# Level raising via unitary Shimura varieties with good reduction and an Ihara lemma

#### Yifeng Liu

Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics
Zhejiang University

Shimura Varieties and L-functions MSRI

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$\mathtt{f} = \mathtt{q} + \mathsf{a}_2 \mathtt{q}^2 + \mathsf{a}_3 \mathtt{q}^3 + \dots \in \mathit{O}_L[[\mathtt{q}]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L\subseteq\mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L. Take a prime number  $p\nmid \Sigma\ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\rho}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma\rho$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\rho} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathit{O}_{L} \rightarrow \mathit{O}_{L}/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\mathtt{f}}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Take a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma p$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\rho} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} O_L \to O_L/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\mathtt{f}}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Let  $X_0(\Sigma)$  be the modular curve of level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $Y_0(\Sigma) \coloneqq X_0(\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $S_0(\Sigma)$  the set of supersingular locus in  $Y_0(\Sigma)$ , which is a finite union of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ .

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Take a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma p$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\rho} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} O_L \to O_L/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\rm f}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Let  $X_0(\Sigma)$  be the modular curve of level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $Y_0(\Sigma) \coloneqq X_0(\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $S_0(\Sigma)$  the set of supersingular locus in  $Y_0(\Sigma)$ , which is a finite union of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . In particular, we have the absolute cycle class map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)] \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y_0(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\rho^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1)),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \coloneqq \mathit{O}_{\mathit{L}_{\lambda}}$ .

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Take a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma p$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\rho} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} O_L \to O_L/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\mathtt{f}}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Let  $X_0(\Sigma)$  be the modular curve of level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $Y_0(\Sigma) \coloneqq X_0(\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $S_0(\Sigma)$  the set of supersingular locus in  $Y_0(\Sigma)$ , which is a finite union of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . In particular, we have the absolute cycle class map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)] \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y_0(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\rho^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1)),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \coloneqq O_{L_{\lambda}}$ .

### Theorem (Ribet)

Suppose that f mod  $\lambda$  is non-Eisenstein. Then the localized map  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$  is surjective.

Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Take a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma p$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} O_L \to O_L/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\rm f}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Let  $X_0(\Sigma)$  be the modular curve of level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $Y_0(\Sigma) \coloneqq X_0(\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $S_0(\Sigma)$  the set of supersingular locus in  $Y_0(\Sigma)$ , which is a finite union of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . In particular, we have the absolute cycle class map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)] \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y_0(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\rho^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1)),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \coloneqq O_{L_{\lambda}}$ .

### Theorem (Ribet)

Suppose that f  $\mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein. Then the localized map  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{f}}}$  is surjective.

Here, that f  $\mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein means that the Galois representation associated with f remains irreducible after modulo  $\lambda$ 



Consider a positive integer  $\Sigma$ , a cusp newform

$$f = q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \cdots \in O_L[[q]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$ , and rationality field  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\ell$ -adic prime  $\lambda$  of L.

Take a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  and denote by  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p}$  the unramified Hecke algebra away-from- $\Sigma p$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma p} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathrm{f}}} O_L \to O_L/\lambda,$$

where  $\phi_{\mathrm{f}}$  is the Satake homomorphism determined by f.

Let  $X_0(\Sigma)$  be the modular curve of level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Put  $Y_0(\Sigma) \coloneqq X_0(\Sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $S_0(\Sigma)$  the set of supersingular locus in  $Y_0(\Sigma)$ , which is a finite union of  $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . In particular, we have the absolute cycle class map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)] \to \mathrm{H}^2(Y_0(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1)),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \coloneqq O_{L_{\lambda}}$ .

#### Theorem (Ribet)

Suppose that f  $\mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein. Then the localized map  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}_f}$  is surjective.

Here, that f  $\mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein means that the Galois representation associated with f remains irreducible after modulo  $\lambda$ . When this is the case,  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{f}}}$  is same as the map

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{f}}} : \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)]_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{f}}}^{\mathsf{deg}=0} \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}, \mathrm{H}^1(Y_0(\Sigma) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{f}}}).$$

We say that a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  is a level raising prime for f modulo  $\lambda$  if

$$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 \mod \lambda$$

holds.

We say that a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  is a level raising prime for f modulo  $\lambda$  if

$$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 \mod \lambda$$

holds. By the Eichler-Shimura relation, it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\rho^2},\mathrm{H}^1(Y_0(\Sigma)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\rho},\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{f}}})\neq 0$$

if and only if p is a level raising prime.

We say that a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  is a level raising prime for f modulo  $\lambda$  if

$$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 \mod \lambda$$

holds. By the Eichler-Shimura relation, it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\rho^2},\mathrm{H}^1(\mathit{Y}_0(\Sigma)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\rho},\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}})\neq 0$$

if and only if p is a level raising prime. In the context of Ribet's theorem, we know that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)]_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}}^0 \neq 0$$

when p is a level raising prime.

We say that a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  is a **level raising prime** for f modulo  $\lambda$  if

$$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 \mod \lambda$$

holds. By the Eichler-Shimura relation, it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{\rho^2},\mathrm{H}^1(Y_0(\Sigma)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\rho},\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{f}}})\neq 0$$

if and only if p is a level raising prime. In the context of Ribet's theorem, we know that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)]_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}}^0 \neq 0$$

when p is a level raising prime.

Let B be the unique quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb Q$  ramified at  $\{\infty, p\}$ . Then it is well-known that there is a canonical Hecke equivariant isomorphism

$$S_0(\Sigma) \simeq B^{\times} \backslash \widehat{B}^{\times} / \widehat{R_{\Sigma}}^{\times}$$

of sets, where  $R_{\Sigma}$  is an order of B of relative discriminant  $\Sigma$ .

We say that a prime number  $p \nmid \Sigma \ell$  is a **level raising prime** for f modulo  $\lambda$  if

$$a_p^2 \equiv (p+1)^2 \mod \lambda$$

holds. By the Eichler-Shimura relation, it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{F}_{\rho^{2}},\mathrm{H}^{1}(Y_{0}(\Sigma)\otimes\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p},\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(1))_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{f}}})\neq0$$

if and only if p is a level raising prime. In the context of Ribet's theorem, we know that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S_0(\Sigma)]_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}}^0 \neq 0$$

when p is a level raising prime.

