Ribbon Concordance Computations MSRI Workshop: Floer Homotopical Methods in Low Dimensional and Symplectic Topology

Sherry Gong

(on work-in-progress, joint with Nathan Dunfield)

Texas A&M University

The goal: Finding concordances

A concordance between knots K₁, K₂ ⊂ S³ is a cylinder F ⊂ S³ × [0, 1] such that its boundary consists of K₁ ⊂ S³ × 0 and K₂ ⊂ S³ × 1.

The goal: Finding concordances

- A concordance between knots $K_1, K_2 \subset S^3$ is a cylinder $F \subset S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that its boundary consists of $K_1 \subset S^3 \times 0$ and $K_2 \subset S^3 \times 1$.
- We say that a knot K ⊂ S³ is slice if it admits a concordance to the unknot.

The goal: Finding concordances

- A concordance between knots $K_1, K_2 \subset S^3$ is a cylinder $F \subset S^3 \times [0, 1]$ such that its boundary consists of $K_1 \subset S^3 \times 0$ and $K_2 \subset S^3 \times 1$.
- We say that a knot K ⊂ S³ is slice if it admits a concordance to the unknot.
- Goal: find slice knots and concordances between various knots.

Ribbon concordances and ribbon knots

Consider the concordance embedded in S³ × [0, 1] in such a way that the projection to [0, 1] is a Morse function, so that critical points are maxima, minima, or saddle points.



Ribbon concordances and ribbon knots

Consider the concordance embedded in S³ × [0, 1] in such a way that the projection to [0, 1] is a Morse function, so that critical points are maxima, minima, or saddle points.



We say that a concordance is a ribbon concordance from K₁ to K₂ if there are no local minima. We say that a knot is ribbon if it admits a ribbon concordance to the unknot.



A ribbon disk

Ribbon knots can be thought of as an unlink with some ribbons attached.



Slice-ribbon conjecture: All slice knots are ribbon.

Slice-ribbon conjecture: All slice knots are ribbon.

 Generalized version of this conjecture: each concordance class has a single sink

Slice-ribbon conjecture: All slice knots are ribbon.

- Generalized version of this conjecture: each concordance class has a single sink
- Gordon's conjecture: If there is a ribbon concordance from K₁ to K₂, does this mean K₂ has smaller volume?

Slice-ribbon conjecture: All slice knots are ribbon.

- Generalized version of this conjecture: each concordance class has a single sink
- Gordon's conjecture: If there is a ribbon concordance from K₁ to K₂, does this mean K₂ has smaller volume?
 - We generally expect that if there is a ribbon concordance from K₁ to K₂, then K₂ is simpler than K₁.
 - Zemke, 2019: If there is a ribbon concordance from K₁ to K₂, then the knot Floer homology of K₂ is a direct summand of that of K₁.
 - Agol, 2022: Ribbon concordance is a partial ordering

The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients

- The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients
- ▶ The signature: For a slice knot, the signature vanishes.

- The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients
- ▶ The signature: For a slice knot, the signature vanishes.
- Herald-Kirk-Livingston: There are twisted Alexander polynomials for p and q and these also have to satisfy a Fox-Milnor condition

- The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients
- ▶ The signature: For a slice knot, the signature vanishes.
- Herald-Kirk-Livingston: There are twisted Alexander polynomials for p and q and these also have to satisfy a Fox-Milnor condition
- The s invariant: A concordance invariant that comes from Khovanov-Lee homology

- The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients
- ▶ The signature: For a slice knot, the signature vanishes.
- Herald-Kirk-Livingston: There are twisted Alexander polynomials for p and q and these also have to satisfy a Fox-Milnor condition
- The s invariant: A concordance invariant that comes from Khovanov-Lee homology
- τ, ε, ν: concordance invariants that comes from knot Floer homology

- The Fox-Milnor condition: The Alexander polynomial of a (topologically) slice knot can be written as Δ(t) = f(t)f(t⁻¹) for a Laurent polynomial f with integer coefficients
- ▶ The signature: For a slice knot, the signature vanishes.
- Herald-Kirk-Livingston: There are twisted Alexander polynomials for p and q and these also have to satisfy a Fox-Milnor condition
- The s invariant: A concordance invariant that comes from Khovanov-Lee homology
- τ, ε, ν: concordance invariants that comes from knot Floer homology
- Sq¹ invariant for odd Khovanov homology: A refinement of the s invariant corresponding to the first Steenrod square on odd Khovanov.



