

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Van C. Nguyen Email/Phone: van.nguyen3@gmail.com

Speaker's Name: Amnon Yekutieli

Talk Title: Introduction to Derived Categories II

Date: 01/29/13 Time: 11:00 (am) / pm (circle one)

List 6-12 key words for the talk: dualizing complexes, tilting complexes, Morita equivalence, rigid dualizing complexes, Gorenstein

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Discuss specialized topics such as: dualizing complexes (commutative and non-commutative), tilting complexes (two-sided), derived Morita theory (for DG algebras), and perverse sheaves, rigid dualizing complexes.

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- ☒ Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- ☒ Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- ☒ For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- ☒ When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- ☒ Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

Take any $M \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A)$. Because A is commutative we have a triangulated functor

$$\text{R Hom}_A(-, M) : \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A).$$

Cf. Example 4.10.

Definition 6.1. A dualizing complex over A is a complex $R \in \mathbf{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$ with finite injective dimension, such that the canonical morphism

$$A \rightarrow \text{R Hom}_A(R, R)$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A)$ is an isomorphism.

If we choose a bounded injective resolution $R \rightarrow I$, then there is an isomorphism of triangulated functors

$$\text{R Hom}_A(-, R) \cong \text{Hom}_A(-, I).$$

Theorem 6.3. (Duality) Suppose R is a dualizing complex over A . Then the triangulated functor

$$\text{R Hom}_A(-, R) : \mathbf{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$$

is an equivalence.

Theorem 6.4. (Uniqueness) Suppose R and R' are dualizing complexes over A , and $\text{Spec } A$ is connected. Then there is an invertible module P and an integer n such that $R' \cong R \otimes_A P[n]$ in $\mathbf{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$.

Theorem 6.5. (Existence) If A has a dualizing complex, and B is a finite type A -algebra, then B has a dualizing complex.

Example 6.2. Assume A is a Gorenstein ring, namely the free module $R := A$ has finite injective dimension.

There are plenty of Gorenstein rings; for instance any regular ring is Gorenstein.

Then $R \in \mathbf{D}_f^b(\text{Mod } A)$, and the reflexivity condition holds:

$$\text{R Hom}_A(R, R) \cong \text{Hom}_A(R, R) \cong A.$$

We see that the module $R = A$ is a dualizing complex over the ring A .

Here are several important results from [Ha].

7. Noncommutative Dualizing Complexes

In this section A is a noncommutative noetherian ring. (This is short for: A is not-necessarily-commutative, and left-and-right noetherian.)

For technical reasons we assume that A is an algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$.

We denote by A^{op} the opposite algebra (the same addition, but multiplication is reversed), and by $A^e := A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}}$ the enveloping algebra.

Thus $\text{Mod } A^{\text{op}}$ is the category of right A -modules, and $\text{Mod } A^e$ is the category of $\mathbb{K}$ -central A -bimodules.

Any $M \in \text{Mod } A^e$ gives rise to $\mathbb{K}$ -linear functors

$$\text{Hom}_A(-, M) : (\text{Mod } A)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } A^{\text{op}}$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(-, M) : (\text{Mod } A^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod } A.$$

These functors can be derived, yielding $\mathbb{K}$ -linear triangulated functors

$$\mathrm{RHom}_A(-, M) : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}})$$

and

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(-, M) : \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A).$$

One way to construct these derived functors is to choose a quasi-isomorphism $M \rightarrow I$ in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$, with I a complex that is $\mathbb{K}$ -injective on both sides, i.e. over A and over A^{op} .

Then

$$\mathrm{RHom}_A(-, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(-, I)$$

and

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(-, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(-, I).$$

The reason that we need $\mathbb{K}$ to be a field is to insure that such “bi- $\mathbb{K}$ -injective” resolutions $M \rightarrow I$ exist.

Condition (ii) implies that R has a “bounded bi-injective resolution”, namely there is a quasi-isomorphism $R \rightarrow I$ in $\mathbf{K}(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$, with I a bounded complex of bimodules that are injective on both sides.

Theorem 7.2. (Duality, [Ye1]) Suppose R is a noncommutative dualizing complex over A . Then the triangulated functor

$$\mathrm{RHom}_A(-, R) : \mathbf{D}_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} A)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_f^b(\mathrm{Mod} A^{\mathrm{op}})$$

is an equivalence, with quasi-inverse $\mathrm{RHom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(-, R)$.

Existence and uniqueness are much more complicated than in the noncommutative case. I will talk about them later.

