Recall:
$$X = Y + Z$$

$$\int dY_{t} = (\Delta_{\xi} - m^{2}) Y_{t} + J_{\overline{z}} dB_{t} \cdot \xi^{-1}$$

$$\partial_{\xi} Z_{t} = (\Delta_{\xi} - m^{2}) Z_{t} - \frac{1}{2} V'(Y_{t} + Z_{t})$$

$$V'(y) = \lambda y^{3} + \beta y$$

$$V'(Y + Z) \qquad (pointwise)$$

$$= \lambda (Y^{3} + 3Y^{2}Z + 3YZ^{2} + Z^{3}) + \beta (Y + Z)$$

· recall littlewood-Paley theory and Besouspace

and
$$Y^{\varepsilon} \in C(R; C^{-\frac{d-1}{2}-k})$$
 a.s uniformly in ε

recall
$$V_{i}^{2} \in C(\mathbb{R}; C^{d})$$
 $d = -\frac{d^{-2}}{2} - k < 0$

$$V_{i}^{3} \in C(\mathbb{R}; C^{-k}) \quad d = 2$$

$$C^{-k}(\mathbb{R}; C^{3d}) \quad d = 3$$

Take:
$$\beta = \beta_{\xi} = -3\lambda C_{\xi} + \beta'$$
 where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed $\lambda (Y^3 + 3Y^2Z + 3YZ^2 + 2^3) + \beta_{\xi} (Y + 2)$

$$= \lambda (Y^3 - 3C_{\xi}Y + 3Y^2 - C_{\xi})Z + 3YZ^2 + 2^3) + \beta'(Y + 2)$$

$$= \lambda (Y^3 + 3Y^2Z + 3YZ^2 + 2^3) + \beta'(Y + 2)$$

"magic "is that one constant c, works for all terms.

Energy identity:
$$\int_{T_s} d = \sum_{x \in T_s} d =$$

1 Small const x ||Z||2, ||72||2, ||72||4

and absorb them to LHS

The following can be found in (GH) (TA session)

Lemma (Besov duality): $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $p.p'. q. g' \in [1,\infty]$ $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \qquad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$ Then $(f,g)_s \leq \|f\|_{B^{d,s}} \|g\|_{B^{-d,s}}$ Lemma (Interpolate): $\|f\|_{B^{d,s}} \leq \|f\|_{B^{d,s}} \|g\|_{B^{-d,s}}$ Where $\alpha = 0$ do $\beta = 0$

Faits: L2 = B21, Ca = B00 H = B2,2.

Then use toung to write a. b - 4k c into a sum. $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} = 1$ $P_2 = \frac{2}{1-4k}$ $P_3 = \frac{2}{4k}$ $S_0 \qquad \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1-4\kappa}{2} + \frac{4\kappa}{2} = 1$ =) P1=Z

Therefore.

$$|\int_{\mathbb{T}_{q}} \mathbb{Y}^{3} Z \, dx| \leq |\int_{\mathbb{T}_{q}} \mathbb{Y}^{3} \|_{C^{3} \times}^{2} + \delta \|\nabla Z\|_{L^{1}}^{2} + \delta \|Z\|_{L^{1}}^{2}$$

$$|\int_{\mathbb{T}_{q}} \mathbb{Y}^{2} Z^{2} \, dx| \leq ||\mathbb{Y}^{2}||_{C^{2} \times} ||Z^{2}||_{B^{3} \times} ||\nabla Z||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \delta ||Z||_{L^{4}}^{4}$$

$$|\int_{\mathbb{T}_{q}} \mathbb{Y}^{2} Z^{2} \, dx| \leq ||\mathbb{Y}^{2}||_{C^{2} \times} ||Z^{2}||_{B^{3} \times} ||\nabla Z||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \delta ||Z||_{L^{4}}^{4}$$

$$|\int_{\mathbb{T}_{q}} \mathbb{Y}^{2} Z^{2} \, dx| \leq ||\mathbb{Y}^{4}||_{C^{2} \times} ||Z^{3}||_{B^{2} \times}^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{\delta} ||\mathbb{Y}^{2}||_{C^{2} \times} + \delta ||\nabla Z||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \delta ||Z||_{L^{4}}^{4}$$

$$\leq C_{\delta} ||\mathbb{Y}^{2}||_{C^{2} \times} + \delta ||\nabla Z||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \delta ||Z||_{L^{4}}^{4}$$