Let B be the unique quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  ramified at  $\{\infty, p\}$ . Then it is well-known that there is a canonical Hecke equivariant isomorphism

$$S_0(\Sigma) \simeq B^{\times} \backslash \widehat{B}^{\times} / \widehat{R_{\Sigma}}^{\times}$$

of sets, where  $R_{\Sigma}$  is an order of B of relative discriminant  $\Sigma$ . By the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, we obtain a cusp newform

$$\mathtt{f}' = \mathtt{q} + \mathtt{a}_2' \mathtt{q}^2 + \mathtt{a}_3' \mathtt{q}^3 + \dots \in \mathit{O}_{L'}[[\mathtt{q}]]$$

of weight 2, level  $\Gamma_0(\Sigma p)$ , and rationality field  $L' \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , satisfying

- $a_{p}' = \pm 1$ ;
- for a certain prime  $\lambda'$  of L' such that  $O_{L'}/\lambda' \subseteq O_L/\lambda$ ,  $a_{\nu}' \mod \lambda' = a_{\nu} \mod \lambda$  holds for every prime number  $v \nmid \Sigma p$ . イロメ イ御 とくきとくきとしき

#### Consider

ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- ullet a positive integer N,

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime  $\mathfrak p$  of  $F^+$  that is **inert** in F and such that  $G:=\operatorname{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb Q}\operatorname{U}(V)$  is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of  $\mathfrak p$ ).

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime p of F<sup>+</sup> that is inert in F and such that G := Res<sub>F<sup>+</sup>/Q</sub> U(V) is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of p).

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  that induces the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let q be the residue cardinality of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  so that  $q = p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ . Fix a hyperspecial maximal subgroup  $K_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime p of F<sup>+</sup> that is inert in F and such that G := Res<sub>F<sup>+</sup>/Q</sub> U(V) is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of p).

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}\simeq\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  that induces the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let q be the residue cardinality of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  so that  $q=p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ . Fix a hyperspecial maximal subgroup  $K_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

To technically simplify this talk, we pretend that there exists a complex abelian variety with complex multiplication by  $O_F$  that can be defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ .

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime  $\mathfrak p$  of  $F^+$  that is **inert** in F and such that  $G := \operatorname{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb Q} \operatorname{U}(V)$  is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of  $\mathfrak p$ ).

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}\simeq\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  that induces the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let q be the residue cardinality of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  so that  $q=p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ . Fix a hyperspecial maximal subgroup  $K_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

To technically simplify this talk, we pretend that there exists a complex abelian variety with complex multiplication by  $O_F$  that can be defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ . In other words, we may fix a CM type  $\Phi$  containing the default place  $\tau\colon F\subseteq\mathbb{C}$  and a triple  $(A_0,i_0,\lambda_0)$  where

- $A_0$  is an abelian scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  of dimension  $[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i_0: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A_0)$  is a CM structure of CM type  $\Phi$ ;
- \(\lambda\_0: A\_0 \rightarrow A\_0^\sigma\) is a p-principal polarization under which \(i\_0\) turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution.

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime p of F<sup>+</sup> that is inert in F and such that G := Res<sub>F<sup>+</sup>/Q</sub> U(V) is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of p).

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}\simeq\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  that induces the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let q be the residue cardinality of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  so that  $q=p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ . Fix a hyperspecial maximal subgroup  $K_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

To technically simplify this talk, we pretend that there exists a complex abelian variety with complex multiplication by  $O_F$  that can be defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ . In other words, we may fix a CM type  $\Phi$  containing the default place  $\tau\colon F\subseteq\mathbb{C}$  and a triple  $(A_0,i_0,\lambda_0)$  where

- $A_0$  is an abelian scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  of dimension  $[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i_0: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A_0)$  is a CM structure of CM type  $\Phi$ ;
- $\lambda_0$ :  $A_0 \to A_0^{\vee}$  is a *p*-principal polarization under which  $i_0$  turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution.

Under such simplification, we may define the Shimura variety associated with G over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  via a certain moduli interpretation, following Rapoport–Smithling–Zhang.

#### Consider

- ullet a CM number field  $F\subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , with  $F^+\subseteq F$  its maximal totally real subfield,
- a positive integer N,
- ullet a (nondegenerate) hermitian space V over  $F/F^+$  that has signature (N-1,1) at the default real place and signature (N,0) at all others,
- a prime p of  $F^+$  that is **inert** in F and such that  $G := \operatorname{Res}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{U}(V)$  is unramified at p (the underlying prime number of p).

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}\simeq\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  that induces the place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let q be the residue cardinality of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$  so that  $q=p^{[F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$ . Fix a hyperspecial maximal subgroup  $K_p$  of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

To technically simplify this talk, we pretend that there exists a complex abelian variety with complex multiplication by  $O_F$  that can be defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ . In other words, we may fix a CM type  $\Phi$  containing the default place  $\tau\colon F\subseteq\mathbb{C}$  and a triple  $(A_0,i_0,\lambda_0)$  where

- $A_0$  is an abelian scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  of dimension  $[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i_0: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A_0)$  is a CM structure of CM type  $\Phi$ ;
- $\lambda_0$ :  $A_0 \to A_0^{\vee}$  is a *p*-principal polarization under which  $i_0$  turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution.

Under such simplification, we may define the Shimura variety associated with G over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  via a certain moduli interpretation, following Rapoport–Smithling–Zhang. Namely, for every neat open compact subgroup  $K^p\subseteq G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ , we have a scheme  $X(K^p)$ , quasi-projective and smooth over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$  of relative dimension N-1, such that

$$X(K^p)(\mathbb{C}) \simeq G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash D_{\infty} \times G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty}) / K^p K_p,$$

where  $D_{\infty}$  denotes the hermitian symmetric domain of negative complex lines in  $V \otimes_{F,\tau} \mathbb{C}$ .

