Plumbing Liouville theory

Antti Kupiainen

joint work with C. Guillarmou, R. Rhodes, V. Vargas

MSRI March 31 2022

KO KK@ KK 4 B K 4 B K 1 B K 1 YO A CH

Complex analysis in the quantum domain

"We developed a general approach to CFTs, something like complex analysis in the quantum domain. It worked very well in the various problems of statistical mechanics but the Liouville theory remained unsolved."

KORKARA A BRASH A BRACK

Alexander Polyakov, From Strings to Quarks (2008)

This talk:

- \triangleright What is "complex analysis in the quantum domain "?
- \blacktriangleright How to "solve" the Liouville theory

(Euclidean) Quantum Field Theory

- **F** Random fields $\Psi(x)$, $x \in M$, *M* manifold, e.g. \mathbb{R}^d
- Expectation $\langle \cdot \rangle$
- ► Correlation functions $\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Psi(x_i) \rangle$
- \triangleright Axiomatizations describing **regularity** in x_i and behaviour under **symmetries** of *M*

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

Conformal Field Theory (CFT)

Euclidean QFT models **statistical physics**

At **critical temperature** such systems have **conformal symmetry** and the QFT is **conformal field theory**

This extra symmetry is believed to give rise to strong constraints on correlation functions expressed via **conformal bootstrap**

In 2 dimensions bootstrap was used by Belavin, Polyakov and Zamoldchicov (1984) to classify CFT's and find explicit predictions for the correlation functions in several cases

In more than 2 dimensions bootstrap has led to spectacular numerical predictions (e.g. 3d Ising model) by Rychkov and others.

KOD KOD KED KED E VAN

Conformal invariance

Scaling fields
$$
V_{\Delta}(x)
$$
, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$

Correlation functions invariant under rotations and translations of R *d* and under scaling

$$
\langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(\lambda x_i) \rangle = \prod_i \lambda^{-2\Delta_i} \langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(x_i) \rangle \quad (*)
$$

∆*ⁱ* scaling dimension or **conformal weight**.

Conformal invariance: (*) extends to conformal maps $x \to \Lambda(x)$, E.g. in $d = 2$: $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$

$$
\Lambda(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+c} \quad \det\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 1
$$

and $\lambda^{-2\Delta_i} \rightarrow |\Lambda'(z)|^{-2\Delta_i}$.

Natural setup is the **Riemann sphere**: $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}.$

KORKARA A BRASH A BRACK

Structure Constants

Use conformal map to fix three points to $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. 3-point functions are determined up to constants

$$
\langle \prod_{k=1}^{3} V_{\Delta_k}(z_k) \rangle = |z_1 - z_2|^{2\Delta_{12}} |z_2 - z_3|^{2\Delta_{23}} |z_1 - z_3|^{2\Delta_{13}} C(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)
$$

with $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_3 - \Delta_1 - \Delta_2$ etc.

$$
C(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) = \langle V_{\Delta_1}(0) V_{\Delta_2}(1) V_{\Delta_3}(\infty) \rangle
$$

KORKARYKERKER OQO

are called the **structure constants** of the CFT.

Bootstrap hypothesis

Operator Product Expansion Axiom:

$$
V_{\Delta_1}(x_1)V_{\Delta_2}(x_2)=\sum_{\Delta\in\mathcal{S}}C^{\Delta}_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}(x_1,x_2,\partial_{x_2})V_{\Delta}(x_2)
$$

assumed to hold when inserted to expectation:

$$
\langle\,V_{\Delta_1}(x_1)\,V_{\Delta_2}(x_2)\,V_{\Delta_3}(x_3)\dots\rangle=\sum_{\Delta\in\mathcal{S}}C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^\Delta(x_1,x_2,\partial_{x_2})\langle\,V_\Delta(x_2)\,V_{\Delta_3}(x_3)\dots\rangle
$$

- ► $C_{\Delta_1\Delta_2}^{\Delta}$ are **determined** by and linear in the structure constants
- \triangleright *S* is called the **spectrum** of the CFT

Iterating OPE:

 \blacktriangleright All correlations are determined by $C(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$

Upshot: to "solve a CFT" need to find its spectrum and structure constants.