Note that even if A is commutative, this setup is still meaningful – not all A -bimodules are A -central!

Definition 7.1. ([Ye1]) A noncommutative dualizing complex over A is a complex $R \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$ satisfying these three conditions:

- (i) The cohomology modules $H^q(R)$ are finitely generated over A and over A^{op} .
- (ii) The complex R has finite injective dimension over A and over A^{op} .
- (iii) The canonical morphisms

$$A \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_A(R, R)$$

and

$$A \rightarrow \mathrm{RHom}_{A^{\mathrm{op}}}(R, R)$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$ are isomorphisms.

Example 7.3. The noncommutative ring A is called Gorenstein if the bimodule A has finite injective dimension on both sides.

It is not hard to see that A is Gorenstein iff it has a noncommutative dualizing complex of the form $P[n]$, for some integer n and invertible bimodule P .

Here invertible bimodule is in the sense of Morita theory, namely there is another bimodule $P^\vee$ such that

$$P \otimes_A P^\vee \cong P^\vee \otimes_A P \cong A$$

in $\mathrm{Mod} A^e$.

Any regular ring is Gorenstein.

For more results about noncommutative Gorenstein rings see [Jo] and [JZ].

8. Tilting Complexes and Derived Morita Theory

Let A and B be noncommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras.

Suppose $M \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B^{\text{op}})$ and $N \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}})$.

The left derived tensor product

$$M \otimes_B^L N \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}})$$

exists.

It can be constructed by choosing a resolution $P \rightarrow M$ in $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod } A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B^{\text{op}})$, where P is a complex that's $\mathbf{K}$ -projective over B^{op} ; or by choosing a resolution $Q \rightarrow N$ in $\mathbf{K}(\text{Mod } B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}})$, where Q is a complex that's $\mathbf{K}$ -projective over B .

The complex $T^{\vee}$ is called the inverse of T . It is unique up to isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}})$. Indeed we have this result:

Proposition 8.2. Let T be a two-sided tilting complex.

1. The inverse $T^{\vee}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(T, A)$.
2. T has a bounded bi-projective resolution $P \rightarrow T$.

Definition 8.3. The algebras A and B are said to be derived Morita equivalent if there is a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear triangulated equivalence

$$\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A) \approx \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B).$$

Theorem 8.4. ([Ri2]) The $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras A and B are derived Morita equivalent iff there exists a two-sided tilting complex over A - B .

Here is a definition generalizing the notion of invertible bimodule. It is due to Rickard [Ri1], [Ri2].

Definition 8.1. A complex

$$T \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B^{\text{op}})$$

is called a two-sided tilting complex over A - B

if there exists a complex

$$T^{\vee} \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{op}})$$

such that

$$T \otimes_B^L T^{\vee} \cong A$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$, and

$$T^{\vee} \otimes_A^L T \cong B$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } B^e)$.

When $B = A$ we say that T is a two-sided tilting complex over A .

Here is a result relating dualizing complexes and tilting complexes.

Theorem 8.5. (Uniqueness, [Ye3]) Suppose R and R' are noncommutative dualizing complexes over A .

Then the complex

$$T := \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(R, R')$$

is a two-sided tilting complex over A , and

$$R' \cong R \otimes_A^L T$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$.

It is easy to see that if T_1 and T_2 are two-sided tilting complexes over A , then so is $T_1 \otimes_A^L T_2$.

This leads to the next definition.

Definition 8.6. ([Ye3]) Let A be a noncommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra.

The derived Picard group of A is the group $\mathrm{DPic}(A)$ whose elements are the isomorphism classes (in $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$) of two-sided tilting complexes.

The multiplication is

$$[T_1] \cdot [T_2] := [T_1 \otimes_A^L T_2],$$

and the inverse is

$$[T]^{-1} := \mathrm{RHom}_A(T, A).$$

Theorem 8.8. ([RZ], [Ye3]) If the ring A is either commutative (with connected spectrum) or local, then

$$\mathrm{DPic}(A) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(A) \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here $\mathrm{Pic}(A)$ is the noncommutative Picard group of A , made up of invertible bimodules.

For nonlocal noncommutative rings the group $\mathrm{DPic}(A)$ is bigger. See the paper [MY] for some calculations. These calculations are related to CY-dimensions of some rings; cf. Example 9.7.

Here is a consequence of Theorem 8.5.

Corollary 8.7. Suppose the noncommutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra A has at least one dualizing complex.

