

# Zeta morphisms for rank two universal deformations

Kentaro Nakamura

Saga University

March 16, 2023

- $p$ : a prime number.
- $\iota_\infty: \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\iota_p: \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ : fixed embeddings.
- $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$ : a (sufficiently large) finite extension in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_L$ ,  
 $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$ : a uniformizer,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}/(\varpi)$ .
- $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]]$ : the Iwasawa algebra of  $\Gamma$ .
- For a field  $F$ , we set  $G_F = \text{Gal}(F^{\text{sep}}/F)$ .

# Kato's zeta morphisms

- $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_1(N))$  : a normalized Hecke eigen cusp newform of level  $N \geq 1$ , weight  $k \geq 2$  with a neben type character  $\chi_f : (\mathbb{Z}/N)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .
- $\rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$  : a Galois representation associated to  $f$ , i.e. odd and unramified outside  $\Sigma_f = \text{prime}(N) \cup \{p\}$  satisfying

$$\text{tr}(\rho_f(\text{Frob}_\ell)) = a_\ell$$

for all  $\ell \notin \Sigma_f$ .

- $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \rho_f^*(1)) := \varprojlim_{m \geq 0} H^i(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np, \zeta_{p^m}], \rho_f^*(1))$ .
- $\mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1)) := H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \rho_f^*(1))$ ,

$$\mathbf{H}^2(\rho_f^*(1)) := \text{Ker}(H_{\text{Iw}}^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/Np], \rho_f^*(1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell \in \Sigma_f \setminus \{p\}} H_{\text{Iw}}^2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f^*(1))).$$

These are  $\Lambda$ -modules.

Kato defined a non zero Euler system, i.e.

$$\{z_{np^m} \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{np^m}), \rho_f^*(1))\}_{m \geq 0, n \geq 1, (n, Np)=1}$$

satisfying the norm relation.

### Theorem (12.4 of Kato (04))

- $\mathbf{H}^2(\rho_f^*(1))$  is a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module.
- $\mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1))$ ) is free of rank one over  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (resp. free over  $\Lambda$  if  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is absolutely irreducible).

We can define

$$\{z_{p^m}\}_{m \geq 1} \in \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1)),$$

but it is **not canonical**,  $\{z_{np^m}\}_{n,m}$  depends on many choices  $c, d \geq 2$  s.t.  $(cd, 6pN) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$  and  $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , etc (cf. Kato(04)).

Dividing its dependent factors (and the  $L$ -factors at the bad primes  $\ell \in \Sigma_f \setminus \{p\}$ ), Kato obtained the following :

### Theorem (12.5 of Kato (04))

(1)  $\exists$  a **canonical**  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map (**zeta morphism** for  $f$ )

$$\mathbf{z}(f): \rho_f^* \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

interpolating, via Bloch-Kato's dual exponentials and period maps, all the critical values of

$$L_{\{p\}}(f, \chi, s) = \sum_{n=1, (n,p)=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \chi(n)}{n^s}$$

for all the finite characters  $\chi: \Gamma(\overset{\sim}{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

(2) If  $p$  is odd and  $\bar{\rho}_f = \rho_f \pmod{\varpi}$  is absolutely irreducible,

$$\text{Char}_\Lambda(\mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1))/\Lambda \cdot \text{Im}(\mathbf{z}(f))) \subseteq \text{Char}_\Lambda(\mathbf{H}^2(\rho_f^*(1)))$$

## Conjecture (12.10 of Kato (04), Kato (93))

(1) (Kato main conjecture, KMC)

$$\text{Char}_\Lambda(\mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1))/\Lambda \cdot \text{Im}(\mathbf{z}(f))) = \text{Char}_\Lambda(\mathbf{H}^2(\rho_f^*(1)))$$

(2) Such **zeta morphisms exist for all the families of  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$**  which are unramified outside a finite set of primes.

- When  $\pi_p(f)$  is non supercuspidal, KMC is equivalent to the usual Iwasawa main conjecture (IMC), i.e. the equality

$$(p\text{-adic } L\text{-function}) = \text{Char}_\Lambda((\text{cyclotomic Selmer group})^\vee),$$

formulated by Mazur (72), Greenberg (89), Pollack (03)-Kobayashi (03), Lei-Loeffler-Zerbes (10), etc.

- **KMC is formulated for arbitrary  $f$** , e.g. for  $f$  s.t.  $\pi_p(f)$  is supercuspidal.

