

Moment matrices, radical ideals and optimization

Revisiting two theorems of Curto and Fialkow

Solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data. *Memoirs of the AMS* (119) 568, 1996

The truncated complex K -moment problem.
Transactions of the AMS (352), 2000.

Monique Laurent

CWI, Amsterdam

The moment problem

Given a sequence $y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}^n}$

Does there exist a nonnegative measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n with
moments:

$$\int x^\alpha d\mu(x) = y_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n)$$

μ is then called a *representing measure* for y

Variations of the problem:

- **The F -moment problem:** Ask for a measure μ supported by a given subset $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
- **The truncated moment problem:** We are given a *truncated* sequence $y = (y_\alpha)_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i \leq t}}$
- **The complex moment problem**

Moment matrices

$$y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \qquad M(y) = (y_{\alpha+\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n}$$

As usual, identify a polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ with its sequence of coefficients $p = (p_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$

Fact: If y has a representing measure, then

$$p^T M(y) p = \int p(x)^2 d\mu(x) \geq 0$$

for any polynomial $p(x)$

Therefore:

- (1) $M(y) \succeq 0$
- (2) $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in \text{Ker} M(y)} \text{Zeros}(p)$
- (3) $\text{rank } M(y) \leq |\text{supp}(\mu)|$

Fact: If μ has a finite support, i.e.,

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \delta_{x_i} \quad \text{where } \lambda_i > 0, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Dirac measure at x_i

then

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \zeta_{x_i}$$

$$M(y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \zeta_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i}^T$$

setting $\zeta_x := (x^{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$

Moment sequences and positive polynomials

\mathcal{M} = cone of $y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ with a representing measure

\mathcal{M}^+ = cone of $y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ with $M(y) \succeq 0$

\mathcal{P} = cone of polynomials nonnegative on \mathbb{R}^n

Σ = cone of sums of squares of polynomials

$$\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^+, \quad \Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{P}$$

$$y \in \mathcal{M} \stackrel{[\text{Haviland}]}{\iff} y^T p \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}$$

$$y \in \mathcal{M}^+ \iff y^T p \geq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Sigma$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\ & \text{dual cones} & \\ \mathcal{M}^+ & \longleftrightarrow & \Sigma \end{array}$$

• $n = 1 : \mathcal{P} = \Sigma \implies \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^+$ [Hamburger's theorem]

• $n \geq 2 : \Sigma \subset \mathcal{P} \implies \mathcal{M}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$

Example: $x_1^2 x_2^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) + 1 \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \Sigma$

Hilbert's theorem: The pairs (n, d) for which every degree d polynomial in n variables nonnegative on \mathbb{R}^n is a sum of squares of polynomials are:

(1) $n = 1, d \geq 1$

(2) $n \geq 1, d = 2$

(3) $n = 2, d = 4$

Positive semidefiniteness of the moment matrix is sometimes sufficient for the existence of a representing measure

Theorem: [Berg, Christensen, Ressel 1976]

If $M(y) \succeq 0$ and y is bounded, then y has a (unique) representing measure.

Theorem A: [Curto-Fialkow 1996]

If $M(y) \succeq 0$ and $M(y)$ has finite rank r , then y has a (unique) representing measure.

Moreover, $\text{supp}(\mu) = \bigcap_{p \in \text{Ker} M(y)} \text{Zeros}(p)$ has cardinality r .

C-F proof: based on operator theory [spectral theorem + Riesz representation theorem]

New (elementary) proof: use Hilbert's Nullstellensatz and the fact that $\text{Ker } M(y)$ is a *radical ideal*.

Proof of: $M(y) \succeq 0$, $\text{rank } M(y) = r \implies$
 y has a r -atomic representing measure

Lemma 1: $(fg)^T M(y)h = f^T M(y)(gh)$ for any polynomials f, g, h

Corollary 1: $I := \text{Ker } M(y)$ is a radical ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Lemma 2: Let \mathcal{B} be a set of monomials indexing a maximal nonsingular principal submatrix of $M(y)$. Then, \mathcal{B} is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$.

Corollary 2: As I is radical, its variety:

$$V(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid p(x) = 0 \forall p \in I\}$$

has cardinality $|V(I)| = r$ and, by the Nullstellensatz,

$$p \in I \iff p(v) = 0 \forall v \in V(I)$$

$\dim \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I = V(I) = r$

Our objective: Show that y has a representing measure supported by $V(I)$.

Proving that

$$V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let $p_v \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be *interpolation polynomials* at $v \in V(I)$; i.e., $p_v(v') = 1$ if $v = v'$ and 0 otherwise.