Then the right action

$$[R] \cdot [T] := [R \otimes_A^L T]$$

of the group $\mathrm{DPic}(A)$ on the set of isomorphism classes of dualizing complexes is simply transitive.

It is natural to ask about the structure of the group $\mathrm{DPic}(A)$.

9. Rigid Dualizing Complexes

The material in this final section is largely due to Van den Bergh [VdB1]. His results were extended by J. Zhang and myself.

Again A is a noetherian noncommutative algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$, and $A^e = A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Take $M \in \mathrm{Mod} A^e$. Then the $\mathbb{K}$ -module $M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M$ has four commuting actions by A , which we arrange as follows.

The algebra $A^{e;\mathrm{in}} := A^e$ acts on $M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M$ by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \cdot_{\mathrm{in}} (m_1 \otimes m_2) := (m_1 \cdot a_2) \otimes (a_1 \cdot m_2),$$

and the algebra $A^{e;\mathrm{out}} := A^e$ acts by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \cdot_{\mathrm{out}} (m_1 \otimes m_2) := (a_1 \cdot m_1) \otimes (m_2 \cdot a_2).$$

The bimodule A is viewed as an object of $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$ in the obvious way.

Now take $M \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$. We define the square of M to be the complex

$$\text{Sq}(M) := \text{RHom}_{A^e, \text{out}}(A, M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M) \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^{e, \text{in}}).$$

We get a functor

$$\text{Sq} : \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e).$$

This is not an additive functor. Indeed, it is a quadratic functor: given an element $a \in Z(A)$ and a morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$, one has

$$\text{Sq}(a\phi) = \text{Sq}(\phi a) = a^2 \text{Sq}(\phi).$$

Let (M, ρ) and (N, σ) be rigid complexes over A .

A rigid morphism

$$\phi : (M, \rho) \rightarrow (N, \sigma)$$

is a morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Sq}(M) \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Sq}(\phi) \\ N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{Sq}(N) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Theorem 9.2. (Uniqueness, [VdB1], [Ye3]) Suppose (R, ρ) and (R', ρ') are both rigid dualizing complexes over A . Then there is a unique rigid isomorphism

$$\phi : (R, \rho) \xrightarrow{\sim} (R', \rho').$$

Note that the cohomologies of $\text{Sq}(M)$ are

$$H^q(\text{Sq}(M)) = \text{Ext}_{A^e}^q(A, M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M),$$

so they are precisely the Hochschild cohomologies of $M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M$.

A rigid complex over A (relative to $\mathbb{K}$) is a pair (M, ρ) consisting of a complex $M \in \mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$, and an isomorphism

$$\rho : M \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sq}(M)$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\text{Mod } A^e)$.

Definition 9.1. ([VdB1]) A rigid dualizing complex over A (relative to $\mathbb{K}$) is a rigid complex (R, ρ) such that R is a dualizing complex.

As for existence, let me first give an easy case.

Proposition 9.3. If A is finite over its center, and is finitely generated as $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, then A has a rigid dualizing complex.

Actually, in this case it is quite easy to write down a formula for the rigid dualizing complex.

In the next existence result, by a filtration $F = \{F_i(A)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the algebra A we mean an ascending exhaustive nonnegative filtration.

Such a filtration gives rise to a graded $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra

$$\text{gr}^F(A) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{gr}_i^F(A).$$

Theorem 9.4. (Existence, [VdB1], [YZ3]) Suppose A admits a filtration F such that $\mathrm{gr}^F(A)$ is finite over its center and finitely generated as $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra. Then A has a rigid dualizing complex.

This theorem applies to the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(C)$, where C is a smooth commutative $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra (and $\mathrm{char} \mathbb{K} = 0$).

It also applies to any quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

I will finish with some examples.

Example 9.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an n -dimensional Lie algebra, and $A := U(\mathfrak{g})$, the universal enveloping algebra.

Then the rigid dualizing complex of A is $R := A^\sigma[n]$, where A^σ is the trivial bimodule A , twisted on the right by an automorphism σ .

Using the Hopf structure of A we can express A^σ like this:

$$A^\sigma \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g},$$

the twist by the 1-dimensional representation $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}$.

So A is a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple then there is no twist, so A is Calabi-Yau. This was used by Ven den Bergh in his duality for Hochschild (co)homology [VdB2].

