◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ の < @

## Excited random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

#### Elena Kosygina

Baruch College and the CUNY Graduate Center

May 4, 2012

## Model description: cookie environments

Let *E* := {±*e<sub>j</sub>* | *j* ∈ {1, 2, ..., *d*}} be the set of unit coordinate vectors in Z<sup>d</sup> and denote by *M<sub>E</sub>* the set of probability measures on *E*, each of which is called "a cookie". The set of cookie environments is denoted by Ω := *M<sub>E</sub>*<sup>Z<sup>d</sup>×ℕ</sup>.



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 のへで

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Dynamics of excited random walk

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability measure on  $\Omega$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{E}$  the expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

• Random walk under the quenched measure: for  $\omega \in \Omega$  let  $P_{0,\omega}$  be a probability measure on the set of nearest neighbor paths such that  $P_{0,\omega}(X_0 = 0) = 1$  and

$$P_{0,\omega}(X_{n+1} = X_n + e \,|\, (X_m)_{m \le n}) = \omega_{X_n}(e, L_{X_n}(n)), \ e \in \mathcal{E},$$

where  $L_z(n) := \sum_{m=0}^n 1_{\{X_m = z\}}$  be the number of visits to z up to time n.

• The averaged measure for  $X := (X_n)_{n \ge 0}$  is defined as follows:  $P_0(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}(P_{0,\omega}(\cdot))$ .

## Assumptions on the environment

We shall assume that either

(IID)  $\omega_z, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , are i.i.d. under  $\mathbb{P}$  or

(SE)  $\omega_z, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , are stationary, ergodic w.r.t. to the shifts on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

Moreover, one of the following ellipticity conditions will be in force:

(WEL) 
$$\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e \in \mathcal{E}: \mathbb{P} [\forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_z(e, i) > 0] > 0.$$
  
(EL)  $\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}: \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. } \omega_z(e, i) > 0.$   
(UEL)  $\exists \kappa > 0: \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e \in \mathcal{E}, i \in \mathbb{N} \ \omega_z(e, i) \ge \kappa \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$ 

Obviously, (UEL) $\Rightarrow$ (EL) $\Rightarrow$ (WEL).

Concrete models

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Sometimes we shall assume that  $\exists \ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(\mathsf{POS}_{\ell}) \quad \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \omega_z(e, i) \, e \cdot \ell \ge 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. } \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The (possibly infinite) number of biased cookies at site z is denoted by

$$M(\omega_z) := \inf\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \forall e \in \mathcal{E} \ \forall i > j : \omega_z(e, i) = 1/(2d)\}.$$

## General properties: finite of infinite range

For  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  write  $z \xrightarrow{\omega} z + e$  if and only if  $\sum_{i \ge 1} \omega_z(e, i) = \infty$ . Define  $b_F := \mathbb{P}[\forall e \in F : 0 \xrightarrow{\omega} e]$  for  $F \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . The transitive closure in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  of the relation  $\xrightarrow{\omega}$  is denoted also by  $\xrightarrow{\omega}$ .

#### Lemma

Let  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  with  $x \xrightarrow{\omega} y$ . Then on the event that the ERW visits x infinitely often, y is  $P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s. visited infinitely often as well.

#### Theorem

Assume (IID) and (EL). If there is an orthogonal set  $F \subset \mathcal{E}$  such that  $b_F = 0$  then the range is  $P_0$ -a.s. infinite. If there is no such set then the range is  $P_0$ -a.s. finite.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Kosygina, Zerner (2012, arxiv), used a lemma from Holmes, Salisbury (2011, arxiv) < □ → < ∄ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < < ⇒ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → < < → <

## General properties: recurrence and transience

Theorem (d = 1)

(a) Assume (SE) and (EL). Then the ERW is either recurrent or transient or has  $P_0$ -a.s. finite range.

(b) Assume (SE), (WEL) and  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.  $M(\omega_0) \leq 1$ . Then ERW is recurrent.

