

Quadratic optimization
subject to k quadratic
has time complexity $n^{O(k)}$

joint work with
D. Grigoriev (IRMAR,
Univ. Rennes I, FRANCE)
E. De Klerk (ISA/ITS,
TU Delft, Netherlands)

10.10.2002. MSRI

! Dima Pasechnik
! University of Frankfurt

$$(*) \quad \inf_{x \in V} f_0(x) \quad \text{semialgebraic}$$

$$x \in V := \{y \mid f_j(y) \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$$

$$f_i \in \mathbb{R}[X] := \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n], 0 \leq i \leq k$$

- polynomials

$$d := \max_i \deg f_i \quad \text{- degree}$$

What is the complexity of solving $(*)$, i.e. # of arithmetic operations needed w.r.t. size of $(*)$

size: n, k, d - BSS^{*} model

- " - + bitlengths of the coefs of f_i 's
bit model

* Blum, Shub, Smale, BAMS (21) 1-46

Representing numbers and vectors

as radicals ($\sqrt{\dots}$) do not suffice...

$f(z) \in K[t][r]$ (univariate) *parametrized* polynomial
(e.g. $K = \mathbb{Q}$)

~~...~~ $\theta \in K(\theta)(r)$: $f(\theta) = 0$, $d = \deg f$
root of f

sign $\left(\frac{df}{dt}, \frac{d^2f}{dt^2}, \dots, \frac{d^{d-1}f}{dt^{d-1}} \right) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{d-1})$
 $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ Thom encoding

$u \in K(\theta)(r)^m$: $u = \left(\frac{g_1(\theta)}{g_0(\theta)}, \dots, \frac{g_m(\theta)}{g_0(\theta)} \right)$

$g_i \in K[z][r]$

univariate representation of u

$(f, g_0, g_1, \dots, g_m) \in K[z][r]^{m+2}$

+ Thom encoding

... that is how we represent the output ₃

General situation for (*)

of operations $L \cdot (kd)^{O(n^2)}$
d maximal degree
n # of variables
k # of constraints
L - bitlength of the input ($L=1$ in BSS model)
Canny, Renegar, Basu, Pollack, Roy
← quantifier elimination from 1st order theory formulae over reals.

J. ACM 43 (1996), 1002-1045

of operations $L \cdot (kd)^{O(n)}$
de Klerk, Grigoriev, Pasechnik (2002)
used in an essential way
for the $L \cdot n^{O(k)}$ - bound for
d=2 (parametrized version)

makes difference only when
minimizers are found along
with the minimal value.

complexity of
Particular cases of (*)

$d=1$ (linear programming)
polynomial in bit model (Khachiyan)
 ϵ -approximation in BSS model
in polynomial of $\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

$d \geq 4$ exponential (unless $P=NP$)
even for $k=0$

feasibility: $f_0(x) = (\sum_{(i,j) \in E \Gamma} (1 - x_i x_j) - C)^2 + \sum_i (x_i^2 - 1)^2 = 0$

encodes "does

Γ have a cut of size $C/2$ " MAXCUT

$d=2, k \leq 1$ (trust region)

polynomial in bit model

(folklore since 19??)

for $f_i \geq 0$

$d=2$ feasibility tested in
 $n^{O(k^2)}$ (A. Barvinok, Disc. Comp. Geom.
10(1993), 1-13)

for homogeneous f_i 's
(no feasible x computed)

Main results for (*)

$d=2$: the problem solved in $L \cdot n^{O(k)}$ operations (L - bit length of the input), minimizer X_{opt} found.

Moreover, the range of f_0 on V $f_0(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ found (same complexity).

d

||

2

Cor¹ Decision version of (*) is in P for fixed k .

Cor² $f_0(V)$ has at most $n^{O(k)}$ components.

d

||

2

Cor³

$$\max \langle C, X \rangle$$

$$X \in \{Y \mid \langle A_i, Y \rangle = b_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow X \succeq 0$$

$$\text{rk } X \leq k (\leq n)$$

solvable in $n^{\min(O(k), O(n^2))}$

operations (announced in 1997

J. Glob. Opt. 10, 351-65 by Porkolab & Khachiyan)

Proof of Cor. 3

Let $G = (g_{ij})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$; $1 \leq j \leq r$

Set $X = GG^T$. Then

$$f_0(G) = -\text{Tr}(GGG^T)$$

$$f_i(G) = \text{Tr}(A_i GG^T) - b_i \quad \square$$

Some reductions...