Example 9.5. Let A be a noetherian $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra satisfying these two conditions:

- A is smooth, namely the A^e -module A has finite projective dimension.
- There is an integer n such that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^q(A, A^e) \cong \begin{cases} A & \text{if } q = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then A is a regular ring, and the complex $R := A[n]$ is a rigid dualizing complex over A .

Such an algebra A is called an n -dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebra, or an n -dimensional Calabi-Yau algebra.

Example 9.7. Let

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{K} & \mathbb{K} \\ 0 & \mathbb{K} \end{bmatrix}$$

the 2×2 matrix algebra.

The rigid dualizing complex here is

$$R := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(A, \mathbb{K}).$$

It is known that

$$R \otimes_A^L R \otimes_A^L R \cong A[1]$$

in $\mathbf{D}(\mathrm{Mod} A^e)$.

So A is a Calabi-Yau algebra of dimension $\frac{1}{3}$.

- END -

References

- [AJL] L. Alonso, A. Jeremias and J. Lipman, "Studies in duality on Noetherian formal schemes and non-Noetherian ordinary schemes", *Contemporary Mathematics*, **244** pp. 3-90. AMS, 1999. Correction: *Proc. AMS* **131**, No. 2 (2003).
- [BN] M. Bokstedt and A. Neeman, Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, *Compositio Math.* **86** (1993), 209-234.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, Residues and duality, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **20** (Springer, Berlin, 1966).
- [Jo] P. Jørgensen, Gorenstein homomorphisms of noncommutative rings, *J. Algebra* **211**, Issue 1 (1999), Pages 240-267.
- [JZ] P. Jørgensen and J.J. Zhang, Gourmet's Guide to Gorensteinness, *Adv. Math.* **151** (2000), 313-345.

- [Ne2] A. Neeman, "Triangulated categories", Princeton University Press (2001).
- [Ri1] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categorie, *J. London Math. Soc.* **39**, (1989), 436-456.
- [Ri2] J. Rickard, Derived equivalences as derived functors, *J. London Math. Soc.* **43** (1991), 37-48.
- [RZ] R. Rouquier and A. Zimmermann, Picard Groups for Derived Module Categories, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **87**, no. 1, (2003), 197-225.
- [Sc] P. Schapira, "Categories and homological algebra", course notes (available online from the author's web page).
- [Sp] N. Spaltenstein, Resolutions of unbounded complexes, *Compositio Math.* **65** (1988), no. 2, 121-154.

- [Ke] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **27**, (1994) 63-102.
- [KS1] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, "Sheaves on manifolds", Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [KS2] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, "Categories and sheaves", Springer-Verlag, (2005)
- [LH] J. Lipman and M. Hashimoto, "Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes", *LNM* **1960**, Springer, 2009.
- [MY] J.-I. Miyachi and A. Yekutieli, Derived Picard groups of finite dimensional hereditary algebras, *Compositio Math.* **129** (2001), 341-368.
- [Ne1] A. Neeman, The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability, *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 205-236.

- [VdB1] M. Van den Bergh, Existence theorems for dualizing complexes over non-commutative graded and filtered ring, *J. Algebra* **195** (1997), no. 2, 662-679.
- [VdB2] M. Van den Bergh, A relation between Hochschild homology and cohomology for Gorenstein rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), 1345-1348.
- [We] C. Weibel, "An introduction to homological algebra", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. **38** (1994).
- [Ye1] A. Yekutieli, Dualizing complexes over noncommutative graded algebras, *J. Algebra* **153** (1992), 41-84.
- [Ye2] A. Yekutieli, "An Explicit Construction of the Grothendieck Residue Complex" (with an appendix by P. Sastry), *Astérisque* **208** (1992), 1-115.

- [Ye3] A. Yekutieli, Dualizing complexes, Morita equivalence and the derived Picard group of a ring, *J. London Math. Soc.* **60** (1999) 723-746.
- [Ye4] A. Yekutieli, Rigid Dualizing Complexes via Differential Graded Algebras (Survey), in "Triangulated Categories", *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series* **375**, 2010.
- [Ye5] A. Yekutieli, A Course on Derived Categories, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.6632>
- [YZ1] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang, Serre Duality for Noncommutative Projective Schemes, *Proc. AMS* **125** (1997), 697-707.
- [YZ2] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang, Rings with Auslander Dualizing Complexes, *J. Algebra* **213** (1999), 1-51.
- [YZ3] A. Yekutieli and J. Zhang, Dualizing Complexes and Perverse Modules over Differential Algebras, *Compositio Math.* **141** (2005), 620-654.