

REPRESENTATION THEORY OF CM RINGS

MSRI, February 3, 2003

§0. Introduction.

• Throughout, (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d . Modules are always assumed to be finitely generated. ${}_R M$ is MCM provided $\text{depth}(M) = d$.

We are interested in direct-sum decompositions of MCM modules.

Existence. When are there only finitely many indecomposable MCM R -modules — *finite representation type* (**FRT**)? More generally, when is there a bound on the “size” (multiplicity) of the indecomposable modules — *bounded representation type* (**BRT**)?

Uniqueness. Until recently, these questions have been considered only for complete (or at least Henselian) local rings, where one has the *Krull-Schmidt Theorem* (uniqueness of representation as a direct sum of indecomposable MCM modules). Here we will discuss primarily the general situation, where Krull-Schmidt can fail, and we will describe exactly how badly it can fail.

OUTLINE.

- §1. The complete equicharacteristic case.
- §2. FRT for R vs. \hat{R} .
- §3. The positive normal monoid $\text{add}(M)$
- §4. The number of distinct indecomposable summands.
- §5. BRT and Brauer-Thrall.

§1. Complete equicharacteristic rings with FRT.

Theorem. (Buchweitz, Greuel, Schreyer, Knörrer, 1987) *Let $R = \mathbb{C}[[x_0, \dots, x_d]]/(f)$, where \mathbb{C} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3, 5$. Then R has FRT if and only if $R \cong \mathbb{C}[[x, y, x_2, \dots, x_d]]/(g + x^2 + \dots + x_d^2)$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ is one of*

$$(A_n) \quad x^{n+1} + y^2 \quad (n \geq 1)$$

$$(D_n) \quad x(x^{n-2} + y^2) \quad (n \geq 4)$$

$$(E_6) \quad x^4 + y^3$$

$$(E_7) \quad y(x^3 + y^2)$$

$$(E_8) \quad x^5 + y^3$$

The classification has been worked out also in characteristics 2, 3, 5, but the normal forms become much more complicated, particularly in characteristic 2. These results are particularly satisfying in view of the following fact:

Theorem. (Herzog, 1978) *Assume R (local, CM) has FRT. Then \hat{R} is a hypersurface.*

What about non-Gorenstein rings with FRT? In dimension two, we have the rings $\mathbb{C}[[X, Y]]^G$, where G is a finite subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$. There are two three-dimensional examples, due to Auslander and Reiten (1989). There appear to be no known examples of dimension four or higher.

§2. Ascent and descent of FRT.

Theorem. (Leuschke and _____, 2000; conjectured by Schreyer in 1985)

(1) *If \hat{R} has FRT, so has R . The converse holds if R*

is excellent.

- (2) *Let k be a perfect field with algebraic closure K , and let I be an ideal in the formal power series ring $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. Then $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/I$ has FRT $\iff K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/I$ has FRT.*

This gives a complete classification of the Gorenstein equicharacteristic local rings with FRT and with perfect residue field.

Sketch of proof of Theorem: The hardest part is to prove ascent from R to \hat{R} . Assume R has FRT. Show first that the Henselization R^h has FRT. It is enough to show that if $R \rightarrow S$ is an étale local homomorphism then S has FRT. If $R \rightarrow S$ is *finite*, this is easy: The multiplication map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow R$ splits as $S - S$ bimodules. Therefore any MCM ${}_S N$ is a direct summand of the extended module $S \otimes_R N$ (where we view N as an R -module via restriction of scalars). It follows easily that S has FRT. (This proves ascent (\implies) in part (2) as well.)

In general, push forward to get N to be a d^{th} syzygy (of, say, M) over S . Now write ${}_R M$ as a direct limit of finitely generated S -modules, use the argument above, and take syzygies over R . To do the push forward, one needs to know that R is Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum. (The original proof of this fact used Néron-Popescu desingularization and Artin approximation to show that R^h has a canonical module. This step can now be avoided, thanks to the recent result by Huneke and Leuschke: If R has FRT then R is an isolated singularity.)

