

Stable Determination of the Electromagnetic Coefficients by Boundary Measurements



Pedro Caro

Outline

IBVP in electrodynamics

- Setting of the problem

- Main result

- Inside the proof

Outline

IBVP in electrodynamics

Setting of the problem

Main result

Inside the proof

DBV problem

- ▶ Electromagnetic fields will be assumed to be time-harmonic

$$\mathcal{E}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} E(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} H(x), \quad \omega \neq 0.$$

DBV problem

- ▶ Electromagnetic fields will be assumed to be time-harmonic

$$\mathcal{E}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} E(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} H(x), \quad \omega \neq 0.$$

- ▶ E, H satisfy the time-harmonic Maxwell equations (ME for short):

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega \varepsilon E = \sigma E, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega \mu H = 0.$$

DBV problem

- ▶ Electromagnetic fields will be assumed to be time-harmonic

$$\mathcal{E}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} E(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} H(x), \quad \omega \neq 0.$$

- ▶ E, H satisfy the time-harmonic Maxwell equations (ME for short):

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega \varepsilon E = \sigma E, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega \mu H = 0.$$

Theorem

Ω bounded Lipschitz domain and $\mu, \varepsilon, \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with

$$\mu(x) \geq \mu' > 0, \quad \varepsilon(x) \geq \varepsilon' > 0, \quad \sigma(x) \geq 0.$$

a. e. in Ω . Given $T \in TH(\partial\Omega)$, the problem

find $E, H \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solving ME in Ω with $N \times E = T$

is well-posed for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus F$. F has no accumulation point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

DBV problem

- ▶ Electromagnetic fields will be assumed to be time-harmonic

$$\mathcal{E}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} E(x), \quad \mathcal{H}(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} H(x), \quad \omega \neq 0.$$

- ▶ E, H satisfy the time-harmonic Maxwell equations (ME for short):

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega \varepsilon E = \sigma E, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega \mu H = 0.$$

Theorem

Ω bounded Lipschitz domain and $\mu, \varepsilon, \sigma \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with

$$\mu(x) \geq \mu' > 0, \quad \varepsilon(x) \geq \varepsilon' > 0, \quad \sigma(x) \geq 0.$$

a. e. in Ω . Given $T \in TH(\partial\Omega)$, the problem

find $E, H \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solving ME in Ω with $N \times E = T$

is well-posed for $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus F$. F has no accumulation point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

- ▶ $\omega \in F$ is called resonant frequency.

IBV problem

Boundary measurements can be modeled by **Admittance map**:

$$\Lambda : T \in TH(\partial\Omega) \longmapsto N \times H \in TH(\partial\Omega),$$

where $N \times E = T$ with E, H the solution for

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega\gamma E = 0, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega\mu H = 0;$$

writing $\gamma = \varepsilon + i\sigma/\omega$ to be more concise.

IBV problem

Boundary measurements can be modeled by **Admittance map**:

$$\Lambda : T \in TH(\partial\Omega) \longmapsto N \times H \in TH(\partial\Omega),$$

where $N \times E = T$ with E, H the solution for

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega\gamma E = 0, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega\mu H = 0;$$

writing $\gamma = \varepsilon + i\sigma/\omega$ to be more concise.

Inverse problem: recover μ, γ from Λ .

- *Uniqueness:* $\mu_j, \gamma_j \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and Λ_j their corresponding admittance map $j = 1, 2$.

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \implies \mu_1 = \mu_2, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2?$$

- *Stability:* Is there a modulus of continuity b such that

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b(\|\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2\|)?$$

IBV problem

Boundary measurements can be modeled by **Admittance map**:

$$\Lambda : T \in TH(\partial\Omega) \longmapsto N \times H \in TH(\partial\Omega),$$

where $N \times E = T$ with E, H the solution for

$$\nabla \times H + i\omega\gamma E = 0, \quad \nabla \times E - i\omega\mu H = 0;$$

writing $\gamma = \varepsilon + i\sigma/\omega$ to be more concise.

Inverse problem: recover μ, γ from Λ .

- ▶ *Uniqueness:* $\mu_j, \gamma_j \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and Λ_j their corresponding admittance map $j = 1, 2$.

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \implies \mu_1 = \mu_2, \gamma_1 = \gamma_2?$$

- ▶ *Stability:* Is there a modulus of continuity b such that

$$\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b(\|\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2\|)?$$

Same kind of problem can be proposed from partial knowledge of Λ .

Cauchy data set and δ

- ▶ How can we choose $\omega > 0$ to be non-resonant in order to use Λ ?

Cauchy data set and δ

- ▶ How can we choose $\omega > 0$ to be non-resonant in order to use Λ ?
- ▶ **Cauchy data set:** Given $\omega > 0$, $(T, S) \in C(\mu, \gamma)$ iff
 - ▶ $(T, S) \in (TH(\partial\Omega))^2$,
 - ▶ $\exists E, H \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solution of Maxwell with $N \times E = T$ and $N \times H = S$.

