<span id="page-0-0"></span>Online Learning Lecture 1

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi Università degli Studi di Milano



1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs





- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs
- 5. Additional topics (parameter-free algorithms, dynamic regret, . . . )



- 1. Online learning, online convex optimization, Follow-the-Leader (FTL)
- 2. Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL), Euclidean (OGD) and entropic (EG) regularization
- 3. FRTL analysis, regret bounds for OGD and EG
- 4. Experts, bandits, and feedback graphs
- 5. Additional topics (parameter-free algorithms, dynamic regret, . . . )
- $\triangleright$  We do some (short) proofs







 $\triangleright$  Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions







- $\triangleright$  Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- $\blacktriangleright$  New data is being generated all the time









- $\triangleright$  Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- $\blacktriangleright$  New data is being generated all the time
- In The train-test model of statistical learning is not well suited for learning on data streams







- $\triangleright$  Data streams are ubiquitous: sensors, markets, user interactions
- I New data is being generated all the time
- The train-test model of statistical learning is not well suited for learning on data streams
- Online learning algorithms incrementally adjust their models after observing each new data point

## Some history



• Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)



# Some history



- ▶ Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)
- ▶ Volodya Vovk independently develops a related framework (Aggregating strategies, 1990)

# Some history



- ▶ Online learning model formalized by Nick Littlestone and Manfred Warmuth (Mistake bounds and logarithmic linear-threshold learning algorithms, 1989)
- I Volodya Vovk independently develops a related framework (Aggregating strategies, 1990)
- $\triangleright$  Similar ideas also independently emerged in game theory and information theory:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Tom Cover
	- Adrew Barron
	- ▶ Rakesh Vohra and Dean Foster
	- **In Sergiu Hart and Andreu Mas-Colell**

The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

For  $t = 1, 2, ...$ 

 $1.$  The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

- $1.$  The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

- $1.$  The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

- $1.$  The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$
- $\triangleright$  Computation of  $h_{t+1}$  relies on local information



The algorithm starts with a default model  $h_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

- $1.$  The current model  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1} \in \mathcal{H}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$
- $\triangleright$  Computation of  $h_{t+1}$  relies on local information
- $\triangleright$  No stochastic assumptions on the generation of the data stream!

Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner *A* generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$



#### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner *A* generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$

$$
\text{Regret:} \qquad R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$



#### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner *A* generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$

$$
\text{Regret:} \quad R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$

 $\triangleright$  A sequential counterpart to the estimation error in statistical learning

 $\ell_{\cal D}(h_S) - \inf_{h\in\mathcal{H}}\ell_{\cal D}(h)$  where  $\ell_{\cal D}(h) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\ell(Y,h(X))\Big]$  is the statistical risk of  $h$ 

#### Sequential risk

Given a convex loss  $\ell$  and a stream  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots$ , the sequential risk of an online learner *A* generating models  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  is

$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$

$$
\text{Regret:} \qquad R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell(y_t, h(\boldsymbol{x}_t))
$$

 $\triangleright$  A sequential counterpart to the estimation error in statistical learning

 $\ell_{\cal D}(h_S) - \inf_{h\in\mathcal{H}}\ell_{\cal D}(h)$  where  $\ell_{\cal D}(h) = \mathbb{E}\Big[\ell(Y,h(X))\Big]$  is the statistical risk of  $h$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Can we ensure  $\displaystyle{\frac{R_T}{T} \to 0}$  as  $T \to \infty$  for all streams?

Online learning as a repeated game



#### Learning to play a game (1956)

▶ Theory of repeated games pioneered by James Hannan and David Blackwell

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi **Mathematics of Machine Learning** 6/16

Online learning as a repeated game



#### Learning to play a game (1956)

- ▶ Theory of repeated games pioneered by James Hannan and David Blackwell
- $\triangleright$  Play a game repeatedly against a possibly suboptimal opponent (a.k.a. the data stream)



#### $N \times M$  known loss matrix

- $\triangleright$  Row player (player) has *N* actions
- ▶ Column player (opponent) has *M* actions



