

Hans-Bjørn Foxby: Hyperhomological algebra in commutative algebra

Hartshorne: Residues & Duality

$R$  commutative ring  $M, N$   $R$ -mods

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}^n(M, N) = H^n(\text{Hom}(P, N)) & \text{where } P \xrightarrow{\sim} M & \\ \parallel & \# & \begin{array}{c} \text{proj. res.} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ H^n(\text{Hom}(P, I)) \end{array} \\ H^n(\text{Hom}(M, I)) & \text{where } N \xrightarrow{\sim} I & \text{inj. res.} \end{array}$$

notation  $R$ -complex  $X = \cdots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots$

$X, Y$   $R$ -complexes

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y)_n = \prod_p \text{Hom}(X_p, Y_{n+p})$$

$$\partial^{\text{Hom}(X, Y)} = \text{Hom}(X, \partial^Y) - \text{Hom}(\partial^X, Y)$$

$\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(P, Y)$ , where  $P \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  semiproj. res.

$\text{Hom}(X, I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(P, I)$  (via  $X \xrightarrow{\sim} P$ )  
 $(X \hookrightarrow Y \text{ quasi-iso} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} H(\partial): H(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H(Y))$

$Y \xrightarrow{\sim} I$  semiproj.  $(P \text{ semiproj.} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \text{Hom}(P, \_) \text{ preserves surj. quasi-})$

$\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(X, Y)$  is uniquely defined up to ~~quasi-iso~~ <sup>unique iso</sup> in  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ .

$X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$  isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}(R)$ , derived cat.

$M, N$   $R$ -mods:

$M = \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$  to

$H_n(\mathbb{R}Hom(M, N)) = Ext^{-n}(M, N)$

~~$X \otimes Y = P \otimes Y$~~

$P \xrightarrow{\sim} X$   
semi-proj

$\otimes^L$  is associative:  $(X \otimes^L Y) \otimes^L Z \simeq \dots$ , isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}(R)$

Abstraction:  $(\mathbb{R}Hom(X \otimes^L Y, Z) \simeq \mathbb{R}Hom(Y, \mathbb{R}Hom(X, Z)))$

Tensor evaluation

$\mathbb{R}Hom(X, Y) \otimes^L Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}Hom(X, Y \otimes^L Z)$

"Def":  $\varphi \otimes z \mapsto \langle x, \varphi(x) \otimes z \rangle$

$(x \mapsto (-1)^{|x||z|} \varphi(x) \otimes z)$

Tensor-evaluation is an iso if (e.g.)

- $R$  Noeth,  $H(X)$  bounded below,  $H_f(X)$  f.g. for all  $f$ ,  $H(Y)$  is bdd above and  $f.d.p. Z < \infty$  (ie  $Z \simeq (0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Sigma^{-n} \rightarrow 0)$  flat, p.d.)
- flat dim

$(R, m, k)$  local (commutative & Noetherian)

$X$   $R$ -complex, ~~depth~~

Def ~~depth~~  $\text{sup } X = \sup \{n \mid H_n(X) \neq 0\} \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$

$\text{depth}_R X = -\text{sup } R\text{Hom}_R(k, X)$

When  $X=M$  module:  $\text{depth}_R M = -\text{sup } R\text{Hom}_R(k, M)$   
 $= -\text{sup} \{n \mid \text{Ext}_R^{-n}(k, M) \neq 0\}$   
 $= \text{inf} \{n \mid \text{Ext}_R^n(k, M) \neq 0\}$

AB Formula (Iversen, -, Iyengar)

If  $X, Y$  are  $R$ -complexes,  $H(X)$  bounded above,  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$ ,  
 then  $\text{depth}_R (X \otimes_R Y) = \text{depth}_R X - \text{sup} \{k \otimes_R Y\}$

Very special case:  $X=R, Y=N$  f.g. module with finite pd.  
 then  $\text{pd}_R N = \text{fd}_R N = \text{sup} \{n \mid \text{Tor}_n^R(k, N) \neq 0\} = \text{sup} \{k \otimes_R N\}$ .

So the <sup>AB</sup> formula reads:  $\text{depth}_R N = \text{depth } R - \text{pd}_R N$   
~~AB~~ Auslander-Buchsbaum formula.

In AB formula, if we let  $X=R$ , we get

$\text{depth}_R Y = \text{depth } R - \text{sup} \{k \otimes_R Y\}$

Subtract from original formula and we get

$\text{depth}_R (X \otimes_R Y) = \text{depth}_R X + \text{depth}_R Y - \text{depth}_R R$ , assuming  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$

$M, N$  modules s.t.  $\text{Tor}_i(M, N) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ :

$$\text{depth}_R(M \otimes_R N) = \text{depth}_R M + \text{depth}_R N - \text{depth}_R R, \text{ if } \text{fd}_R N < \infty$$

(7 also sth. about CI-dim.)