For every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ ,  $X(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A, i, \lambda, \eta^p)$  where

- A is an abelian scheme over T of dimension N[F<sup>+</sup> : ℚ];
- $i: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A)$  is an action of  $O_F$  such that for every  $a \in O_F$ , the characteristic polynomial for the action of i(a) on the Lie algebra of A is given by

$$(X-a)^{N-1}(X-\overline{a})\prod_{\tau'\in\Phi\setminus\{\tau\}}(X-\tau'(a))^N;$$

- $\lambda \colon A \to A^{\vee}$  is a *p*-principal polarization under which *i* turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution;
- η<sup>p</sup> is a K<sup>p</sup>-level structure, that is, for a chosen geometric point t on every connected component of T, a π<sub>1</sub>(T,t)-invariant K<sup>p</sup>-orbit of isometries

$$\eta^p \colon V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\lambda_0,\lambda}(\mathrm{H}_1(A_{0t},\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}),\mathrm{H}_1(A_t,\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}))$$

of hermitian spaces over  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}/F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ .

For every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ ,  $X(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A, i, \lambda, \eta^p)$  where

- A is an abelian scheme over T of dimension N[F<sup>+</sup> : ℚ];
- $i: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A)$  is an action of  $O_F$  such that for every  $a \in O_F$ , the characteristic polynomial for the action of i(a) on the Lie algebra of A is given by

$$(X-a)^{N-1}(X-\overline{a})\prod_{\tau'\in\Phi\setminus\{\tau\}}(X-\tau'(a))^N;$$

- $\lambda \colon A \to A^{\vee}$  is a *p*-principal polarization under which *i* turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution;
- η<sup>p</sup> is a K<sup>p</sup>-level structure, that is, for a chosen geometric point t on every connected component of T, a π<sub>1</sub>(T, t)-invariant K<sup>p</sup>-orbit of isometries

$$\eta^p \colon V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\lambda_0,\lambda}(\mathrm{H}_1(A_{0t},\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}),\mathrm{H}_1(A_t,\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}))$$

of hermitian spaces over  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}/F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ .

Put 
$$Y(K^p) := X(K^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}} \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$
.



For every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ ,  $X(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A, i, \lambda, \eta^p)$  where

- A is an abelian scheme over T of dimension N[F<sup>+</sup> : ℚ];
- $i: O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A)$  is an action of  $O_F$  such that for every  $a \in O_F$ , the characteristic polynomial for the action of i(a) on the Lie algebra of A is given by

$$(X-a)^{N-1}(X-\overline{a})\prod_{\tau'\in\Phi\setminus\{\tau\}}(X-\tau'(a))^N;$$

- $\lambda \colon A \to A^{\vee}$  is a *p*-principal polarization under which *i* turns the complex conjugation into the Rosati involution;
- η<sup>p</sup> is a K<sup>p</sup>-level structure, that is, for a chosen geometric point t on every connected component of T, a π<sub>1</sub>(T,t)-invariant K<sup>p</sup>-orbit of isometries

$$\eta^p \colon V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\lambda_0,\lambda}(\mathrm{H}_1(A_{0t},\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}),\mathrm{H}_1(A_t,\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}))$$

of hermitian spaces over  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}/F^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$ .

Put 
$$Y(K^p) \coloneqq X(K^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}} \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$$
.

Denote by  $Y(K^p)^b$  the **basic locus** of  $Y(K^p)$ , that is, the closed locus where the  $O_{F_p}$ -divisible group  $A[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$  is supersingular.

To describe  $Y(K^p)^{\operatorname{b}}$ , we construct the so-called basic correspondence.

To describe  $Y(K^p)^{\mathrm{b}}$ , we construct the so-called basic correspondence. We fix a totally positive element  $\varpi \in O_{F^+}$  that has valuation 1 at  $\mathfrak p$  and 0 at other p-adic places.

To describe  $Y(K^p)^{\mathrm{b}}$ , we construct the so-called basic correspondence. We fix a totally positive element  $\varpi \in O_{F^+}$  that has valuation 1 at  $\mathfrak p$  and 0 at other p-adic places.

We define a moduli problem  $S(K^p)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , such that for every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $S(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A',i',\lambda',\eta^{p\prime})$  where

- A' is an abelian scheme over T of dimension  $N[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i': O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A')$  is an action of  $O_F$  "with the characteristic polynomial"  $\prod_{\tau' \in \Phi} (X \tau'(a))^N$ ;
- $\lambda' : A' \to A'^{\vee}$  is an "i'-compatible" polarization such that  $\ker \lambda'[p^{\infty}]$  is trivial (resp. contained in  $A'[\mathfrak{p}]$  of rank  $q^2$ ) if N is odd (resp. even);
- $\eta^{p\prime}$ :  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\varpi \lambda_0,\lambda'}(\mathrm{H}_1(A_{0t},\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}),\mathrm{H}_1(A_t',\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}))$  is a  $K^p$ -level structure.

To describe  $Y(K^p)^b$ , we construct the so-called basic correspondence. We fix a totally positive element  $\varpi \in O_{F^+}$  that has valuation 1 at p and 0 at other p-adic places.

We define a moduli problem  $S(K^p)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , such that for every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $S(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A',i',\lambda',\eta^{p'})$  where

- A' is an abelian scheme over T of dimension  $N[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i': O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A')$  is an action of  $O_F$  "with the characteristic polynomial"  $\prod_{-'\in\Phi}(X-\tau'(a))^N$ ;
- $\lambda': A' \to A'^{\vee}$  is an "i'-compatible" polarization such that  $\ker \lambda'[p^{\infty}]$  is trivial (resp. contained in A'[p] of rank  $q^2$ ) if N is odd (resp. even);
- $\eta^{p\prime}$ :  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\varpi \lambda_0, \lambda'} (\mathsf{H}_1(A_{0t}, \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}), \mathsf{H}_1(A_t', \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}))$  is a  $K^p$ -level structure. It turns out that  $S(K^p)$  is a projective smooth scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_{a^2}$  of dimension 0.

4 日 × 4 周 × 4 国 × 4 国 × 1 国

To describe  $Y(K^p)^{\mathrm{b}}$ , we construct the so-called basic correspondence. We fix a totally positive element  $\varpi \in O_{F^+}$  that has valuation 1 at  $\mathfrak p$  and 0 at other p-adic places.