KID KARA KE KAEK LE I YOKO

Axioms for Weyl and Diff(Σ)

CFT extends naturally to surfaces Σ with **Riemannian metric** *g* **Diffeomorphism covariance axiom:** For $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma)$

$$
\langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma,g} = \langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(\psi(x_i)) \rangle_{\Sigma,\psi^*g}
$$

Weyl covariance axiom: For $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$

$$
\langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, e^{\sigma}g} = e^{\frac{c}{96\pi} \int_{\Sigma} (|d\sigma|^2 + 2R_g \sigma) dV_g} \prod_i e^{-\Delta_i \sigma(x_i)} \langle \prod_i V_{\Delta_i}(x_i) \rangle_{\Sigma, g}
$$

c **central charge** of the CFT, R_q scalar curvature, v_q volume Hence correlations defined on **moduli space** of Riemann surfaces

$$
g \sim e^{\sigma} \psi^* g \quad \psi \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma), \ \ \sigma \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

G. Segal's formulation of bootstrap

 \triangleright \triangleright \triangleright closed oriented Riemann surface with $n > 0$ marked points z_1, \ldots, z_n and boundary

$$
\partial \Sigma = \cup_i C_i
$$

 $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{$

 $2Q$

together with analytic parametrisations $\zeta_i: \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{C}_i.$

If Set $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ depending on whether orientation of $\zeta_i(\mathbb{T})$ agrees with that of Σ or not. Call them "in" and "out" boundaries.

Gluing surfaces

Glue "out" circles to "in" circles $(\Sigma, \Sigma') \to \Sigma \circ \Sigma'$

イロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 トッ 君

 $2Q$

Segal's CFT functor

CFT consists of a **Hilbert space** H and an assignement

$$
\Sigma \to \mathcal{A}_\Sigma
$$

where

- ^I A^Σ : H[⊗]*^m* → H[⊗]*ⁿ* is a **Hilbert-Schmidt operator**
- ^I Σ has *m* in-circles and *n* out-circles

Gluing Axiom

 $A_{\Sigma_0\Sigma'}=A_{\Sigma}A_{\Sigma'}$

KORKARYKERKER OQO

Semigroup of annuli

Let *v*(*z*)∂*^z* be an analytic vector field in a neighborhood of D

$$
v(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}v_nz^{n+1}
$$

with $\text{Re } v_0 < 0$ small enough. Its flow

$$
\frac{d}{dt}f_t(z) = v(f_t(z))
$$

is univalent $f_t: \mathbb{D} \to f_t(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $a_t = \mathbb{D} \setminus f_t(\mathbb{D})$ are annuli with parametrised boundaries

$$
e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \to \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} f_t(e^{i\theta}) & \textrm{on} & C_1 \\ e^{i\theta} & \textrm{on} & C_2 \end{array} \right.
$$

and satisfying

$$
a_t \circ a_s = a_{t+s}
$$

KORKARK (EXIST) DE VOQO

K ロ ト K 御 ト K 著 ト K 著 ト … 著

 299

Virasoro algebra

 $\mathcal{A}_t := \mathcal{A}_{\mathit{a}_t}$ is a contraction semigroup on \mathcal{H} :

$$
\mathcal{A}_t\mathcal{A}_s=\mathcal{A}_{t+s}
$$

The generator \mathcal{H}_v of $\mathcal{A}_t = e^{-t\mathcal{H}_\mathsf{v}}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (v_n L_n + \bar{v}_n \tilde{L}_n)
$$

with L_n, \tilde{L}_n densely defined operators in $\mathcal{H}.$ Setting $L_{-n} = L_n^*$, the adjoint in H , one postulates for $n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$
[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{n,-m}
$$

$$
[\tilde{L}_n, \tilde{L}_m] = (n - m)\tilde{L}_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{n,-m}
$$

$$
[L_n, \tilde{L}_m] = 0
$$

KORKARK (EXIST) DE VOQO

where *c* is the central charge.

