

Modular forms on exceptional groups

Aaron Pollack

November 2021

- 1 Classical modular forms
- 2 Automorphic forms
- 3 Exceptional groups
- 4 Modular forms on exceptional groups

Modular forms

Let

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{R}) : ad - bc = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) : ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

Recall:

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \text{ acts on } \mathfrak{h} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\} \text{ as } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

Suppose $\ell > 0$ is a positive integer.

Modular forms

A modular form of weight ℓ for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying

- 1 Invariance: $f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^\ell f(z)$ for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$;
- 2 Moderate growth: The $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant function $|y^{\ell/2} f(z)|$ on \mathfrak{h} is bounded by $C(y + y^{-1})^N$ for some $C, N > 0$.

Fourier expansion

Note that

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \supseteq \Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

As

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{1 \cdot z + n}{0 \cdot z + 1} = z + n,$$

$f(z + n) = f(z)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Fourier expansion

The holomorphy and the invariance imply

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_f(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

for some $a_f(n) \in \mathbf{C}$. The moderate growth implies $a_f(n) = 0$ if $n < 0$, i.e.,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_f(n) e^{2\pi i n z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_f(n) q^n$$

- 1 (Eisenstein series) For an even integer $\ell \geq 4$, define

$$E_\ell(z) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \frac{1}{(mz + n)^\ell}.$$

- 2 (Poincare series) Fix $D > 0$, and an integer $k \geq 2$. Define

$$f_{D,k}(z) = \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbf{Z}^3: b^2 - 4ac = D} \frac{1}{(az^2 + bz + c)^k}.$$

- 3 (Ramanujan Δ function) Define

$$\Delta(q) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{24}.$$

- The condition $\ell \geq 4$ implies the sum converges absolutely to a holomorphic function
- For the invariance condition:

$$\begin{aligned} E_\ell \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) &= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{\left(m \left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d} \right) + n \right)^\ell} \\ &= (cz + d)^\ell \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{(m(az + b) + n(cz + d))^\ell} \\ &= (cz + d)^\ell \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{((ma + nc)z + (mb + nd))^\ell} \\ &= (cz + d)^\ell E_\ell(z) \end{aligned}$$

by rearranging the sum.

Broadening the definition

If $N \geq 1$ is a positive integer, set

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Congruence subgroup

A subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is called a **congruence subgroup** if $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(N)$ for some N .

Modular forms for congruence subgroups

A modular form of weight ℓ for Γ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfying

- 1 Invariance: $f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^\ell f(z)$ for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$;
- 2 Moderate growth: The Γ -invariant function $|y^{\ell/2} f(z)|$ on \mathfrak{h} is bounded by $C(y + y^{-1})^N$ for some $C, N > 0$.

Sums of squares

For an integer $k \geq 0$ set

$$r_k(n) = \#\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbf{Z}^k : x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2 = n\}$$

the number of ways of expressing n as a sum of k squares. Then if $k > 0$ is even, $\theta_k(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_k(n)q^n$ is a modular form of weight $k/2$.

More generally, modular forms are known to be generating functions of interesting arithmetic quantities: E.g.,

- 1 class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields (Cohen, Zagier)
- 2 intersection numbers of curves on certain surfaces (Hirzebruch-Zagier, Kudla-Millson)

Some standard facts

Let $M_\ell(\Gamma; \mathbf{C})$ be the \mathbf{C} -vector space of modular forms of weight ℓ for Γ .

Finite dimensionality

The \mathbf{C} -vector space $M_k(\Gamma; \mathbf{C})$ is **finite dimensional**.

Denote by $M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{Q})$ the \mathbf{Q} -vector space of modular forms with rational Fourier coefficients, i.e.,

$$M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{Q}) = \left\{ f \in M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{C}) : f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_f(n) q^n \right.$$

with all $a_f(n) \in \mathbf{Q} \}$.