We define a moduli problem  $S(K^p)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , such that for every locally Noetherian scheme T over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $S(K^p)(T)$  is the set of equivalence classes of quadruples  $(A',i',\lambda',\eta^{p'})$  where

- A' is an abelian scheme over T of dimension  $N[F^+:\mathbb{Q}]$ ;
- $i': O_F \to \operatorname{End}(A')$  is an action of  $O_F$  "with the characteristic polynomial"  $\prod_{\tau' \in \Phi} (X \tau'(a))^N$ ;
- $\lambda' \colon A' \to A'^{\vee}$  is an "i'-compatible" polarization such that  $\ker \lambda'[p^{\infty}]$  is trivial (resp. contained in  $A'[\mathfrak{p}]$  of rank  $q^2$ ) if N is odd (resp. even);
- $\bullet \ \eta^{p\prime} \colon V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{Hom}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}}^{\varpi \lambda_0,\lambda'} (\mathrm{H}_1(A_{0t},\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}),\mathrm{H}_1(A_t',\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})) \text{ is a } K^p\text{-level structure}.$

It turns out that  $S(K^p)$  is a projective smooth scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  of dimension 0. We then define a moduli problem  $B(K^p)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  that parameterizes data

 $(A, i, \lambda, \eta^p; A', i', \lambda', \eta^{p\prime}; \alpha)$  where

- $(A, i, \lambda, \eta^p)$  is an object of  $Y(K^p)$ ;
- $(A', i', \lambda', \eta^{p'})$  is an object of  $S(K^p)$ ;
- $\alpha: A \to A'$  is an  $O_F$ -linear isogeny such that
  - $\ker \alpha[p^{\infty}]$  is contained in  $A[\mathfrak{p}]$ ;
  - $\varpi \cdot \lambda = \alpha^{\vee} \circ \lambda' \circ \alpha$ ; and
  - the  $K^p$ -orbit of maps  $v \mapsto \alpha_* \circ \eta^p(v)$  for  $v \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{A}^{\infty,p}$  coincides with  $\eta^{p'}$ .

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \xleftarrow{\pi} B(K^p) \xrightarrow{\iota} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B(K^p) \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

(1) The correspondence is equivariant with the obvious actions of Hecke operators away from p.

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B(K^p) \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

- (1) The correspondence is equivariant with the obvious actions of Hecke operators away from p.
- (2) The morphism  $\pi$  is projective smooth with fibers being certain irreducible Deligne–Lusztig varieties of dimension  $r:=\lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B(K^p) \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

- (1) The correspondence is equivariant with the obvious actions of Hecke operators away from p.
- (2) The morphism  $\pi$  is projective smooth with fibers being certain irreducible Deligne–Lusztig varieties of dimension  $r := \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$ .
- (3) The morphism  $\iota$  is locally a closed embedding, whose image is exactly  $Y(K^p)^b$ .

7 / 16

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B(K^p) \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

- (1) The correspondence is equivariant with the obvious actions of Hecke operators away from p.
- (2) The morphism  $\pi$  is projective smooth with fibers being certain irreducible Deligne–Lusztig varieties of dimension  $r := \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$ .
- (3) The morphism  $\iota$  is locally a closed embedding, whose image is exactly  $Y(K^p)^b$ .
- (4)  $S(K^p)$  is a finite copy of Spec  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  naturally indexed by the following double coset: Let V' be the totally positive definite hermitian space over  $F/F^+$  such that  $V'\otimes_{F^+}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}^+}^{\infty,\mathfrak{P}}\simeq V\otimes_{F^+}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}^+}^{\infty,\mathfrak{P}}$  (and fix such an isometry). Then the index set is

$$G'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G'(\mathbb{A}^{\infty})/K^{p}K'_{p}$$

where  $G' \coloneqq \operatorname{\mathsf{Res}}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{U}(V')$  and  $K'_p$  is a fixed maximal special subgroup of  $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

By forgetting, we have an obvious correspondence

$$S(K^p) \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} B(K^p) \stackrel{\iota}{\rightarrow} Y(K^p).$$

We have the following properties.

- (1) The correspondence is equivariant with the obvious actions of Hecke operators away from p.
- (2) The morphism  $\pi$  is projective smooth with fibers being certain irreducible Deligne–Lusztig varieties of dimension  $r := \lfloor \frac{N-1}{2} \rfloor$ .
- (3) The morphism  $\iota$  is locally a closed embedding, whose image is exactly  $Y(K^p)^b$ .
- (4)  $S(K^p)$  is a finite copy of Spec  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  naturally indexed by the following double coset: Let V' be the totally positive definite hermitian space over  $F/F^+$  such that  $V'\otimes_{F^+}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}^+}^{\infty,\mathfrak{P}}\simeq V\otimes_{F^+}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}^+}^{\infty,\mathfrak{P}}$  (and fix such an isometry). Then the index set is

$$G'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G'(\mathbb{A}^{\infty})/K^{p}K'_{p}$$

where  $G' \coloneqq \operatorname{\mathsf{Res}}_{F^+/\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{U}(V')$  and  $K'_p$  is a fixed maximal special subgroup of  $G'(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

In particular, the absolute cycle classes give a map

$$\iota_! \circ \pi^* \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r))$$

for any suitable coefficient ring  $\Lambda$ .



By the Hoschchild-Serre sequence, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{split} 0 &\to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \\ &\to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \to 0. \end{split}$$

By the Hoschchild-Serre sequence, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{split} &0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \\ &\to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \to 0. \end{split}$$

If we denote by  $\mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p),\Lambda)^\diamondsuit$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r)),$$

then we obtain the induced map

$$\alpha_{\textit{N}} \colon \mathrm{H}^{0}(\textit{S}(\textit{K}^{\textit{p}}), \Lambda)^{\diamondsuit} \to \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{F}_{\textit{q}^{2}}, \mathrm{H}^{2(\textit{N}-1-\textit{r})-1}(\textit{Y}(\textit{K}^{\textit{p}})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{\textit{p}}}, \Lambda(\textit{N}-1-\textit{r}))).$$

By the Hoschchild-Serre sequence, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{split} &0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \\ &\to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \to 0. \end{split}$$

If we denote by  $\mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p),\Lambda)^\diamondsuit$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r)),$$

then we obtain the induced map

$$\alpha_{N} \colon \mathrm{H}^{0}(S(K^{p}), \Lambda)^{\diamondsuit} \to \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}, \Lambda(N-1-r))).$$

In many cases, we are interested in the middle-degree (geometric) cohomology. More precisely,

By the Hoschchild-Serre sequence, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{split} &0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \\ &\to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \to 0. \end{split}$$

If we denote by  $\mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p),\Lambda)^\diamondsuit$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r)),$$

then we obtain the induced map

$$\alpha_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda)^\diamondsuit \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))).$$

