Online Learning Lecture 1

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi Università degli Studi di Milano



1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs
- 5. Additional topics (parameter-free algorithms, dynamic regret, ...)



- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs
- 5. Additional topics (parameter-free algorithms, dynamic regret, ...)
- We do some (short) proofs







Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions



2/16







- Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- New data is being generated all the time









- Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- New data is being generated all the time
- > The train-test model of statistical learning is not well suited for learning on data streams







- Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- New data is being generated all the time
- The train-test model of statistical learning is not well suited for learning on data streams
- Online learning algorithms incrementally adjust their models after observing each new data point

# Some history



 Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)



# Some history



- Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)
- Volodya Vovk independently develops a related framework (Aggregating strategies, 1990)

# Some history



- Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)
- Volodya Vovk independently develops a related framework (Aggregating strategies, 1990)
- Similar ideas also independently emerged in game theory and information theory:
  - Tom Cover
  - Adrew Barron
  - Rakesh Vohra and Dean Foster
  - Sergiu Hart and Andreu Mas-Colell

The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...

1. The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(m{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$
- Computation of  $h_{t+1}$  relies on local information



Mathematics of Machine Learning

4/16

The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(m{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$
- Computation of  $h_{t+1}$  relies on local information
- No stochastic assumptions on the generation of the data stream!

Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner A generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$



#### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner A generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(oldsymbol{x}_t))$$

Regret: 
$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$



#### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner A generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$

**Regret:** 
$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$

A sequential counterpart to the estimation error in statistical learning

 $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$  where  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\ell(Y, h(X))\Big]$  is the statistical risk of h

### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner A generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$

$$\mathsf{Regret:} \quad R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$$

A sequential counterpart to the estimation error in statistical learning

 $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h_S) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$  where  $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\ell(Y, h(X))\Big]$  is the statistical risk of h

• Can we ensure  $\frac{R_T}{T} \to 0$  as  $T \to \infty$  for all streams?

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

Online learning as a repeated game



#### Learning to play a game (1956)

Theory of repeated games pioneered by James Hannan and David Blackwell

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi



Mathematics of Machine Learning

6/16

Online learning as a repeated game



### Learning to play a game (1956)

- Theory of repeated games pioneered by James Hannan and David Blackwell
- Play a game repeatedly against a possibly suboptimal opponent (a.k.a. the data stream)

6/16



### $N\times M$ known loss matrix

- Row player (player) has N actions
- Column player (opponent) has M actions



### For each game round $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

#### Player chooses action $i_t$ and opponent chooses action $y_t$

### $N\times M$ known loss matrix

- Row player (player) has N actions
- Column player (opponent) has M actions





### For each game round $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

#### Player chooses action $i_t$ and opponent chooses action $y_t$

• The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$ 

### $N\times M$ known loss matrix

- Row player (player) has N actions
- Column player (opponent) has M actions

(= gain of opponent) Mathematics of Machine Learning 7/16



### For each game round $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

#### Player chooses action $i_t$ and opponent chooses action $y_t$

- The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$
- ▶ Player can learn from opponent's history of past choices  $y_1, \ldots, y_{t-1}$

### $N\times M$ known loss matrix

- Row player (player) has N actions
- Column player (opponent) has M actions

(= gain of opponent)



### For each game round $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

#### Player chooses action $i_t$ and opponent chooses action $y_t$

- The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$
- ▶ Player can learn from opponent's history of past choices  $y_1, \ldots, y_{t-1}$
- ▶ Replace opponent choices with sequence of loss functions, e.g.,  $\ell_t = \ell(y_t, \cdot)$

### $N\times M$ known loss matrix

- Row player (player) has N actions
- Column player (opponent) has M actions

(= gain of opponent)

7/16

Model space  $\mathbb{V}\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(oldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

Model space  $\mathbb{V}\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $oldsymbol{w}_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

Model space  $\mathbb{V}\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $oldsymbol{w}_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$ 

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $w_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information (e.g.,  $\nabla \ell_t(w_t)$ , first-order oracle)



Mathematics of Machine Learning

8/16

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $w_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information (e.g.,  $\nabla \ell_t(w_t)$ , first-order oracle)

Regret

$$R_T(oldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{u})$$

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

 $oldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{V}$
#### Online convex optimization

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For t = 1, 2, ...

- 1. The current  $w_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. A is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information (e.g.,  $\nabla \ell_t(w_t)$ , first-order oracle)

Regret

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{u})$$





Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

 $\inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell\big(\boldsymbol{w}, (\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)\big)$ 



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

 $\inf_{oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{i=1}^m\ellig(oldsymbol{w},(oldsymbol{x}_i,y_i)ig)$ 

 $\ell(m{w},(m{x}_i,y_i))$  measures the (convex) loss of  $m{w}$  on the training example  $(m{x}_i,y_i)$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  When m is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

 $\inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell\big(\boldsymbol{w}, (\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)\big)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  When m is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- Stochastic optimization:



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

 $\inf_{oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{i=1}^m\ellig(oldsymbol{w},(oldsymbol{x}_i,y_i)ig)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  When m is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- Stochastic optimization:
  - 1. Draw  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \dots$  uniformly i.i.d. from the training set



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

 $\inf_{oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{i=1}^m\ellig(oldsymbol{w},(oldsymbol{x}_i,y_i)ig)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  When m is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- Stochastic optimization:
  - 1. Draw  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \dots$  uniformly i.i.d. from the training set
  - 2. Run online algorithm on the sequence of loss functions  $\ell_t = \ell(\cdot, (X_t, Y_t))$

Predict using the best model on previous data:





- Predict using the best model on previous data:
- An online version of empirical risk minimization