Let Z be the matrix with columns ζ_v ($v \in V(I)$) and let \tilde{Z} be the matrix with rows p_v ($v \in V(I)$).

$$Z = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} S & T & \bar{T} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} A & B & \bar{B} \end{pmatrix}, & \tilde{Z} = \begin{matrix} S \\ T \\ \bar{T} \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \\ \bar{D} \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

setting $V(I) = S \cup T \cup \bar{T}$, $S := V(I) \cap \mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{T} = \{\bar{v} \mid v \in T\}$

Lemma 3:

$$M(y) = Z \text{diag}(\tilde{Z}y) Z^T$$

Corollary 3:

$$M(y) \succeq 0 \implies T = \emptyset$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} M(y) &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B & \bar{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & b & \\ & & \bar{b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^T \\ B^T \\ \bar{B}^T \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{A \text{diag}(a) A^T}_{A_+ A_+^T - A_- A_-^T} + \underbrace{B \text{diag}(b) B^T + \bar{B} \text{diag}(\bar{b}) \bar{B}^T}_{EE^T - FF^T} \\ &= (A_+ A_+^T + EE^T) - (A_- A_-^T + FF^T) \end{aligned}$$

As A_+ , A_- , E , F are real matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} |S| + 2|T| = \text{rank } M(y) &\leq \text{rank}(A_+ A_+^T + EE^T) \\ &\leq |\{v \in S \mid a_v > 0\}| + |\{v \in T \mid b_v \neq 0\}| \\ &\leq |S| + |T| \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4:
$$M(y) = \sum_{v \in V} [p_v^T M(y) p_v] \zeta_v \zeta_v^T$$

Proof: Denote by N the RHS matrix.

As $\{p_v \mid v \in V\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, it suffices to verify that

$$p_v^T M(y) p_{v'} = p_v^T N p_{v'} \text{ for all } v, v' \in V.$$

- Obvious if $v = v'$.
- If $v \neq v'$, $p_v^T N p_{v'} = 0$ and $p_v^T M(y) p_{v'} = 1^T M(y) (p_v p_{v'}) = 0$, since $p_v p_{v'} \in I$.

Corollary 4: The measure $\mu := \sum_{v \in V} [p_v^T M(y) p_v] \delta_v$ is a representing measure for y .

The Flat Extension Theorem for truncated sequences

Theorem B: [Curto-Fialkow 1996]

Let $y = (y_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq 2t}$ such that $M_t(y) \succeq 0$ and

$$\text{rank } M_t(y) = \text{rank } M_{t-1}(y)$$

[$M_t(y)$ is a *flat extension* of $M_{t-1}(y)$].

Then, $M_t(y)$ has a flat extension $M_{t+1}(y)$.

$$\implies M_t(y) \text{ has a flat extension } M(y) \succeq 0$$

$$\implies y \text{ has a representing measure}$$

Application: [Curto-Fialkow 1998]

A truncated sequence $y = (y_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq 2t}$ has a representing measure with finite support

$\iff M_t(y) \succeq 0$ has a positive extension $M_{t+k}(y)$ (for some $k \geq 1$) which in turn has a flat extension $M_{t+k+1}(y)$.

The F -moment problem

When does $y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ have a representing measure supported by the basic closed semi-algebraic set:

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, h_m(x) \geq 0\}?$$

Necessary conditions: $M(y) \succeq 0$, $M(h_j y) \succeq 0$ ($\forall j$)

The conditions are sufficient when F is compact and satisfies Putinar's condition.

In the truncated case, the conditions are sufficient when some flat extension condition is satisfied.

Theorem C: [Curto-Fialkow 2000]

Set $d := \max_j \lceil \deg(h_j)/2 \rceil$ and let $y = (y_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq 2t}$.

If $M_t(y) \succeq 0$ has a flat extension $M_{t+d}(y)$ and $M_t(h_j y) \succeq 0$ ($\forall j$), then y has a representing measure supported by F .

Proof: By Theorems A + B, y has a representing measure supported by $V(I)$.

Remains to show that $V(I) \subseteq F$.

