# **Smallest denominators and extreme events**

Jens Marklof University of Bristol

Hot Topics: Interactions between Harmonic Analysis, Homogeneous Dynamics, and Number Theory SLMath, Berkeley, 6 March 2025

# The smallest denominator function

$$q_{\mathsf{min}}(x,\delta) = \mathsf{min}\left\{q \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2})\right\}$$



2

# **Expected value**

Theorem A. (Chen & Haynes 2023)  $\int_{0}^{1} q_{\min}(x, \delta) dx = \frac{16}{\pi^{2}} \delta^{-1/2} + O(\log^{2} \delta)$ 



# **Discrete sampling**

 $\tilde{q}_{\min}(x,\delta) = \min\left\{q \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [x,x+\delta)\right\} \simeq q_{\min}(x+\frac{1}{2}\delta,\delta)$ 

$$\tilde{q}_{\min}(\frac{j}{N},\frac{1}{N}) = \min\left\{q \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [j,j+\frac{1}{N})\right\}, \ j = 0, \dots, N-1$$



### The Kruyswijk-Meijer conjecture, 1977





# The limit distribution (continuous sampling)



**Theorem C.** (JM 2024, cf. also Artiles 2023) For any interval  $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]$  and L > 0, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ x \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{1/2} q_{\min}(x, \delta) > L \right\} = \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D} \int_{L}^{\infty} \eta(s) \, ds$$

with the probability density

$$\eta(s) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \times \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \in [0, 1] \\ -s + 2s^{-1} + 4s^{-1} \log s & \text{if } s \in [1, 2] \\ -s + 2s^{-1} + 2s\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^{-2}} - 4s^{-1} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^{-2}}\right) & \text{if } s \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

# The limit distribution (continuous sampling)



**Theorem C.** (JM 2024, cf. also Artiles 2023) For any interval  $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]$  and L > 0, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ x \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{1/2} q_{\min}(x, \delta) > L \right\} = \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D} \int_{L}^{\infty} \eta(s) \, ds$$

with the probability density

$$\eta(s) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \times \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \in [0,1] \\ -s + 2s^{-1} + 4s^{-1} \log s & \text{if } s \in [1,2] \\ -s + 2s^{-1} + 2s\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^{-2}} - 4s^{-1} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - s^{-2}}\right) & \text{if } s \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

### The limit distribution (discrete sampling)



with the same  $\eta(s)$  as before.

<u>Note</u>: The same law describes the shortest cycle length of a large random circulant directed graph of (in- and out-) degree 2:



# **Extreme events for horocyles**



 $\mathcal{Y} = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$  hyperbolic surface with at least one cusp,  $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\mathcal{Y})$  $h_s$  horocycle flow on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mu$  Liouville measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  canonical projection

**Theorem E.** (JM & Pollicott 2024; cf. also Kirsebom & Mallahi-Karai 2022) Fix  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , Borel probability measure  $\lambda \ll \mu$ . Then there exists a probability density  $\omega_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\omega_y(s) \simeq e^{-|s|}$  such that, for every  $H \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\lambda\{x_0\in\mathcal{X}:\sup_{0< s\leq T}\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{Y}}(y,\pi\circ h_s(x_0))>H+\log T\}=\int_H^\infty\omega_y(s)ds.$$

Dynamical logarithm laws: Sullivan (1982), Kleinbock & Margulis (1999) for geodesics/diagonal actions, ..., Athreya & Margulis (2009), Kelmer & Mohammadi (2012), Yu (2017) for unipotents

 $n \infty$ 

### **Extreme events for horocyles**



 $\mathcal{Y} = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$  hyperbolic surface with at least one cusp,  $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\mathcal{Y})$  $h_s$  horocycle flow on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mu$  Liouville measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  canonical projection

$$\rho(s) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \times \begin{cases} -e^{-s} + 2 + 2e^{-s}\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - e^s} - 4\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - e^s}\right) & \text{if } s \in (-\infty, -2\log 2] \\ -e^{-s} + 2 - 2s & \text{if } s \in [-2\log 2, 0] \\ e^{-s} & \text{if } s \in [0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

# **Proof of Theorem C**

Farey fractions of level Q:

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \left\{ rac{p}{q} \in [0,1) : (p,q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2, \ 0 < q \leq Q 
ight\}$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  = set of primitive lattice points. Note:  $\#\mathcal{F}_Q \sim \sigma_Q := \frac{3}{\pi^2}Q^2$ ,  $Q \to \infty$ Key point:

$$q_{\min}(x,\delta) > L\delta^{-1/2}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ (p,q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 : 0 < q \le L\delta^{-1/2}, \frac{p}{q} \in \left(x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \right\} = \emptyset$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q \cap \left(x - \frac{s}{2\sigma_Q}, x + \frac{s}{2\sigma_Q}\right) + \mathbb{Z} = \emptyset$$

for  $Q = L\delta^{-1/2}$ ,  $s = \frac{3}{\pi^2}L^2$ ,

As proved by Kargaev & Zhigljavsky (1997) (for  $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1]$ , see JM 2013 for general  $\mathcal{D}$ ), the Lebesgue measure of the set of  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  has a limit, namely the void distribution

$$P(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} H(s) \, ds',$$

whose density is the Hall distribution for the gap probabilities in the Farey sequence (Hall 1970). The limit is therefore

$$\int_{\frac{3}{\pi^2}L^2}^{\infty} H(s)\,ds$$

and the formula for  $\eta(s)$  follows by differentiation from Hall's formula. QED

#### **Moments**

**Theorem F.** (JM 2024) For any interval  $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| < 2$ , we have  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \delta^{\alpha/2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\min}(x,\delta)^{\alpha} dx = \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D} M(\alpha)$ (\*) and  $\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1-\alpha/2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \tilde{q}_{\min} \left(\frac{j}{N}, \frac{1}{N}\right)^{\alpha} = M(\alpha).$ (\*\*) with  $M(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty s^\alpha \eta(s) \, ds = \frac{24}{\pi^2 \alpha(\alpha+2)} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} + 2^\alpha \mathsf{B}\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ 

The formula for  $M(\alpha)$  follows from Kargaev & Zhigljavsky's 1997 study of the void statistics for the Farey sequence. For  $\alpha = 1$ , (\*) implies the Chen-Haynes theorem and (\*\*) the Kruyswijk-Meijer conjecture. Indeed,  $M(1) = \frac{16}{\pi^2}$ .

## **Explicit formulas for generalised moments**

By dominated convergence, we also get convergence for the generalised moment

 $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\delta^{\alpha/2}}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\min}(x, \delta)^{\alpha} \left( \log q_{\min}(x, \delta) + \frac{1}{2} \log \delta \right)^{n} dx = \mu_{n, \alpha}$ <br/>for  $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| < 2, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\mu_{n,\alpha} := \int_0^\infty s^\alpha (\log s)^n \eta(s) \, ds = \frac{d^n}{d\alpha^n} \int_0^\infty s^\alpha \eta(s) \, ds = \frac{d^n M(\alpha)}{d\alpha^n}$$

so n = 0 gives moments,  $\alpha = 0$  logarithmic moments

$\alpha$	$\mu_{0,lpha}$	$\mu_{1,lpha}$	$\mu_{2,lpha}$	$\mu_{{\mathfrak Z},lpha}$
-1	$rac{12(4-\pi)}{\pi^2}$	$\frac{12(4-\pi\log 4)}{\pi^2}$	$\frac{192 - \pi(\pi^2 + 24 + 48 \log^2 2)}{\pi^2}$	$\frac{6(96-3\pi\zeta(3)-\pi\log 2(\pi^2+24+16\log^2 2))}{\pi^2}$
0	1	$\frac{6\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3\pi^2}{40} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{6\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$	$\frac{9(\zeta(3)+3\zeta(5))}{\pi^2} - \frac{9\pi^2}{80} - \frac{6\zeta(3)+3}{4}$
1	$\frac{16}{\pi^2}$	$rac{16(3\pi-7)}{3\pi^2}$	$\frac{32(34+3\pi(6\log 2-7))}{9\pi^2}$	$\frac{4(9\pi^3-1136+48\pi(17+9\log^2 2-21\log 2))}{9\pi^2}$
$\alpha$		$\mu_{0,lpha}$		$\mu_{2,lpha}$
$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{16}{\pi^2} \left( 8 - \right)$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}$	$\frac{16}{9\pi^2} \left( 1408 - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(\frac{5}{2})}{1408} \right)$	$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}$
<u>1</u> 2	$\frac{96}{5\pi^2} \left( 4 + \right)$	$-\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}$	$\frac{24}{125\pi^2}\left(11008+\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\Gamma(-1)}{125\pi^2}\right)$	$\frac{-\frac{1}{4}(-400G+25\pi^{2}+440\pi+2752+100\log^{2}2-20(44+5\pi)\log 2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}$