$$f_i \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_i - s_i = 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

new extra variables OK,
as k is fixed

$$(*) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min X_0$$

$$(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) := X \in V$$

$$V := \left\{ Y \mid F(Y) := \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(Y)^2 + \cancel{f_0(Y)^2} + (f_0(Y) - Y_0)^2 = 0 \right\}$$

so V is a real algebraic set

Outline of the algorithm

1. Deform $F(X)$ to make it "generic"

$$\tilde{F}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^K \left(X^T \left(H_i + \varepsilon_2 D_i \right) X + b_i^T X + c_i \right)^2 + \left(X^T \left(H_0 + \varepsilon_2 D_0 \right) X + b_0^T X - X_0 \right)^2 - \varepsilon_1$$

$\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}((\varepsilon_1^{1/\infty}))((\varepsilon_2^{1/\infty}))$, $0 \ll \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_1$
Puiseux series

$$\tilde{V} = \bigcup \varphi_{UW}(y, t)$$

$$U, W \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$r = |U| = |W| \geq n - k$$

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$$t = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$$

φ_{UW} - semialgebraic

2. Solve subproblems for $\varphi = \varphi_{UW}$

$$\inf_{X \in \varphi(y, t)} X_0$$

obtain candidates for the minimizer

$$\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{R}((\varepsilon^{1/\infty}))^n$$

3. Compute the "standard part" of each \tilde{X} :

$$st(\tilde{X}) = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0}} \tilde{X}$$

and select the best one.

Puiseux series fields

K - a field (a real field),
i.e. equipped with the
ordering " \leq "; $x^2 \geq 0$; $-1 \neq \sum_j x_j^2$

K is real closed when

$K[i]$ is an algebraically closed
field (here $i = \sqrt{-1}$)

$K(z)$ - field of rational functions
in z with coefficients
in K

$K((z))$ - " - formal power
series (meromorphic) - " -
($\sum_{j \geq j_0} a_j z^j$)

$K((z^{1/\infty})) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K((z^{1/m}))$ - " -

- " - Puiseux series - " -

It is real closed if K is.

1. Deformation and dimension reduction

- \tilde{V} is smooth i.e.

$\nabla \tilde{F} = 0, \tilde{F} = \varepsilon_1$ has no solutions

- By semialgebraic Sard's thm, (*) the set of critical values of \tilde{F} is 0-dim. over $\mathbb{R}((\varepsilon_2^{1/\infty}))$. But ε_1 is transcendental. \blacktriangleleft

- at the extremal points of X_0 there exists Lagrange multiplier $\lambda \neq 0$.

$$1 = \lambda \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial X_0}$$

$$0 = \lambda \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial X_1} = \sum_{i=0}^K t_i ((H_i + \varepsilon_2 D_i) X + b_i)$$

$$\vdots$$
$$0 = \lambda \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial X_n}$$

$$t_i = \tilde{f}_i(X)$$
$$0 \leq i \leq K$$

- thus we obtain linear conditions on X

$$\Phi(t)X := \sum_{i=0}^K t_i (H_i + \varepsilon_2 D_i) X = -\sum_{i=0}^K b_i t_i + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

want $\Phi(t)$ of high rank!

1. (cont'd) $\text{rk } \Phi(t)$

- For any $t \in \mathbb{R}((e^{1/\infty})) - \{0\}$,
 $\text{rk } \Phi(t) \geq n - k$

when $D_i = \text{diag}(1^i, 2^i, \dots, n^i)$

- ▶ Let \mathcal{J} be the homogeneous ideal:

$$\mathcal{J} = (\det B_{UW} \mid U, W \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |U| = |W| = n - k)$$

$$\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[y, z, \mu]$$

where

$$B(y, z, \mu) = \sum_{i=0}^k y_i (\mu H_i + z D_i)$$

$$(y^*, z^*, 1) \in Z(\mathcal{J}) \Leftrightarrow \text{rk}(A(y^*, z^*)) < n - k$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{J} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathcal{J}' := \mathcal{J} \cap \mathbb{C}[z, \mu] \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \neq P(z, \mu) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} Z(\mathcal{J}) \\ \downarrow \\ Z(\mathcal{J}') \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H} \\ \downarrow \\ \emptyset \end{array}$$

By "Main thm" of elimination theory, Zariski-closed

$$\text{rk}(A(y^*, z)) < n - k \Rightarrow p(z, 1) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow z \neq \varepsilon_2$, as ε_2 is transcendental. ▶

