

Higgs bundles on Riemann Surfaces

Eugene Z. Xia

Representations of Fundamental Group

Genus g : The number of holes.

$$\pi_1(X) = \{A_i, B_i : 0 \leq i \leq g, \\ \prod_{i=1}^g A_i B_i A_i^{-1} B_i^{-1} = e\}$$

G : a linear group, e.g. $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $U(n)$, $U(p, 1)$ and etc.

$Hom(\pi_1(X), G)$: set of homomorphisms from $\pi_1(X)$ to G .

$$Hom(\pi_1(X), G) = \{(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g) \in G^{2g} : \\ \prod_{i=1}^g a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1} = I\}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$Hom(\Gamma, G) = \{(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g) \in G^{2g} : \\ \prod_{i=1}^g a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1} \in Z(G)\}$$

Representations (continue)

G acts on $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ by conjugation (isomorphism) and we form the quotients $\text{Hom}^+(\Gamma, G)/G$ (of completely reducible representations)

Suppose G is $U(p, q)$ with $p \leq q$. Then each representation is associated with two invariants:

Chern class: $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq c < p + q$;

Toledo invariant: $\tau \in \frac{2}{p+q}\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$-2q(g - 1) \leq \tau \leq 2q(g - 1).$$

Theorem 1 (Xia)

$\text{Hom}^+(\pi_1(X), U(p, 1))/U(p, 1)$ has one connected component for each even integer $\tau \in [-2(g - 1), 2(g - 1)]$.

Theorem 2 (Markman, Xia)

$\text{Hom}^+(\Gamma, U(p, p))/U(p, p)$ has one connected component for a fixed c and for each Toledo invariant $2(p - 1)(g - 2) < \tau \leq 2p(g - 1)$.

$GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles

$GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles: (V, Φ) where V is a vector bundle and $\Phi : V \rightarrow V \otimes \Omega^1$. Let (V, Φ) be a Higgs bundle.

Slope: $\mu(V) = \text{deg}(V)/\text{rank}(V)$

(Semi-)Stability: If $W \subset V$ and $\Phi(W) \subset W \otimes \Omega^1_X$, then $\mu(W)(\leq) < \mu(V)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{C}M$ the moduli of S -equivalence of semi-stable $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles.

Higgs bundles and the representation varieties

Theorem 3 (Hitchin et al) $Hom^+(\Gamma, G)/G$ and $\mathbb{C}M$ are homeomorphic.

Example ($G = \mathbb{C}^*$):

$$\mathbb{C}^\cdot : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots; \quad \Omega^\cdot : \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega^0) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{C}^\cdot) \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\Omega^{0,\cdot} : \Omega^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots; \quad \Omega^{0,\cdot} : \Omega^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{0,1} \rightarrow \dots$$

$$H^1(\Omega^0) = H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}.$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\cdot \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\cdot \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*\cdot} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\cdot \longrightarrow \Omega^\cdot \longrightarrow \Omega^{*\cdot} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}^\cdot) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{C}^\cdot) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{C}^{*\cdot}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}^\cdot) \dots$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}^\cdot) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega^\cdot) \longrightarrow H^1(\Omega^{*\cdot}) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}^\cdot) \dots$$

The \mathbb{C}^* -action

The \mathbb{C}^* -action:

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}M, \quad (t, (V, \Phi)) \mapsto (V, t\Phi).$$

The fixed points of this action are called Hodge bundles.

Chern class: $c = \text{deg}(V)$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}M_c \subset \mathbb{C}M$ the subspace associated with c .

Proposition 1 $\mathbb{C}M_c \cong \mathbb{C}M_{-c}$.

Isomorphism : $(V, \Phi) \rightarrow (V^*, \Phi^*)$.

$U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles

$U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles: $(V_P \oplus V_Q, (\Phi_1, \Phi_2))$ where V_P, V_Q are vector bundles of rank- p and rank- q and

$$\Phi_1 : V_P \rightarrow V_Q \otimes \Omega_X^1, \quad \Phi_2 : V_Q \rightarrow V_P \otimes \Omega_X^1$$

(Note: $u(p, q) = u(p) \times u(q) + p$).

Denote by $M \subset \mathbb{C}M$ the subspace of $U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles. The \mathbb{C}^* -action preserves M .

The Toledo invariant

Let $(V_P \oplus V_Q, \Phi) \in M$.

Toledo invariant: $\tau = \frac{qd_P - pd_Q}{p+q}$.

Denote by $M_{d_P, d_Q} \subset M$.

WOLOG $\tau \geq 0$ or equivalently $d_P \geq d_Q$.

The C^* -action preserves M_{d_P, d_Q} .