Theorem ( $d \ge 1$ , Kalikow-type zero-one law<sup>2</sup>) Assume (IID) and (EL). For  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  define  $A_\ell := \{\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n \cdot \ell = \infty\}$ . Then  $P_0[|X_n \cdot \ell| \to \infty] = P_0[A_\ell \cup A_{-\ell}] \in \{0, 1\}.$ 

Theorem ( $d \ge 2$  directional transience<sup>3</sup>) Assume (IID), (UEL), and (POS<sub> $\ell$ </sub>) for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i\ge 1, e\in \mathcal{E}} \omega_0(e, i)e \cdot \ell\right] > 0$ , then  $P_0(A_\ell) = 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>Kosygina, Zerner (2012, arxiv) <sup>3</sup>Zerner (2006)

## Open problems

(1) Let  $d \ge 2$ . Find conditions, which imply the zero-one law  $P_0[A_\ell] \in \{0,1\}$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ . (2) Assume (IID) and (UEL) and suppose that ERW is balanced:  $\omega_z(e,i) = \omega_z(-e,i)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ . Is it true that such walk is recurrent in d = 2 and transient for  $d \ge 3$ ? For RWRE this is true<sup>4</sup>.

(3) A non-elliptic version of this problem<sup>5</sup>: Let  $d = d_1 + d_2$  and suppose that upon the first visit to to a vertex the walker performs a  $d_1$ -dimensional SSRW step in the first  $d_1$ coordinates but upon subsequent visits to the same vertex he makes a SSRW step in the last  $d_2$  coordinates. The authors of the problem gave a proof of transience when  $d_1 = d_2 = 2$ .

<sup>5</sup>Benjamini, Kozma, Schapira (2011)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>see Zeitouni, LNM 1837 (2004), Th.3.3.22

## Regeneration structure<sup>6</sup>





Figure: Regeneration structure for d = 1: sizes and contents of the shaded boxes are i.i.d..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>goes back to H. Kesten, M.V. Kozlov, F. Spitzer (1975); H. Kesten (1977)

Lemma (Existence of regeneration structure<sup>7</sup>) Assume (IID) as well as (WEL) if d = 1 and (EL) if  $d \ge 2$ . Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  satisfy  $P_0[A_\ell] > 0$ . Then there are  $P_0[ \cdot | A_\ell]$ -a.s. infinitely many random times  $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \ldots$ , so-called regeneration times, such that

$$X_m \cdot \ell < X_{\tau_k} \cdot \ell \ \forall m < \tau_k \text{ and } X_m \cdot \ell \ge X_{\tau_k} \cdot \ell \ \forall m \ge \tau_k, \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

the random  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{Z}^d)^n$  -valued vectors

$$(X_n)_{0 \le n \le \tau_1}, \ (X_n - X_{\tau_i})_{\tau_i \le n \le \tau_{i+1}} \ (i \ge 1)$$

are independent w.r.t.  $P_0[\cdot | A_\ell]$ . Moreover, the vectors  $(X_n - X_{\tau_i})_{\tau_i \le n \le \tau_{i+1}}$   $(i \ge 1)$  have the same distribution under  $P_0[\cdot | A_\ell]$  as  $(X_n)_{0 \le n \le \tau_1}$  under  $P_0[\cdot | \forall n \ X_n \cdot \ell \ge 0]$ . Also  $E_0[(X_{\tau_2} - X_{\tau_1}) \cdot \ell | A_\ell] < \infty$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Zerner (2006), Berard, Ramirez (2007)

### Theorem (directional law of large numbers)

Under the assumptions of the above lemma the following holds:  $P_0$ -a.s. on the

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n \cdot \ell}{n} = v_\ell := \frac{E_0[(X_{\tau_2} - X_{\tau_1}) \cdot \ell \mid A_\ell]}{E_0[\tau_2 - \tau_1 \mid A_\ell]} \in [0, 1].$$

### Theorem (law of large numbers)

Assume (IID) as well as (WEL) if d = 1 and (EL) if  $d \ge 2$ . Let  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $P_0[A_{\ell_i} \cup A_{-\ell_i}] = 1$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . Then there are  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $c \ge 0$  such that  $P_0$ -a.s.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} \in \{v, -cv\}.$$

If, in addition,  $P_0[A_{\ell_i}] = 1$  for all i = 1, ..., d then X satisfies a strong law of large numbers with velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $v \cdot \ell_i \ge 0$  for all i = 1, ..., d. (Proof follows Drewitz, Ramirez (2010).)

・ロト・「聞・ 《聞・ 《聞・ 《曰・

### **Open problem**

Assume (IID), (UEL), and (POS<sub> $\ell$ </sub>) for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Suppose also that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i\geq 1, e\in \mathcal{E}} \omega_0(e, i)e \cdot \ell\right] > 0$ . Under these conditions ERW is transient in the direction  $\ell$ . When is it ballistic?