Therefore, \[\frac{1}{2} \righta_1 \int_{\text{T}_1} \d\ \text{\text{\(1-\delta\)}} \int_{\text{\(1-\delta\)}} Qt = 1+ C(17,100 + 18,1000 + 18,1000) for some large power K Now, we can study what happens when 5-0: recall stationary compling PE. under p^s, 4, 2 eve stationer, X=Y+2 is sktioner Take # de term vanishes by Stationarity. E JTS 1/2 Z012+ m2 (Z012+ 21Z014 dx E EQ = EQ < > uniformy Claim: above bound = tightness of (vs) 500 3 1/1 Rmk: vs lives on lattices with different &. To compare them. We should extend fields \$ on 12 to Continuum. e.g $(\xi^{\varsigma}\phi)_{\zeta \gamma} = \xi^{d} \sum_{y \in \Lambda_{\kappa}} \delta^{\varsigma}(x-y) f(y) \quad \xi^{\varsigma} \rightarrow \delta$ But we ignore this technical detail.

Indeed, write $\gamma^{\epsilon} = (a w \text{ of } (Y_0, Z_0))$

E SUPEQO CO why L4 above bound and trivial bound on To by Q. This gives tightness of (x) => on C-2d × (H1-k / L4) since C-d co C-2d, H' WHI-K compact embeddy. But what we care about is VE Which is projection of 75 (by summing two factors)) | 14 | B= 2 2 (d4) = 5 | 4+3 | B= 2 8 (d4xd3) < 2 [(| 4 | B + | 3 | B) \ (d4 x d2) < 2 S(114112-a + (131121) 8 (d4xd3) =1 unif m E C-d = B-d -> B-d $H' = B_{22} \hookrightarrow B_{22}^{-d}$ This gives tightness of V^{ϵ} on $B_{22}^{-2\alpha}(T^2)$ Since B22 \(B22 \) is compact embedding. So 3 subseq -> limit 2 on B-2d (T2) = H-2d(T2)

Remarks:

- O Combined with the infinite volume limit argument with weights One can construct & on whole IR2.
- 2) This limiting measure is <u>not</u> Gaussian It follows by an interesting argument, but we postpone it to 3D.
- 3) IBP formula/Dyson-Schwinger extends to Continuum: assume F is cylinder functionel on S(R2) i.e. $F(\varphi) = Poly(\varphi(f_i), \dots, \varphi(f_n))$ $f_i \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$

Compute like Wednesday but now with renormalization Cz

$$\int DF(\varphi)(x) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) = \int F(\varphi)(m^2 - \delta) \, \varphi(x) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(d\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3 - 3C \, \varphi(x)\right) \, \mathcal{V}(\varphi) \\
+ \lambda \int F(\varphi) \left(\varphi(x)^3$$

$$\varphi = Y + Z$$

¥2 € C-1-k.

1) For duelity, (42,2) & 1142 113-1-1 (1221181+1) But LHS only has $\|\nabla Z\|_{L^2} \approx \|Z\|_{H^1}$ There's no way to control B^{1+k} norm of Z.

TA session If time permits: The problem is even "before energy estimate". Classical result for product (Young theorem) 11 f & 11 cmin(a,B) = 11 f 11 ca 11911 cB if a+B>D So for \$\forall^2 2 in the 2 equation Z must be (1th There's no way to control (1th norm of Z (could mention Schouler)

3 One more renormalization beyond Wick is necessary $2 \approx (\partial_{t} - \Delta)^{-1} (\Psi^{3} + 3\Psi^{2})$ $E[Y^2Z] \approx E[Y^2(\partial_{\varepsilon} - \Delta)^{-1}(Y^3 + 3Y^2)]$ buth terms diverge ~- 1+2-1 ≈ log " Comments about \$\frac{4}{3}\$ (if time permits): Usny Reg. Stru. Catellier - Chouk 2013/10.

local solution: Hairer 2013/3 3 = 3 x te "Smooth regularis"

ier - Chouk 2013/10.

Rertowski
usi'g Paracontrol' distribution (Gubi nelli Imkeller)

· Global solution: Mourrat - Weber 2016. on T3. -> meas on T3

Gubinelli - Hofmanova 2018.4 global bound over space-time Moinat - Weber 2018.11

Gubinelli - Hofmanore 2018: PDF construction of & on R3 (lattice approx) & axioms.