# Zeta morphisms for rank two universal deformations

- $\Sigma$ : a finite set of primes containing  $p$ .
- $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ : odd, absolutely irreducible, unramified outside  $\Sigma$ .
- $\mathrm{Comp}(\mathcal{O})$ : the category of commutative local Noetherian complete  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with finite residue field.
- $\rho_{\Sigma}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\Sigma})$ : the universal deformation for the deformations  $\rho: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(A)$  ( $A \in \mathrm{Comp}(\mathcal{O})$ ) s.t.  $\rho(\mathrm{mod} \mathfrak{m}_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} A/\mathfrak{m}_A$ , unramified outside  $\Sigma$  (no condition at the primes in  $\Sigma$ ).
- $X_{\Sigma}(\bar{\rho}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}\text{-alg}}^{\mathrm{cont}}(R_{\Sigma}, \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ .
- $X_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{mod}}(\bar{\rho})$ : the subset of modular points.
- For  $\ell \notin \Sigma$ , we set

$$P_{\ell}(T) = \det(1 - \mathrm{Frob}_{\ell} \cdot T \mid \rho_{\Sigma}) \in R_{\Sigma}[T].$$

- For  $f \in S_k^{\mathrm{new}}(\Gamma_1(N))$  and  $\ell \neq p$ , we set

$$P_{f,\ell}(T) = \det(1 - \mathrm{Frob}_{\ell} \cdot T \mid \rho_f^{I_{\ell}}) \in \mathcal{O}[T].$$

## Theorem (Main Theorem, Nakamura (20))

Assume the following:

- (i)  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}}$  is absolutely irreducible, (ii)  $p \geq 5$ , (iii)  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]}(\bar{\rho}) = \mathbb{F}$ ,
- (iv)  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is not of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_p^{\pm 1} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  ( $\eta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$ ).

Then,  $\exists R_\Sigma$ -linear map

$$Z_{\Sigma,n} : \rho_\Sigma^* \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/\Sigma_n, \zeta_n], \rho_\Sigma^*(1))$$

for each  $n \geq 1$  s.t.  $(n, \Sigma) = 1$  ( $\Sigma_n = \Sigma \cup \text{prime}(n)$ ), satisfying :

- (1)  $\text{Cor} \circ Z_{\Sigma, n\ell} = \begin{cases} Z_{\Sigma, n} & \text{if } \ell|n \\ P_\ell(\text{Frob}_\ell) \cdot Z_{\Sigma, n} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (2)  $x_f^*(Z_{\Sigma, 1}) = \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma \setminus \{p\}} P_{f, \ell}(\text{Frob}_\ell) \cdot \mathbf{z}(f)$  for arbitrary  $x_f \in X_\Sigma^{\text{mod}}(\bar{\rho})$   
(this is an equality as a map  $\rho_f^* \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_f^*(1))$ ).

Namely,  $Z_{\Sigma, 1}$  interpolates zeta elements which are related with

$$L_\Sigma(f, \chi, s) = \sum_{n=1, (n, \Sigma)=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \chi(n)}{n^s}.$$



Some works on zeta morphisms (or Euler systems) for families.

- Ochiai (06), **Fukaya-Kato** (12): Hida families (of ordinary  $p$ -adic modular forms).
- Hansen (15), Ochiai (17), Wang (13), Benois-Buyukboduk (21) : Coleman-Mazur eigencurves (families of overconvergent of  $p$ -finite slope modular forms).
- Fouquet, Wang: for universal deformations.
- **Colmez-Wang** (21) : similar results (essentially same (?), but different proof).

# Application to KMC

For  $f_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f_i)q^n \in S_{k_i}^{\text{new}}(N_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we say that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are **congruent** if

$$a_\ell(f_1) \equiv a_\ell(f_2) \pmod{\varpi}$$

for all but finitely many primes  $\ell$  ( $\iff \bar{\rho}_{f_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\rho}_{f_2}$  if  $\bar{\rho}_{f_1}$  is abs irr).

## Corollary

Assume that  $\bar{\rho}_{f_1}$  satisfies all the assumptions in Main Theorem. For  $\Sigma := \text{prime}(N_1) \cup \text{prime}(N_2) \cup \{p\}$ , one has

$$\prod_{\ell \in \Sigma \setminus \{p\}} P_{f_1, \ell}(\text{Frob}_\ell) \cdot \mathbf{z}(f_1) \equiv \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma \setminus \{p\}} P_{f_2, \ell}(\text{Frob}_\ell) \cdot \mathbf{z}(f_2) \pmod{\varpi}.$$

(equality as a map  $\bar{\rho}_{f_1}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\bar{\rho}_{f_1}^*(1))$ )

Remark Kim-Lee-Ponsinet (19) (essentially) proved that such a congruence between zeta morphisms implies the equivalence of KMC.