In many cases, we are interested in the middle-degree (geometric) cohomology. More precisely,

• when N = 2r + 1, we are interested in the map  $\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(r))$ , namely, Tate cycles given by basic locus (which has been extensively studied by Xiao–Zhu);

By the Hoschchild-Serre sequence, we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{split} &0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))) \rightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

If we denote by  $\mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p),\Lambda)^\diamondsuit$  the kernel of the composite map

$$\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p), \Lambda(N-1-r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r)),$$

then we obtain the induced map

$$\alpha_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda)^\diamondsuit \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2(N-1-r)-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \Lambda(N-1-r))).$$

In many cases, we are interested in the middle-degree (geometric) cohomology. More precisely,

- when N=2r+1, we are interested in the map  $\gamma_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p),\Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p},\Lambda(r))$ , namely, Tate cycles given by basic locus (which has been extensively studied by Xiao–Zhu);
- when N=2r, we are interested in the map

$$\alpha_N \colon \mathrm{H}^0(S(K^p), \Lambda)^{\diamondsuit} \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}, \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(Y(K^p)_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \Lambda(r))).$$

The question of the surjective of  $\alpha_N$  after certain localization will be our analogue of Ribet's level raising theorem for the unitary Shimura variety  $X(K^p)$ .

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem. Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

9 / 16

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

Let  ${\bf f}, L$  and  $\lambda$  be as in the beginning of the talk. Ihara's lemma says that if  ${\bf f} \mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein, then the map

$$(f_*,f_*\circ i_*)\colon \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma p)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)\to \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)^{\oplus 2}$$

is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ .

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

Let  ${\bf f}, L$  and  $\lambda$  be as in the beginning of the talk. Ihara's lemma says that if  ${\bf f} \mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein, then the map

$$(f_*,f_*\circ i_*)\colon \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma\rho)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)\to \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)^{\oplus 2}$$

is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}$ . Ribet deduced his theorem from this lemma.

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

Let  ${\bf f}, L$  and  $\lambda$  be as in the beginning of the talk. Ihara's lemma says that if  ${\bf f} \mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein, then the map

$$(f_*,f_*\circ i_*)\colon \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma\rho)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)\to \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)^{\oplus 2}$$

is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ . Ribet deduced his theorem from this lemma.

Now we give an alternative interpretation of Ihara's lemma under the further assumption  $\ell \nmid (p+1)$ , which will be instructive later.

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

Let  ${\bf f}, L$  and  $\lambda$  be as in the beginning of the talk. Ihara's lemma says that if  ${\bf f} \mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein, then the map

$$(f_*,f_*\circ i_*)\colon \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma\rho)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)\to \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)^{\oplus 2}$$

is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ . Ribet deduced his theorem from this lemma.

Now we give an alternative interpretation of Ihara's lemma under the further assumption  $\ell \nmid (p+1)$ , which will be instructive later.

Put  $\mathcal{K} \coloneqq \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  be the standard upper-triangular lwahori subgroup. Then the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{K}]$ -module  $\mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}}\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$  admits a unique decomposition  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \oplus \Omega_{\lambda}$  in which  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ -module of rank p.

We recall Ihara's lemma for modular curve and its relation with Ribet's theorem.

Consider the modular curve  $X_0(\Sigma p)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , which admits a natural involution i and a natural finite morphism  $f: X_0(\Sigma p) \to X_0(\Sigma)$  of degree p+1.

Let  ${\bf f}, L$  and  $\lambda$  be as in the beginning of the talk. Ihara's lemma says that if  ${\bf f} \mod \lambda$  is non-Eisenstein, then the map

$$(f_*,f_*\circ i_*)\colon \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma\rho)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)\to \mathrm{H}^1(X_0(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\rho},\mathbb{Z}_\lambda)^{\oplus 2}$$

is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ . Ribet deduced his theorem from this lemma.

Now we give an alternative interpretation of Ihara's lemma under the further assumption  $\ell \nmid (p+1)$ , which will be instructive later.

Put  $\mathcal{K} \coloneqq \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  be the standard upper-triangular Iwahori subgroup. Then the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{K}]$ -module  $\mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}}\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$  admits a unique decomposition  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \oplus \Omega_{\lambda}$  in which  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ -module of rank p. Then the surjectivity of  $(f_*, f_* \circ i_*)$  localized at  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathtt{f}}$  is equivalent to the surjectivity of the composite map

$$\begin{split} \beta \colon \mathrm{H}^{1}(X_{0}(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \Omega_{\lambda}) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(X_{0}(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{1}(X_{0}(\Sigma p)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{f_{*} \circ i_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{1}(X_{0}(\Sigma)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \end{split}$$

localized at mf.



10 / 16

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ .

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the p-component of  $K_p$ , which is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+)$ . Fix a hermitian Siegel parahoric subgroup  $\mathcal{P}\subseteq\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the double coset in  $\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}$  that parameterizes a pair of Lagrangian subspaces with intersection of codimension 1.

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the p-component of  $K_p$ , which is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak{p}^+)$ . Fix a hermitian Siegel parahoric subgroup  $\mathcal{P}\subseteq\mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the double coset in  $\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}$  that parameterizes a pair of Lagrangian subspaces with intersection of codimension 1.

### Proposition

Suppose that  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^N (1-(-q)^i)$ . We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_{N,\lambda}^{j}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}]$ -modules in which  $\Omega^{j}_{N,\lambda}$  is the eigenspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with eigenvalue  $\frac{-(-q)^{N+1-j}-(-q)^{j}-q+1}{q^{2}-1}$  (the differences of these eigenvalues are all invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ).

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal K$  be the p-component of  $K_p$ , which is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathcal F_p^+)$ . Fix a hermitian Siegel parahoric subgroup  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$ . Let  $\mathcal Q$  be the double coset in  $\mathcal P\setminus\mathcal K/\mathcal P$  that parameterizes a pair of Lagrangian subspaces with intersection of codimension 1.

#### Proposition

Suppose that  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1-(-q)^i)$ . We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_{N,\lambda}^{j}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}]$ -modules in which  $\Omega^{j}_{N,\lambda}$  is the eigenspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with eigenvalue  $\frac{-(-q)^{N+1-j}-(-q)^{j}-q+1}{q^{2}-1}$  (the differences of these eigenvalues are all invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ).

