Conformal invariance of spin correlations in the planar Ising model

Dmitry Chelkak (STEKLOV INSTITUTE & CHEBYSHEV LAB, ST.PETERSBURG)

joint project with *Clément Hongler* and *Konstantin Izyurov* (arXiv:1202:2838),

"Statistical Mechanics and Conformal Invariance" MSRI, Berkeley, March 29, 2012

2D Ising model: (square grid)



Spins $\sigma_i = +1$ or -1. Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij
angle} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$
 .

Partition function:

$$\mathbb{P}(conf.) \sim e^{-\beta H} \sim x^{\# \langle +- \rangle},$$

where

$$x=e^{-2\beta}\in\left[0,1\right] .$$

Phase transition, criticality:



 $x > x_{\rm crit}$ $x = x_{\rm crit}$ $x < x_{\rm crit}$

(Dobrushin boundary values: two marked points a, b on the boundary; +1 on the arc (ab), -1 on the opposite arc (ba))

Phase transition, criticality:



 $x > x_{\rm crit}$ $x = x_{\rm crit}$ $x < x_{\rm crit}$

(Dobrushin boundary values: two marked points *a*, *b* on the boundary; +1 on the arc (*ab*), -1 on the opposite arc (*ba*)) [Kramers-Wannier ~41]: $x_{crit} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+1}$

Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble



Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble



Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble

Correlations:

spin correlations, "boundary change operators", energy density, fermionic observables

Question I:





$$\langle \sigma(z) \rangle^{\Omega}_+ := \lim_{\delta o 0} \mathbb{E}^{\Omega^{\delta}}_+ [\sigma(z^{\delta})]$$

Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble

Correlations:

spin correlations, "boundary change operators", energy density, fermionic observables

Question I:





$$\langle \sigma(z)
angle^{\Omega}_{+} := \lim_{\delta o 0} \delta^{-rac{1}{8}} \mathbb{E}^{\Omega^{\delta}}_{+} [\sigma(z^{\delta})]$$

Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble

Correlations:

spin correlations, "boundary change operators", energy density, fermionic observables

Question I:





$$\langle \sigma(z) \rangle^{\Omega}_{+} := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \delta^{-\frac{1}{8}} \mathbb{E}^{\Omega^{\delta}}_{+} [\sigma(z^{\delta})] \langle \sigma(z_{0}) \dots \sigma(z_{k}) \rangle^{\Omega}_{+} := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \delta^{-\frac{k+1}{8}} \mathbb{E}^{\Omega^{\delta}}_{+} [\sigma(z_{0}^{\delta}) \dots \sigma(z_{k}^{\delta})]$$

Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble

Correlations:

spin correlations, "boundary change operators", energy density, fermionic observables

Question II:



$$\frac{\langle \sigma(z) \rangle_{ab}}{\langle \sigma(z) \rangle_{+}} := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{ab}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}$$

Geometry:

single interface, the whole loop ensemble

Correlations:

spin correlations, "boundary change operators", energy density, fermionic observables

Question II:





$$\frac{\langle \sigma(z) \rangle_{ab}}{\langle \sigma(z) \rangle_+} := \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{ab}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_+[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}$$

(same for several bulk z_0, \ldots, z_k and boundary a_1, \ldots, a_{2n} points)



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config.:a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config.:a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



$$F^{\delta}(z) := \frac{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow z}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow z)}]}{Z_{config::a \rightsquigarrow b}[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow b)}]}, \quad z \in \diamondsuit.$$

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



Remarks: (i) there is a strong *physical motivation* for this definition (coming from the "order and disorder operators" technique), but one can easily define the observable and derive holomorphicity using simple combinatorial arguments ("local rearrangements");

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



Remarks: (i) there is a strong *physical motivation* for this definition (coming from the "order and disorder operators" technique); (ii) *this observable was suggested by S.Smirnov* (~ 06) as a crucial tool for the rigorous proof of the Ising model conformal invariance;

The function F^{δ} is discrete holomorphic, i.e., satisfies some discrete version of the Cauchy-Riemann identities.

