

Better Representation?

D. Cooper

MMDs and CV QF to Groups

OF to

Individuals

Better Representation via Multimember Districts with a Supporting Election Method?

Duane Cooper Morehouse College

SLMath Connections Workshop: Algorithms, Fairness, and Equity

24 August 2023



Overview

Better Representation?

- D. Cooper
- MMDs and CV QF to Groups
- QF to Individuals

1 Minute 1: Multimember Districts and Cumulative Voting

2 Minute 2: Quantifying Fairness to Groups

3 Minute 3: Quantifying Fairness to Individuals



An Example

Better Representation?

D. Coope

MMDs and CV

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals Population 500, 5 member council

- 400 White, 100 Black
- Racially polarized
- Multimember electoral district

1, 2, 3, 4, 5



Generalized Plurality Voting vs. Cumulative Voting

Better Representation?

D. Cooper

MMDs	and
cv	

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals

Walls	400	+
Wells	400	+
Will	400	+
Wont	400	+
Wye	400	+

Brown 100



Generalized Plurality Voting vs. Cumulative Voting

Better	Repre-
senta	tion?

D. Cooper

NIN	1Ds	s ar	۱đ	
CV				
~ •				
OF.				

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals

Walls	400	+	Brown	$100 \times 5 = 500$
Wells	400	+		
Will	400	+	Walls	
Wont	400	+	Wells	
Wye	400	+	Will	$400 \times 5 = 2000$
			Wont	
Brown	100		Wye	



Quantifying Fairness to Groups

Better Representation?

- D. Cooper
- MMDs and CV

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals

- Fair division of representative bodies: Apportionment
- Webster's Method (Sainte-Laguë, "major fractions"): minimizes the absolute difference between groups in per capita representation
- Jefferson's Method (d'Hondt, "greatest divisors"): used in U.S. till 1840s; slightly favors larger states/larger populations



Cumulative Voting: Not Quite "Webster-fair"

Better Representation?

D. Cooper

MMDs and CV

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals Consider a population of size *P*. Consider a minority fraction of the population, $\frac{x}{P}$, chosen from the uniform distribution on $(0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, and suppose the remaining $\frac{P-x}{P}$ constitute the population's majority. Then, in an election for *n* representatives of the population under cumulative voting, the probability that the minority is **unable** to elect its Webster-fair share of the *n* seats is

$$\frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}\right)$$

Moreover, if the minority's Webster-fair share is $k_w \ge 1$, then it has the voting strength to elect either k_w or $k_w - 1$ representatives.

Theorem 1



Cumulative Voting: "Jefferson-fair"

Better Representation?

D. Cooper

MMDs and CV

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals

Theorem 2

Consider a population of size P partitioned into two subgroups of size x and P - x, with a representative body of n seats to be determined. The number of seats each group can be assured under cumulative voting is equivalent to the number of seats each group would be assigned by Jefferson's method of apportionment.



Quantifying Fairness to Individuals

Better Representation?

D. Cooper

MMDs and CV

QF to Groups

QF to Individuals

Never mind!

My three minutes are expiring, I'm sure!