Cauchy data set and δ

- ▶ How can we choose $\omega > 0$ to be non-resonant in order to use Λ ?
- ▶ **Cauchy data set:** Given $\omega > 0$, $(T, S) \in C(\mu, \gamma)$ iff
 - ▶ $(T, S) \in (TH(\partial\Omega))^2$,
 - ▶ $\exists E, H \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solution of Maxwell with $N \times E = T$ and $N \times H = S$.
- ▶ Given μ_j, γ_j with $j = 1, 2$, need to quantify the proximity of $C_j := C(\mu_j, \gamma_j)$.
- ▶ How can we quantify the proximity of Cauchy data sets?

Cauchy data set and δ

- ▶ How can we choose $\omega > 0$ to be non-resonant in order to use Λ ?
- ▶ **Cauchy data set:** Given $\omega > 0$, $(T, S) \in C(\mu, \gamma)$ iff
 - ▶ $(T, S) \in (TH(\partial\Omega))^2$,
 - ▶ $\exists E, H \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solution of Maxwell with $N \times E = T$ and $N \times H = S$.
- ▶ Given μ_j, γ_j with $j = 1, 2$, need to quantify the proximity of $C_j := C(\mu_j, \gamma_j)$.
- ▶ How can we quantify the proximity of Cauchy data sets?
- ▶ **Pseudo-metric distance:**

$$\delta(C_1, C_2) = \max_{j \neq k} \sup_{\substack{(T_k, S_k) \in C_k \\ \|T_k\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} = 1}} \inf_{(T_j, S_j) \in C_j} \|(T_j, S_j) - (T_k, S_k)\|_{(TH(\partial\Omega))^2}.$$

- ▶ $\delta(C_1, C_2) = 0 \implies \overline{C_1} = \overline{C_2}$.
- ▶ When ω is a non-resonant for μ_j, γ_j

$$\delta(C_1, C_2) \leq \|\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2\| \leq C\delta(C_1, C_2).$$

Outline

IBVP in electrodynamics

Setting of the problem

Main result

Inside the proof

Admissible class of coefficients

Admissible: Given $0 < M$, $0 < s < 1/2$, μ, γ is admissible if

- (i) *ellipticity*, $\gamma, \mu \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $M^{-1} \leq \operatorname{Re} \gamma(x)$, $M^{-1} \leq \mu(x)$;
- (ii) *a priori bound on the boundary*,

$$\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)} < M;$$

- (iii) *a priori bound in the interior*,

$$\|\gamma\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq M, \quad \|\gamma\|_{H^{2+s}(\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{H^{2+s}(\Omega)} \leq M.$$

Admissible class of coefficients

Admissible: Given $0 < M$, $0 < s < 1/2$, μ, γ is admissible if

- (i) *ellipticity*, $\gamma, \mu \in C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $M^{-1} \leq \operatorname{Re} \gamma(x)$, $M^{-1} \leq \mu(x)$;
- (ii) *a priori bound on the boundary*,

$$\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)} < M;$$

- (iii) *a priori bound in the interior*,

$$\|\gamma\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq M, \quad \|\gamma\|_{H^{2+s}(\Omega)} + \|\mu\|_{H^{2+s}(\Omega)} \leq M.$$

B-stable on the boundary: μ, γ is in the class of *B-stable* on the boundary if

- ▶ μ, γ is admissible,
- ▶ $\exists$ a modulus of continuity $B : \forall \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\gamma}$ admissible, one has

$$\|\partial^\alpha(\gamma - \tilde{\gamma})\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} + \|\partial^\alpha(\mu - \tilde{\mu})\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq B\left(\delta(C, \tilde{C})\right),$$

with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1$, $C := C(\mu, \gamma)$ and $\tilde{C} := C(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\gamma})$.

Stable determination

Theorem

Ω bounded Lipschitz domain, $\omega > 0$. Then, $\exists C = C(M)$ such that, for any μ_1, γ_1 and μ_2, γ_2 in the class of B -stable on the boundary, one has

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C |\log B(\delta(C_1, C_2))|^{-\lambda},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 2/3s$. Here $C_j := C(\mu_j, \gamma_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$.

Stable determination

Theorem

Ω bounded Lipschitz domain, $\omega > 0$. Then, $\exists C = C(M)$ such that, for any μ_1, γ_1 and μ_2, γ_2 in the class of B -stable on the boundary, one has

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C |\log B(\delta(C_1, C_2))|^{-\lambda},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 2/3s$. Here $C_j := C(\mu_j, \gamma_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$.

Corollary

Assume $\partial^\alpha \mu_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial^\alpha \mu_2|_{\partial\Omega}$, $\partial^\alpha \gamma_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial^\alpha \gamma_2|_{\partial\Omega}$, with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1$. Then, $\exists C = C(M)$ such that

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C |\log \delta(C_1, C_2)|^{-\lambda},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 2/3s$.