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(oldsymbol{w})$$



- Predict using the best model on previous data:
- ► An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})$$

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = rgmin_{oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(oldsymbol{w})$$



- Predict using the best model on previous data:
- ► An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

$$egin{aligned} R_T &= \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}) \ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left( \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(oldsymbol{w})$$



- Predict using the best model on previous data:
- An online version of empirical risk minimization
   FTL Lemma

-

$$egin{aligned} &R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}) \ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left( \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) 
ight) \ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(oldsymbol{w}_t) 
ight) - L_T(oldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) \end{aligned}$$

-

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = rgmin_{oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}}\sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(oldsymbol{w})$$



- Predict using the best model on previous data:
- An online version of empirical risk minimization
   FTL Lemma

$$R_{T} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w})$$
  
=  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) - \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) \right)$   
=  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( L_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) \right) - L_{T}(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1})$   
=  $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( L_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}) - L_{t}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)$ 

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^t \ell_s(oldsymbol{w})$$



Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

► A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{V}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \ge \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^\top (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ 



- ▶ A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{V}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \ge \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^\top (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{V}$
- ▶ If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least  $\mu$

- ► A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{V}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \ge \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^\top (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{V}$
- ► If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least  $\mu$
- ▶ The squared Euclidean norm  $\frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_2$

- ► A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{V}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \ge \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^\top (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{V}$
- ▶ If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least  $\mu$
- ► The squared Euclidean norm  $\frac{1}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_2$
- ► The negative entropy  $\sum_i p_i \ln p_i$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_1$  over the probability simplex

### First-order optimality for convex functions

Let  $f : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable convex function.

 $\boldsymbol{w}^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} f(\boldsymbol{w}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}^*)^\top (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*) \ge 0 \qquad \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}$ 

No descent direction inside  $\mathbb V$ 

For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ 



- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $L_t = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$



- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $L_t = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$



- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2 \ge \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2$$



- ▶ For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2 \ge \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2$$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})$$

- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge 
abla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^{ op} (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2 \ge rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2$$

$$\begin{split} L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) &= L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \\ &\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) & \text{(because } \boldsymbol{w}_t \text{ minimizes } L_{t-1}) \end{split}$$

- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2 \ge \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2$$

$$egin{aligned} &L_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(oldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) &= L_{t-1}(oldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(oldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(oldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) & ( ext{because }oldsymbol{w}_t ext{ minimizes } L_{t-1}) \ &\leq G \, \|oldsymbol{w}_t - oldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\| \end{aligned}$$

- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2 \ge rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2$$

$$\begin{split} L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) &= L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \\ &\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \qquad (\text{because } \boldsymbol{w}_t \text{ minimizes } L_{t-1}) \\ &\leq G \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \| \end{split}$$

• Then we have 
$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\| \leq \frac{2G}{\mu t}$$

- For all  $t \ge 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and G-Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- ▶  $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_t(w)$

$$L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \ge \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2 \ge rac{\mu t}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \|^2$$

$$\begin{split} L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) &= L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \\ &\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \qquad (\text{because } \boldsymbol{w}_t \text{ minimizes } L_{t-1}) \\ &\leq G \| \boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \| \end{split}$$

► Then we have 
$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\| \le \frac{2G}{\mu t}$$
  
► Implying  $L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \le \frac{2G^2}{\mu t}$ 

### FTL regret bound

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)$$



### FTL regret bound

$$egin{aligned} R_T &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(oldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(oldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) 
ight) \ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^T rac{2G^2}{\mu t} \end{aligned}$$

14/16

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

Lecture 1

### FTL regret bound

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{2G^2}{\mu t}$$
$$\leq \frac{2G^2}{\mu} (1 + \ln T)$$

Mathematics of Machine Learning 14/16

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi

▶ What happens if losses have no curvature?



- ▶ What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$



- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$



- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$

▶ for 
$$t > 1$$
,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ -w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 



# A lower bound for FTL

- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$



# A lower bound for FTL

- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- ▶ for t > 1,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ -w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  $\blacktriangleright \sum_{i=1}^{t} \ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} w/2 & t \text{ is odd} \\ -w/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- FTL prediction at time t + 1 is  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in [-1,1]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 & t \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

# A lower bound for FTL

- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- FTL prediction at time t + 1 is  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in [-1,1]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 & t \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- ▶  $l_{t+1}(w_{t+1}) = 1$  for all t > 1, FTL regret grows linearly!
# A lower bound for FTL

- What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- FTL prediction at time t + 1 is  $w_{t+1} = \underset{w \in [-1,1]}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 & t \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- ▶  $l_{t+1}(w_{t+1}) = 1$  for all t > 1, FTL regret grows linearly!
- Best prediction is w = 0, zero loss

► If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable



- ▶ If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$



If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable

 $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\blacktriangleright \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \psi(\boldsymbol{w}) + \sum_{s=1}^{s} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})$ 



- If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$
- $\blacktriangleright \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \psi(\boldsymbol{w}) + \sum_{s=1}^{l} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\underset{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w})$

- If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$
- $\blacktriangleright \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \psi(\boldsymbol{w}) + \sum_{s=1}^{\circ} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\underset{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w})$
- If  $\ell_t$  are all convex, this is equivalent to FTL over  $\lambda$ -strongly convex losses  $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2 + \ell_t$

- ► If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \psi(\boldsymbol{w}) + \sum_{s=1}^{s} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\underset{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \ell_{t}(\boldsymbol{w})$
- If  $\ell_t$  are all convex, this is equivalent to FTL over  $\lambda$ -strongly convex losses  $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2 + \ell_t$
- How does the regularizer affect regret?