

LECTURE 3

Wrap up messages:

1) Stochastic quantization means the following: given a probability measure μ (complicated, interesting), we want to find a gaussian measure γ and a function F s.t. $F_* \gamma = \mu$, or in other words

$$\mu(A) = \gamma(F \in A) \quad \forall A$$

2) Yesterday we defined the GFF on \mathbb{R}^d with mass $m > 0$ as the

centered Gaussian random field

$(X(t))_{t \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ with covariance

$$E(X(t)X(s)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{|k|^2 + m^2} \hat{f}(k) \overline{\hat{f}(k)} dk$$

The formal density is

$$(X(\delta_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sim \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (m^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx\right) d\phi$$

This is the free model, meaning

without interaction. If we want

to add a potential $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

to the system, then we would like

to define a measure with formal density

$$\frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (m^2 \phi^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\phi(x)) dx\right) d\phi$$

but since e.s. $(X(\sigma_n))_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ is $V(\phi(x))$
 a distribution, then $V(X(\sigma_n))$ is
 ill-defined as soon as V is not
 a polynomial of degree 2.

3) we need $m > 0$ because otherwise

$$\int_{[-1,1]^d} \frac{1}{|k|^2} dk = +\infty \quad \text{if } d \in \{1, 2\}$$

(infrared divergence)

The (more serious) divergence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dk}{m^2 + |k|^2} = +\infty \quad \text{if } d \geq 2$$

is called ultraviolet divergence.

In x -space, the former is related
 to large x behavior, the latter to

small scale behaviour.

The O.V. process satisfies REFLECTION

POSITIVITY :

$\forall c_k \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}, t_k \geq 0 :$

$$F(x) := \sum_k c_k e^{i \lambda_k X_{t_k}}$$

$$\Theta F(x) := F(X_{-\cdot}) = \sum_k c_k e^{i \lambda_k X_{-t_k}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\overline{\Theta F} F) \geq 0.$$

It can be seen (Glimm-Jaffe, Thm 6.2.2) that this is equivalent to the weaker property

$$\sum_{k, k'} \overline{c_{k'}} c_k \mathbb{E}(X_{t_k} X_{-t_{k'}}) \geq 0$$

$\forall c_k \in \mathbb{C}, t_k \geq 0.$

$$\downarrow$$

$$= \sum_{k, k'} \overline{c_k} c_{k'} \frac{e^{-\alpha(t_k + t_{k'})}}{2\alpha} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha} \left| \sum_k c_k e^{-\alpha t_k} \right|^2$$

Thus The GFF with mass $m > 0$ satisfies a form of reflection positivity.

Moreover it satisfies euclidean invariance:

$$S_2(Rx+b, Ry+b) = S_2(x, y) \quad \forall R \in \text{SO}(d)$$

$$b \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Recall that $\mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

\uparrow \uparrow
 space time

Euclidean - invariance is related to Poincaré (relativistic) invariance in Minkowski space.

Let us go back to the problem of adding an interaction. Given $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we would like to define

$$\frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\phi_x) dx\right) P(d\phi)$$

where P = law of the GFF ($n > 0$).

There are two problems:

1) ϕ is a distribution, $V(\phi_x)$ is ill-defined

2) even if we regularize ϕ , the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\phi_x) dx$ may diverge.

For this, we introduce two
"regularizations":

1) instead of \mathbb{R}^d , we consider
a lattice $\varepsilon \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

2) We eliminate large-scale problems
by restricting further to

$$\left[-\frac{M}{2}, \frac{M}{2}\right] \cap \varepsilon \mathbb{Z}^d =: \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}$$

with periodic
boundary conditions

Now we can define a discrete

GFF ($m > 0$) on $\Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}$:

a Gaussian process $(X^{\varepsilon, m}(x))_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}}$

which is centered and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left(X^{\varepsilon, M}(x) X^{\varepsilon, M}(y) \right) = (m^2 - \Delta_\varepsilon)^{-1}(x, y)$$

$x, y \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}$

(see Sky's or Max's lectures).

The law of $(X^{\varepsilon, M}(x))_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}}$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}}$ which finite-dimensional. The density is

$$\nu^{\varepsilon, M}(d\phi) := \frac{1}{Z_{\varepsilon, M}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^d \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}} \left[(m^2 - \Delta_\varepsilon) \phi \right](x) \phi(x) - \varepsilon^d \sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}} V(\phi(x)) \right] \prod_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}} d\phi_x$$

and this time it is perfectly well defined for $M, \varepsilon \in]0, \infty[$.

Then, one wants to find non-Gaussian limits as $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, $M_k \rightarrow +\infty$, and prove that such limits satisfy RP, Euclidean invariance, etc. so that it is possible to apply the Osterwalder-Schrader Theorem and reconstruct the associated QFT.