Now, to get ascent from R^h to \hat{R} , we use the fact (Auslander, 1985) that R^h has an isolated singularity and hence so does \hat{R} , since R is excellent. Now Elkik's theorem (1974) says that every MCM \hat{R} -module is actually extended from R^h , and it follows that \hat{R} has FRT.

We do not know whether the theorem is true without the hypothesis that R is excellent.

Before proving descent, we set up a little machine.

§3. The positive normal monoid $\text{add}(M)$.

What we do now works for arbitrary finitely generated modules over any local ring R . Fix ${}_R M$, and let $\text{add}(M)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of modules N such that $N \oplus N' \cong M^{(n)}$ for some ${}_R N'$ and some positive integer n . We view $\text{add}(M)$ as an additive monoid, with addition given by \oplus .

Write $\hat{M} = V_1^{(a_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t^{(a_t)}$, where the V_i are pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable \hat{R} -modules and the a_i are positive integers.

There is an obvious homomorphism $\lambda : \text{add}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^t$ taking N to (b_1, \dots, b_t) , where $\hat{N} = V_1^{(b_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t^{(b_t)}$. This is an embedding of monoids, and in fact it is a *divisor homomorphism*:

$$\lambda(N_1) \leq \lambda(N_2) \iff N_1 \text{ is a direct summand of } N_2.$$

Thus $\text{add}(M)$ is identified with a *full* submonoid of \mathbb{N}^t , that is, $\text{add}(M) \cong G \cap \mathbb{N}^t$ for some subgroup $G \leq \mathbb{Z}^{(t)}$.

(Monoids that can be represented in this form, as $G \cap \mathbb{N}^t$ for some $G \leq \mathbb{Z}^{(t)}$, are called *positive normal monoids*.) In particular, $\text{add}(M)$ contains only finitely many indecomposables (the elements corresponding to the fundamental (minimal non-zero) elements of this monoid (the *Hilbert basis* of the monoid)).

Proof of descent of FRT Assuming \hat{R} has FRT, let V_1, \dots, V_t be all of the indecomposable MCM \hat{R} -modules that actually occur in decompositions of extended MCM modules. Choose any M such that $\hat{M} \cong V_1^{(a_1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V_t^{(a_t)}$ with each a_i positive. Then the indecomposable MCM R -modules are exactly the minimal non-zero elements of $\text{add}(M)$. (This also proves descent in part (2) of the Theorem in §2.)

§4. The number of indecomposable factors. How badly can Krull-Schmidt fail for finitely generated modules over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) ? We can get some answers by examining the positive normal monoids $\text{add}(M)$.

Of course we have direct-sum cancellation. Moreover, certain other kinds of failure of Krull-Schmidt are easily ruled out. For example:

Proposition. *Let M and N be indecomposable R -modules such that $M^{(r)} \cong N^{(s)}$ with r and s positive integers. Then $M \cong N$ (and $r = s$).*

Proof. We can assume $r \geq s$. Then (working in \mathbb{N}^t) we have $\lambda(M) \leq \lambda(N)$. Therefore M is a direct summand of N .

To get more subtle information, let $a_n(M)$ be the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules that are direct summands of $M^{(n)}$. (Thus $a_n(M) = 1$ for all n if and only if M is a *completely fundamental* element (Stanley) of $\text{add}(M)$.) Since we already know that $\text{add}(M)$ has only finitely many indecomposables, the sequence $a_*(M)$ is eventually constant, and of course it is non-decreasing. What else? Here are some specific questions:

Question 1. *Are there examples in which $a_*(M)$ is not constant?*

Question 2. *Can $(1, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$ occur?*

Question 3. *Can $(1, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, \dots)$ occur?*

Question 4. *Can $(1, 3, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, \dots)$ occur?*

Question 5. *Can $(1, \dots, 1, 3, 3, 3, \dots)$ occur (with $a_n = 1$ for $n \leq 82$ and $a_n = 3$ for $n \geq 83$)?*

Answer to **Question 2**: No. Proof: Say $M^{(2)} \cong M^{(a)} \oplus N^{(b)}$, where M and N are non-isomorphic indecomposables and $a \geq 0, b > 0$. Cancel copies of M until either the Proposition is violated or we have $0 = M^{(c)} \oplus N^{(b)}$, contradiction.