Stable determination

Theorem

Ω bounded Lipschitz domain, $\omega > 0$. Then, $\exists C = C(M)$ such that, for any μ_1, γ_1 and μ_2, γ_2 in the class of B -stable on the boundary, one has

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C |\log B(\delta(C_1, C_2))|^{-\lambda},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 2/3s$. Here $C_j := C(\mu_j, \gamma_j)$ with $j = 1, 2$.

Corollary

Assume $\partial^\alpha \mu_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial^\alpha \mu_2|_{\partial\Omega}$, $\partial^\alpha \gamma_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \partial^\alpha \gamma_2|_{\partial\Omega}$, with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1$. Then, $\exists C = C(M)$ such that

$$\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C |\log \delta(C_1, C_2)|^{-\lambda},$$

for some $0 < \lambda < 2/3s$.

It should be possible

- ▶ to prove that any admissible coefficient is in the class of Hölder-stable on the boundary,
- ▶ to check –following Mandache's arguments– that our modulus of continuity is optimal.

Outline

IBVP in electrodynamics

Setting of the problem

Main result

Inside the proof

Inside out I

$E_1, H_1, F_2, G_2 \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solutions for

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times H_1 + i\omega\gamma_1 E_1 = 0 \\ \nabla \times E_1 - i\omega\mu_1 H_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \times G_2 + i\omega\overline{\gamma_2} F_2 = 0 \\ \nabla \times F_2 - i\omega\mu_2 G_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

in Ω . Then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} i\omega [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) E_1 \cdot \overline{F_2} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2) H_1 \cdot \overline{G_2}] dV \right| \leq \delta(C_1, C_2) \\ \times \|N \times E_1\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} \left(\|N \times F_2\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} + \|N \times G_2\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} \right).$$

Inside out I

$E_1, H_1, F_2, G_2 \in H(\Omega; \text{curl})$ solutions for

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \times H_1 + i\omega\gamma_1 E_1 = 0 \\ \nabla \times E_1 - i\omega\mu_1 H_1 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \times G_2 + i\omega\overline{\gamma_2} F_2 = 0 \\ \nabla \times F_2 - i\omega\mu_2 G_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

in Ω . Then

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} i\omega [(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) E_1 \cdot \overline{F_2} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2) H_1 \cdot \overline{G_2}] dV \right| \leq \delta(C_1, C_2) \\ \times \|N \times E_1\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} \left(\|N \times F_2\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} + \|N \times G_2\|_{TH(\partial\Omega)} \right).$$

The procedure consists in:

- ▶ constructing exponential growing solutions (EGS for short),

$$E = e^{i\zeta x} (E_1(\zeta) + E_0(\zeta) + E_{-1}(\zeta))$$

$$H = e^{i\zeta x} (H_1(\zeta) + H_0(\zeta) + H_{-1}(\zeta))$$

- ▶ plugging these solutions in the above estimate,
- ▶ getting the estimate for the stability.

Inside out II

After some computations and an interpolation argument we end up with

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)) e^{c\tau} + \tau^{2/3s_1} \right)^\theta.$$

where $\tau > 1$, $s_1 < 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \gamma_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + q_f(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + p_f(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) \right], \\ g &= \mu_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + q_g(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + p_g(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Inside out II

After some computations and an interpolation argument we end up with

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)) e^{c\tau} + \tau^{2/3s_1} \right)^\theta.$$

where $\tau > 1$, $s_1 < 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \gamma_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + q_f(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + p_f(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) \right], \\ g &= \mu_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + q_g(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + p_g(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using a Carleman estimate with boundary terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{d_2 - d_1}{2h}} \left(B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)) e^{c\tau} + \tau^{2/3s_1} \right)^{\frac{s_2}{s_2 - s_1}} + C e^{\frac{d_2 - d_1}{2h}} B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_2 > d_1$, $s_1 < 0 < s_2 < 1/2$, τ large enough and h small enough.

Inside out II

After some computations and an interpolation argument we end up with

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)) e^{c\tau} + \tau^{2/3s_1} \right)^\theta.$$

where $\tau > 1$, $s_1 < 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \gamma_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + q_f(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) + p_f(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) \right], \\ g &= \mu_1^{-1/2} \left[\Delta(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + q_g(\mu_1^{1/2} - \mu_2^{1/2}) + p_g(\gamma_1^{1/2} - \gamma_2^{1/2}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using a Carleman estimate with boundary terms we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \\ &\leq C e^{\frac{d_2 - d_1}{2h}} \left(B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)) e^{c\tau} + \tau^{2/3s_1} \right)^{\frac{s_2}{s_2 - s_1}} + C e^{\frac{d_2 - d_1}{2h}} B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)), \end{aligned}$$

where $d_2 > d_1$, $s_1 < 0 < s_2 < 1/2$, τ large enough and h small enough.
In order to obtain the stability, choose

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{2c} \log B(\delta_C(C_1, C_2)).$$

Thank you for your attention!