## No excitation after the first visit

The original ERW model and a modification<sup>8</sup>. Let  $p \in (1/2, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{P} = \delta_{\omega}$ , where  $\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

(BW) 
$$\begin{split} & \omega(z,e_1,1)=\frac{p}{d}, \quad \omega(z,-e_1,1)=\frac{1-p}{d}, \text{ and} \\ & \omega(z,e,i)=\frac{1}{2d} \quad \text{if } i\in\mathbb{N} \text{ and } e\in\mathcal{E}\setminus\{e_1,-e_1\} \text{ or } \text{ if } i\geq 2. \end{split}$$

Generalization: Assume that  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies (UEL), (IID), and that for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{MPRV}_\ell) & \exists \lambda > 0: \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \omega(0,e,1) \; e \cdot \ell \geq \lambda \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. and} \\ & \omega(0,e,i) = \omega(0,-e,i)) \; \; \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. for all } i \geq 2, \; e \in \mathcal{E}. \end{array}$$

#### ERW is called ballistic if it satisfies a SLLN with non-zero. speed.

### Theorem ( $d \ge 2$ , ballisticity and FCLT<sup>9</sup>)

Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  and assume (MPRV $_\ell$ ), (IID) and (UEL). Then the ERW is ballistic and its velocity v satisfies  $v \cdot \ell > 0$ . Moreover, there exists a non-degenerate  $d \times d$  matrix G such that with respect to  $P_0$ ,

$$\frac{X_{[n\cdot]} - [n\cdot]v}{\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{J_1}{\Rightarrow} B_G(\cdot) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where  $B_{C}$  is the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion with covariance matrix G.

Open question: under which conditions on d, the "strength" of the first cookie, and the underlying process, the first cookie determines the direction of the velocity? (See Holmes (2012).)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Berard, Ramirez (2007), Menshikov, Popov, Ramirez, Vachkovskaia (2012)

Boundedly many positive and negative cookies per site, d = 1

```
Assume (IID), (WEL), and
```

```
(BD) \exists M \in \mathbb{N}: \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. } M(\omega_0) \leq M.
```

The approach is based on the study of local times and analogs of Ray-Knight theorems.<sup>10</sup>

Continuous space-time analog, excited Brownian motions, was introduced and studied by Raimond, Schapira (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Harris (1952), Knight (1963), Kesten, Kozlov, Spitzer (1975), Toth (1996).

#### Recurrence and transience:

- $0 \le \delta \le 1$  X is recurrent, i.e. for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ , X returns  $P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s. infinitely many times to its starting point.
  - $\delta > 1~~X$  is transient to the right, i.e. for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ ,

 $X_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s..

<sup>11</sup>Zerner (2005), Mountford, Pimentel, Valle (2006), Basdevant, Singh (2008); Kosygina, Zerner (2008)

#### Recurrence and transience:

- $0 \le \delta \le 1$  X is recurrent, i.e. for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ , X returns  $P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s. infinitely many times to its starting point.
  - $$\begin{split} \delta > 1 \ \ X \text{ is transient to the right, i.e. for } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.a. environments } \omega, \\ X_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty \ P_{0,\omega}\text{-a.s..} \end{split}$$
  - Strong law of large numbers:

There is a deterministic  $v \in [0, 1]$  such that for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} = v P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s..

<sup>11</sup>Zerner (2005), Mountford, Pimentel, Valle (2006), Basdevant, Singh (2008); Kosygina, Zerner (2008)

#### Recurrence and transience:

- $0 \le \delta \le 1$  X is recurrent, i.e. for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ , X returns  $P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s. infinitely many times to its starting point.
  - $$\begin{split} \delta > 1 \ \ X \text{ is transient to the right, i.e. for } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.a. environments } \omega, \\ X_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty \ P_{0,\omega}\text{-a.s..} \end{split}$$
  - Strong law of large numbers:

There is a deterministic  $v \in [0, 1]$  such that for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} = v P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s..

• Ballisticity:

Let v be the (linear) speed defined above. Then v > 0 iff  $\delta > 2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Zerner (2005), Mountford, Pimentel, Valle (2006), Basdevant, Singh (2008); Kosygina, Zerner (2008)

#### Recurrence and transience:

#### $0 < \delta < 1$ X is recurrent, i.e. for P-a.a. environments $\omega$ , X returns $P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s. infinitely many times to its starting point.

- $\delta > 1$  X is transient to the right, i.e. for P-a.a. environments  $\omega$ ,  $X_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s..
- Strong law of large numbers:

There is a deterministic  $v \in [0, 1]$  such that for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.a. environments  $\omega$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{X_n}{n} = v P_{0,\omega}$ -a.s..