Answer to **Question 3**: No (Hassler, 2003).

Here is an example of a positive normal monoid for which the sequence in **Question 4** actually arises:

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Q}^{(4)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be given by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$, and put $\Lambda := \text{Ker}(\Phi) \cap \mathbb{N}^4$. The Hilbert basis for Λ has

seven elements:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we could represent this monoid in the form $\text{add}(M)$, with M corresponding to the column $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, we would have $a_*(M) = (1, 3, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 7, \dots)$.

Similarly, for the example in Question 5, we could take $\Phi = [1 \quad 82 \quad -83]$. The Hilbert basis for the kernel is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 83 \\ 82 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 83 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is known (Hochster) that every positive normal monoid is isomorphic to a *Diophantine* monoid, that is, one of the form $\text{Ker}(\Phi) \cap \mathbb{N}^t$ for some $s \times t$ matrix Φ with integer entries. Moreover, we have the following realization theorem:

Theorem. (_____, 2001) *Let s be a fixed positive integer. Then there exists an analytically unramified one-dimensional local domain R with the following property: Let Λ be any Diophantine monoid defined by s homogeneous linear equations (i.e., $\Lambda = \text{Ker}(\Phi) \cap \mathbb{N}^t$ for some t and some $s \times t$ integer matrix Φ). Assume that Λ contains*

an element $\alpha = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_t]^t$ with each $a_i > 0$. Then there is a MCM (= torsion-free) R -module M such that $\text{add}(M) \cong \Lambda$ (via the embedding λ described earlier), and with $\lambda([M]) = \alpha$.

In fact (Facchini and _____, 2003), one can take R to be any domain (one-dimensional and analytically unramified) whose completion contains at least $s + 1$ maximal ideals, and such that R does not have FRT. (Note: Failure of FRT is automatic if $s \geq 3$).

Thus, if R is any one-dimensional local domain without FRT such that \hat{R} is reduced but not a domain, then the sequences $(1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 7, 7, \dots)$ and $(1, \dots, 1, 3, 3, 3, \dots)$ actually occur for suitable MCM R -modules M .

§5. BRT and Brauer-Thrall. What are the CM local rings with BRT but not FRT (counterexamples to the first Brauer-Thrall conjecture, in the context of MCM modules)?

We begin with dimension one:

Theorem. Leuschke and _____, 2003) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be an equicharacteristic one-dimensional local CM ring with k infinite. Then R has bounded but infinite representation type if and only if \hat{R} is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- (1) $k[[x, y]]/(y^2)$ (the A_∞ singularity), or
- (2) $k[[x, y]]/(xy^2)$ (the D_∞ singularity), or
- (3) the endomorphism ring of the maximal of the ring in (2), equivalently, the ring $k[[x, y, z]]/I$, where I is the ideal of 2×2 minors of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & z \end{bmatrix}$.

For higher-dimensional hypersurfaces, we have the following:

Theorem. (Leuschke and _____, 2003) *Let R be a hypersurface ring $k[[x_0, \dots, x_d]]/(f)$, where k is any infinite field. Then R has bounded but infinite representation type if and only if R is isomorphic to either*

- (1) $k[[x, y, x_2, \dots, x_d]]/(y^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)$ or
- (2) $k[[x, y, x_2, \dots, x_d]]/(xy^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)$.

Finally, a Brauer-Thrall theorem:

Theorem. (Leuschke and _____, 2003) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be an excellent equicharacteristic CM local ring with k perfect. Then R has finite CM type if and only if R has bounded CM type and R_P is regular for each prime ideal $P \neq \mathfrak{m}$.*

Excellence is used only in the “if” direction, and it cannot be removed.