on the interior
\nof their domain and therefore f is Borel-measurable
\n $f(x_t) \in L^1$ by assumption
\nJensen: $E[f(x_t)| 9s] \ni f(E[X_t | 9s]) \ni f(x_s)$
\n $\Rightarrow (f(x_t)|_{t\ge0} \in a$ submartingale
\n0) Adoptness and $f(X_t) \in L^1$ as in ①
\nJensen: $E[f(x_t) | 19s] \ni f(E[X_t | 9s]) = f(x_s)$
\n $\Rightarrow (f(x_t)|_{t\ge0} \in a$ submartingole
\n0) $f(x) = x^2$
\n $f(x_t) - t$ is a martingale =0 $f(x_t)$ cannot be a martingale

Taylor:
$$
\mathbb{E}[e^{AX}] = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(AX)^{k}}{k!}]
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k} \mathbb{E}[X^{k}]
$$
\n
$$
= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E}[X^{2} X^{k-2}]
$$
\n
$$
\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} M^{k-2} \sigma^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} M^{k}
$$
\n
$$
= 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} M^{k}
$$
\n
$$
= 1 + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N^{2}} (e^{AM} - 1 - dM)
$$
\n
$$
1 + X \leq e^{x} \implies \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N^{2}} (e^{AM} - 1 - dM)\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{2}X_{i} \geq t\right) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda \frac{1}{2}X_{i}\right)\right]
$$
\n
$$
= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right]
$$
\n
$$
= e^{-\lambda t} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_{t}}\right]\right)^{n}
$$
\n
$$
\leq e^{-\lambda t} \exp\left(\frac{n\sigma^{2}}{H^{2}}\left(e^{\lambda H} - 1 - \lambda H\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \exp\left\{-\lambda t + \frac{n\sigma^{2}}{H^{2}}\left(e^{\lambda H} - 1 - \lambda H\right)\right\}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\omega} \exp\left\{-\lambda t + \frac{n\sigma^{2}}{H^{2}}\left(e^{\lambda H} - 1 - \lambda H\right)\right\}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\omega} \exp\left\{-\lambda t + \frac{n\sigma^{2}}{H^{2}}\left(e^{\lambda H} - 1 - \lambda H\right)\right\} = -t + \frac{n\sigma^{2}}{H^{2}}\left(\frac{He^{\lambda H} - H}{H}\right) = 0
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{H} \log\left(1 + \frac{tH}{H^{2}}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\text{Plug-in and we get the column. } \square
$$

Let L be the Lipschitz constant of f . Let $X \sim$ Unit $(10, 11)$ and define the r.o. $X_n = 2^{-n} | 2^n X_n |$ $Z_n = 2^n (f(x_n + 2^{-n}) - f(x_n))$ Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\chi_{1,\ldots,\chi_n}).$ Then (Z_n) is a \mathcal{G}_n -martingale bounded by L. In fact, conditioned on X_{n} , there are two possible values $(X_n$ and $X_n + z^{-n-4}$) which have same probability. $E\left[\right]Z_{n+1}$ $|\left[\frac{1}{3}n\right]$ = $2^{n+1}\left\{\frac{\frac{1}{2}(x_{n+2}-n-1)-\frac{1}{2}(x_{n})}{2} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x_{n+2}-n-1)-\frac{1}{2}(x_{n+2}-n-1)}{2}\right\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $= 2^{n} \{ f(x_{n} + 2^{-n}) - f(x_{n}) \}$ $= Z_{n}$

 Z_n converges as and in L^4 to a r.v. Z , which is σ -measurable Then $\exists g$ measurable function with $Z = g(X)$. Conditionally on X_{n} , X_{n} is uniformly distributed on $[X_{n}$, $X_{n+2^{-n-1}})$ and therefore $Z_{n} = 2^{n} \int_{X_{n}} g(u) du$

 \Rightarrow $f(x_{n+2^{-n}}) - f(x_{n}) = \int_{x_{n+2^{-n}}}^{x_{n+2^{-n}}} g(u) du$ Therefore, for all $k < 2^n$ we have $f((k+1)2^{-n}) - f(k2^{-n}) = \int_{k2^{-n}} g(u) du$ summing we get

$$
f(kz^{-n}) = f(0) + \int_{0}^{kz^{-n}} g(u)du
$$

Let $kz^{-n} \to x$ and by continuity of f we get

$$
f(x) = f(0) + \int_{0}^{x} g(u)du
$$