#### For each game round  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

### $N \times M$  known loss matrix

- $\blacktriangleright$  Row player (player) has *N* actions
- ▶ Column player (opponent) has *M* actions
- $\blacktriangleright$  Player chooses action  $i_t$  and opponent chooses action  $y_t$



#### For each game round  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

### $N \times M$  known loss matrix

- $\blacktriangleright$  Row player (player) has *N* actions
- **In Column player (opponent)** has *M* actions
- $\blacktriangleright$  Player chooses action  $i_t$  and opponent chooses action  $y_t$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$





#### For each game round  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

- $N \times M$  known loss matrix
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Row player (player) has *N* actions
	- ▶ Column player (opponent) has *M* actions
- $\blacktriangleright$  Player chooses action  $i_t$  and opponent chooses action  $y_t$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$
- I Player can learn from opponent's history of past choices *y*1*, . . . , yt*−<sup>1</sup>

 $($  = gain of opponent)



#### For each game round  $t = 1, 2, \ldots$

### $N \times M$  known loss matrix

- $\blacktriangleright$  Row player (player) has *N* actions
- ▶ Column player (opponent) has *M* actions
- $\blacktriangleright$  Player chooses action  $i_t$  and opponent chooses action  $y_t$
- $\blacktriangleright$  The player suffers loss  $\ell(i_t, y_t)$
- I Player can learn from opponent's history of past choices *y*1*, . . . , yt*−<sup>1</sup>
- $\blacktriangleright$  Replace opponent choices with sequence of loss functions, e.g.,  $\boxed{\ell_t = \ell(y_t, \cdot)}$

 $($  = gain of opponent)

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

- $1.$  The current  $h_t \in \mathcal{H}$  is tested on the next data point  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

- 1. The current  $w_t \in V$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2.  $A$  is charged with loss  $\ell(y_t, h_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t))$
- 3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

- 1. The current  $w_t \in V$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. *A* is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$
- 3.  $h_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $h_t$  and  $(\boldsymbol{x}_t, y_t)$

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

- 1. The current  $\boldsymbol{w}_t \in \mathbb{V}$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. *A* is charged loss  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information (e.g.,  $\nabla \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$ , first-order oracle)



Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For  $t = 1, 2, ...$ 

- 1. The current  $w_t \in V$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. *A* is charged loss  $\ell_t(\mathbf{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information  $(e.g., \nabla \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t))$ , first-order oracle)

Regret

$$
R_T(\boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{u})
$$

 $\bm{u} \in \mathbb{V}$
#### Online convex optimization

Model space  $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  convex, closed, and nonempty

For  $t = 1, 2, ...$ 

- 1. The current  $w_t \in V$  is tested on the next convex loss function  $\ell_t$  in the stream
- 2. *A* is charged loss  $\ell_t(\mathbf{w}_t)$
- 3.  $w_{t+1}$  is computed based on  $w_t$  and feedback information  $(e.g., \nabla \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t))$ , first-order oracle)

Regret

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{u})
$$





Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

inf *w*∈V X*m i*=1  $\ell(\boldsymbol{w},(\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i))$ 



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

inf *w*∈V X*m i*=1  $\ell(\boldsymbol{w},(\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i))$ 

 $\ell(\bm{w},(\bm{x}_i,y_i))$  measures the (convex) loss of  $\bm{w}$  on the training example  $(\bm{x}_i,y_i)$ 

 $\triangleright$  When  $m$  is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

inf *w*∈V X*m i*=1  $\ell(\boldsymbol{w},(\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i))$ 

- $\triangleright$  When  $m$  is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- Stochastic optimization:



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

inf *w*∈V X*m i*=1  $\ell(\boldsymbol{w},(\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i))$ 

- I When *m* is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- $\blacktriangleright$  Stochastic optimization:
	- 1. Draw  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \ldots$  uniformly i.i.d. from the training set



Online convex optimization can be used to minimize the training error

inf *w*∈V X*m i*=1  $\ell(\boldsymbol{w},(\boldsymbol{x}_i,y_i))$ 

- When *m* is large we cannot afford to spend more than constant time on each data point
- $\blacktriangleright$  Stochastic optimization:
	- 1. Draw  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \ldots$  uniformly i.i.d. from the training set
	- 2. Run online algorithm on the sequence of loss functions  $\ell_t = \ell(\cdot, (\mathbf{X}_t, Y_t))$

