Spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below

Nicola Gigli

August 30, 2013



1) On the definition of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below

2) Analytic properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

3) Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

► The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

On a Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{Ric} \geq K$ and $\dim \leq N$ we have

 $E(x) \leq f_{K,N}(h(x)),$ provided $h(x) \leq \frac{\min\{d(x,\gamma_0), d(x,\gamma_1)\}}{2}$

for some (explicitly given) $f_{K,N}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{h\downarrow 0}\frac{f_{K,N}(h)}{h}=0.$$

Laplacian comparison estimates for the distance

Linearity of the Laplacian

Weak maximum principle

Repeating <u>verbatim</u> the proof on RCD(K, N) spaces we obtain:

Thm. (G., Mosconi '12) The Abresch-Gromoll inequality holds in the non-smooth setting in the same form as in the smooth one.

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

The splitting theorem

Thm. (Cheeger-Gromoll '71)

Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric} \ge 0$ which contains a line. Then $M = N \times \mathbb{R}$ for some Riemannian manifold *N*.

The almost splitting

Thm. (Cheeger-Colding '96) Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold with Ric $\geq -\varepsilon$ which contains a geodesic with length *L*, with ε , $L^{-1} \ll 1$

Then 'a big portion of *M* is mGH-close to a product'

The almost splitting

Thm. (Cheeger-Colding '96) Let *M* be a Riemannian manifold with Ric $\geq -\varepsilon$ which contains a geodesic with length *L*, with ε , $L^{-1} \ll 1$

Then 'a big portion of *M* is mGH-close to a product'

Cor. (Splitting for limit spaces) Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a pmGH of Riemannian manifolds (M_n) with uniformly bounded dimension and with $\operatorname{Ric}(M_n) \ge -\varepsilon_n$, where $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$.

Assume that X contains a line. Then it splits off a factor \mathbb{R}

The non-smooth splitting

Thm. (G. '13) Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(0, N) space containing a line. Then there is a space (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') such that

 $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ is isomorphic to $(X' \times \mathbb{R}, \mathsf{d}' \otimes \mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{Eucl}}, \mathfrak{m}' \times \mathcal{L}^1)$

where

$$(\mathsf{d}'\otimes\mathsf{d}_{\operatorname{Eucl}})ig((x',t),(y',s)ig):=\sqrt{\mathsf{d}'(x',y')^2+|t-s|^2}$$

Moreover:

- ▶ If $N \ge 2$ then $(X', \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{m}')$ is an RCD(0, N-1) space
- If $N \in [1, 2)$ then X' contains only one point

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

The Busemann function

Let $\gamma : [0,\infty) \to M$ an half line. The Busemann function b associated to it is

$$\mathsf{b}(\mathbf{x}) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} t - \mathsf{d}(\mathbf{x}, \gamma_t) = \sup_{t > 0} t - \mathsf{d}(\mathbf{x}, \gamma_t)$$

The Busemann function

Let $\gamma : [0,\infty) \to M$ an half line. The Busemann function b associated to it is

$$\mathsf{b}(x) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} t - \mathsf{d}(x, \gamma_t) = \sup_{t \ge 0} t - \mathsf{d}(x, \gamma_t)$$

If $\gamma: (-\infty, +\infty) \to M$ is a line we can associate to it 2 Busemann functions

$$b^+(x) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} t - \mathsf{d}(x, \gamma_t)$$
$$b^-(x) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} t - \mathsf{d}(x, \gamma_{-t})$$

Effect of $\operatorname{Ric}\geq 0$ on the Busemann function for an half line

If
$$\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$$
 and $ar{x} \in M$
 $\Delta rac{\mathsf{d}^2(\cdot, ar{x})}{2} \leq \operatorname{dim}(M)$

Hence

$$\Delta \mathsf{d}(\cdot, \gamma_t) \leq \frac{\dim(M)}{\mathsf{d}(\cdot, \gamma_t)}$$

Passing to the limit we obtain

$$\Delta b \ge 0$$
,

i.e. the b is subharmonic.