G = Catalan's constant

# Coming up...

- Smallest denominators in higher dimensions
- Convergence of moments proof of Theorem E (i)
- Discrete sampling proof of Theorem D, Theorem E (ii)
- Moments of the Farey distance function; pigeon hole statistics
- Extreme events for horocycles

# **Smallest denominators in higher dimensions**

- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with non-empty interior
- $q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x},\delta,\mathcal{A}) = \min\left\{q \in \mathbb{N} : \exists rac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}^n \cap \boldsymbol{x} + \delta\mathcal{A}
  ight\}$
- $G = SL(n+1,\mathbb{R}), \Gamma = SL(n+1,\mathbb{Z})$
- $\mu$  is the Haar probability measure on  $\Gamma \setminus G$  and
- $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, 1] : x \in \sigma_1^{-1/n} y \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
- $P(0, \mathcal{A}) = \mu \{ g \in \Gamma \setminus G : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} g \cap \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset \}$ where  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$  set of primitive lattice points in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

**Theorem G.** (JM 2024, cf. also Artiles 2023) For  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  bounded and  $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]^n$ , both with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero and non-empty interior, L > 0, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\operatorname{vol} \left\{ x \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(x, \delta, \mathcal{A}) > L \right\}}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} = E_{\mathcal{A}}(L)$$
  
with  $E_{\mathcal{A}}(L) = P(0, \sigma_1^{1/n} L^{1+1/n} \mathcal{A}).$ 

Note  $P(0, sA) \simeq s^{-n}$  as  $s \to \infty$  (Strömbergsson 2011), and so  $E_A(L) \simeq L^{-(n+1)}$  for  $L \to \infty$ .

### Proof

Farey fractions of level Q:

$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \left\{ rac{oldsymbol{p}}{q} \in [0,1)^n : (oldsymbol{p},q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}, \; 0 < q \leq Q 
ight\}$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}$  = set of primitive lattice points. Note:

$$\#\mathcal{F}_Q \sim \sigma_Q := \frac{Q^{n+1}}{(n+1)\,\zeta(n+1)}, \quad Q \to \infty$$

As in the one-dimensional case, key point is

$$q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x},\delta,\mathcal{A}) > L\delta^{-n/(n+1)} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q \cap \boldsymbol{x} + \sigma_Q^{-1/n} s\mathcal{A} + \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset, \quad (\nabla)$$

with  $Q = L\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$  and  $s = \sigma_1^{1/n} L^{1+1/n}$ .

Known results on Farey statistics (JM 2013, based on JM & Strömbergsson 2010) state that the volume of the set of  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  satisfying ( $\nabla$ ) converges to  $P(0, s\mathcal{A})$ . QED

### **Moments**

# **Theorem H.** (JM 2024) For $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded and $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]^n$ , both with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero and non-empty interior, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| < n + 1$ , we have $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\delta^{\alpha n/(n+1)}}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\min}(x, \delta, \mathcal{A})^{\alpha} dx = \int_0^{\infty} L^{\alpha} dE_{\mathcal{A}}(L).$

### **Proof if** $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = 0$

Theorem F can be restated as

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} F(\delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(x, \delta, \mathcal{A})) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} F(L) dE_{\mathcal{A}}(L)$$
  
Apply this with  $F(t) = t^{\alpha}$ , which is bounded continuous for  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = 0$ . QED

### **Proof if** $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > 0$

$$\delta^{\alpha n/(n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A})^{\alpha} d\boldsymbol{x} = \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} L^{\alpha - 1} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) > L \right\} dL$$

Therefore need to show "no escape of mass at infinity":

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_{R}^{\infty} L^{\operatorname{Re}\alpha - 1} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) > L \right\} dL = 0 \qquad (\Box)$$