Rational structure

One has $M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{Q}) \otimes \mathbf{C} = M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{C})$, i.e., $M_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{C})$ has a basis consisting of modular forms with rational Fourier coefficients.

Why modular forms

Modular forms, their generalizations, and their degenerate versions:

- 1 **Generating functions:** are generating functions of interesting arithmetic quantities
- 2 **Iwasawa theory:** Can be used to understand the class groups of cyclotomic fields (Ribet, Mazur-Wiles)
- 3 **Elliptic curves I:** Parametrize elliptic curves over \mathbf{Q} (Shimura, Eichler-Shimura, Wiles, Taylor-Wiles)
- 4 **Elliptic curves II:** Can be used to understand the rank of an elliptic curve over \mathbf{Q} (Gross-Zagier)
- 5 **The Tate conjecture:** conjecturally predict the existence of nontrivial algebraic cycles on algebraic varieties
- 6 **The Langlands program:** conjecturally control the category of motives

- 1 Classical modular forms
- 2 Automorphic forms
- 3 Exceptional groups
- 4 Modular forms on exceptional groups

The factor of automorphy

Define $j : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ as $j(\gamma, z) = cz + d$ if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

The invariance condition can be rewritten as $f(\gamma z) = j(\gamma, z)^\ell f(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Transition to the group

If $f \in M_\ell(\Gamma; \mathbf{C})$ a modular form for Γ of weight ℓ , define $\varphi_f : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ as $\varphi_f(g) = j(g, i)^{-\ell} f(g \cdot i)$.

The function φ_f is left- Γ -invariant:

$$\varphi_f(\gamma g) = \varphi_f(g) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

If $k_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$, then because $k_\theta \cdot i = i$,

$$\varphi_f(gk_\theta) = e^{-\ell i\theta} \varphi_f(g).$$

Proof of invariance

- $j(\gamma, z)$ satisfies $j(\gamma_1\gamma_2, z) = j(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 z)j(\gamma_2, z)$ for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ and $z \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Thus

Γ -Invariance

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_f(\gamma g) &= j(\gamma g, i)^{-\ell} f(\gamma g \cdot i) \\ &= (j(\gamma, g \cdot i)j(g, i))^{-\ell} j(\gamma, g \cdot i)^{\ell} f(g \cdot i) \\ &= j(g, i)^{-\ell} f(g \cdot i) \\ &= \varphi_f(g).\end{aligned}$$

Also:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_f(gk_\theta) &= j(gk_\theta, i)^{-\ell} f(gk_\theta \cdot i) \\ &= (j(g, k_\theta \cdot i)j(k_\theta, i))^{-\ell} f(g \cdot i) \\ &= e^{-i\ell\theta} \varphi_f(g).\end{aligned}$$

Modular form: alternate definition

Suppose $\ell > 0$ is a positive integer and $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup. Then a function $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a modular form of weight ℓ if

- $\varphi(gk_\theta) = e^{-i\ell\theta}\varphi(g)$ for all $k_\theta \in \mathrm{SO}(2)$
- $D_{\ell,CR}\varphi \equiv 0$, for a certain linear differential operator $D_{\ell,CR}$ that can be defined entirely from the pair $\mathrm{SO}(2) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$
- $|\varphi(g)| \leq C\|g\|^N$ for some $C, N > 0$, where $\|g\|^2 = \mathrm{tr}(gg^t)$.

Here $D_{\ell,CR}\varphi = 0$ is a condition equivalent to $f_\varphi(g \cdot i) = j(g, i)^\ell \varphi(g)$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

- **Upshot:** One can make a definition of modular forms entirely from the group theory of the pair $\mathrm{SO}(2) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$!

The differential equation

Let

- $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ and
- $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(\text{SO}(2)) \otimes \mathbf{C}$

Lie algebra decomposition

Then as a representation of $\text{SO}(2)$,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_- \oplus \mathfrak{p}_+$$

where \mathfrak{p}_\pm are one-dimensional.