Proof: Natural combinatorial bijection between the two sets of configurations involved into $F^{\delta}(z_1)$, $F^{\delta}(z_2)$ gives one <u>real</u> equation for any neighbors $z_{1,2}$.



Remarks: (i) there is a strong *physical motivation* for this definition (coming from the "order and disorder operators" technique);
(ii) *this observable was suggested by S.Smirnov* (~06) as a crucial tool for the rigorous proof of the Ising model conformal invariance;
(iii) (hard) *technical problems arises when passing to the limit* (Riemann-type boundary conditions etc).

• Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09). **Theorem:** As $\delta \to 0$, properly normalized (at the point *b*) discrete holomorphic observables $\delta^{-1/2} F^{\delta}$ converge to holomorphic functions $\Psi_{(\Omega;a,b)}$ such that

$$\Psi_{(\Omega;a,b)}(z) = (\phi'(z))^{1/2} \cdot \Psi_{(\phi\Omega;\phi a,\phi b)}(\phi z)$$

for any conformal mapping $\phi: \Omega \to \phi \Omega$.

• Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09). **Theorem:** As $\delta \to 0$, properly normalized (at the point *b*) discrete holomorphic observables $\delta^{-1/2} F^{\delta}$ converge to holomorphic functions $\Psi_{(\Omega;a,b)}$ such that

$$\Psi_{(\Omega;a,b)}(z) = (\phi'(z))^{1/2} \cdot \Psi_{(\phi\Omega;\phi a,\phi b)}(\phi z)$$

for any conformal mapping $\phi: \Omega \to \phi \Omega$.

Corollary: [Smirnov et al, \sim 09-11] Convergence of Dobrushin interfaces to SLE₃ curves.

- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).

Definition: For an edge *a* in Ω^{δ} , denote

 $\varepsilon^{\delta}_{+}(\mathbf{a}) := \mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(\mathbf{a}^{\sharp})\sigma(\mathbf{a}^{\flat})]$



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).

Theorem: As $\delta \to 0$, properly renormalized discrete energy densities $\delta^{-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_+^{\delta}(a) - \sqrt{2}/2)$ converge to the continuum limit \mathcal{E}_{Ω} having the following covariance under conformal mappings:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Omega}(a) = |\phi'(z)| \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\phi\Omega}(\phi a).$$



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).

Moreover, all correlations of the renormalized discrete energy densities

$$\delta^{-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_+^{\delta}(a_j) - \sqrt{2}/2)$$

converge to the continuum limits, and this result extends to any number of boundary points b_1, \ldots, b_{2n} , where the boundary conditions change from "+" to "-".



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).

Main idea: Consider the similar observable with a "source point" a_+ . Then $F(a_+)$ counts configurations without a, while $-F(a_-)$ counts configurations with a:

$$\varepsilon(a) = \frac{F(a_+) - (-F(a_-))}{F(a_+) + (-F(a_-))}.$$



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- \bullet Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler ${\sim}10).$
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).

Theorem: As $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the ratio

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{ab}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}$$

tends to the conformally invariant limit (namely, $\cos[\pi hm_{\Omega}(z, (ba))]$).



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).

Theorem: As $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the ratio

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{ab}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}$$

tends to the conformally invariant limit (namely, $\cos[\pi hm_{\Omega}(z, (ba))]$), and the same holds for any number of inner and boundary points.



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ∼09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{F}^{\delta}(w) &:= Z_{config.:a \rightsquigarrow w} \\ & \left[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow w)} \right. \\ & \times (-1)^{\#[\text{loops around } z]} \\ & \times \text{sign } \pm 1 \text{ depending} \\ & \text{ on the sheet of } \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta} \right] \\ \widetilde{F}^{\delta} \text{ is a spinor holomorphic} \\ & \text{observable defined on a} \\ & \text{double-cover } \widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta} \text{ of } \Omega^{\delta}. \end{split}$$



- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., \sim 09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).

$$\widetilde{F}^{\delta}(w) := Z_{config.:a \rightsquigarrow w}$$

$$[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \text{winding}(a \rightsquigarrow w)} \times (-1)^{\#[\text{loops around } z]}$$

$$\times \text{sign } \pm 1 \text{ depending}$$
on the sheet of $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\delta}$
Then
$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{ab}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(z^{\delta})]} = \frac{\widetilde{F}^{\delta}(b)F^{\delta}(a)}{F^{\delta}(b)\widetilde{F}^{\delta}(a)}$$