We have

$$q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) > L\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q \cap \boldsymbol{x} + \sigma_Q^{-1/n} s \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} h(\boldsymbol{x}) a(Q) \cap \mathfrak{C}(s \mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} h(\boldsymbol{x}) a(\delta^{-n/(n+1)}) \cap L\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_1^{1/n} \mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$$

with  $Q = L\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$ ,  $s = \sigma_1^{1/n}L^{1+1/n}$ , and

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{\dot{0}} \\ -\boldsymbol{x} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/n} \mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{\dot{0}} \\ \mathbf{0} & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We thus need to estimate the measure of x for which the primitive lattice  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}h(x)a(\delta^{-n/(n+1)})$  avoids the cone  $L\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_1^{1/n}\mathcal{A})$  for large L. Combining results of Strömbergsson (2011) and Kim & JM (2022), one can show that this is uniformly bounded above by  $\ll L^{-(n+1)}$  which in turn implies ( $\Box$ ) for  $0 < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < n + 1$ . QED

### **Proof if** $\operatorname{Re} \alpha < 0$

$$\delta^{\alpha n/(n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A})^{\alpha} d\boldsymbol{x} = -\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} L^{\alpha - 1} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) \leq L \right\} dL.$$

Therefore need to show "no escape of mass at zero":

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_0^r L^{\operatorname{Re}\alpha - 1} \operatorname{vol} \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D} : \delta^{n/(n+1)} q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) \le L \right\} dL = 0. \tag{\Box}$$

We have

$$q_{\min}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta, \mathcal{A}) \leq L\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_Q \cap \boldsymbol{x} + \sigma_Q^{-1/n} s \mathcal{A} + \mathbb{Z}^n \neq \emptyset$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} h(\boldsymbol{x}) a(Q) \cap \mathfrak{C}(s \mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1} h(\boldsymbol{x}) a(\delta^{-n/(n+1)}) \cap L\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_1^{1/n} \mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$$

with  $Q = L\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$ ,  $s = \sigma_1^{1/n}L^{1+1/n}$ , and

$$h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & \mathbf{\hat{0}} \\ -\boldsymbol{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/n} 1_n & \mathbf{\hat{0}} \\ \mathbf{0} & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We thus need to estimate the measure of x for which the primitive lattice  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}h(x)\delta^{-n/(n+1)}$ has a point in the cone  $L\mathfrak{C}(\sigma_1^{1/n}\mathcal{A})$  for small L, so in particular has a short vector of length  $\ll L$ . A classical estimates yields that this is bounded above by  $\ll L^{n+1}$  which turn implies ( $\Box$ ) for  $-(n+1) < \operatorname{Re} \alpha < 0$ . QED

# **Discrete sampling**

Recall: 
$$h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & t_0 \\ -x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $a(y) = \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/n} 1_n & t_0 \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ 

The following equidistribution theorem is the key input in the continuous sampling case / void statistics of Farey fractions:

**Theorem I.** (Margulis' thesis 1970, Eskin & McMullen 1993, ...)

For  $f : \Gamma \setminus G \to \mathbb{R}$  bounded continuous, we have

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(h(\boldsymbol{x})a(Q)) d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} f(g) d\mu(g)$$

In the discrete sampling case we need to replace this by:

Theorem J.  
For 
$$f: \Gamma \setminus G \to \mathbb{R}$$
 bounded continuous,  $c > 0$ , we have  

$$\lim_{\substack{N,Q \to \infty \\ cQ^{n+1} \leq N^n}} \frac{1}{N^n \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n / N \mathbb{Z}^n \cap N \mathcal{D}} f(h(\boldsymbol{x}_0 + N^{-1}\boldsymbol{j})a(Q)) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} f(g) \, d\mu(g)$$

### **Proof of discrete equidistribution**

Define sequence of probability measures  $\nu_i$  on  $\Gamma \setminus G$  by

$$\nu_i(f) = \frac{1}{\#(\mathbb{Z}^n/N_i\mathbb{Z}^n \cap N_i\mathcal{D})} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n/N_i\mathbb{Z}^n \cap N_i\mathcal{D}} f(h(x_0 + N_i^{-1}j)a(Q_i))$$

Need to show converges weakly to the probability measure  $\mu$ .

$$h(x_0 + N^{-1}j)a(Q) = h(x_0)a(Q)h(Q^{1+1/n}N^{-1}j) \qquad (\Delta)$$

hence points are finite distance apart (in terms of any left-invariant Riemannian metric on *G*), and can use escape-of-mass estimate in continuous setting to show  $(\nu_i)_i$  is tight and thus each subsequence contains a convergent subsequence. Can now assume w.l.o.g. that *f* has compact support and is therefore uniformly continuous. Restrict to subsequences along which  $Q_i^{1+1/n} N_i^{-1} \rightarrow \tau_0$  for some  $\tau_0 \in [0, c^{-1/n}]$ .