What for the Busemann function for a line

 b^+ and b^- are subharmonic, thus so is $b^+ + b^-.$ The triangle inequality gives

$$b^+ + b^- \le \mathbf{0}$$

and the fact that γ is a line ensures that

$$(\mathbf{b}^+ + \mathbf{b}^-)(\gamma_0) = \mathbf{0}$$

hence (strong maximum principle) it holds

$$b^+ + b^- \equiv 0$$

and in particular b^+ and b^- are harmonic

Use of the Bochner equality and inequality

For any f smooth it holds

$$\begin{split} \Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} &= \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\operatorname{HS}}^2 + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta f)^2}{\dim(\mathcal{M})} + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f \end{split}$$

Use of the Bochner equality and inequality

For any f smooth it holds

$$\begin{split} \Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} &= \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\operatorname{HS}}^2 + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta f)^2}{\dim(M)} + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f \end{split}$$

For b^+ we have $|\nabla b^+|\equiv 1$ and $\Delta b^+\equiv 0$ and thus the equality

$$\Delta \frac{|\nabla b^+|^2}{2} = \frac{(\Delta b^+)^2}{\dim(M)} + \nabla b^+ \cdot \nabla \Delta b^+$$

Use of the Bochner equality and inequality

For any f smooth it holds

$$\begin{split} \Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} &= \|\operatorname{Hess} f\|_{\operatorname{HS}}^2 + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta f)^2}{\dim(M)} + \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f \end{split}$$

For b^+ we have $|\nabla b^+|\equiv 1$ and $\Delta b^+\equiv 0$ and thus the equality

$$\Delta \frac{|\nabla b^+|^2}{2} = \frac{(\Delta b^+)^2}{\dim(M)} + \nabla b^+ \cdot \nabla \Delta b^+$$

which yields

$$\|\operatorname{Hess} \mathbf{b}^+\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \equiv \frac{(\Delta \mathbf{b}^+)^2}{\dim(M)} \equiv \mathbf{0}$$

i.e. b^+ is both convex and concave.

Isometries via gradient flows

Since b^+ is convex, its gradient flow contracts distances.

Since $b^+ = -b^-$ is concave, its gradient flow expands distances.

Thus the gradient flow of b^+ produces a 1-parameter family of isometries.

Conclusion of the argument

Put
$$N := \{b^+ = 0\}$$

Conclusion of the argument

Put $N := \{b^+ = 0\}$

For $x \in N$ and $v \in T_x N$ it is obvious that $v \cdot \nabla b^+(x) = 0$ and the conclusion follows from the fact the gradient flow of b^+ is a 1-parameter family of isometries.

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

Harmonicity of b^{\pm}

As in the smooth case, from the Laplacian comparison estimate we deduce

$$\Delta b^{\pm} \geq 0,$$

and using the strong maximum principle (Bjorn-Bjorn '07) we obtain

$$b^{+} + b^{-} = 0$$

i.e.

$$\Delta b^{\pm} = 0$$

Gradient flow of b^{\pm} and geodesics

For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function tb^+ is *c*-concave and

$$(tb^+)^c = tb^- - \frac{t^2}{2}$$

Gradient flow of b^{\pm} and geodesics

For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function tb^+ is *c*-concave and

$$(t\mathbf{b}^+)^c = t\mathbf{b}^- - \frac{t^2}{2}$$

Hence from existence and uniqueness of optimal maps we deduce that

for **m**-a.e. $x \in X$ there is a unique $F_t(x) \in \partial^c(tb^+)(x)$

Gradient flow of b^{\pm} and geodesics

For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function tb^+ is *c*-concave and

$$(t\mathbf{b}^+)^c = t\mathbf{b}^- - \frac{t^2}{2}$$

Hence from existence and uniqueness of optimal maps we deduce that

for **m**-a.e. $x \in X$ there is a unique $F_t(x) \in \partial^c(tb^+)(x)$

and we can check that for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. x we have

$$t \mapsto F_t(x), \quad \text{is a line} \ F_{t+s}(x) = F_t(F_s(x)), \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

Measure preservation

For every $\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ the map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (F_t)_{\sharp} \mu$ is a W_2 -geodesic induced by \mathfrak{b}^+ .

Arguing as in the proof of the Laplacian comparison estimates we deduce

$$\frac{1}{t} \big(\mathcal{U}_N((F_t)_{\sharp} \mu) - \mathcal{U}_N(\mu) \big) \geq -\frac{1}{N} \int \nabla(\rho^{1-\frac{1}{N}}) \cdot \nabla b^+ \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$$

Measure preservation

For every $\mu = \rho \mathfrak{m} \ll \mathfrak{m}$ the map $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto (F_t)_{\sharp} \mu$ is a W_2 -geodesic induced by \mathfrak{b}^+ .