Building blocks

Build Σ by gluing simple topological building blocks \mathcal{B} :

- ► Pairs of pants $\mathcal{P} \sim \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}$ disks
- Annuli with one marked point $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{2 \text{ disks}, 1 \text{ point}\}\$
- ▶ Disks with two marked points $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1 \text{ disk}, 2 \text{ points}\}\$

KORK ERKER ER AGA

Plumbing

The moduli space M*g*,*^m* of Riemann surfaces of genus *g* and *m* marked points is a complex orbifold of dimension 3*g* − 3 + *m*.

M*g*,*^m* can be parametrised by (Hinich-Vaintrob 2011)

- Finite set of building blocks B_i , $i = 1, \ldots N(g, m)$ where each B_i is a sphere with k punctures and $3 - k$ boundary circles, $k = 0, 1, 2$ equipped with a fixed conformal structure.
- ^I **Plumbing parameters q** ∈ D ³*g*−3+*^m*.
- **►** Standard annulus a_q of modulus $|q|, q \in \mathbb{D}$:

$$
e^{i\theta}\in\mathbb{T}\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}qe^{i\theta}&\text{on}&\mathcal{C}_1\\e^{i\theta}&\text{on}&\mathcal{C}_2\end{array}\right.
$$

KORKAR KERKER E VOOR

i.e. for $q = e^{-t+i\theta}$ cylinder of length *t*.

Glue building blocks $\{B_i\}$ together with annuli a_{α}

Plumbing

(ロ) (個) (ミ) (ミ) (ミ) ミーのQC

Bootstrap

Upshot:

Correlation function on Σ is given by compositions of the operators $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{a_{\alpha}}$

- \blacktriangleright $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ determined by structure constants
- \blacktriangleright $\mathcal{A}_{a_q}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is the semigroup: $\mathcal{A}_{a_q} = q^{L_0} \bar{q}^{\tilde{L}_0}$
- \blacktriangleright Composition of $A_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ using **eigenfunctions** of A_{α}
- \blacktriangleright Eigenfunctions of A_{q} determined by representation theory

KORKARA A BRASH A BRACK

Path integrals

Motivation for axioms: let the QFT be given formally as a path integral, e.g. for a scalar field ϕ

$$
\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\Delta_i}(z_i) \rangle = \int_{\phi: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\Delta_i}(\phi(z_i)) e^{-S_{\Sigma}(\phi)} D\phi
$$

KORK ERKER ER AGA

with local action functional *S*_Σ(ϕ)

Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \circ \Sigma_2$, $\partial \Sigma_i = \mathcal{C}$ so that $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma} = \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_1} + \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma_2}$.

Path integrals

Let for
$$
\varphi : C \to \mathbb{R}
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_j}(\varphi) = \int_{\phi|_{\Sigma_j = \varphi}} \prod_{i: z_i \in \Sigma_j} V_{\Delta_i}(z_i) e^{-S_{\Sigma_j}(\phi)} D\phi \quad j = 1, 2
$$

Then formally get

$$
\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_{\Delta_i}(z_i) \rangle = \int_{\varphi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_1}(\varphi) \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_2}(\varphi) D\varphi
$$

KID KARA KE KAEK LE I YOKO

This talk:

- **►** Probabilistic construction of $A_Σ$ for **Liouville CFT**
- Prove gluing $A_{\Sigma \circ \Sigma'} = A_{\Sigma} A_{\Sigma'}$
- \blacktriangleright Use this to prove bootstrap and compute correlations.

