## Binary Space Partitions Latest Developments

Csaba D. Tóth

toth@cs.ucsb.edu

UCSB









Size of the BSP: The number of nodes in the BSP tree.





Size of the BSP  $\approx$  $\approx$  The number of fragments of input objects.

### Auto-Partition for a set of (d-1)-dimensional objects in $\mathbf{R}^d$







The interior of every cell intersects at most one input object.

- Paterson and Yao (1989): The size of the smallest BSP for *n* disjoint segments in **R**<sup>2</sup> is O(n log n).
- T. (2001): Best known lower bound  $\Omega(n \log n / \log \log n)$ .



- Paterson and Yao (1989): The size of the smallest BSP for *n* disjoint segments in **R**<sup>2</sup> is O(n log n).
- T. (2001): Best known lower bound  $\Omega(n \log n / \log \log n)$ .



- Paterson, Yao (1990): Axis-parallel segments in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :  $\Theta(n)$ .
- T. (2002): Line segments with k different orientations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

 $O(n \log (k+1)).$ 



### -3-dimensions-

• Paterson and Yao (1989): Segments in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d>2:  $\Theta(n^2)$ .



No super-quadratic lower bound is known for the size of any BSP.

## Binary Space Partition —Orthogonality helps—

- Paterson, Yao (1990): Axis-parallel segments in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :  $\Theta(n)$ .
- D'Amore and Franciosa (1992) & Dumitrescu et al. (2001): Axis-parallel segments in R<sup>2</sup>: [2n-o(n), 2n-1]
- Arya (2002): size-height tradeoff the size of a BSP tree of height *h* is Ω(n log n/log h).

 Dumitrescu, Mitchell, Sharir (2001) & Berman, DasGupta, Muthukrishnan (2001): Axis-parallel rectangles in R<sup>2</sup>:

[7n/3-o(n), 3n-o(n)].

• Axis-parallel space filling rectangles: [2n/3-o(n), 2n].

### -Orthogonality in higher dimensions-

• P&Y(1990): Axis-parallel segments in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d>2:  $\Theta(n^{d/(d-1)})$ .



 Dumitrescu, Mitchell, Sharir (2001): Axis-parallel k-dimensional rectangles in R<sup>d</sup>: Θ(n<sup>d/(d-k)</sup>) if k < d/2, but O(n<sup>d/(d-k)</sup>) holds for every k ≤ d-1.

Space filling increases the complexity of the input by the minimum convex partition of its complement.



Space filling increases the complexity of the input by the minimum convex partition of its complement.



Space filling increases the complexity of the input by the minimum convex partition of its complement.



The complement of  $3 \cdot k^2$  prisms consists of  $k^3$  small cubes.

Hershberger, Suri, and T. (2003): Space-filling axis-parallel boxes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $\Theta(n^{4/3})$ .

Two phase algorithm:

- BSP for the *vertices* of all input boxes (by "round-robin"),
- *linear* BSP for objects whose vertices are on cell boundary.

Hershberger, Suri, and T. (2003): Space-filling axis-parallel boxes in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ :  $O(n^{(d+1)/3})$  and  $\Omega(n^{\beta(d)})$  where  $\beta(d) \rightarrow (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ .

### Binary Space Partition —Fatness helps—

- De Berg, de Groove, and Overmars (1997): Ratio of longest and shortest segments is bounded by a constant in R<sup>2</sup>: Θ(n).
- De Berg (2000): Full dimensional fat objects in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ :  $\Theta(n)$ .

Two phase algorithm:

- BSP for bounding box vertices of all fat objects,
- BSP for *constant number* of objects in every cell.

Generalizes to *uncluttered scenes* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :  $\Theta(n)$ .

• Agarwal, Grove, Murali, and Vitter (2000): Fat axis-parallel rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $n2^{O(\sqrt{\log n})}$ .

Binary Space Partition —Fatness helps—

T. (2003): Axis-parallel fat rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $O(n \log^8 n)$ and  $\Omega(n \log n)$  (for orthogonal BSP).

T. (2003): Axis-parallel fat (d-1)-dimensional rectangles in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ : O(n polylog(d) n).

## Fat Rectangles

# Given a box C in $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we say that an 2-dimensional rectangle r is

- Long, if no vertices of r are in int(C) [an extent contains extent of C].
- **Free-cut**, if two extents of *r* contains the corresponding extents of *C*.
- **Bridge**, if *one* extent of *r* contains that of *C*, and the other extent is in the interior of the corresponding extent of *C*.
- Shelf, if *one* extent of *r* contains that of *C*, and the other extent contains an endpoint of the corresponding extent of *C*.





## Fat Rectangles

### The rectangle $r \cap C$ is not necessarily fat, but

- If *r* is a free-cut, then we can partition *C* along *r*, without cutting any other objects.  $\Rightarrow$  We can assume that there's no free-cut.
- If *r* is a bridge, then the extent of *r* within *C* is at most α-times shorter than the shortest of the other extent (*semi-fatness*).



## **Clipped Segments**

It is not difficult to find an O(n) size BSP for *n* long rectangles. This BSP can fracture the other fat rectangles into many pieces.

#### Lemma:

There is a BSP for *n* long fat rectangles such that every clipped axisparallel segment is cut into  $O(\log^3 n)$  pieces.



If every clipped segment is cut into  $O(\log^{\alpha} n)$  pieces, then every rectangle is cut into  $O(\log^{2\alpha} n)$ pieces.

### BSP for rectangles in R<sup>3</sup>

### Algorithm

- Divide the bounding box C into  $8=2^3$  subproblems along medians of the vertices of the rectangles,
- Overlay a BSP for **long** rectangles, while cutting every clipped segment into  $O(\log^3 n)$  pieces,
- Process the subproblems recursively.

All shelves along one side of *C* are parallel.



All shelves along one side of C can be represented in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .













BSP algorithm ends in log *n* rounds.

Every axis-par. segment clipped to a rectangle is cut into  $O(\log n)$  pieces.

### **Overlay of BSPs**

Apply the BSP for shelves independently on every side of a box *C*.



If we apply *k* BSPs on the same domain where each BSP cuts every axis-parallel clipped segment  $\lambda$  times, then the **overlay** is a BSP that cuts every axis-parallel clipped segment at most  $k\lambda$  times.

### BSP for long rectangles in R<sup>3</sup>



Bridges with a common direction behave like axis-par. segments in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .



### Lower Bound Construction



### BSPs for lower-dim fat objects

(d-1)-dimensional fat axis-parallel hyper-rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  have the same BSP complexity as axis-parallel line segments in the plane — apart from a poly-logarithmic factor.

Is it true for every k, l < k < d-1, that n disjoint k-dimensional fat axis-parallel hyper-rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  have the same BSP size (apart from a poly-logarithmic factor) as n axis-parallel line segments in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-k+1}$ , that is,  $\Theta(n^{(d-k+1)/(d-k)} \operatorname{polylog} n)$ .