Arguing as in the proof of the Laplacian comparison estimates we deduce

$$\frac{1}{t} \big(\mathcal{U}_N((F_t)_{\sharp} \mu) - \mathcal{U}_N(\mu) \big) \geq -\frac{1}{N} \int \nabla(\rho^{1-\frac{1}{N}}) \cdot \nabla b^+ \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$$

Switching b^+ and b^- we deduce

$$\mathcal{U}_{N}((F_{t})_{\sharp}\mu) = \mathcal{U}_{N}(\mu), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and thus

$$(F_t)_{\sharp}\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}, \qquad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}$$

How to use Bochner inequality

We have

$$\Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} \geq \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f$$

How to use Bochner inequality

We have

$$\Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} \geq \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f$$

Write it with $b^+ + \varepsilon f$ in place of f we obtain

$$\Delta(
abla b^+ \cdot
abla f) =
abla b^+ \cdot
abla \Delta f$$

for every f 'smooth enough'.

How to use Bochner inequality

We have

$$\Delta \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{2} \geq \nabla f \cdot \nabla \Delta f$$

Write it with $b^+ + \varepsilon f$ in place of f we obtain

$$\Delta(\nabla b^+ \cdot \nabla f) = \nabla b^+ \cdot \nabla \Delta f$$

for every *f* 'smooth enough'. Using this identity in computing $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int |D(f \circ F_t)|^2 d\mathbf{m}$ we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{1}{2}\int |D(f\circ F_t)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}=0$$

and thus

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int |D(f \circ F_t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$$
 is constant

Isomorphisms by duality

Let (X_1, d_1) , (X_2, d_2) be metric spaces and $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ invertible.

Isomorphisms by duality

Let (X_1, d_1) , (X_2, d_2) be metric spaces and $T : X_1 \to X_2$ invertible. Then T is an isometry if and only if

$$\operatorname{Lip}_{X_1}(f \circ T) = \operatorname{Lip}_{X_2}(f), \quad \forall f : X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

Isomorphisms by duality

Let (X_1, d_1) , (X_2, d_2) be metric spaces and $T : X_1 \to X_2$ invertible. Then T is an isometry if and only if

$$\operatorname{Lip}_{X_1}(f \circ T) = \operatorname{Lip}_{X_2}(f), \quad \forall f : X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

Let $(X_1, d_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$, $(X_2, d_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ be $RCD(K, \infty)$ spaces and $T : X_1 \to X_2$ Borel and a.e. invertible.

Isomorphisms by duality

Let (X_1, d_1) , (X_2, d_2) be metric spaces and $T : X_1 \to X_2$ invertible. Then T is an isometry if and only if

$$\operatorname{Lip}_{X_1}(f \circ T) = \operatorname{Lip}_{X_2}(f), \quad \forall f : X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

Let $(X_1, d_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$, $(X_2, d_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ be $RCD(K, \infty)$ spaces and $T : X_1 \to X_2$ Borel and a.e. invertible.

Then T is (up to a modification on a negligible set) an isomorphism if and only if

$$\|f \circ T\|_{W^{1,2}(X_1)} = \|f\|_{W^{1,2}(X_2)}, \quad \forall f : X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$$

We deduce that the F_t 's have representatives which are isometries.

We deduce that the F_t 's have representatives which are isometries.

We can then declare $x \sim y$ if $x = F_t(y)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, put $X' := X / \sim$ and define

$$\mathsf{d}'ig(\pi(x),\pi(y)ig):=\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\mathsf{d}(x,F_t(y))\qquad orall x,y\in X$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}'(E) := \mathfrak{m}(\pi^{-1}(E)) \cap b^{-1}([0,1]) \qquad \forall E \subset X' \text{ Borel}$$

We deduce that the F_t 's have representatives which are isometries.

We can then declare $x \sim y$ if $x = F_t(y)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, put $X' := X / \sim$ and define

$$\mathsf{d}'ig(\pi(x),\pi(y)ig):=\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\mathsf{d}(x,\mathcal{F}_t(y))\qquad orall x,y\in X$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}'(E) := \mathfrak{m}(\pi^{-1}(E)) \cap \mathrm{b}^{-1}([0,1]) \qquad orall E \subset X' ext{ Borel}$$

Define $\iota: X' \to X$ as

$$\iota(x') = x$$
 if $\pi(x) = x'$ and $b^+(x) = 0$.

We deduce that the F_t 's have representatives which are isometries.