Liouville Theory

Action functional

$$
S_{\Sigma}(\phi) = \int_{\Sigma} (|\boldsymbol{d}\phi|^2 + \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{R}_g \phi + \mu \boldsymbol{e}^{\gamma \phi}) d\boldsymbol{v}_g
$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \gamma \in (0, 2]$
- \blacktriangleright $Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}, \mu > 0$.

 \blacktriangleright R_q Ricci curvature of the Riemannian metric *g*

Occurs among other places in

- \triangleright Noncritical string theory (Polyakov 1981)
- ▶ 2d gravity Knizhnik, Polyakov, Zamolodchikov (1988)
- ▶ 4d SuSy Yang-Mills (Alday, Gaiotto, Tachikawa 2010)

KORKARK (EXIST) DE VOQO

Probablistic Liouville Theory

We define

$$
\langle F \rangle_{\Sigma,g} := Z_g \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} \big(F(\phi_g) e^{-\int_{\Sigma} Q R_g \phi_g d\nu_g + \mu M_\gamma(\Sigma)}\big) d\sigma
$$

- $\rightarrow \phi_{\alpha} = c + X_{\alpha}$
- ► X_g is Gaussian free field: $\mathbb{E}X_g(x)X_g(y) = -\Delta_g^{-1}(x, y)$
- **F** Gaussian multiplicative chaos measure

$$
\textit{M}_{\gamma}=\lim_{\epsilon\rightarrow 0}\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}}e^{\gamma\phi_{g,\epsilon}}\textit{dv}_{g}
$$

 \blacktriangleright *Z_g* = (det'(Δ_{*g*})/v_{*g*}(Σ))^{−1/2} with zeta function regularisation.

Primary fields are **vertex operators**

$$
V_{\alpha}(z)=e^{\alpha\phi_g(z)}
$$

defined through limits of regularised objects.

We want to compute their correlators

$$
\langle \prod_i V_\alpha(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma,g}
$$

KORKAR KERKER E VOOR

Existence and Structure constants

Theorem (David, K, Rhodes, Vargas, CMP 2016) *The correlation functions exist and are nontrivial if and only if the Seiberg bounds hold:*

(1)
$$
\alpha_i < Q \ \forall i
$$
, and (2) $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \chi(\Sigma)Q > 0$

*V*_α are primary fields with scaling dimension $\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2})$

For the structure constants we take $\Sigma = \hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then

Theorem (K, Rhodes, Vargas, Annals of Mathematics **191**, 81) *Let* α*ⁱ satisfy the Seiberg bounds. Then*

$$
\langle V_{\alpha_1}(0)V_{\alpha_2}(1)V_{\alpha_3}(\infty)\rangle_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}} = C_{DOZZ}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)
$$

KOD KOD KED KED E VAN

where $C_{\text{DOZZ}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ *is an explicit formula conjectured by Dorn, Otto, Zamolodchicov, Zamolodchicov in 1995.*

Amplitudes

Let
$$
\partial \Sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i
$$
. For $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ set

$$
\phi|_{C_i} = \varphi_i, \quad \varphi := (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)
$$

How to make sense of

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)=\int_{\phi|_{\partial\Sigma}=\varphi}\prod_{i}V_{\alpha_i}(z_i)e^{-S_{\Sigma}(\phi)}D\phi\;\;?
$$

 2990 G

Free Field Amplitudes

Free field action

$$
S^0(\phi):=\int_{\Sigma}|d\phi|^2d\nu_g,\ \ \, \phi|_{\partial\Sigma}=\varphi
$$