If  $\tau_0 = 0$ , the discrete average is uniformly close to the continuous average (by uniform continuity of f), and thus the limit is given by  $\mu$ .

### **Proof of discrete equidistribution (cont'd)**

If  $\tau_0 > 0$ , then by  $(\Delta)$  every weak limit is invariant under the map  $\Gamma \setminus G \to \Gamma \setminus G$ ,  $\Gamma g \mapsto \Gamma gh(\tau_0 j)$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Since *G*-action on  $\Gamma \setminus G$  by right multiplication is mixing with respect to  $\mu$  (Howe & Moore 1979), we have that action of the subgroup  $H_{\tau_0} = \{h(\tau_0 j) : j \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is  $\mu$ -ergodic.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$u_i^\epsilon(f) = 
u_i(f_\epsilon), \qquad f_\epsilon(g) := rac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{[-rac{\epsilon}{2},rac{\epsilon}{2}]^n} f(gh(x)) dx.$$
 $\mu_i(f) = rac{1}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} f(h(x)a(Q_i)) dx,$ 

$$\overline{\nu}_i^{\epsilon} = \frac{\mu_i - \epsilon^n \nu_i^{\epsilon}}{1 - \epsilon^n}.$$

Suppose  $\nu_i^{\epsilon} \to \nu^{\epsilon}$  along a converging subsequence. As  $\mu_i \to \mu$  (along any subsequence), by construction  $\overline{\nu}_i^{\epsilon} \to \overline{\nu}^{\epsilon}$  along the same subsequence as  $\nu_i^{\epsilon}$ , and the limits satisfy the relation

 $\epsilon \nu^{\epsilon} + (1-\epsilon)\overline{\nu}^{\epsilon} = \mu.$ 

All three limit measures are  $H_{\tau_0}$ -invariant. Since the action of  $H_{\tau_0}$  is  $\mu$ -ergodic, by the extremality of ergodic measures, we conclude  $\nu^{\epsilon} = \overline{\nu}^{\epsilon} = \mu$  for every given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Because f is uniformly continuous, we have

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{i} |\nu_i(f) - \nu_i^{\epsilon}(f)| = 0$ 

and thus every limit point of  $(\nu_i)_i$  must be equal to  $\mu$ . QED

### **Moments of the Farey distance function**

• 
$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in [0,1)^n : (p,q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}, \ 0 < q \le Q \right\}$$

• 
$$\#\mathcal{F}_Q \sim \sigma_Q := \frac{Q^{n+1}}{(n+1)\zeta(n+1)}, \quad Q \to \infty$$

• dist $(x, \mathcal{F}_Q) = \min\{\|x + r + m\| : r \in \mathcal{F}_Q, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ 

**Theorem K.** (JM 2024) For  $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, 1]^n$  with boundary of Lebesgue measure zero and non-empty interior,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\operatorname{Re} \beta| < n$ , we have  $\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_Q^{\beta/n}}{\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{F}_Q)^{\beta} dx = \int_0^{\infty} s^{\beta} dF_{\mathcal{B}_1}(s),$ with  $F_{\mathcal{B}_1}(s) = P(0, \mathcal{B}_s) = \mu\{g \in \Gamma \setminus G : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}g \cap \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{B}_s) = \emptyset\}.$ 

This generalizes Kargaev and Zhigljavsky (1997) to higher dimensions.