Theorem (*Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1105.5709*): Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded multiple connected domain with two marked points *a*, *b* on the outer boundary γ_0 , and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ be some of the inner components of $\partial\Omega$. If $\Omega^{\delta} \to \Omega$ as $\delta \to 0$, then

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{a^{\delta}b^{\delta}}[\sigma(\gamma_{1}^{\delta})\sigma(\gamma_{2}^{\delta})\ldots\sigma(\gamma_{m}^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(\gamma_{1}^{\delta})\sigma(\gamma_{2}^{\delta})\ldots\sigma(\gamma_{m}^{\delta})]} \rightarrow \vartheta_{ab}^{(\Omega)}(\gamma_{1},\ldots,\gamma_{m}),$$

where the limit is a conformal invariant of $(\Omega; a, b)$ which can be written *explicitly* for $\Omega = \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$.

Remark: For multiply connected Ω , we consider *monochromatic* (constant, but unknown) boundary conditions on the inner components of $\partial \Omega$.

Theorem (*Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1105.5709*): Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded multiple connected domain with two marked points *a*, *b* on the outer boundary γ_0 , and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m$ be some of the inner components of $\partial\Omega$. If $\Omega^{\delta} \to \Omega$ as $\delta \to 0$, then

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{a^{\delta}b^{\delta}}[\sigma(\gamma_{1}^{\delta})\sigma(\gamma_{2}^{\delta})\ldots\sigma(\gamma_{m}^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(\gamma_{1}^{\delta})\sigma(\gamma_{2}^{\delta})\ldots\sigma(\gamma_{m}^{\delta})]} \rightarrow \vartheta_{ab}^{(\Omega)}(\gamma_{1},\ldots,\gamma_{m}),$$

where the limit is a conformal invariant of $(\Omega; a, b)$ which can be written *explicitly* for $\Omega = \mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$.

Corollary: For 2n + 2 boundary points the following is fulfilled:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_{a_0^{\delta} \dots a_{2n+1}^{\delta}}[\sigma(\gamma_1^{\delta}) \dots \sigma(\gamma_m^{\delta})]}{\mathbb{E}_{+}[\sigma(\gamma_1^{\delta}) \dots \sigma(\gamma_m^{\delta})]} \rightarrow \frac{\Pr\left[\zeta_{a_j a_k}^{-1} \vartheta_{a_j a_k}^{(\Omega)}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)\right]_{j < k}}{\Pr\left[\zeta_{a_j a_k}^{-1}\right]_{0 \leq j < k \leq 2n+1}},$$

where $\zeta_{ab}^{\Omega} = \zeta_{ab}^{\Omega}$ are conformal invariants of $(\Omega; a, b)$ independent of single-point inner components. In particular, $\zeta_{ab}^{\mathbb{C}_+ \setminus \{z_1, ..., z_m\}} = |b-a|$.

- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).
- Spin correlations with "+" boundary conditions: done

(Hongler-Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1202.2838).

- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).
- Spin correlations with "+" boundary conditions: done (Hongler-Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1202.2838).

Theorem: Let Ω_{δ} be discretizations of a simply connected domain Ω by the refining square grids. Then, for any k,

$$\varrho(\delta)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{E}^+_{\Omega_{\delta}} \left[\sigma_{a_0} \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \right] \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \langle \sigma_{a_0} \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+,$$

where the functions $\langle \sigma_{a_0} \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$ have the covariance

$$\langle \sigma_{a_0}\sigma_{a_1}\ldots\sigma_{a_k}\rangle_{\Omega}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^k |\varphi'(a_j)|^{\frac{1}{8}} \cdot \langle \sigma_{\phi a_0}\sigma_{\phi a_1}\ldots\sigma_{\phi a_k}\rangle_{\phi\Omega}^+.$$

under conformal mappings $\phi : \Omega \to \phi \Omega$.

- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).
- Spin correlations with "+" boundary conditions: done (Hongler-Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1202.2838).