For this, choose interpolation polynomials p_v at $v \in V(I)$ having degree at most t . Then,

$$0 \leq p_v^T M_t(h_j y) p_v = \int p_v(x)^2 h_j(x) d\mu(x)$$

$$\implies h_j(v) \geq 0 \quad \forall j, \quad \text{i.e., } v \in F$$

Application to optimization

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^* &= \min p(x) \text{ s.t. } \overbrace{h_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, h_m(x) \geq 0}^{x \in F} \\
 &= \min p^T y \text{ s.t. } y \text{ has a repr. measure supported by } F \\
 &= \max \rho \text{ s.t. } p(x) - \rho \geq 0 \text{ on } F.
 \end{aligned}$$

Two dual bounds: $\rho_t^* \leq p_t^* \leq p^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_t^* &:= \min p^T y \text{ s.t. } M_t(y) \succeq 0, \quad M_{t-d_j}(h_j y) \succeq 0 \quad \forall j, \quad y_0 = 1 \\
 \rho_t^* &:= \max \rho \text{ s.t. } p(x) - \rho = u_0 + \sum_j u_j h_j \\
 &\quad u_0, u_j \in \Sigma, \quad \deg(u_0), \deg(u_j h_j) \leq 2t
 \end{aligned}$$

- [Lasserre 2001] **Asymptotic convergence** to p^* when F is compact and satisfies Putinar's condition
- [Las 01][Lau 02] **Finite convergence** in the presence of equations defining a radical zero-dimensional ideal.

Stopping criterion: [Henrion-Lasserre 2003]

Let y be an optimum solution to p_t^* .

If $\text{rank } M_t(y) = \text{rank } M_{t-d}(y)$, then $p_t^* = p^*$.

Proof: By Theorem C, y has a representing measure

$$\mu = \sum_{v \in V(I)} \lambda_v \delta_v \text{ supported by } V(I) \subseteq F.$$

Then, $p_t^* = p^T y = \sum_v \lambda_v p(v) \geq p^* \implies p_t^* = p^*$.

Extracting a global minimizer: Compute the points in $V(I)$, which are global minimizers of $p(x)$ over F .

Alternative elementary proof for Theorem A using the spectral theorem for commutative symmetric matrices

(inspired by [Freedman-Lovász-Schrijver])

Assume $M(y) \succeq 0$, $\text{rank } M(y) = r$, set $I = \text{Ker } M(y)$.

- Equip the algebra $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ with the inner product:

$$\langle p, q \rangle = p^T M(y) q$$

- W.r.t. an orthonormal basis, the *multiplication operator*:

$$\begin{aligned} L_f : \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ g &\mapsto fg \end{aligned}$$

has a symmetric matrix M_f

- The matrices M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_n} pairwise commute
 \implies They have a common system $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ of *real* eigenvectors, forming a basis of \mathcal{A} .

- $p_i p_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $p_i^2 = p_i$ [system of idempotents of \mathcal{A}]
 $\langle 1, p_i \rangle = \langle 1, p_i^2 \rangle = \langle p_i, p_i \rangle \geq 0$

- Write $x_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \beta_\ell^{(i)} p_\ell$ and set $z_\ell := (\beta_\ell^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_\ell^{(n)})$. Then,

$$x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} = \sum_{\ell=1}^r (\beta_\ell^{(1)})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\beta_\ell^{(n)})^{\alpha_n} p_\ell = \sum_{\ell=1}^r z_\ell^\alpha p_\ell$$

Therefore,

$$y_\alpha = \langle 1, x^\alpha \rangle = \sum_{\ell=1}^r \langle 1, p_\ell \rangle z_\ell^\alpha$$

Hence, $\mu := \sum_{\ell=1}^r \langle 1, p_\ell \rangle \delta_{z_\ell}$ is a representing measure for y .

Some bibliography

C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen, and P. Ressel. Positive definite functions on Abelian semigroups. *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 253–272.

R.E. Curto and L.A. Fialkow. Solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 568, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

R.E. Curto and L.A. Fialkow. Flat extensions of positive moment matrices: recursively generated relations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* vol. 648, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

R.E. Curto and L.A. Fialkow. The truncated complex K -moment problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 2825–2855.

M. Freedman, L. Lovász, and A. Schrijver. Reflection positivity, rank connectivity and homomorphism of graphs. Preprint, 2004.

D. Henrion and J.-B. Lasserre. Detecting global optimality and extracting solutions in GloptiPoly. Preprint, 2003.

J.B. Lasserre. Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments. *SIAM J. Optim.* **11** (2001), 796–817.

J.B. Lasserre. Polynomials nonnegative on a grid and discrete representations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2001), 631–649.

M. Laurent. Revisiting two theorems of Curto and Fialkow on moment matrices. Preprint, January 2004. To appear in the Proceedings of the AMS.

M. Laurent. Semidefinite representations for finite varieties. Preprint, 2002.