Let ϕ_0 be the minimiser

$$
\Delta_g \phi_0 = 0. \quad \phi_0|_{\partial \Sigma} = \varphi
$$

By Green formula

$$
S^0(\phi) = S^0(\phi_0) + S^0(Z), \quad Z = \phi - \phi_0
$$

and ${\cal S}^0(\phi_0)$ reduces to a boundary term

$$
S^0(\phi_0)=\int_{\partial\Sigma}\phi_0\partial^\perp\phi_0=(\varphi,D_\Sigma\varphi)
$$

where D_{Σ} is the **Dirichlet-Neumann** operator acting on the boundary fields

$$
\phi|_{\partial\Sigma}=\varphi=(\varphi^1,\varphi^2,\ldots\varphi^n)
$$

KORKARK (EXIST) DE VOQO

Free Field amplitudes

Let
$$
\varphi^j(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^j_k e^{ik\theta}
$$
. Then

$$
S^0(\phi_0) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |k| |\hat{\varphi}^j_k|^2 + (\varphi, \tilde{D}_{\Sigma} \varphi)
$$

- ► \tilde{D}_{Σ} is **smoothing**: $(\varphi, \tilde{D}_{\Sigma} \varphi)$ defined on $\varphi^{i} \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{T}) \; \forall s > 0$.
- **►** *Z* is the Dirichlet GFF on Σ

Definition. The free field amplitude is defined by

$$
\mathcal{A}^{0}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)=\det(-\Delta_{g}^{\textit{dir}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-(\varphi,\tilde{D}_{\Sigma}\varphi)}
$$

KID KARA KE KAEK LE I YOKO

where the determinant is zeta function regularised.

Liouville Amplitudes

Definition. The Liouville amplitude with vertex operators at *zⁱ*

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{0}(\varphi)\mathbb{E}\big(\prod V_{\alpha_i}(z_i)e^{-\int_{\Sigma}QR_g\phi dV_g - \mu M_{\gamma}(\Sigma)}\big)
$$

where $\phi = \phi_0 + Z$, and E is over the Dirichlet GFF Z.

Let μ be the measure on $\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k \bm{e^{ik\theta}} \in H^{\pmb{s}}(\mathbb{T}), \, \pmb{s} < \pmb{0}$

$$
d\mu(\varphi) = d\varphi_0 \prod_{k>0} \frac{1}{\pi |k|} e^{-|k| |\hat{\varphi}_k|^2} d^2 \varphi_k
$$

View AΣ(ϕ) as an integral kernel and take as **Liouville Hilbert space**

$$
\mathcal{H}=L^2(H^s(\mathbb{T}),d\mu).
$$

Then

Proposition (GKRV'21). $A_{\overline{Y}}$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma\circ\Sigma'}=\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma'}
$$

KORKAR KERKER E VOOR

Examples

$$
\int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{Z_1 \alpha_1 \overline{z_1 z_2}}{z_1 \alpha_2 \overline{z_4} \alpha_3} \times \frac{4}{(11)} \sqrt{\alpha_1 (z_1)} \frac{1}{6} = \int A_{(D_1 Z_1 Z_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2)} (\vartheta) A_{(D_1 Z_1 Z_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4)} (\vartheta) d\mu(\vartheta)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\cdot 2, \alpha \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\vdots \\
\hline\n\end{array}\n\right) \left\{\n\forall \alpha \text{ (z)}\n\right\}_{T^2} = \int A_{C, z_i \alpha} (3, 3) d \mu(g)
$$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト э $2Q$

Spectrum of Liouville theory

Theorem (GKRV 2020) The semigroup of annuli (cylinders) $\{A_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{D}}$ has a continuous spectrum and a **complete set of generalised eigenfunctions** $\Psi_{P,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$:

$$
\mathcal{A}_q \Psi_{P,\nu,\tilde{\nu}} = q^{\Delta_{Q+\tilde{\nu}}+|\nu|} \bar{q}^{\Delta_{Q+\tilde{\nu}}+|\tilde{\nu}|} \Psi_{P,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}
$$

$$
\Psi_{P,\nu,\tilde{\nu}} = L_{-\nu_1} \dots L_{-\nu_k} \tilde{L}_{-\tilde{\nu}_1} \dots \tilde{L}_{-\tilde{\nu}_k} \Psi_{P,0,0}
$$

 \blacktriangleright $P \in \mathbb{R}$ and ν , $\tilde{\nu}$ are Young diagrams, $|\nu| := \sum \nu_i$.