Theorem: Let Ω_{δ} be discretizations of a simply connected domain Ω by the refining square grids. Then, for any k,

$$\varrho(\delta)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a_{0}} \sigma_{a_{1}} \dots \sigma_{a_{k}} \right] \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \langle \sigma_{a_{0}} \sigma_{a_{1}} \dots \sigma_{a_{k}} \rangle_{\Omega}^{+},$$

where the normalizing factors $\varrho(\delta)$ are given by the two-point full-plane correlations: $\varrho(\delta) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}}[\sigma_{0_{\delta}}\sigma_{1_{\delta}}].$

- Basic fermionic observables: done (Smirnov-Ch., ~09).
- Energy density field: done (Hongler-Smirnov, Hongler \sim 10).
- Ratios of spin correlations ("+-"/"+"): done (Izyurov-Ch., ~11).
- Spin correlations with "+" boundary conditions: done (Hongler-Izyurov-Ch., arXiv:1202.2838).

Theorem: Let Ω_{δ} be discretizations of a simply connected domain Ω by the refining square grids. Then, for any k,

$$\varrho(\delta)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{0}} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{1}} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{k}} \right] \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{0}} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{1}} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_{k}} \rangle_{\Omega}^{+},$$

where the normalizing factors $\varrho(\delta)$ are given by the two-point full-plane correlations: $\varrho(\delta) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}}[\sigma_{0_{\delta}}\sigma_{1_{\delta}}].$

Remark: It is known (T.T.Wu, ~73) that $\varrho(\delta) \sim C \cdot \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}$ as $\delta \to 0$ for some (lattice-dependent) constant C.
Explicit formulae for $\langle \sigma_{a_0} \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$: Predicted by CFT methods *[Cardy, ~84]*:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_{a} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}_{+}}^{+} &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(2 \operatorname{Im} a)^{\frac{1}{8}}} = 2^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot (\operatorname{rad}_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{conf}}(a))^{-\frac{1}{8}} \\ \langle \sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}_{+}}^{+} &= \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{ab} + \xi_{ab}^{-1}}}{(2 \operatorname{Im} a)^{\frac{1}{8}} (2 \operatorname{Im} b)^{\frac{1}{8}}}, \quad \xi_{ab} := \left| \frac{b - a}{b - \overline{a}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\langle \sigma_{a} \rangle_{\Omega}^{+} \langle \sigma_{b} \rangle_{\Omega}^{+}}{(1 - \exp[-2d_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{hyp}}(a, b)])^{1/4}} \end{aligned}$$

$$[\langle \sigma_a \sigma_b \sigma_c \rangle^+_{\mathbb{C}_+} = \dots (explicit) \dots, etc \dots]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(a_0,\ldots,a_k) := \sum_{j=0}^k \operatorname{Re} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a_j;a_0,\ldots,\hat{a}_j,\ldots,a_k) da_j \right],$$

coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} a} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} a}\right) \log \langle \sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$ are given explicitly (see below) and the primitive is chosen so that

$$\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}}\sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1}\ldots\sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k}\rangle_{\Omega}^+ \sim \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}}\rangle_{\Omega}^+ \cdot \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1}\ldots\sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k}\rangle_{\Omega}^+ \quad \text{as} \quad \mathbf{a} \to \partial\Omega.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(a_0,\ldots,a_k) := \sum_{j=0}^k \operatorname{Re}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a_j;a_0,...,\hat{a}_j,...,a_k)da_j\right],$$

coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} a} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} a}\right) \log \langle \sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$ are given explicitly (see below) and the primitive is chosen so that

$$\langle \sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\sim \langle \sigma_{a}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\cdot \langle \sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}$$
 as $a \to \partial \Omega$.

Remark: (i) $A_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k)$ can be found as a solution to some $k \times k$ linear system with explicit coefficients;

(ii) both existence of the primitive $\int \mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(a_0, \ldots, a_k)$ and consistent multiplicative normalizations for different k resemble properties of the lattice spin correlations and are proven along the way without the complete analysis of logarithmic derivatives \mathcal{A}_{Ω} .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(a_0,\ldots,a_k) := \sum_{j=0}^k \operatorname{Re} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a_j;a_0,\ldots,\hat{a}_j,\ldots,a_k) da_j \right],$$

coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} a} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} a}\right) \log \langle \sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$ are given explicitly (see below) and the primitive is chosen so that

$$\langle \sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\sim \langle \sigma_{a}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\cdot \langle \sigma_{a_{1}}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}$$
 as $a o \partial \Omega$.