Def. Krull dim

$$\dim X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ \dim R/p \mid p \in \text{Spec } R \}$$

$$\sup \{ \dim(R/p) \mid p \in \text{Spec } R \} - \inf X_p \quad (p \in \text{Spec } R)$$

$X = M$  module:

$$\dim M = \sup \{ \dim R/p \mid p \in \text{Sup } M \}$$

Dimension Theorem (~~Prop 1.11~~)

IF  $H(X), H(Y)$  finite

(i.e. bounded, and fig. in each degree),  $H(Y) \neq 0$ , and  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$ . Then

(= pd<sub>R</sub> Y)

$$\dim X \leq \dim(X \otimes_R^L Y) + \sup(R \otimes_R^L Y)$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \cancel{\dim X = \text{depth}(X \otimes_R^L Y) + \sup} \\ \dim X = \text{depth}(X \otimes_R^L Y) + \sup(R \otimes_R^L Y) \end{array} \right)$$

symplic, and we get:

$$\dim X - \text{depth } X \leq \dim(X \otimes_R^L Y) - \text{depth}(X \otimes_R^L Y)$$

Special cases: (1)  $X = M, Y = N$  both fig. pd  $N < \infty, N \neq 0$ .

then  $\dim M \leq \dim(M \otimes_R N) + \text{pd}_R N$  (Dim. Thm.)

Foxby (5)

(2)  $X = R$ ,  $Y = F = (0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\text{Go. free}} F_0 \rightarrow 0)$ ,  $H(F) \neq 0$ ,  $H_0(F)$  finite length

Then  $\dim R \leq 1$  (New Intersection Theorem)

(Note: The dimension theorem is proved by reducing to the New Intersection Theorem.)

Amplitude Inequality (Iversen) let  $X, Y$  be  $R$ -complexes

s.t.

(1)  $\text{pd}_R Y < \infty$

(2)  $H(Y) \neq 0$

(3)  $H(Y)$  finite

(4)  $H(X)$  finite.

Then  $\sup X + \inf Y \leq \sup (X \otimes^L Y)$

By Nakayama,  $\inf X + \inf Y = \inf (X \otimes^L Y)$

Subtracting:  $\text{amp } X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup X - \inf X \leq \sup (X \otimes^L Y) - \inf (X \otimes^L Y) = \text{amp } (X \otimes^L Y)$

$Y = N$  e.g.,  $\text{pd } N < \infty$ ,  $N \neq 0$ ,  $r \in m \setminus Z(N)$  ↙ zero divisors

$X = (0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{r} R \rightarrow 0)$

$X \otimes Y = (0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{r} N \rightarrow 0)$

Amplitude Ineq:  $r \notin Z(R)$

Hence,  $Z(R) \subseteq Z(N)$ . ✓ (zero-divisor theorem).

Improved Version of Amp. Inequality (Eiyengar & -)

Let  $X$  be an  $R$ -complex and  $Y$  an  $S$ -complex,  $S$  a local ring, where  $R \rightarrow S$  is a local homomorphism.

- (1)  $\text{pd}_R Y < \infty$  (i.e.  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$ )
  - (2)  $H(Y) \neq 0$
  - (3)  $H(Y)$  finite over  $S$
  - (4)  $H_\ell(X)$  finitely generated over  $R$ , for all  $\ell$ .
- ( $H(X)$  is not nec. bounded!

For  $\text{sup} X + \text{inf} Y \leq \text{sup}(X \otimes_R^L Y)$   
~~that~~  $\text{amp} X \leq \text{amp} X \otimes_R^L Y$   
 (which now needs a proof)

Applications

Thm: Let  $Q \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$  be local homomorphisms (of local rings) and let  $Y$  be an  $S$ -complex with  $H(Y)$  finite,  $H(Y) \neq 0$ , and  $\text{fd}_R Y < \infty$ . Then:

$$\text{fd}_Q R + \text{inf} Y \leq \text{fd}_Q Y \leq \text{fd}_Q R + \text{fd}_R Y$$

well-known

proof: Let  $k_Q = Q/m_Q$ .  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_Q \otimes_Q^L R$  ( $H(X)$  is not nec. bounded)

Then  $\text{sup} X = \text{fd}_Q R$

$$X \otimes_R^L Y = k_Q \otimes_Q^L Y$$

$$\text{sup}(X \otimes_R^L Y) = \text{fd}_Q Y$$

So the result follows by the first inequality in Amp Inequality ✓

Cor: If  $\text{fd}_Q Y < \infty$ , then  $\text{fd}_Q R < \infty$ .

Consequence (w/ same assumptions on  $Y$ ):

$R$  regular  $\Rightarrow Q$  regular.