# **Pigeon hole statistics for the Farey sequence**

• 
$$\mathcal{F}_Q = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \in [0,1)^n : (p,q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}, \ 0 < q \leq Q \right\}$$

• 
$$\#\mathcal{F}_Q \sim \sigma_Q := \frac{Q^{n+1}}{(n+1)\zeta(n+1)}, \quad Q \to \infty$$

Theorem L. (JM 2024)  
For 
$$s > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$
, we have  

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\#\left\{j \in [0, N)^n : \#\left(\mathcal{F}_Q \cap \frac{j}{N} + \left[0, \frac{1}{N}\right)^n\right) = k\right\}}{N^n} = P(k, s)$$
where  $Q = Q_N$  so that  $\sigma_Q = s^n N^n$  and  
 $P(k, s) = \mu\left\{g \in \Gamma \setminus G : \#(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}g \cap \mathfrak{C}([0, s)^n)) = k\right\}.$ 

See also Pattison (2023) for pigeonhole statistics of  $\sqrt{n} \mod 1$ .

### **Proof of extreme value theorem for horocyles**



 $\mathcal{Y} = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$  hyperbolic surface with at least one cusp,  $\mathcal{X} = T^1(\mathcal{Y})$  $h_s$  horocycle flow on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mu$  Liouville measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  canonical projection

**Theorem E.** (JM & Pollicott 2024; cf. also Kirsebom & Mallahi-Karai 2022) Fix  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , Borel probability measure\*  $\lambda \ll \mu$ . Then there exists a probability density  $\omega_y \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with  $\omega_y(s) \asymp e^{-|s|}$  such that, for every  $H \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lim_{T \to \infty} \lambda\{x_0 \in \mathcal{X} : \sup_{0 < s < T} \operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{Y}}(y, \pi \circ h_s(x_0)) > H + \log T\} = \int_{H}^{\infty} \omega_y(s) ds.$ 

\*can allow for a general class of more singular measures

## **Proof of extreme value theorem for horocyles**



(from Athreya & Cheung 2014)

- 1. Key idea: relate extreme events to hitting times of the horocycle flow to Athreya & Cheung's Poincaré section truncated high in the cusp
- 2. Show that the distance from entering the cusp to hitting the section is relatively small
- 3. Use the scaling property of the section under the geodesic flow to pull it back from the cusp
- 4. Mixing of geodesic flow implies that the pushforward of  $\lambda$  under geodesic flow converges to Haar probability measure  $\mu$
- 5. Why is the extreme value law the same as the log distribution of smallest denominators? The return times for the horocycle flow with  $\mu$  random initial data give the limit distribution of the Farey sequence which in turn gives the limit distribution of small denominators

### **Extreme events for horospherical actions**

• 
$$G = SL(n, \mathbb{R}), \Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{X} = \Gamma \setminus G$$

• 
$$\mathbb{R}^k$$
 action  $h_s(x) = xU(s), \quad U(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_k & 0 \\ 0 & -s & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

• "distance" from the "origin"  $o = \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$  to  $x = \Gamma g \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n g$ :

$$\alpha_1(x) = \max_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n g \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\|v\|}$$

• Athreya & Margulis (2009, 2017):

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \sup_{\|s\| < T} \frac{\log \alpha(h_s(x_0))}{\log T} = \frac{k}{n}.$$

## **Extreme events for horospherical actions**



Same proof strategy as for n = 2. Continuity and tail estimates follow (respectively) from JM-Strömbergsson (2010), Strömbergsson (2011), JM-Strömbergsson (2014) via the formula

$$D_k(Y) = \mu\left(\left\{ \mathsf{\Gamma} g \in \mathsf{\Gamma} \backslash G : \mathbb{Z}^n g \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathsf{e}^{-Y}) \neq \emptyset \right\}\right)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\sigma)$  is a certain cone of volume  $\sigma^n$ .

Related to void distribution of directions in lattices and multi-dimensional Farey fractions.

# **Further reading**

- A. Artiles, The minimal denominator function and geometric generalizations, arXiv:2308.08076
- J.S. Athreya and Y. Cheung, A Poincaré section for horocycle flow on the space of lattices, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no.10, 2643–2690
- M. Kirsebom and K. Mallahi-Karai, On the extreme value law for the unipotent flow on  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , arXiv:2209.07283
- J. Marklof, Smallest denominators, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 56 (2024), 1920–1938
- J. Marklof and M Pollicott, Extreme events for horocycle flows, arXiv:2408.01781
- J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, Diameters of random circulant graphs, Combinatorica 33 (2013), no.4, 429–466
- S. Pattison, Rational points on nonlinear horocycles and pigeonhole statistics for the fractional parts of  $\sqrt{n}$ , Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 43 (2023), no. 9, 3108–3130