<u>CFT</u> prediction: $[k \ge 2$: Burkhardt, Guim, ~93]

$$\langle \sigma_{a_0} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}_+}^+ = \prod_{m=0}^k \frac{1}{(2 \operatorname{Im} a_m)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \left[2^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \sum_{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k = \pm 1} \prod_{s < m} (\xi_{a_s a_m})^{\frac{\mu_s \mu_m}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Omega}(a_0,\ldots,a_k) := \sum_{j=0}^k \operatorname{Re} \left[\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a_j;a_0,\ldots,\hat{a}_j,\ldots,a_k) da_j \right],$$

coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} a} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} a}\right) \log \langle \sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$ are given explicitly (see below) and the primitive is chosen so that

$$\langle \sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\sim \langle \sigma_{a}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}\cdot \langle \sigma_{a_{1}}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}$$
 as $a
ightarrow\partial\Omega$.

<u>CFT</u> prediction: $[k \ge 2$: Burkhardt, Guim, ~93]

$$\langle \sigma_{a_0} \dots \sigma_{a_k} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}_+}^+ = \prod_{m=0}^k \frac{1}{(2 \operatorname{Im} a_m)^{\frac{1}{8}}} \left[2^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \sum_{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k = \pm 1} \prod_{s < m} (\xi_{a_s a_m})^{\frac{\mu_s \mu_m}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Remark: Formulae agree (i) for small k; (ii) if all $a_0, \ldots, a_k \in i\mathbb{R}_+$. *Open question:* to check in full generality.



Notation:

We work on the square grid rotated by 45° of diagonal mesh sizes 2δ (thus, the distance between adjacent spins is $\sqrt{2}\delta$), and define *s-holomorphic* observables at both "midedges" $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_s}^{\mathrm{m}}$ and (four types of)

"corners" $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{c} = \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{i} \cup \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{\lambda} \cup \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{\overline{\lambda}}$, so that the value at the corner is a common projection of the values at nearby midedges.

I. Convergence of logarithmic derivatives: Theorem 1:

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a+2\delta}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}),$$

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a+2i\delta}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}).$$

I. Convergence of logarithmic derivatives: Theorem 1:

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a+2\delta}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \operatorname{Re} \, \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}),$$

$$\frac{1}{2\delta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a+2i\delta}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \operatorname{Re} \, \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}).$$

Corollary:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right] \sim \varrho_{k+1}(\delta,\Omega_{\delta}) \cdot \langle \sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\rangle_{\Omega}^{+}$$

for some normalizing factors $\rho_{k+1}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta})$ that might depend on Ω and the number of points a, a_1, \ldots, a_k but not on their positions.

II. Matching the normalizations $\rho_{k+1}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta})$: Theorem 2:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\text{free}}_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{a+\delta}\sigma_{b+\delta}\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{+}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{b}\right]} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}(a;b) = \exp[-\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{hyp}}_{\Omega}(a,b)]$$

(in particular, we also prove *convergence of two-point correlations* with free boundary conditions).

II. Matching the normalizations $\rho_{k+1}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta})$: Theorem 2:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\text{free}}_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}+\delta}\sigma_{b+\delta}\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{+}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}}\sigma_{b}\right]} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}(\mathsf{a}; \mathsf{b}) = \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}^{\text{hyp}}_{\Omega}(\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b})]$$

(in particular, we also prove *convergence of two-point correlations* with free boundary conditions).

$$1 = \lim_{b \to a} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}} \left[\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \right]} \; \Rightarrow \; \varrho_{2}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta}) \sim \varrho(\delta)$$

(where $\varrho(\delta) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}}[\sigma_0 \sigma_1]$).