**Pedict using the best model on previous data:** 





- **Pedict using the best model on previous data:**
- $\triangleright$  An online version of empirical risk minimization

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})
$$



- **Pedict using the best model on previous data:**
- $\triangleright$  An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})
$$



- **Pedict using the best model on previous data:**
- $\triangleright$  An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \left( \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) \right)
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})
$$



- **Pedict using the best model on previous data:**
- $\triangleright$  An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

*T*

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \left( \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1}) \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) \right) - L_T(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1})
$$

*T*

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})
$$



- **Pedict using the best model on previous data:**
- $\triangleright$  An online version of empirical risk minimization FTL Lemma

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \inf_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1}))
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t)) - L_T(\boldsymbol{w}_{T+1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t=1}^T (L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}))
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{V}} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \ell_s(\boldsymbol{w})
$$



A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb {V}$  with respect to  $\| \cdot \|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \geq \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^{\top}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \right\|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ 



- A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb {V}$  with respect to  $\| \cdot \|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \geq \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2}\left\| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \right\|^2$  $u,v\in\mathbb{V}$
- If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least *µ*



- A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb {V}$  with respect to  $\| \cdot \|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \geq \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2}\left\| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \right\|^2$  $u,v \in \mathbb{V}$
- If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least *µ*
- $\blacktriangleright$  The squared Euclidean norm  $\frac{1}{2}\left\|\cdot\right\|_2^2$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\left\|\cdot\right\|_2$

- A differentiable  $\ell : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb {V}$  with respect to  $\| \cdot \|$  if  $\ell(\boldsymbol{u}) \geq \ell(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{v})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\mu}{2}\left\| \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \right\|^2$  $u,v \in \mathbb{V}$
- If  $\ell$  is twice differentiable, then  $\mu$ -strong convexity is equivalent to requiring that smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix be at least *µ*
- $\blacktriangleright$  The squared Euclidean norm  $\frac{1}{2}\left\|\cdot\right\|_2^2$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\left\|\cdot\right\|_2$
- The negative entropy  $\sum_i p_i \ln p_i$  is 1-strongly convex w.r.t.  $\left\| \cdot \right\|_1$  over the probability simplex

### First-order optimality for convex functions

Let  $f: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable convex function.

 $\mathbf{w}^* = \operatorname{argmin} f(\mathbf{w})$  iff  $\nabla f(\mathbf{w}^*)^{\top}(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^*)$ *w*∈V  $\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{V}$ 

No descent direction inside  $V$ 

**►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ 



- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$



- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V



- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2
$$



- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2
$$

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$

- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2
$$
  

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$

 $\leq \ell_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - \ell_t(\mathbf{w}_{t+1})$  (because  $\mathbf{w}_t$  minimizes  $L_{t-1}$ )

- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2
$$
  

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$
  

$$
\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$
 (because  $\boldsymbol{w}_t$  minimizes  $L_{t-1}$ )  

$$
\leq G ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||
$$

- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|^2
$$
  

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$
  

$$
\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \qquad \text{(because } \boldsymbol{w}_t \text{ minimizes } L_{t-1})
$$
  

$$
\leq G \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\|
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ Then we have } \|\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}\| \leq \frac{2G}{\mu t}
$$

- **►** For all  $t \geq 1$ ,  $\ell_t$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $G$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $L_t = \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_t$  is  $\mu t$ -strongly convex with respect to  $\lVert \cdot \rVert$  for all  $t = 1, \ldots, T$
- FTL prediction:  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin} L_t(w)$ *w*∈V

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \geq \nabla L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})^\top (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2 \geq \frac{\mu t}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||^2
$$
  

$$
L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) = L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) + \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$
  

$$
\leq \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - \ell_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1})
$$
 (because  $\boldsymbol{w}_t$  minimizes  $L_{t-1}$ )  

$$
\leq G ||\boldsymbol{w}_t - \boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}||
$$