^I Ψ*P*,0,⁰ is a **highest weight state** of weight ∆*Q*+*iP*:

$$
L_0 \Psi_{P,0,0} = \Delta_{Q+iP} \Psi_{P,0,0} = \tilde{L}_0 \Psi_{P,0,0}, \ L_n \Psi_{P,0,0} = 0 = \tilde{L}_n \Psi_{P,0,0}, \ n > 0
$$

- $\triangleright \Psi_{P,0,0}$ is amplitude of the disk $\mathbb D$ with $V_{Q+ip}(0)$ insertion
- ^I **CFT spectrum** of LCFT is {∆*Q*+*iP*}*P*∈^R
- $\blacktriangleright \{L_n\}, \{\tilde{L}_n\}$ can be constructed by using the general annuli semigroup (Baverez, GKRV 2022)

Plancharel

Completeness

$$
\langle \Psi_{P,\nu|\tilde{\nu}}|\Psi_{P',\nu',\tilde{\nu}'}\rangle=\delta(P-P')F_{\nu,\nu'}(P)F_{\tilde{\nu},\tilde{\nu}'}(P)
$$

Apply to amplitude compositions:

$$
\int A(\varphi) A'(\varphi,) d\mu(\varphi) = \sum_{\nu,\tilde{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{-1}_{\nu,\nu'}(P) F^{-1}_{\tilde{\nu},\tilde{\nu}'}(P) \langle A | \Psi_{P,\nu|\tilde{\nu}} \rangle \langle \Psi_{P',\nu',\tilde{\nu}'} | A' \rangle dP
$$

 2990

э

Need to evaluate amplitudes of building blocks at eigenstates:

Proposition. Let *B* be a pair of pants. Then

$$
A_{\mathcal{B}}(\otimes_{j=1}^3 \Psi_{Q + iP_j, \nu_i, \tilde{\nu}_i}) = D_{\nu}(\mathbf{Q} + i\mathbf{P})D_{\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{Q} + i\mathbf{P})C_{DOZZ}(\mathbf{Q} + i\mathbf{P})
$$

where $Q + iP = (Q + iP_1, Q + iP_2, Q + iP_3)$. Similar factorisation for other building blocks.

KID KARA KE KAEK LE I YOKO

Proof is based on **probabilistic Ward identities**.

Integrability of Liouville theory

GKRV (2021). Let Σ have genus *g*. Then

$$
\langle \prod_{i=1}^m V_{\alpha_i}(z_i) \rangle_{\Sigma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3g+m-3}_+} |\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{P})|^2 \rho(\mathbf{P}) d\mathbf{P}
$$

where

- **ighta q** are plumbing parameters
- \triangleright Conformal block $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P})$ is purely representation theoretic and **holomorphic in the moduli q**

KORKARK (EXIST) DE VOQO

 $\rho(\mathbf{P})$ is a product of structure constants $C(\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'')$ with $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \in {\alpha_i, \mathsf{Q} \pm i\mathsf{P}_j}$

Conformal block Feynman rules

KOD KOD KED KED E VOLC

Correlation functions are modular invariant i.e. the same no matter how we cut the surface.

How about the conformal blocks? Suppose Σ is parametrised by $(\{\mathcal{B}_i\},\mathbf{q})$ and $(\{\mathcal{B}'_i\},\mathbf{q}')$. Are the blocks linearly related? True for $(q, n) = (0, 4)$ and $(q, n) = (1, 1)$.

KORKARA A BRASH A BRACK

Connection to quantisation of Teichmuller space?

Thank you!

K ロ K x d X x l X x l X x l X x D X Q Q Q Q