II. Matching the normalizations $\rho_{k+1}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta})$: Theorem 2:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}^{\text{free}}_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}+\delta}\sigma_{b+\delta}\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{+}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}}\sigma_{b}\right]} \xrightarrow[\delta \to 0]{} \mathcal{B}_{\Omega}(\mathsf{a}; \mathsf{b}) = \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}^{\text{hyp}}_{\Omega}(\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b})]$$

(in particular, we also prove *convergence of two-point correlations* with free boundary conditions).

$$1 = \lim_{b \to a} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+} \left[\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}} \left[\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b} \right]} \; \Rightarrow \; \varrho_{2}(\delta, \Omega_{\delta}) \sim \varrho(\delta)$$

(where $\rho(\delta) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{C}_{\delta}}[\sigma_0 \sigma_1]$). Further, asymptotic decorrelation as one of the points a, a_1, \ldots, a_k approaches the boundary $\partial \Omega$ gives

$$\varrho_{k+1}(\delta,\Omega_{\delta}) \sim \varrho_1(\delta,\Omega_{\delta})\varrho_k(\delta,\Omega_{\delta}) \Rightarrow \varrho_{k+1}(\delta,\Omega_{\delta}) \sim \varrho(\delta)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}\left(z\right) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(a + \frac{\delta}{2}, z\right)} x_{\mathrm{crit}}^{\#\mathrm{edges}(\gamma)} \cdot \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right), \\ \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right) &:= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{wind}(\mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot (-1)^{\#\mathrm{loops}(\gamma \setminus \mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot \mathrm{sheet}\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\gamma\right), z\right). \end{split}$$



wind (p (γ)) is the winding of the path p (γ) : a + δ/2 → z;
#loops - those containing an odd number of a,..., a_k inside;
sheet (p (γ), z) = +1, if p(γ) defines z, and -1 otherwise.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}\left(z\right) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(a + \frac{\delta}{2}, z\right)} x_{\mathrm{crit}}^{\#\mathrm{edges}(\gamma)} \cdot \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right), \\ \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right) &:= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{wind}(\mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot (-1)^{\#\mathrm{loops}(\gamma \setminus \mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot \mathrm{sheet}\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\gamma\right), z\right). \end{split}$$



wind (p (γ)) is the winding of the path p (γ) : a + δ/2 → z;
#loops - those containing an *odd* number of a,..., a_k inside;
sheet (p (γ), z) = +1, if p(γ) defines z, and -1 otherwise.

Remark:
$$\mathcal{Z}^+_{\Omega_{\delta}}[\sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k}] = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}} x^{\text{#edges}(\omega)}_{\text{crit}} (-1)^{\text{#loops}(\omega)}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{F}(z) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}}\sigma_{\mathsf{a}_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{\mathsf{a}_{k}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\mathsf{a}+\frac{\delta}{2},z\right)} x_{\mathrm{crit}}^{\#\mathrm{edges}(\gamma)} \cdot \phi_{\mathsf{a};\mathsf{a}_{1},\ldots,\mathsf{a}_{k}}\left(\gamma,z\right), \\ \phi_{\mathsf{a};\mathsf{a}_{1},\ldots,\mathsf{a}_{k}}\left(\gamma,z\right) &:= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{wind}(\mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot (-1)^{\#\mathrm{loops}(\gamma \setminus \mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot \mathrm{sheet}\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\gamma\right),z\right). \end{split}$$

Proposition 1:

• wind $(p(\gamma))$ is the winding of $F\left(a+\frac{3\delta}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a+2\delta}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{2}^{+}\left[\sigma_{2}\dots\sigma_{2}\right]} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{the path } p\left(\gamma\right): a+\frac{\delta}{2} \rightsquigarrow z;\\ \bullet \ \#\text{loops}-\text{those containing an} \end{array}$ odd number of a, \ldots, a_k inside; • sheet $(p(\gamma), z) = +1$, if $p(\gamma)$ defines z, and -1 otherwise.