Binary Hodge bundles

A $U(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle $(V_P \oplus V_Q, (\Phi_1, \Phi_2))$ is binary if $\Phi_2 \equiv 0$. Denote by $B_{d_P, d_Q} \subset M_{d_P, d_Q}$ the subscheme of binary Hodge bundles.

Binary Hodge bundle: $(V_P \xrightarrow{\Phi} V_Q \otimes \Omega_X^1)$

Proposition 2 *Every Higgs bundle in M can be deformed to a binary Hodge bundle.*

Hodge bundles and Morse theory

Theorem 4 (Hitchin et al) *The Hodge bundles are critical points of $\mathbb{C}M(M_{d_P, d_Q})$.*

Compute the Morse index at critical points.

$$\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\text{ad}_\Phi} \text{End}(V) \otimes \Omega^1$$

When (E, Φ) is a Hodge bundle, $\mathcal{H}^1(K_\bullet)$ decomposes into weight spaces of the natural \mathbb{C}^* -action.

If there is a negative weight space, then the critical point is not a local minimum.

$U(p, 1)$ -Binary Hodge bundles

Assume $c = 0$.

Suffices to show B_{d_P, d_Q} is connected.

Canonical factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & V_P & \xrightarrow{f_2} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \Phi \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \\ & & & & V_Q \otimes \Omega & = & W_1 & & \end{array}$$

Semi-stability implies the bound on τ :

Theorem 5 $\tau \leq 2(g - 1)$.

Let $d_2 = \deg(V_2)$ and $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2) \subset B_{d_P, d_Q}$ the subset with the canonical factorization.

B_{d_P, d_Q} is stratified by the $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$'s.

Families of Higgs bundles

Proposition 3 B_{d_P, d_Q} is connected.

Construct a family that parameterizes all possible V_1 's in the canonical decomposition (semi-stability implies such family is bounded). The construction uses the Grothendieck Quot scheme.

Construct all possible V_2 's and V_Q 's. These are line bundles, so we are just dealing with Jacobi varieties. Care must be taken about the map ψ .

Construct all possible extension $Ext^1(V_2, V_1)$.

We thus end with a gigantic smooth object F that parameterize a family of Higgs bundles which contains all elements in $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$.

The condition of semi-stability is open. Hence contains an open and connected subscheme that maps onto $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$.

Deformation of $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$

$$B_\tau = \bigcup_{d_2} B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$$

Fix a set of distinct points

$$A = \{x_1, \dots, x_{d_2}, y_1, \dots, y_{d_2-1}, z_1, \dots, z_{d_2-d-1}\} \subset X$$

and let $Y = X \setminus A$. Fix $y \in Y$. For $t \in Y$, consider the following divisors on X :

$$D_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d_2} x_i, \quad C(t) = D_2 - t - \sum_{i=1}^{d_2-1} y_i,$$

$$C = D_2 - y - \sum_{i=1}^{d_2-d-1} z_i.$$

Y parameterizes a family of Higgs bundles

$$V_P(t) = \mathcal{O}(C) \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{O}(C_i(t)),$$

Deformation of $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2)$ (Continue)

Denote by p and $p_i(t)$, the projections to $\mathcal{O}(C)$ and $\mathcal{O}(C_i(t))$.

$D_2 - C(t)$ and $D_2 - C$ define maps $h_i(t) : \mathcal{O}(C_i(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_2)$ and $h : \mathcal{O}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_2)$. These maps induce a map

$$G_t : V_P(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_2), \quad G_t = h + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} h_i(t).$$

Let $V_2 = \mathcal{O}(D_2)$. Since $d_2 \leq (2g-2) - d$, there exists $V_Q \in J^{-d}$ and $0 \neq \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^0(V_2^{-1} \otimes V_Q \otimes \Omega)$. Let $\Phi(t) = \varphi \circ G_t$.

$(V_P(t) \oplus V_Q, \Phi(t))$ is a family of Higgs bundles parameterized by Y . Let p_P, p_Q be the projections onto the $V_P(t), V_Q$ factors.

Proposition 4 *The Higgs bundle $(V_P(t) \oplus V_Q, \Phi(t))$ is in $B_{d_P, d_Q}(d_2 - 1)$ if $t = y$ and in $B_\tau(d_2)$ if $t \neq y$.*

$U(p, p)$ -binary bundles

Canonical factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & V_P & \xrightarrow{f_2} & V_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \Phi_1 \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longleftarrow & W_2 & \longleftarrow & V_Q \otimes \Omega & \longleftarrow & W_1 & \longleftarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Semi-stability implies the bound on τ :

Theorem 6 $\tau \leq 2p(g - 1)$.

Lemma 1 *Suppose $\tau > 2(p - 1)(g - 1)$. Then $(V_P \oplus V_Q, (\Phi_1, \Phi_2)) \in M_{d_P, d_Q}$ implies*

$$\Phi_1 : V_P \longrightarrow V_Q \otimes \Omega$$

is generically surjective.