- Let X_- be a basis of \mathfrak{p}_-

Then $D_{CR,\ell}\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) = X_- \varphi(\mathfrak{g})$.

The relationship of $SO(2)$ to $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ is that $SO(2)$ is a **maximal compact subgroup** of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$

Maximal compact subgroup

- If G is a simple Lie group (i.e., its Lie algebra has no nontrivial ideals)
- Then G has a (unique conjugacy class of) maximal compact subgroups K :
 - 1 K is compact
 - 2 maximal with respect to inclusion among compact subgroups
 - 3 any other such subgroup L is conjugate to K in G

Automorphic forms

- Suppose G is a simple Lie group, and
- $\Gamma \subseteq G$ is an appropriate discrete subgroup.
- Let $K \subseteq G$ be a fixed maximal compact subgroup, corresponding to the Cartan involution θ .

Automorphic forms

A smooth function $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is an **automorphic form** if

- φ is K -finite, i.e., the right K -translates of φ generate a finite-dimensional subspace of $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G; \mathbf{C})$
- φ is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite, i.e., φ is annihilated by a finite codimension ideal J of the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} .
- φ is of moderate growth, i.e., $|\varphi(g)| \leq C \|g\|^N$ for some $C, N > 0$, where $\|g\|^2 = \text{tr}(Ad(g)Ad(\theta(g))^{-1})$.

Holomorphic modular forms

Suppose G is a simple Lie group and $K \subseteq G$ is a maximal compact subgroup. **Sometimes**, G/K has complex structure.

Some examples

- 1 $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R}) : gJ_n g^t = J_n\}, J_n = \begin{pmatrix} & 1_n \\ -1_n & \end{pmatrix}$.
- 2 $\mathrm{SO}(2, n) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2+n}(\mathbf{R}) : g \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & -1_n \end{pmatrix} g^t = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & \\ & -1_n \end{pmatrix}\}$
- 3 $U(p, q) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbf{C}) : g \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & \\ & -1_q \end{pmatrix} g^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1_p & \\ & -1_q \end{pmatrix}\}$

Let $\Gamma \subseteq G$ be an appropriate discrete subgroup of G .

Holomorphic modular forms

If G has G/K with \mathbf{C} -structure, can consider

- those holomorphic functions $f : G/K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that satisfy $f(\gamma z) = j(\gamma, z)^\ell f(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z \in G/K$.
- Equivalently, smooth, moderate growth functions $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that satisfy a K -equivariance condition and a certain very special differential equation.

Holomorphic modular forms

- These special functions are called holomorphic modular forms
- They again have¹ a semiclassical Fourier expansion
- Are connected with algebraic geometry, and have special rationality properties

Motivating question

If G is a simple Lie group with G/K not Hermitian, is there a **special class** of automorphic forms for G which deserve to be called “modular forms”?

¹When G/K is a tube domain

- 1 Classical modular forms
- 2 Automorphic forms
- 3 Exceptional groups**
- 4 Modular forms on exceptional groups

Suppose L is a real Lie algebra.

Lie algebra

A real Lie algebra L is a finite-dimensional \mathbf{R} vector space, that comes equipped with a Lie bracket $[,] : L \times L \rightarrow L$ satisfying

- 1 $[,]$ is bilinear, i.e., $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$
- 2 $[,]$ is antisymmetric, i.e., $[y, x] = -[x, y]$
- 3 $[,]$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, i.e.,
 $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] + [y, [x, z]].$

Associated to L one can define a group $G(L)$ as

$$G(L) = \{g \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{R}}(L) : g[x, y] = [gx, gy] \forall x, y \in L\}.$$

Then $G(L)$ is a real Lie group with Lie algebra L .