- ► Take a diagram of a knot K
- We can think of a saddle point as the addition of a band.

- Take a diagram of a knot K
- We can think of a saddle point as the addition of a band.
- Randomly add k bands that increase the number of components.

- Take a diagram of a knot K
- We can think of a saddle point as the addition of a band.
- Randomly add k bands that increase the number of components.
- Check if the result is a link composed of a knot K' along with k unknotted, unlinked components. If so then you have obtained a ribbon concordance from K to K'.

Example of a ribbon disk we found



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへの

8 / 20

A longer band





<ロト < 回 > < 直 > < 直 > < 直 > < 直 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 ? の Q @ 9/20

An example where we needed two bands



After each band, we check some invariants of ribbon links, to make sure that what we have is still a ribbon link.

- After each band, we check some invariants of ribbon links, to make sure that what we have is still a ribbon link.
 - linking number between components is zero

- After each band, we check some invariants of ribbon links, to make sure that what we have is still a ribbon link.
 - linking number between components is zero
 - signature is zero

- After each band, we check some invariants of ribbon links, to make sure that what we have is still a ribbon link.
 - linking number between components is zero
 - signature is zero
 - multi-variate Fox-Milnor test

Summary of concordances found

- Of the 350 million knots of up to 19 crossings, 3.87 million have signature 0 and satisfy the Fox Milnor condition Of these:
 - 2,211,481 (57.2%) are not slice
 - 1,630,261 (42.1%) are ribbon
 - 27,799 (0.7%) unknown

Summary of concordances found

- Of the 350 million knots of up to 19 crossings, 3.87 million have signature 0 and satisfy the Fox Milnor condition Of these:
 - 2,211,481 (57.2%) are not slice
 - 1,630,261 (42.1%) are ribbon
 - 27,799 (0.7%) unknown
- Of the ribbon cobordisms:
 - 1,249,237 used 1 band
 - 379,329 used 2 bands
 - 1,636 used 3 bands
 - 59 used 4 bands

1,831,605 directed edges (concordances)

- 1,831,605 directed edges (concordances)
- ▶ 1,674,171 nodes (knots) with at least one edge (in or out)

- 1,831,605 directed edges (concordances)
- ▶ 1,674,171 nodes (knots) with at least one edge (in or out)
- As an undirected graph, it has 524 connected components (singletons removed)

- 1,831,605 directed edges (concordances)
- ▶ 1,674,171 nodes (knots) with at least one edge (in or out)
- As an undirected graph, it has 524 connected components (singletons removed)
 - Each component has a unique sink as a directed graph (The ribbon-slice conjecture is saying that the unknot is the unique sink of the component of slice knots)

- 1,831,605 directed edges (concordances)
- ▶ 1,674,171 nodes (knots) with at least one edge (in or out)
- As an undirected graph, it has 524 connected components (singletons removed)
 - Each component has a unique sink as a directed graph (The ribbon-slice conjecture is saying that the unknot is the unique sink of the component of slice knots)
 - The largest is the unknot with 1,630,262 nodes. Second largest has K11n34 as the sink and has 1673 nodes.