Remark:
$$\mathcal{Z}^+_{\Omega_{\delta}} [\sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k}] = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}} x^{\text{#edges}(\omega)}_{\text{crit}} (-1)^{\text{#loops}(\omega)}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{F}(z) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{\mathsf{a}}\sigma_{\mathsf{a}_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{\mathsf{a}_{k}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\mathsf{a}+\frac{\delta}{2},z\right)} x_{\mathrm{crit}}^{\#\mathrm{edges}(\gamma)} \cdot \phi_{\mathsf{a};\mathsf{a}_{1},\ldots,\mathsf{a}_{k}}\left(\gamma,z\right), \\ \phi_{\mathsf{a};\mathsf{a}_{1},\ldots,\mathsf{a}_{k}}\left(\gamma,z\right) &:= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{wind}(\mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot (-1)^{\#\mathrm{loops}(\gamma \setminus \mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot \mathrm{sheet}\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\gamma\right),z\right). \end{split}$$

Proposition 1:

$$F\left(a+\frac{3\delta}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a+2\delta}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}$$

Proposition 2: if k = 1, then, due to Kramers-Wannier duality,

$$F\left(b+\frac{\delta}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\delta}}^{\text{free}}\left[\sigma_{a+\delta}\sigma_{b+\delta}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{b}\right]}$$

wind (p (γ)) is the winding of the path p (γ) : a + δ/2 → z;
#loops - those containing an *odd* number of a,..., a_k inside;
sheet (p (γ), z) = +1, if p(γ) defines z, and -1 otherwise.

Remark:
$$\mathcal{Z}^+_{\Omega_{\delta}} [\sigma_a \sigma_{a_1} \dots \sigma_{a_k}] = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}} x^{\# \text{edges}(\omega)}_{\text{crit}} (-1)^{\# \text{loops}(\omega)}.$$

$$\begin{split} F(z) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{a_{1}}\ldots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(a + \frac{\delta}{2}, z\right)} x_{\mathrm{crit}}^{\#\mathrm{edges}(\gamma)} \cdot \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right), \\ \phi_{a;a_{1},\ldots,a_{k}}\left(\gamma, z\right) &:= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{wind}(\mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot (-1)^{\#\mathrm{loops}(\gamma \setminus \mathrm{p}(\gamma))} \cdot \mathrm{sheet}\left(\mathrm{p}\left(\gamma\right), z\right). \end{split}$$

Proposition 1:

$$F\left(a+\frac{3\delta}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a+2\delta}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\dots\sigma_{a_{k}}\right]}$$

Proposition 2: if k = 1, then, due to Kramers-Wannier duality,

$$F\left(b+\frac{\delta}{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega^{\bullet}_{\delta}}^{\text{free}}\left[\sigma_{a+\delta}\sigma_{b+\delta}\right]}{\mathbb{E}_{\Omega_{\delta}}^{+}\left[\sigma_{a}\sigma_{b}\right]}$$

- convergence results for the s-hol observable (discrete integration of F^2 , **technical issues** near a, \ldots, a_k)
- local analysis near a, \ldots, a_k (technical issues, independent construction of the "full-plane observable")

 \implies Theorems 1,2

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ **:** Let $f = f_{[\Omega, a; a_1, \dots, a_k]}$ be the (unique) holomorphic spinor in Ω , branching around each of a, a_1, \dots, a_k and satisfying the following:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{z \to a} \sqrt{z - a} \cdot f(z) &= 1, \quad \lim_{z \to a_j} \sqrt{z - a_j} \cdot f(z) \in i\mathbb{R}; \\ \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}\left[f(z)\sqrt{\nu_{\mathrm{out}}(z)}\right] &= 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \partial\Omega. \end{split}$$

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, \dots, a_k)$ **:** Let $f = f_{[\Omega, a; a_1, \dots, a_k]}$ be the (unique) holomorphic spinor in Ω , branching around each of a, a_1, \dots, a_k and satisfying the following:

$$\lim_{z\to a} \sqrt{z-a} \cdot f(z) = 1$$
, $\lim_{z\to a_j} \sqrt{z-a_j} \cdot f(z) \in i\mathbb{R};$

$$ext{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}\left[f(z)\sqrt{
u_{\operatorname{out}}(z)}\,
ight] = 0 \ \ ext{for} \ z \in \partial\Omega.$$

