

Foundations and Frontiers of Probabilistic Proofs (Summer 2021)
Worksheet B.1: Intro to PCPs
Date: 2021.07.27

Recall that a language \mathcal{L} has a PCP with perfect completeness, alphabet Σ , proof length l and soundness error ϵ if there exists an algorithm V (the *verifier*) satisfying the following conditions.

- **Completeness:** If $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then there exists $\pi \in \Sigma^l$ such that $\Pr[V^\pi(x) = 1] = 1$;
- **Soundness:** If $x \notin \mathcal{L}$, then for every $\tilde{\pi} \in \Sigma^l$, $\Pr[V^{\tilde{\pi}}(x) = 1] \leq \epsilon$.

The query complexity q is the maximum number of queries made by V to its proof string, while the randomness complexity r is the number of coin tosses performed by the verifier.

Below \mathcal{L} is a language that has a PCP with the aforementioned parameters.

Problem 1. (From many to 2 queries) Prove that \mathcal{L} has a PCP with perfect completeness, soundness error $1 - \frac{1-\epsilon}{q}$, alphabet Σ^q , proof length $l + 2^r$, and query complexity 2. (In other words, one can always reduce query complexity to 2, incurring a loss in soundness error and alphabet size.)

Problem 2. (Lower bound on soundness error) Suppose that there exists $x \notin \mathcal{L}$ such that for every choice of verifier randomness $\rho \in \{0, 1\}^r$ there exists a proof $\pi \in \Sigma^l$ such that $V^\pi(x; \rho) = 1$. Prove that $\epsilon \geq 2^{-q \log |\Sigma|}$.

Problem 3. (More on lower bounds) The *Exponential Time Hypothesis* (ETH) states that 3SAT cannot be decided by any deterministic algorithm running in time $2^{o(n)}$. Prove that, assuming ETH, if $\mathcal{L} = 3\text{SAT}$ and $r + q \log |\Sigma| = o(n)$, then $\epsilon \geq 2^{-q \log |\Sigma|}$. (*Hint: prove that ETH implies the assumption to the prior problem.*)