► Then we have 
$$
||\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_{t+1}|| \le \frac{2G}{\mu t}
$$
  
▶ Implying  $L_t(\mathbf{w}_t) - L_t(\mathbf{w}_{t+1}) \le \frac{2G^2}{\mu t}$ 

### FTL regret bound

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)
$$



### FTL regret bound

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{2G^2}{\mu t}
$$

### FTL regret bound

$$
R_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \left( L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t) - L_t(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1}) \right)
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{2G^2}{\mu t}
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{2G^2}{\mu} (1 + \ln T)
$$

Nicolò Cesa-Bianchi **Mathematics of Machine Learning** 14/16

 $\blacktriangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?



- $\blacktriangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$



- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$



- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$

• for 
$$
t > 1
$$
,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ -w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 



- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \triangleright \triangleright (1,1)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- $\triangleright$  for  $t > 1$ ,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w* otherwise  $\blacktriangleright$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} w/2 & t \text{ is odd} \\ w/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w/*2 otherwise



- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- $\triangleright$  for  $t > 1$ ,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w* otherwise  $\blacktriangleright$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} w/2 & t \text{ is odd} \\ w/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w/*2 otherwise
- **FTL** prediction at time  $t + 1$  is  $w_{t+1} = \text{argmin}$ *w*∈[−1*,*1]  $\sum$ *t s*=1

 $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 \\ 1 \end{cases}$  t is odd  $1$  otherwise

- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- $\triangleright$  for  $t > 1$ ,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w* otherwise  $\blacktriangleright$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} w/2 & t \text{ is odd} \\ w/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w/*2 otherwise
- **FTL** prediction at time  $t + 1$  is  $w_{t+1} = \text{argmin}$ *w*∈[−1*,*1]  $\sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 \\ 1 \end{cases}$  t is odd
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_{t+1}(w_{t+1}) = 1$  for all  $t > 1$ , FTL regret grows linearly!

 $1$  otherwise
# A lower bound for FTL

- $\triangleright$  What happens if losses have no curvature?
- $\triangleright \mathbb{V} = [-1, 1]$
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_1(w) = \frac{w}{2}$
- $\triangleright$  for  $t > 1$ ,  $\ell_t(w) = \begin{cases} w & t \text{ is odd} \\ w & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w* otherwise  $\blacktriangleright$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} w/2 & t \text{ is odd} \\ w/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ −*w/*2 otherwise
- **FTL** prediction at time  $t + 1$  is  $w_{t+1} = \text{argmin}$ *w*∈[−1*,*1]  $\sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_s(w) = \begin{cases} -1 \\ 1 \end{cases}$  t is odd
- $\blacktriangleright \ell_{t+1}(w_{t+1}) = 1$  for all  $t > 1$ , FTL regret grows linearly!
- Best prediction is  $w = 0$ , zero loss

 $1$  otherwise

 $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable



- $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$



 $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable

 $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ 

▶  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{V}}$  $\psi(w) + \sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})$ 



- $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature, FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{V}}$  $\psi(w) + \sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\mathop{\rm argmin}$ *w*∈V *λ*  $\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{m}$ *m* X*m t*=1  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})$

- $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature. FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{V}}$  $\psi(w) + \sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\mathop{\rm argmin}$ *w*∈V *λ*  $\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{m}$ *m* X*m t*=1  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})$
- If  $\ell_t$  are all convex, this is equivalent to FTL over *λ*-strongly convex losses  $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2 + \ell_t$

- $\blacktriangleright$  If losses lack curvature. FTL is unstable
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can introduce curvature using a regularizer  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- ▶  $w_{t+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{V}}$  $\psi(w) + \sum$ *t s*=1  $\ell_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})$
- Example: SVM objective function:  $\mathop{\rm argmin}$ *w*∈V *λ*  $\frac{\lambda}{2}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{m}$ *m* X*m t*=1  $\ell_t(\boldsymbol{w})$
- If  $\ell_t$  are all convex, this is equivalent to FTL over *λ*-strongly convex losses  $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|\cdot\|_2^2 + \ell_t$
- $\blacktriangleright$  How does the regularizer affect regret?