By the above proposition, one can see easily that  $\Omega^j_{N,\lambda}$  is stable under the right translation of  $\mathcal{K}$ ; and in particular,  $\Omega^0_{N,\lambda}=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ .

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal K$  be the p-component of  $K_p$ , which is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_p^+)$ . Fix a hermitian Siegel parahoric subgroup  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$ . Let  $\mathcal Q$  be the double coset in  $\mathcal P\backslash\mathcal K/\mathcal P$  that parameterizes a pair of Lagrangian subspaces with intersection of codimension 1.

#### Proposition

Suppose that  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1-(-q)^i)$ . We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_{N,\lambda}^{j}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}]$ -modules in which  $\Omega^{j}_{N,\lambda}$  is the eigenspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with eigenvalue  $\frac{-(-q)^{N+1-j}-(-q)^{j}-q+1}{q^{2}-1}$  (the differences of these eigenvalues are all invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ).

By the above proposition, one can see easily that  $\Omega^j_{N,\lambda}$  is stable under the right translation of  $\mathcal{K}$ ; and in particular,  $\Omega^0_{N,\lambda}=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ .

It is the direct summand  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  that will play the role of the "Steinberg component"  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  in the modular curve case, if one wants to formulate the correct Ihara-type lemma for level raising for the unitary Shimura variety  $X(K^p)$ .

Now we assume that N=2r is **even** and that  $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$  for a finite extension  $\mathbb{Q}_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal K$  be the p-component of  $K_p$ , which is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_p^+)$ . Fix a hermitian Siegel parahoric subgroup  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$ . Let  $\mathcal Q$  be the double coset in  $\mathcal P\backslash\mathcal K/\mathcal P$  that parameterizes a pair of Lagrangian subspaces with intersection of codimension 1.

#### Proposition

Suppose that  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1-(-q)^i)$ . We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_{N,\lambda}^{j}$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{P}]$ -modules in which  $\Omega^{j}_{N,\lambda}$  is the eigenspace of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with eigenvalue  $\frac{-(-q)^{N+1-j}-(-q)^{j}-q+1}{q^{2}-1}$  (the differences of these eigenvalues are all invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ).

By the above proposition, one can see easily that  $\Omega'_{N,\lambda}$  is stable under the right translation of  $\mathcal{K}$ ; and in particular,  $\Omega^0_{N,\lambda}=\mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ .

It is the direct summand  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  that will play the role of the "Steinberg component"  $\Omega_{\lambda}$  in the modular curve case, if one wants to formulate the correct Ihara-type lemma for level raising for the unitary Shimura variety  $X(K^{\rho})$ .

It is a good exercise to show that  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ -module of rank  $q\frac{q^{N-1}+1}{q+1}$ .

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ .

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ . Then we have an involution i of  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  switching  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$ ; and a morphism  $f\colon\widetilde{X}(K^p)\to X(K^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}$  that remembers  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$ , which is finite étale.

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ . Then we have an involution i of  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  switching  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$ ; and a morphism  $f\colon\widetilde{X}(K^p)\to X(K^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}$  that remembers  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$ , which is finite étale.

From now on, we assume  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - (-q)^i)$ .

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ . Then we have an involution i of  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  switching  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$ ; and a morphism  $f\colon\widetilde{X}(K^p)\to X(K^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}$  that remembers  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$ , which is finite étale.

From now on, we assume  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - (-q)^i)$ . By the previous proposition, we have the composite map

$$\begin{split} \beta_{N} \colon \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{\rho})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \Omega^{1}_{N, \lambda}) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{\rho})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(\widetilde{X}(K^{\rho})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{f_{*} \circ i_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{\rho})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}). \end{split}$$

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ . Then we have an involution i of  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  switching  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$ ; and a morphism  $f\colon\widetilde{X}(K^p)\to X(K^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}$  that remembers  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$ , which is finite étale.

From now on, we assume  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - (-q)^i)$ . By the previous proposition, we have the composite map

$$\begin{split} \beta_{N} \colon \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \Omega^{1}_{N, \lambda}) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(\widetilde{X}(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{f_{*} \circ i_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}). \end{split}$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{T}_N^?$  the abstract spherical unitary Hecke algebra over  $F/F^+$  of rank N away from ?. Fix a finite set  $\Sigma$  of prime numbers not containing p, away from which  $K^p$  is hyperspecial. Then  $\mathbb{T}_N^{\Sigma \cup \{p\}}$  acts on  $X(K^p)$  via Hecke correspondences which are finite étale. Put  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^? := \mathbb{T}_N^? \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\lambda$ .

Let  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  be the moduli problem over  $\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}$  parameterizing pairs of objects  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$  of  $X(K^p)$  together with a compatible isogeny  $\psi\colon A_1\to A_2$  such that  $\ker\psi[p^\infty]$  is a Lagrangian subgroup of  $A_1[\mathfrak{p}]$ . Then we have an involution i of  $\widetilde{X}(K^p)$  switching  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$  and  $(A_2,i_2,\lambda_2,\eta_2^p)$ ; and a morphism  $f\colon\widetilde{X}(K^p)\to X(K^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}$  that remembers  $(A_1,i_1,\lambda_1,\eta_1^p)$ , which is finite étale.

From now on, we assume  $\ell \nmid q \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - (-q)^i)$ . By the previous proposition, we have the composite map

$$\begin{split} \beta_{N} \colon \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \Omega^{1}_{N, \lambda}) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathsf{Ind}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(\widetilde{X}(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{f_{*} \circ i_{*}} \mathrm{H}^{N-1}(X(K^{p})_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}). \end{split}$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{T}_N^7$  the abstract spherical unitary Hecke algebra over  $F/F^+$  of rank N away from ?. Fix a finite set  $\Sigma$  of prime numbers not containing p, away from which  $K^p$  is hyperspecial. Then  $\mathbb{T}_N^{\Sigma \cup \{p\}}$  acts on  $X(K^p)$  via Hecke correspondences which are finite étale. Put  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^2 := \mathbb{T}_N^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ .

#### Conjecture

Let  $\mathfrak m$  be a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb T^\Sigma_{N,\lambda}$  that is "non-Eisenstein" such that the Satake parameters mod  $\mathfrak m$  at  $\mathfrak p$  contain q at most once. Then the map  $\beta_N$  is surjective after localizing at  $\mathfrak m \cap \mathbb T^{\Sigma \cup \{p\}}_{N,\lambda}$ 

Relation with level raising

# Relation with level raising

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak m$  of  $\mathbb T_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak m}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak m}$ .

### Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak m$  of  $\mathbb T_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak m}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak m}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q = p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

#### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

#### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N.\lambda}^{\Sigma\setminus\{p^{\dagger}\}}$  satisfying

•  $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

#### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;

12 / 16

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

#### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;
- m<sup>†</sup> is "non-Eisenstein";

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;
- m<sup>†</sup> is "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p at most once and do not contain -1;

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q = p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_N^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;
- m<sup>†</sup> is "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p at most once and do not contain -1;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  contain  $p^{\dagger}$  exactly once and do not contain -1;

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;
- m<sup>†</sup> is "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p at most once and do not contain -1;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  contain  $p^{\dagger}$  exactly once and do not contain -1;
- some other technical conditions ...

# Theorem (L.–Tian–Xiao)

Suppose that p is odd and q=p. Then for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{p\}}$ , the surjectivity of  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  implies the surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

The assumption that p is odd and q=p is purely for technical reasons; one should be able to remove them.

#### Theorem (LTXZZ+LTX)

Consider a prime  $\mathfrak{p}^\dagger$  of  $F^+$  inert in F and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{N}^{\Sigma\setminus\{\rho^\dagger\}}$  satisfying

- $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}}^{+}=\mathbb{Q}_{p^{\dagger}}$  for an odd prime number  $p^{\dagger}$  unramified in F;
- V is not split at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  ( $\Rightarrow$   $p^{\dagger} \in \Sigma$ ) but splits at other  $p^{\dagger}$ -adic places of  $F^+$ ;
- m<sup>†</sup> is "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p at most once and do not contain -1;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}$  contain  $p^{\dagger}$  exactly once and do not contain -1;
- some other technical conditions ...

Put  $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}^{\dagger} \cap \mathbb{T}_{N,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{p\}}$ . Then  $(\beta_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is surjective; hence  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is surjective as well.

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

Recall that N=2r is even and  $\mathcal K$  is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_p^+)$  with  $\mathcal P\subseteq \mathcal K$  a Siegel parahoric subgroup. Similarly, write  $\mathcal K'$  for a special maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_p^+)$ .

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

Recall that N=2r is even and  $\mathcal K$  is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak p^+)$  with  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$  a Siegel parahoric subgroup. Similarly, write  $\mathcal K'$  for a special maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_\mathfrak p^+)$ .

Write  $\Omega^j_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  for the corresponding factor of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{K}]$  with complex coefficients for  $0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ .

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

Recall that N=2r is even and  $\mathcal K$  is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_{\mathfrak p}^+)$  with  $\mathcal P\subseteq \mathcal K$  a Siegel parahoric subgroup. Similarly, write  $\mathcal K'$  for a special maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_{\mathfrak p}^+)$ .

Write  $\Omega^j_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  for the corresponding factor of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{K}]$  with complex coefficients for  $0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ .

# Proposition

#### Define

• S to be the set of isomorphism classes of (complex) irreducible admissible representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^+)$  such that  $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}}$  contains  $\Omega^1_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  (hence  $\pi$  is semistable) and that the Satake parameters of  $\pi$  contain q;

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

Recall that N=2r is even and  $\mathcal K$  is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak p^+)$  with  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$  a Siegel parahoric subgroup. Similarly, write  $\mathcal K'$  for a special maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_\mathfrak p^+)$ .

Write  $\Omega^j_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  for the corresponding factor of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P}\backslash\mathcal{K}]$  with complex coefficients for  $0\leqslant j\leqslant r$ .

# Proposition

#### Define

- S to be the set of isomorphism classes of (complex) irreducible admissible representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak{p}^+)$  such that  $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}}$  contains  $\Omega^1_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  (hence  $\pi$  is semistable) and that the Satake parameters of  $\pi$  contain q;
- S' to be the set of isomorphism classes of (complex) irreducible admissible representations  $\pi'$  of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_\mathfrak{p}^+)$  such that  $\pi'|_{\mathcal{K}'}$  contains the trivial representation.

The heuristic reason why  $\Omega^1_{N,\lambda}$  is the factor that is responsible for the surjectivity of the map  $\alpha_N$  is the following proposition, previously proved in [LTXZZ].

Recall that N=2r is even and  $\mathcal K$  is a hyperspecial maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak p^+)$  with  $\mathcal P\subseteq\mathcal K$  a Siegel parahoric subgroup. Similarly, write  $\mathcal K'$  for a special maximal subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(F_\mathfrak p^+)$ .

Write  $\Omega^j_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  for the corresponding factor of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{K}]$  with complex coefficients for  $0 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ .

# Proposition

#### Define

- S to be the set of isomorphism classes of (complex) irreducible admissible representations  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{U}(V)(F_\mathfrak{p}^+)$  such that  $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}}$  contains  $\Omega^1_{N,\mathbb{C}}$  (hence  $\pi$  is semistable) and that the Satake parameters of  $\pi$  contain q;
- $\mathcal{S}'$  to be the set of isomorphism classes of (complex) irreducible admissible representations  $\pi'$  of  $\mathrm{U}(V')(\mathsf{F}_{\mathtt{p}}^+)$  such that  $\pi'|_{\mathcal{K}'}$  contains the trivial representation.

Then there is a unique bijection between S and S' such that  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  correspond if and only if  $BC(\pi) \simeq BC(\pi')$ .

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

Consider a hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F/F^+$  as before but of rank n. Put  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F$ .e with e of length 1. We have corresponding unitary groups  $G_n$  and  $G_{n+1}$ , with a natural embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  as the stabilizer of e.

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_m$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

Consider a hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F/F^+$  as before but of rank n. Put  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F$  e with e of length 1. We have corresponding unitary groups  $G_n$  and  $G_{n+1}$ , with a natural embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  as the stabilizer of e. Fix a pair of open compact subgroups  $(K_n^p, K_{n+1}^p)$  satisfying  $K_n^p \subseteq K_{n+1}^p \cap G_n(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ . Then we have a natural morphism

$$\sigma_X \colon X(K_n^p) \to X(K_{n+1}^p)$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ , which is finite.