2. Solving low-dimensional subproblems for φ_{uv}

For each u, w , solve the parametric problem, w.r.t. to the parameter $r \geq 0$

$$\min X_0$$

$$(y, t) \in \tilde{V}_{uw}(r), \text{ where } \boxed{\varphi = \varphi_{uw}}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{uw}(r) = \{ (y, t) \mid \|\varphi(y, t)\|^2 \leq r^2, \}$$

$$\Delta := \det(\Phi_{uw}(t)) \neq 0, \tilde{F}(\varphi(y, t)) = \varepsilon, \}$$

Represent $\tilde{V}_{uw}(r)$ as an algebraic set given by $Q=0$, for

$$Q(y, t, r, Y) := (Y_2 \Delta - 1)^2 + \Delta^8 (\tilde{F}(\varphi(y, t)) - \varepsilon)^2 + \Delta^4 (\|\varphi(y, t)\|^2 + Y_1^2 - r^2)$$

Can be done by quantifier elimination (the worsens the complexity to $n^{O(k^2)}$), or better by a modification of a procedure from Basu, Pollack, Roy (1996).

Obtain a set of param. univariate representations: (dropping Y)

$$(f(z, r), g_0(z, r), \dots, g_{k+1+n-r}(z, r))$$

defining points (y, t, X_0)

1. (cont'd) φ_{uw}

Let $U, W \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$r = |U| = |W| \gg n - k$$

$$\varphi := \varphi_{uw} : \mathbb{K}^{n-r} \times \mathbb{K}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$$

$$\varphi(y, t) \mapsto X$$

$$X_W = \Phi_{uw}^{-1}(t) \cdot (b(t)_u - \Phi_{u\bar{w}}(t)y)$$

$$X_{\bar{w}} = y$$

$\Phi_{uw}^{-1}(t)$ is computed by
Cramer's rule

$$A_{ij}^{-1} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \det(A(i,j))}{\det A}$$

$\mathbb{K}[t] \rightarrow \det A = \det(A(t))$ computed by
"interpolation", $\deg(\det A) = n$

extr. pts of $\tilde{V} = \bigcup_{u,w} \{ \varphi_{uw}(y,t) \mid \tilde{F}(\varphi_{uw}(y,t)) = \varepsilon_i \}$
 $|U| = |W| \gg n - k //$

3. Computing $st(X)$

Recall that $st(X) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} X$
 $X \in \tilde{V}$.

- $st(\tilde{V}) = V$

Obviously, $st(\tilde{V}) \subseteq V$.

For $X \in V$, $\tilde{F}(X) < \varepsilon_1$.

On the other hand, there exists

Z satisfying $\tilde{F}(X + \varepsilon_1^{1/4} Z) > \varepsilon_1$

$\Rightarrow Y = \lambda X + (1-\lambda)(X + \varepsilon_1^{1/4} Z)$ satisfies

$$\tilde{F}(Y) = \varepsilon_1 \text{ and } st(Y) = X.$$

- $X = \varphi_{UV}(y(z,r), t(z,r))$

X is defined by param. univariate representation

$$(f(z,r), g_0(z,r) \cdot \Delta(z,r), h_1(z,r), \dots, h_{n+1}(z,r))$$

$$f, g_0, h_i \in K[z, r][\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2],$$

of degrees at most $n \cdot O(K)$

3! Computing $\lim_{\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0} X(z, r, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$

- $f(z, r, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \sum_{i=0}^m \epsilon_2^i f_i(z, r, \epsilon_1)$

Compute Thom encodings for the real roots of f_0

(assuming $\frac{1}{r} \gg \epsilon_1$ an infinitesimal)

Disregard roots \odot with $\|\odot\| \notin \mathbb{R}$.

w.l.o.g.

$$g_0 \cdot \Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{m'} \epsilon_2^i q_i(z, r, \epsilon_1) \text{ and}$$

$$q_0 \neq 0$$

- If $\gcd(f_0, q_0) \neq 1$, divide q_0 by it

- Form (at most $n^{O(k)}$) representations

$$(f_0, q_0, \dots, \bar{h}_i, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}[z, r, \epsilon_1]$$

$$\text{sign}(f_0', f_0'', \dots, f_0^{(N)}) = \odot_0$$

- Repeat for ϵ_1 & $\frac{1}{r}$.

- Finally, select the best among $Y = \text{St}(X)$ satisfying $F(Y) = 0$