Proof: Otherwise the sub-Higgs bundle $(V \oplus W_1 \otimes \Omega^{-1}, (\Phi_1, \Phi_2))$ is Φ -invariant and with a larger slope.

Families of Higgs bundles

Proposition 5 B_{d_P, d_Q} is irreducible.

Construct a family that parameterizes all possible V_Q 's in the canonical decomposition (semi-stability implies such family is bounded). Construct

$$Q_1 := \text{Quot}_{\oplus_{i=1}^{H(1)} L^{-1}/X/\mathbb{C}}^H,$$

the Grothendieck scheme parameterizing the quotient sheaves of $\oplus_{i=1}^{H(1)} L^{-1}$ with Hilbert polynomial $H(m) := \chi(V_Q \otimes L^m)$, where L is some ample line bundle.

Q_1 contains an irreducible and smooth quasi-projective variety

$$R = \{W \in Q_1 : W \text{ is locally free and } \mathcal{H}^1(W) = 0\}$$

Families of Higgs bundles

Construct

$$E \longrightarrow X \times R$$

the universal quotient bundle of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{H(1)} L^{-1}$.
Then there exists a relative Quot scheme

$$Q_2 := \text{Quot}_{E/X \times R/R}^{-d_P + d_Q + 2p(g-1)}$$

parameterizing quotient sheaves of E supported as length $-d_P + d_Q + 2p(g-1)$ subschemes of a fiber of $X \times R \rightarrow R$.

We thus end with a gigantic smooth object Q_2 that parameterize a family of Higgs bundles which contains all elements in B_{d_P, d_Q} .

Proposition 6 Q_2 is irreducible.

The condition of semi-stability is open. Hence contains an open and connected subscheme that maps onto B_{d_P, d_Q} .

Irrducibility of Q_2

$Q_2 \rightarrow R$ factors through a surjective morphism

$$h : Q_2 \rightarrow R \times X^{(-d_P+d_Q+2p(g-1))},$$

$Q_2^{free} \subset Q_2$ parameterizing pairs of quotient sheaves $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{H(1)} L^{-1} \rightarrow W, W \rightarrow F)$, where F is supported on a subscheme $D \subset X$ as a free \mathcal{O}_D -module of rank 1.

The restriction of h to Q_2^{free} is a smooth.

$R, X^{(-d_P+d_Q+2p(g-1))}$ and the fibers of h (restricting to Q_2^{free}) are irreducible.

Each fiber of h in Q_2^{free} is dense in the fiber in Q_2

Lemma 2 *The Quot scheme $Q(l, \mathcal{O}_{(x)}^p)$, of length l quotients of the stalk at x of the trivial rank p vector bundle, is irreducible.*

m the maximal ideal of x , $A = \mathcal{O}_{(x)}/m^\ell$. $G = GL(p, A)$

Any quotient sheaf in $Q(\ell, \mathcal{O}_{(x)}^p)$ is also a quotient of the free A -module of rank p .

Deformation: $\eta(t) := \psi + t\varphi$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & z^{\ell p} \\ z^{\ell 1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\ell 2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & z^{\ell p-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 & \\ & 0 & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & z^\ell \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t)_p &= \frac{z^{\ell p}}{t} \eta(t)_1 - \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} \left[\left(\frac{-1}{t} \right)^k z^{(\ell p + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i)} \right] \eta(t)_k \\ &\quad + \left[t + \left(\frac{-1}{t} \right)^{p-1} \right] \cdot z^\ell \cdot e_p. \end{aligned}$$

Some related results

- [Hitchin] The components in $\text{Hom}(\pi(X), PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))/PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ are vector bundles of rank $e + (g - 1)$ over the $2(g - 1) - e$ symmetric products of X , where e is the Euler characteristic (invariant).
- [Xia] The components in $\text{Hom}(\pi(X), SO(2, 1))/SO(2, 1)$ that do not correspond to components in $\text{Hom}(\pi(X), PSL(2, \mathbb{R}))/PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ are either the total space of a vector bundle of rank $2g - 2$ over a torus of complex dimension $g - 1$ or contain an open dense set that is a vector bundle of rank $2g - 2$ over a torus of complex dimension $g - 1$ with the identity removed.

Some related results (continue)

- [Xia] $Hom(\pi(X), PU(2, 1))/PU(2, 1)$ has $6(g-1) + 1$ connected components, and these components are distinguished by the Toledo invariants.
- [Gothen] The Betti numbers of the smooth components of $Hom(\pi(X), PU(2, 1))/PU(2, 1)$ have been computed.
- [Bradlow, Garcia-Prada, Gothen] Each component of $Hom(\pi_1(X), PU(p, q))/PU(p, q)$, associated with a fixed τ and a fixed Chern class c , is connected.