#### Ballisticity:

Let v be the (linear) speed defined above. Then v > 0 iff  $\delta > 2$ . Moreover, in the transient case with v = 0 we have  $1 < \delta < 2$   $\frac{X_n}{n^{\delta/2}} \Rightarrow$  a power of a strictly stable r. v. with index  $\delta/2$ ;  $\delta = 2 \qquad \frac{X_n}{n/\log n} \stackrel{\text{prob.}}{\to} c \in (0,\infty).$ 

<sup>11</sup>Zerner (2005), Mountford, Pimentel, Valle (2006), Basdevant, Singh (2008); Kosygina, Zerner (2008) ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Transient case: centering and scaling of  $X_n$  and  $T_n$ .

	$\xi_n(t)$	$\eta_n(t)$
$\delta > 4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]} - [nt]v}{v^{3/2}\sqrt{n}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - [nt]v^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}}$
$\delta = 4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]} - [nt]v}{v^{3/2}\sqrt{n\log n}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - [nt]v^{-1}}{\sqrt{n\log n}}$
$2 < \delta < 4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]} - [nt]v}{v^{1+2/\delta}n^{2/\delta}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - [nt]v^{-1}}{n^{2/\delta}}$

Transient case: centering and scaling of  $X_n$  and  $T_n$ .

	$\xi_n(t)$	$\eta_n(t)$
$\delta > 4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]}-[nt]v}{v^{3/2}\sqrt{n}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - [nt]v^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}}$
$\delta = 4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]} - [nt]v}{v^{3/2}\sqrt{n\log n}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - [nt]v^{-1}}{\sqrt{n\log n}}$
$2<\delta<4$	$\frac{X_{[nt]}-[nt]v}{v^{1+2/\delta}n^{2/\delta}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]}-[nt]v^{-1}}{n^{2/\delta}}$
$\delta = 2$	$\frac{X_{[nt]}-c[nt]\Gamma([n])}{c^2n(\log n)^{-2}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]} - c^{-1}[nt]D([n])}{n}$
$1 < \delta < 2$	$\frac{X_{[nt]}}{n^{\delta/2}}$	$\frac{T_{[nt]}}{n^{2/\delta}}$

Here  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\Gamma(n) \sim 1/\log n$ ,  $D(n) \sim \log n$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Convergence of one-dimensional distributions<sup>12</sup> For  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ , b > 0 let  $Z_{\alpha,b}$  be a strictly stable random variable with index  $\alpha$ , skewness  $\beta = 1$ , and scale b, i.e. (sign 0 = 0)

$$\log E e^{iuZ_{\alpha,b}} = \begin{cases} -b^{\alpha}|u|^{\alpha}(1-i(\operatorname{sign} u)\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1;\\ -b|u|(1+\frac{2i}{\pi}(\operatorname{sign} u)\log|u|) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Basdevant, Singh (2008); Kosygina, Zerner (2008); Kosygina, Mountford (2011) □ □ ► ( (2012) (2012) (2012)

Convergence of one-dimensional distributions<sup>12</sup> For  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ , b > 0 let  $Z_{\alpha,b}$  be a strictly stable random variable with index  $\alpha$ , skewness  $\beta = 1$ , and scale b, i.e. (sign 0 = 0)

$$\log E e^{iuZ_{\alpha,b}} = \begin{cases} -b^{\alpha}|u|^{\alpha}(1-i(\operatorname{sign} u)\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1;\\ -b|u|(1+\frac{2i}{\pi}(\operatorname{sign} u)\log|u|) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $X_n^* = \max_{0 \le m \le n} X_m$ . Then  $\{T_n \le k\} = \{X_k^* \ge n\}$ . This allowed us to transfer results from  $T_n$  to  $X_n$  (provided that we can control  $\inf_{m \ge n} X_m$ ).

Convergence of one-dimensional distributions<sup>12</sup> For  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ , b > 0 let  $Z_{\alpha,b}$  be a strictly stable random variable with index  $\alpha$ , skewness  $\beta = 1$ , and scale b, i.e. (sign 0 = 0)

$$\log E e^{iuZ_{\alpha,b}} = \begin{cases} -b^{\alpha}|u|^{\alpha}(1-i(\operatorname{sign} u)\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1; \\ -b|u|(1+\frac{2i}{\pi}(\operatorname{sign} u)\log|u|) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $X_n^* = \max_{0 \le m \le n} X_m$ . Then  $\{T_n \le k\} = \{X_k^* \ge n\}$ . This allowed us to transfer results from  $T_n$  to  $X_n$  (provided that we can control  $\inf_{m \ge n} X_m$ ).