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_m$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

Consider a hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F/F^+$  as before but of rank n. Put  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F$  e with e of length 1. We have corresponding unitary groups  $G_n$  and  $G_{n+1}$ , with a natural embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  as the stabilizer of e. Fix a pair of open compact subgroups  $(K_n^p, K_{n+1}^p)$  satisfying  $K_n^p \subseteq K_{n+1}^p \cap G_n(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ . Then we have a natural morphism

$$\sigma_X \colon X(K_n^p) \to X(K_{n+1}^p)$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ , which is finite. Denote by  $\Delta X(K_n^p)$  the graph of  $\sigma_X$ , and by

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X(K_n^p)} \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}} \times X(K_{n+1}^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(n))$$

the absolute cycle class of  $\Delta X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{a^2}}$ .

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_m$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

Consider a hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F/F^+$  as before but of rank n. Put  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F$  e with e of length 1. We have corresponding unitary groups  $G_n$  and  $G_{n+1}$ , with a natural embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  as the stabilizer of e. Fix a pair of open compact subgroups  $(K_n^p, K_{n+1}^p)$  satisfying  $K_n^p \subseteq K_{n+1}^p \cap G_n(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ . Then we have a natural morphism

$$\sigma_X \colon X(K_n^p) \to X(K_{n+1}^p)$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ , which is finite. Denote by  $\Delta X(K_n^p)$  the graph of  $\sigma_X$ , and by

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X(K_n^p)} \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}} \times X(K_{n+1}^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(n))$$

the absolute cycle class of  $\Delta X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{a^2}}$ .

Assume *n* odd from now on for simplicity. Then there is a natural map

$$\sigma_S \colon S(K_n^p) \to S(K_{n+1}^p)$$

of Shimura sets as well, compatible with  $\sigma_X$  under basic correspondences. (When n is even, one has to replace  $\sigma_S$  by a finite correspondence.)

The surjectivity of  $(\alpha_N)_m$  can provide a (second) explicit reciprocity law for the diagonal cycle on the Shimura variety associated with  $U_n \times U_{n+1}$ , which is the arithmetic avatar of the Rankin–Selberg integral.

Consider a hermitian space  $V_n$  over  $F/F^+$  as before but of rank n. Put  $V_{n+1} := V_n \oplus F$  e with e of length 1. We have corresponding unitary groups  $G_n$  and  $G_{n+1}$ , with a natural embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  as the stabilizer of e. Fix a pair of open compact subgroups  $(K_n^p, K_{n+1}^p)$  satisfying  $K_n^p \subseteq K_{n+1}^p \cap G_n(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ . Then we have a natural morphism

$$\sigma_X \colon X(K_n^p) \to X(K_{n+1}^p)$$

over  $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ , which is finite. Denote by  $\Delta X(K_n^p)$  the graph of  $\sigma_X$ , and by

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Delta X(K_n^p)} \in \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{a^2}} \times X(K_{n+1}^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{a^2}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(n))$$

the absolute cycle class of  $\Delta X(K_n^p)_{\mathbb{Q}_{a^2}}$ .

Assume n odd from now on for simplicity. Then there is a natural map

$$\sigma_S \colon S(K_n^p) \to S(K_{n+1}^p)$$

of Shimura sets as well, compatible with  $\sigma_X$  under basic correspondences. (When n is even, one has to replace  $\sigma_S$  by a finite correspondence.) Denote by  $\Delta S(K_n^p)$  the graph of  $\sigma_S$ , and by

$$\mathbb{1}_{\Delta S(K_n^p)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S(K_n^p) \times S(K_{n+1}^p)]$$

the characteristic function of  $\Delta S(K_n^p)$ .

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n \cap \mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1} \cap \mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}$ , respectively.

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

#### Suppose that

• p is odd and q = p;

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2-1);$

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain 1 exactly once;

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain 1 exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once;

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain 1 exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n \boxtimes \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once.

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n\cap\mathbb{T}_{n,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}\cap\mathbb{T}_{n+1,\lambda}^{\Sigma\cup\{\rho\}}$ , respectively.

# Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

#### Suppose that

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain 1 exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n \boxtimes \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once.

#### Then

$$\begin{split} \exp_{\lambda} \left( \mathbbm{1}_{\Delta X(K_n^\rho)}, \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X(K_n^\rho)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}} \times X(K_{n+1}^\rho)_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^2}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_n, \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}) \right) \\ \leqslant \exp_{\lambda} \left( \mathbbm{1}_{\Delta S(K_n^\rho)}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S(K_n^\rho) \times S(K_{n+1}^\rho)] / (\mathfrak{n}_n, \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}) \right) \end{split}$$

holds. Here, for a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ -module M and  $m \in M$ ,  $\exp_{\lambda}(m, M)$  denotes the smallest nonnegative integer e such that  $\lambda^e m = 0$ .

Consider maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , and ideals  $\mathfrak{n}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$  containing some positive powers of  $\mathfrak{m}_n \cap \mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1} \cap \mathbb{T}^{\Sigma \cup \{\rho\}}_{n+1,\lambda}$ , respectively.

### Theorem (Second explicit reciprocity law)

#### Suppose that

- p is odd and q = p;
- $\ell \nmid p(p^2 1)$ ;
- both  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  are "non-Eisenstein";
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain 1 exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once;
- the Satake parameters  $\mod \mathfrak{m}_n \boxtimes \mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  at  $\mathfrak{p}$  contain p exactly once.

#### Then

$$\begin{split} \exp_{\lambda} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\Delta X(K_{n}^{p})}, \mathrm{H}^{2n}(X(K_{n}^{p})_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2}}} \times X(K_{n+1}^{p})_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^{2}}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}(n)) / (\mathfrak{n}_{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}) \right) \\ \leqslant \exp_{\lambda} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\Delta S(K_{n}^{p})}, \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}[S(K_{n}^{p}) \times S(K_{n+1}^{p})] / (\mathfrak{n}_{n}, \mathfrak{n}_{n+1}) \right) \end{split}$$

holds. Here, for a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}$ -module M and  $m \in M$ ,  $\exp_{\lambda}(m, M)$  denotes the smallest nonnegative integer e such that  $\lambda^e m = 0$ .

Furthermore, if  $\alpha_{n+1}/\mathfrak{n}_{n+1}$  is an **isomorphism**, then the above inequality is an equality.

Shouwu, Happy Birthday!!