Then, we define \mathcal{A}_{Ω} expanding f near a:

$$f_{[\Omega,a;a_1,\ldots,a_k]}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z-a}} + 2\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a;a_1,\ldots,a_k)\sqrt{z-a} + \ldots$$

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k)$ **:** Let $f = f_{[\Omega, a; a_1, ..., a_k]}$ be the (unique) holomorphic spinor in Ω , branching around each of $a, a_1, ..., a_k$ and satisfying the following:

$$\lim_{z \to a} \sqrt{z - a} \cdot f(z) = 1$$
, $\lim_{z \to a_j} \sqrt{z - a_j} \cdot f(z) \in i\mathbb{R};$

$$ext{and} \quad \operatorname{Im}\left[f(z)\sqrt{
u_{\operatorname{out}}(z)}\,
ight] = 0 \ \ ext{for} \ z \in \partial\Omega.$$

Then, we define \mathcal{A}_{Ω} expanding f near a:

$$f_{[\Omega,a;a_1,\ldots,a_k]}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z-a}} + 2\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a;a_1,\ldots,a_k)\sqrt{z-a} + \ldots$$

<u>Conformal covariance</u>: If $\phi : \Omega \to \phi \Omega$ is conformal, then

$$f_{[\Omega,a;a_1,\ldots,a_k]}(z) = (\phi'(z))^{1/2} \cdot f_{[\phi\Omega,\phi a;\phi a_1,\ldots,\phi a_k]}(\phi z)$$
 and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\mathsf{a};\mathsf{a}_1,\ldots,\mathsf{a}_k)=\phi'(\mathsf{a})\cdot\mathcal{A}_{\phi\Omega}(\phi\mathsf{a};\phi\mathsf{a}_1,\ldots,\phi\mathsf{a}_k)+rac{1}{8}rac{\phi''(\mathsf{a})}{\phi'(\mathsf{a})}$$

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k)$: <u>Conformal covariance</u>: If $\phi : \Omega \to \phi\Omega$ is conformal, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \phi'(a) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\phi\Omega}(\phi a; \phi a_1, ..., \phi a_k) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\phi''(a)}{\phi'(a)}.$

Remark: This covariance property of logarithmic derivatives

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{a}}\right) \log \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$$

directly leads to conformal covariance of spin-spin correlations:

$$\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_0} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^k |\varphi'(\mathbf{a}_j)|^{\frac{1}{8}} \cdot \langle \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_0} \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\phi \Omega}^+.$$

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k)$: <u>Conformal covariance</u>: If $\phi : \Omega \to \phi\Omega$ is conformal, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \phi'(a) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\phi\Omega}(\phi a; \phi a_1, ..., \phi a_k) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\phi''(a)}{\phi'(a)}.$

Remark: This covariance property of logarithmic derivatives

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{a}}\right) \log \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$$

directly leads to conformal covariance of spin-spin correlations:

$$\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_0} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^k |\varphi'(\mathbf{a}_j)|^{\frac{1}{8}} \cdot \langle \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_0} \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\phi \mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\phi \Omega}^+.$$

Addendum: The method allows one to treat multiply connected domains and mixed correlations (energies – spins etc) as well (without PDE analysis usual for CFT methods) – [work in progress].

Definition and conformal covariance of $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k)$: <u>Conformal covariance</u>: If $\phi : \Omega \to \phi\Omega$ is conformal, then $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(a; a_1, ..., a_k) = \phi'(a) \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\phi\Omega}(\phi a; \phi a_1, ..., \phi a_k) + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\phi''(a)}{\phi'(a)}.$

Remark: This covariance property of logarithmic derivatives

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{a}}\right) \log \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a}} \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_1} \dots \sigma_{\mathbf{a}_k} \rangle_{\Omega}^+$$

directly leads to conformal covariance of spin-spin correlations:

$$\langle \sigma_{a_0}\sigma_{a_1}\ldots\sigma_{a_k}\rangle_{\Omega}^+ = \prod_{j=0}^k |\varphi'(a_j)|^{\frac{1}{8}} \cdot \langle \sigma_{\phi a_0}\sigma_{\phi a_1}\ldots\sigma_{\phi a_k}\rangle_{\phi\Omega}^+.$$

THANK YOU!