- Let $V_n = \mathbf{R}^n$ and V_n^\vee the dual vector space
- The Lie algebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n :

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n = M_n(\mathbf{R}) = \text{End}(V_n) = V_n \otimes V_n^\vee$$

The Lie bracket is $[X, Y] = XY - YX$.

- The Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n is the trace 0 matrices: $\mathfrak{sl}_n = M_n(\mathbf{R})^{\text{tr}=0}$.
- There is a projection $V_n \otimes V_n^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_n$ given as $v \otimes \phi \mapsto v \otimes \phi - \frac{\phi(v)}{n} \mathbf{1}_n$.
- If $\delta \in \mathfrak{sl}_n$, $v \in V_n$ and $\phi \in V_n^\vee$, then $\delta(v) \in V_n$ and $\delta(\phi) \in V_n^\vee$ are defined
- There is an identification $\wedge^{n-1} V_n \simeq V_n^\vee$ and $\wedge^{n-1} V_n^\vee \simeq V_n$

Notation as above. Set

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_3^\vee.$$

This is a 14-dimensional real vector space. One defines $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathfrak{g}_2 as follows:

- 1 If $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}_3$, then $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ is the usual commutator in \mathfrak{sl}_3
- 2 If $\delta \in \mathfrak{sl}_3$, $v \in V_3$, $\phi \in V_3^\vee$, then $[\delta, v] = \delta(v) \in V_3$,
 $[\delta, \phi] = \delta(\phi) \in V_3^\vee$
- 3 If $v_1, v_2 \in V_3$, then $[v_1, v_2] = 2v_1 \wedge v_2 \in \wedge^2 V_3 \simeq V_3^\vee$ and similarly if $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in V_3^\vee$ then $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 2\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$ considered in $\wedge^2 V_3^\vee \simeq V_3$
- 4 If $v \in V_3$ and $\phi \in V_3^\vee$ then $[\phi, v] = 3v \otimes \phi - \phi(v)1_3 \in \mathfrak{sl}_3$.

The Lie group G_2 and other exceptional Lie groups

G_2

The group $G_2 = G(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ is the group associated to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 . It is a noncompact Lie group of dimension 14.

One can define a discrete subgroup $\Gamma = G_2(\mathbf{Z}) \subseteq G_2$ as follows:

- 1 Let $\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{Z}) = M_3(\mathbf{Z})^{\text{tr}=0} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^3 \oplus (\mathbf{Z}^3)^\vee$.
- 2 Then $\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a lattice, closed under the Lie bracket.
- 3 Let $G_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \{g \in G_2 : g(\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{Z})) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbf{Z})\}$.

Other Lie groups

There are Lie groups, which can be defined similarly, with the names F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 . They have dimensions

- $\dim F_4 = 52$
- $\dim E_6 = 78$
- $\dim E_7 = 133$
- $\dim E_8 = 248$.

- 1 Classical modular forms
- 2 Automorphic forms
- 3 Exceptional groups
- 4 Modular forms on exceptional groups**

Motivating question

If G is a simple Lie group with G/K not Hermitian, is there a **special class** of automorphic forms for G which deserve to be called “modular forms”?

If G is such that G/K is Hermitian, then K admits a surjection to $U(1)$, and conversely.

- For example, if $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$, then $K \simeq U(n)$

Gross-Wallach

Consider G which have K that admits a surjection to $\mathrm{SU}(2)/\mu_2 = \mathrm{SO}(3)$

Examples:

- G_2 , $K = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2))/\mu_2$
- F_4 , $K = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{Sp}_6)/\mu_2$
- $E_{8,4}$, $K = (\mathrm{SU}(2) \times E_7)/\mu_2$.