## Corollary

Assume  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are congruent,  $\bar{\rho}_{f_1}$  satisfies all the assumptions in Main theorem, and

$$\mathbf{z}(f_1) \pmod{\varpi} \neq 0.$$

Then one also has

$$\mathbf{z}(f_2) \pmod{\varpi} \neq 0,$$

and one has the following equivalence

$$\text{KMC for } f_1 \text{ holds} \iff \text{KMC for } f_2 \text{ holds.}$$

- I expect that the assumption  $\mathbf{z}(f_1) \pmod{\varpi} \neq 0$  always holds.

Known results (Assume that  $\bar{\rho}_{f_1}$  is absolutely irreducible and  $\mu(f_1) = 0$ )

- Greenberg-Vatsal (00): congruent elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  with good ordinary reduction at  $p$  (i.e. of weight two).
- Emerton-Pollack-Weston (06): congruent eigenforms which are ordinary at  $p$  (of arbitrary weights).
- many related results in many related settings  $\dots$
- Kim-Lee-Ponsinet (19): congruent eigenforms which are of finite slope (not ordinary in general) but with a fixed weight  $2 \leq k \leq p - 1$ .
- (Na): all the congruent eigenforms with **arbitrary levels and weights**.

Therefore, we can compare (under the assumption that  $\mathbf{z}(f_1) \bmod \varpi \neq 0$ )

known IMC (=KMC) for ordinary case (Kato, Skinner-Urban),

or of finite slope case (Kato, X.Wan,,,,)

with

unknown KMC, e.g. for supercuspidal case.

# The proof of the main theorem

We mainly explain how to construct our zeta morphism (for  $n = 1$ )

$$Z_{\Sigma} := Z_{\Sigma,1} : \rho_{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_{\Sigma}^*(1)).$$

Idea of the proof

Combine

Fukaya-Kato's method

with

the  $p$ -adic Langlands correspondence for  $\mathrm{GL}_{2/F}$  for  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$

(Colmez (10), Emerton (11), Paskunas (13), (16), Emerton-Helm (14)).

# Fukaya-Kato's construction for Hida families

Set  $H^1(Y_1(N)) = H^1(Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{O})$ , etc.

## Theorem (Fukaya-Kato (12))

There exists a canonical Hecke equivariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$\mathbf{z}_{1,N}: H^1(Y_1(N)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(H^1(Y_1(N))(1)) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$$

interpolating the operator valued  $L$ -functions  $\sum_{n \geq 1, (n,p)=1} \frac{T_n \chi(n)}{n^s}$  acting on  $H^1(Y_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ .

We can take the limit

$$\mathbf{z}_{1,Np^\infty} : \varprojlim_{m \geq 0} H^1(Y_1(Np^m)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\varprojlim_{m \geq 0} H^1(Y_1(Np^m))(1)) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda[1/\lambda]$$

for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Applying Hida's ordinary projection defined using  $U_p$ -operator, we can obtain **the zeta morphisms for Hida families**.

# A refined local-global compatibility (Emerton)

For each  $N_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\text{prime}(N_0) = \Sigma \setminus \{p\} =: \Sigma_0$ , we set

$$\tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N_0)) := \varprojlim_{m \geq 0} H^1(Y(N_0 p^m))(1)$$

w.r.t. the corestrictions  $H^1(Y(N_0 p^{m+1}))(1) \rightarrow H^1(Y(N_0 p^m))(1)$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), and

$$\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma}^{BM} := \varinjlim_{N_0} \tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N_0))$$

w.r.t. the restrictions  $\tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N_0)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N'_0))$  for  $N_0 | N'_0$ .

We set  $G_\ell = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ ,  $G_\Sigma = \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma} G_\ell$ ,  $G_{\Sigma_0} = \prod_{\ell \in \Sigma_0} G_\ell$ .

$\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma}^{BM}$  is equipped with actions of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $G_\Sigma$ , and (homological) Hecke actions at the primes  $\ell \notin \Sigma$ . Using its Hecke actions, one can define its  $\bar{\rho}$ -part

$$\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}$$

which is a topological  $R_\Sigma[G_{\mathbb{Q}} \times G_\Sigma]$ -module.

The following is the dual version of Emerton's theorem.

### Theorem (A refined local-global compatibility, Emerton (11))

There exists a topological  $R_\Sigma[G_\mathbb{Q} \times G_\Sigma]$ -linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_p^* \otimes_{R_\Sigma} \rho_\Sigma^* \otimes_{R_\Sigma} \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0},$$

where

- $\Pi_p$  is the representation of  $G_p$  corresponding to  $\rho_\Sigma|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ ,
- $\pi_{\Sigma_0}$  is the representation of  $G_{\Sigma_0}$  corresponding to  $\{\rho_\Sigma|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}\}_{\ell \in \Sigma_0}$

by the family version of  $p$ -adic local Langlands correspondence defined by Colmez (10) (+many people) for  $\Pi_p$  and Emerton-Helm (14) for  $\pi_{\Sigma_0}$ .