Summary of obstructions

- 56.7% (2,194,701) Herald-Kirk-Livingston
- 5.0% (195,069) tau/epsilon/nu
- ▶ 5.0% (195,155) s-invariant (over F₂ or F₃)
- ▶ 6.5% (252,805) *Sq*¹ for odd Khovanov
- ▶ 0.0% (1) The Conway knot isn't slice
- ▶ 1.2% (4,677) Ribbon concordances
- Sq¹ for even homology, and s with rational coefficients did not obstruct anything that others did not obstruct

Owens-Swenton computations for alternating knots

- Owens and Swenton have a method for generating ribbon disks for alternating knots
- Our sample has 203,488 alternating knots; we have ribbon disks for 81,577.
- ► They have ribbon disks for 82,015.
- They have 475 knots that we don't. We have 37 knots they don't.

Knots that share a zero surgery

Freedman, Gompf, Morrison and Walker's potential method to find a counter-example to the smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture: Find K that bounds a disk in $W \setminus B^4$ for a homotopy 4-sphere W, so that it doesn't bound a disk in the standard B^4

Knots that share a zero surgery

Freedman, Gompf, Morrison and Walker's potential method to find a counter-example to the smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture: Find K that bounds a disk in $W \setminus B^4$ for a homotopy 4-sphere W, so that it doesn't bound a disk in the standard B^4 Manolescu and Piccirillo constructed some potential counter-examples thus: If two knots K and K' share a zero-surgery

 $S_0^3(K) = S_0^3(K')$

and one of them is slice and the other is not, this can be used to construct the above. (They propose some pairs constructed using RBG links.)

Finding knots that share a zero surgery

Using Dunfield-Obeidin-Rudd program for identifying knot exteriors, we found knots that shared the same zero surgery with a knot of \leq 18 crossings

Using Dunfield-Obeidin-Rudd program for identifying knot exteriors, we found knots that shared the same zero surgery with a knot of \leq 18 crossings We found 247,954 pairs, of which about 81k have less than 100 crossings. Using Dunfield-Obeidin-Rudd program for identifying knot exteriors, we found knots that shared the same zero surgery with a knot of \leq 18 crossings We found 247,954 pairs, of which about 81*k* have less than 100 crossings.

26,844 of these had \leq 60 crossings

Knots that share a 0-surgery with a knot of \leq 18 crossings

For those where the larger knot had \leq 60 crossings:

Base slice	other slice	
-1	-1	1639
-1	0	3293
0	-1	11
0	0	180
0	1	59
1	0	2236
1	1	19426

Knots that share a 0-surgery with a knot of \leq 18 crossings

For those where the larger knot had \leq 60 crossings:

Base slice	other slice	
-1	-1	1639
-1	0	3293
0	-1	11
0	0	180
0	1	59
1	0	2236
1	1	19426

(Note there are 70 knots for which we know the status of the *larger* one and not the one in our sample.)

Conjectures on Khovanov and knot Floer homology ranks

 Along with Hockenhull, Marengon, and Willis, we explored the ranks of knot Floer homology for ribbon knots and ribbon concordances Conjectures on Khovanov and knot Floer homology ranks

- Along with Hockenhull, Marengon, and Willis, we explored the ranks of knot Floer homology for ribbon knots and ribbon concordances
- Some conjectures: For H(K) denoting either $\widehat{HFK}(K)$ or $\widetilde{Kh}(K)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients:
 - For ribbon knot K, $rk(H(K)) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
 - [rk(H(.))]₈ is a homomorphism from the knot concordance group to (ℤ/8ℤ)[×].
 - For ribbon knot K, $rk(\widetilde{Kh}(K)) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ over any field.

Conjectures on Khovanov and knot Floer homology ranks

- Along with Hockenhull, Marengon, and Willis, we explored the ranks of knot Floer homology for ribbon knots and ribbon concordances
- Some conjectures: For H(K) denoting either $\widehat{HFK}(K)$ or $\widetilde{Kh}(K)$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients:
 - For ribbon knot K, $rk(H(K)) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
 - [rk(H(.))]₈ is a homomorphism from the knot concordance group to (ℤ/8ℤ)[×].
 - For ribbon knot K, $rk(\widetilde{Kh}(K)) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ over any field.
- True for the concordance classes in our sample.

Thank you!

Thank you for the invitation and thank you for listening!