Exceptional groups have 'modular forms'

The groups

$$G : G_2 \subseteq D_4 \subseteq F_4 \subseteq E_{6,4} \subseteq E_{7,4} \subseteq E_{8,4}$$

- $K \subseteq G$ the maximal compact. $K \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)/\mu_2$.
- G/K : no Hermitian structure

Definition of modular forms on G

Let $\ell \geq 1$ be an integer. A modular form on G of weight ℓ is

- an automorphic form $\varphi : \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{2\ell}(\mathbf{C}^2)$
- satisfying $\varphi(gk) = k^{-1} \cdot \varphi(g)$ for all $g \in G, k \in K$
- and $\mathcal{D}_\ell \varphi = 0$ for a certain special linear differential operator \mathcal{D}_ℓ

- Definition is a paraphrase of one due to Gross-Wallach, Gan-Gross-Savin

These modular forms have nice properties

Theorem 1

The modular forms of weight $\ell \geq 1$ on G have a robust Fourier expansion, normalized over the integers, that is compatible with pullbacks between groups G above.

The theorem means:

- 1 For λ varying in a certain lattice Λ , there are explicit functions $W_\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{2\ell}(\mathbf{C}^2)$
- 2 such that if φ is a modular form of weight ℓ then
- 3 $\varphi(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\varphi(\lambda) W_\lambda(g)$ for certain complex numbers $c_\varphi(\lambda)$.

The numbers $c_\varphi(\lambda)$ are (by definition) the **Fourier coefficients** of φ .

Fourier coefficients

- Given a modular φ form of weight ℓ , one can ask the question “Are all of φ ’s Fourier coefficients in some ring $R \subseteq \mathbf{C}$?”
- If $\iota : G_1 \subseteq G_2$ in the above sequence of groups, and if φ is modular form on G_2 of weight ℓ , then the pullback $\iota^*(\varphi)$ on G_1 is a modular form of weight ℓ .
- Moreover, the Fourier coefficients of $\iota^*\varphi$ are **finite sums** of the Fourier coefficients of φ

Fourier coefficients

If $R \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ is a subring, one says that φ has Fourier coefficients in R if all the values $c_\varphi(\lambda)$ are in fact valued in R .

- If λ is non-degenerate in a certain sense, these Fourier coefficients were defined by Gan-Gross-Savin, using a multiplicity one result of Wallach.
- There is no *a priori* reason to expect any modular form to have Fourier coefficients in a small ring (e.g., $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$).

Theorem 2

There are examples of modular forms with Fourier coefficients in small rings:

- ① *On $E_{8,4}$, the minimal and next-to-minimal modular forms (weight 4 and weight 8) have Fourier coefficients in \mathbf{Q} . These modular forms have many Fourier coefficients equal to 0. Uses key input from work of W.T. Gan and G. Savin.*
- ② *On $E_{6,4}$, there is a weight 4 modular form with all Fourier coefficients in \mathbf{Z} . This example is “distinguished” but not “singular”, and is closely connected to “arithmetic invariant theory”.*
- ③ *On G_2 , there are nonzero cusp forms of arbitrarily large weight with all Fourier coefficients in $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$. Examples constructed using the theta correspondence $\mathrm{SO}(4, 4) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_4$.*

- The Theorem says that some modular forms on exceptional groups possess “surprising” arithmeticity.

A weight $1/2$ modular form

- Suppose R is a cubic ring, with $R \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ a totally real field.
- Let Q_R be the number of square roots of the inverse different in the narrow class group of R
- Call a cubic ring R **even monogenic** if $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^3 + bx^2 + cx + d)$ with b, c, d even integers.

Theorem 3 (Leslie-P.)

There is a weight $1/2$ modular form on G_2 whose Fourier coefficients are the numbers Q_R for R even monogenic.

More precisely

Let \mathfrak{d}^{-1} be the inverse different of R . One says a pair (I, μ) of a fractional R -ideal and a totally positive unit $\mu \in (R \otimes \mathbf{Q})^\times$ is a square root of \mathfrak{d}^{-1} if

- 1 $\mu I^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$ and
- 2 $N(\mu)N(I)^2 d_R = 1$

Thank you for your attention!