## Proposition (Na)

For each  $N_0 \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  as before, there exists a canonical Hecke equivariant  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$\mathbf{z}_{N_0 p^m, \bar{\rho}}: H^1(Y(N_0 p^m))_{\bar{\rho}}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(H^1(Y(N_0 p^m))_{\bar{\rho}}(2))$$

characterized by a similar interpolation property using the  **$L$ -functions removing its Euler factors at all  $\ell \in \Sigma$** , which is compatible with corestrictions for  $m \geq 1$  and restrictions for  $N_0$ .

- (A subtle point) We can define the map  $\mathbf{z}_{N_0 p^m, \bar{\rho}}$  over  $\Lambda$  (not over  $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ ) after taking the  $\bar{\rho}$ -part.

By this integrality and the compatibilities, we can define the following maps.

We set

$$\mathbf{z}_{N_0 p^\infty, \bar{\rho}} := \varprojlim_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{z}_{N_0 p^m, \bar{\rho}}: \tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N_0))_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{H}_1^{BM}(K_{\Sigma_0}(N_0))_{\bar{\rho}}(1))$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \bar{\rho}} := \varinjlim_{N_0} \mathbf{z}_{N_0 p^\infty, \bar{\rho}}: \tilde{H}_{1, \Sigma, \bar{\rho}}^{BM} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{H}_{1, \Sigma, \bar{\rho}}^{BM}(1)).$$

## Proposition (Na)

The map  $\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma, \bar{\rho}}$  is continuous and  $R_\Sigma[G_\Sigma]$ -linear.

- All the equivariances for Fukaya-Kato's and our maps follow from the interpolation property, which follows from Kato's very deep result, i.e. **the explicit reciprocity law**.

# Factoring out the $\rho_{\Sigma}^*$ -part from $\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}$

Since one has an isomorphism

$$\psi_1: \tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_p^* \otimes_{R_{\Sigma}} \rho_{\Sigma}^* \otimes_{R_{\Sigma}} \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0},$$

it suffices to remove  $\Pi_p^*$ - and  $\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}$ -parts.

Removing  $\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}$ -part: For  $\pi$  a smooth admissible representation of  $G_{\ell}$  ( $\ell \neq p$ ) defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , we set  $\Psi_{\ell}(\pi)$  the largest quotient on which

$U_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  acts by a fixed non-trivial additive character  $U_{\ell} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ .

Emerton-Helm extended this exact functor for smooth admissible representations of  $G_{\ell}$  defined over more general  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebras, e.g. for  $\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}$ . We set

$$\Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}) := \Psi_{\ell_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{\ell_d}(\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0})$$

for  $\Sigma_0 = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ . By the characterization property of their correspondence, one has a  $R_{\Sigma}$ -linear map

$$\psi_2: \Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{\Sigma} \quad (\text{genericity of } \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}).$$

## Removing $\Pi_p^*$ -part:

- $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ : the category which is the Pontryagin dual of the category of locally admissible  $G_p$ -representations on torsion  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules (Emerton).
- $\rho_p: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R_p)$ : the universal deformation of  $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ .
- $\Pi_p^{\mathrm{univ}}$ : the representation of  $G_p$  over  $R_p$  corresponding to  $\rho_p$ .

### Theorem (Paskunas (13), a very rough form)

- $P := (\Pi_p^{\mathrm{univ}})^*$  is a projective object in  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ .
- $R_p = \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(P)$ .

By the universality for  $\rho_p$ , one has  $R_p \rightarrow R_\Sigma$  and

$$\Pi_p^* \xrightarrow{\sim} P \hat{\otimes}_{R_p} R_\Sigma.$$

Hence, one also has

$$\psi_1: \tilde{H}_{1, \Sigma, \bar{\rho}}^{BM} \xrightarrow{\sim} P \hat{\otimes}_{R_p} \rho_\Sigma^* \otimes_{R_\Sigma} \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_0}.$$

## Definition of $Z_\Sigma$

The isomorphisms  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  induce the following isomorphisms.

### Corollary

- One has  $\Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(P, \Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_\Sigma^*.$$

- One has  $\Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}(1))) \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})$ , and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(P, \Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}(1)))) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_\Sigma^*(1)).$$

Applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(P, \Psi_{\Sigma_0}(-))$  to the continuous  $R_\Sigma[G_\Sigma]$ -linear map

$$\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}: \tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\tilde{H}_{1,\Sigma,\bar{\rho}}^{BM}(1)),$$

we can finally define

$$Z_\Sigma := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O})}(P, \Psi_{\Sigma_0}(\mathbf{z}_{\Sigma,\bar{\rho}})): \rho_\Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(\rho_\Sigma^*(1)).$$