In principle, any V is fine, so long as it works! ∇

So far:

- 1) if $d=1$, any $V: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (continuous bounded below) works
- 2) if $d=2$, V can be a polynomial, exponential, trigonometric function

3) if $d=3$, V can only be a polynomial of degree 4 and bounded below, with coefficients depending on ε, M (the model is called ϕ_3^4)

4) if $d=4$, ϕ_4^4 has been proven recently (Aizenman - Duminil Copin) to have only Gaussian (i.e. physically trivial) limits.

NOW RECALL:

Stochastic quantization means the following: given a probability measure μ (complicated, interesting), we want to find a Gaussian

measure γ and a function F
s.t. $F_* \gamma = \mu$, or in other words

$$\mu(A) = \gamma(F \in A) \quad \forall A.$$

Now, these lectures concentrate on the
following choice: $\mu = \nu^{\varepsilon, m}$,

γ the law of a white noise } on

$\Lambda_{\varepsilon, m} \times \mathbb{R}$, and $F(\zeta) := \phi_0$

where $(\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the stationary

solution to

$$d\phi_t(x) = (m^2 - \Delta_\varepsilon) \phi_t(x) dt - V'(\phi_t(x)) dt + \zeta(dt, x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, m}.$$

Here t is a fictitious time, it is NOT the physical time (which is already contained in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$).

Before showing how one can handle the limits $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $M \rightarrow \infty$, we need some preliminary results for fixed ε, M .

Thm. The SDE above has a unique solution $(\phi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ for any $\phi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}}$ (existence for all times). Moreover $\nu^{\varepsilon, M}$ is the only invariant measure (namely s.t. if $\phi_0 \sim \nu^{\varepsilon, M}$, $\phi_t \sim \nu^{\varepsilon, M} \forall t \geq 0$).

Finally, given $(\phi_t)_{t \geq S}$ a solution
s.t. $\phi_S \in \Lambda^{\varepsilon, M}$ is fixed (say $\phi_S \equiv 0$)

as $S \rightarrow -\infty$ the process converges

to a stationary $(\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with

$$\phi_t \sim v^{\varepsilon, M} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We focus on ϕ^4

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{\beta}{2} \phi^2, \quad \lambda > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$d\phi_t = -\left[(m^2 - \Delta \varepsilon) \phi_t + \lambda \phi_t^3 + \beta \phi_t \right] dt + dW(t, x)$$

Problem: $\phi \rightarrow \phi^3$ is not Lipschitz,

the solution could explode in finite

time. However $\lambda > 0$ helps:

by Itô's formula

$$d\phi_t^2(x) = -2 \left[(m^2 - \Delta \varepsilon) \phi_t + \lambda \phi_t^3 + \beta \phi_t \right] \phi_t^{(x)} \phi_t^{(x)} dt + (\dots) dW_t^{(x)} + dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon, M}} \phi_t^2(x) \right)}_{u(t)} \leq$$

summation by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_x \Delta \varepsilon \phi(x) \phi(x) &= \\ &= - \sum_{x \sim y} |\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2 \end{aligned} \quad t \leq T$$

$$\leq u(0) + (\Lambda_{\varepsilon, M} |T + |\beta| \int_0^t u(s) ds$$

By Gronwall:

$$u(t) \leq \left(u(0) + (\Lambda_{\varepsilon, M} |T \right) e^{|\beta| T} \quad \forall t \leq T$$

however this estimate is bad when
 $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \mu \rightarrow +\infty$.

A second (more robust) argument:
the De Prato - Debussche trick.

We write

$$dX = (AX - V'(X))dt + dW$$

$$dY = AY dt + dW \quad A := m^2 - \Delta_\varepsilon$$

$$Z := X - Y$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{Z} = AZ - V'(Z+Y)$$

(a random ODE, not a SDE)

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_x Z_t^2(x) + \underbrace{\sum_x Z_t (m^2 - \Delta_\varepsilon) Z_t + \lambda \sum_x Z_t^4(x)}_{=: G(Z_t)} \geq 0$$

$$= \sum_n \lambda (\gamma^3 z + 3\gamma^2 z^2 + 3\gamma z^3) \\ + \beta \sum_n (\gamma z + z^2)$$

claim

$$\leq C_\delta \|\gamma_t\|_{L^4}^4 + \delta G(z_t), \quad \text{e.g. } \delta = \frac{1}{2}$$

by the Young inequality:

$$|ab| \leq \frac{|a|^p}{p} + \frac{|b|^q}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

$$p = \frac{4}{3}, \quad q = 4$$

so for example:

$$\sum_n \gamma(x)^3 z(x) \leq C_\delta \sum_x \gamma^4(x) + \delta \sum_x z^4(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|z_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t G(z_s) ds \leq \underbrace{\text{finite a.s.}}_t$$

$$\leq \|z_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t \|\gamma_s\|_{L^4}^4 ds$$