# 

Constant b > 0 depends on the cookie distribution. This can be translated into a result about  $\xi_n(1)$ .

Consider D([0,1]). Recall that for  $x, y \in D([0,1])$ 

$$\rho_{J_1}(x,y) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |x_n(t) - x(\lambda(t))| + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\lambda(t) - t|]$$

For each of the two pictures below  $\rho_{J_1}(x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .



 $M_1$  topology is weaker than  $J_1$ . Informally (and with some omissions),  $\rho_{M_1}(x, y) =$ " distance between the completed graphs of x and y,  $\Gamma_x$  and  $\Gamma_y$ ". For each of the two pictures below  $\rho_{M_1}(x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  but  $\rho_{J_1}(x_n, x) \not\to 0$ .



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 $M_1$  topology is less demanding than  $J_1$ . Informally (and with some omissions),  $\rho_{M_1}(x, y) =$ " distance between the completed graphs of x and y,  $\Gamma_x$  and  $\Gamma_y$ ". For each of the two pictures below  $\rho_{M_1}(x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  but  $\rho_{J_1}(x_n, x) \not\to 0$ .  $- \Gamma_{x_n}$ х t 0 2/n1/n

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > ・ Ξ · の < @

Consider the inverse maps and notice that for the first picture  $\rho_U(x_n^{-1}, x^{-1}) \to 0$  and for the second  $\rho_{M_1}(x_n^{-1}, x^{-1}) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .  $- x_n^{-1}$  $- x^{-1}$ t 1 1/n 2/nХ х 0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - 釣�??

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

### Functional limit theorems

#### Recall IID structure

#### Theorem

If  $\delta \in (1,2)$  then  $\xi_n \stackrel{J_1}{\Rightarrow} \xi$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Here  $\xi$  is the inverse of the stable subordinator  $\eta$  for which  $\eta(1) \stackrel{d}{=} Z_{\delta/2,b}$ . If  $\delta \in (2,4)$  then

$$\xi_n \stackrel{M_1}{\Rightarrow} \xi \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where  $\xi$  is a stable process with index  $\delta/2$  such that  $\xi(1) \stackrel{d}{=} -Z_{\delta/2,b}$ . Moreover,  $\xi_n \stackrel{J_1}{\not\Rightarrow} \xi$ . If  $\delta \ge 4$  then  $\xi_n \stackrel{J_1}{\Rightarrow} \sqrt{2b} B$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,

where B is the standard Brownian motion<sup>13</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Kosygina, Zerner (2012,arxiv)

## Recurrent case

• If  $0 \le \delta < 1$  then  $\xi_n(t) = \frac{X_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges to Brownian motion perturbed at extrema<sup>14</sup>. More precisely,

#### Theorem

Assume that  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . Let  $W(\cdot)$  be the unique pathwise solution<sup>15</sup> of

$$W(t) = B(t) + \delta(\max_{0 \le s \le t} W(s) - \min_{0 \le s \le t} W(s)), \quad W(0) = 0,$$

where *B* is the standard Brownian motion. Then  $\xi_n \stackrel{J_1}{\Rightarrow} W$ .

• The process W is not defined for  $\delta = 1$ . What is the limiting process for the case  $\delta = 1$ ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Dolgopyat (2011), Dolgopyat, Kosygina (2012, arxiv)

### Boundary case $\delta = 1^{16}$

Theorem Let  $\delta = 1$ . Then

$$\frac{X_{[n\cdot]}}{c\sqrt{n}\log n} \stackrel{J_1}{\Rightarrow} \max_{0 \le s \le \cdot} B(s),$$

where c > 0 is a constant.

This statement might look puzzling: the process  $X_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , is recurrent, yet the limiting process is transient. It is easier to believe that the above result holds if we replace  $X_{[nt]}$  with its running maximum  $X^*_{[nt]}$ . The stated result comes from the fact that with an overwhelming probability the maximum amount of "backtracking" of  $X_j$  from  $X^*_j$  for  $j \le [Tn]$  is of order  $\sqrt{n}$ , which is negligible on the scale  $\sqrt{n} \log n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Dolgopyat, Kosygina (2012), arxiv)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

## Further results and open questions for d = 1

Assume (IID), (WEL) and (BD):

- Large deviations (under *P*<sub>0</sub>) (Peterson (2012, arxiv)).
- The behavior of the maximum local time (Rastegar, Roiterstein, (2012, arxiv)).

Open question: Characterize the limiting behavior of ERW under the quenched measure  $P_{0,\omega}$ .