We can then declare $x \sim y$ if $x = F_t(y)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, put $X' := X / \sim$ and define

$$\mathsf{d}'ig(\pi(x),\pi(y)ig):=\inf_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\mathsf{d}(x,\mathcal{F}_t(y))\qquad orall x,y\in X$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}'(E) := \mathfrak{m}(\pi^{-1}(E)) \cap \mathrm{b}^{-1}([0,1]) \qquad orall E \subset X' ext{ Borel}$$

Define $\iota: X' \to X$ as

$$\iota(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}$$
 if $\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'$ and $\mathbf{b}^+(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$.

Problem: is ι an isometry?

How to gain C^1 regularity

Let (μ_t) be a geodesic such that $\mu_t \leq C\mathfrak{m}$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and φ_t s.t. $-(1 - t)\varphi_t$ is a Kantorovich potential from μ_t to μ_1

How to gain C^1 regularity

Let (μ_t) be a geodesic such that $\mu_t \leq C\mathfrak{m}$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and φ_t s.t. $-(1 - t)\varphi_t$ is a Kantorovich potential from μ_t to μ_1

Then: For $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ the map $t \mapsto \int f \, d\mu_t$ is C^1 and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t = \int \nabla f\cdot\nabla\varphi_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t, \qquad \forall t\in[0,1]$$

How to gain C^1 regularity

Let (μ_t) be a geodesic such that $\mu_t \leq C\mathfrak{m}$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and φ_t s.t. $-(1 - t)\varphi_t$ is a Kantorovich potential from μ_t to μ_1

Then: For $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ the map $t \mapsto \int f d\mu_t$ is C^1 and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\int f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t = \int \nabla f\cdot\nabla\varphi_t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_t, \qquad \forall t\in[0,1]$$

For $\nu \in \mathscr{P}_2(X)$ the map $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\mu_t, \nu)$ is C^1 and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{1}{2}W_2^2(\mu_t,\nu) = \int \nabla\phi_t \cdot \nabla\varphi_t \,\mathrm{d}\mu_t, \qquad \forall t \in [0,1]$$

where ϕ_t is a Kantorovich potential from μ_t to ν .

Basic properties of (X', d', \mathfrak{m}')

Arguing as in the smooth case but at the level of probability measures $\mu,\nu\leq C\mathfrak{m}$ we deduce that

the minimum of
$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} W_2^2((F_t)_{\sharp}\mu, \nu)$$

is attained at that t_0 such that $\int b^+ d(F_{t_0})_{\sharp}\mu = \int b^+ d\nu$

Basic properties of (X', d', \mathfrak{m}')

Arguing as in the smooth case but at the level of probability measures $\mu, \nu \leq C \mathfrak{m}$ we deduce that

the minimum of
$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}W_2^2((F_t)_{\sharp}\mu, \nu)$$

is attained at that t_0 such that $\int b^+ d(F_{t_0})_{\sharp}\mu = \int b^+ d\nu$

Picking μ, ν with support going to a point we conclude that ι is indeed an isometric embedding.

Basic properties of (X', d', \mathfrak{m}')

Arguing as in the smooth case but at the level of probability measures $\mu, \nu \leq C \mathfrak{m}$ we deduce that

the minimum of
$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}W_2^2((F_t)_{\sharp}\mu, \nu)$$

is attained at that t_0 such that $\int b^+ d(F_{t_0})_{\sharp}\mu = \int b^+ d\nu$

Picking μ, ν with support going to a point we conclude that ι is indeed an isometric embedding.

It is then easy to see that (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') is an RCD(0, N) space

What remains to show

(1) That X is isometric to $X' \times \mathbb{R}$

(2) That X' is an RCD(0, N-1) space

What remains to show

```
(1) That X is isometric to X' \times \mathbb{R}
```

(2) That X' is an RCD(0, N-1) space

The first follows using again the duality with Sobolev functions

The second by a general dimension-reduction argument introduced by Cavalletti-Sturm

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- ► The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

The statement

Thm. (Ketterer - announced) Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an $RCD^*(N - 1, N)$ space containing two points at distance π . Then it is isomorphic to a spherical suspension over a space

 (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') . Moreover:

- If $N \ge 2$ then (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') is an $RCD^*(N-2, N-1)$ space
- If N ∈ [1,2) then X' contains either only one point or two points at distance π.

The statement

Thm. (Ketterer - announced) Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an $RCD^*(N - 1, N)$ space containing two points at distance π . Then it is isomorphic to a spherical suspension over a space (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') . Moreover:

- If $N \ge 2$ then (X', d', \mathfrak{m}') is an $RCD^*(N-2, N-1)$ space
- If N ∈ [1,2) then X' contains either only one point or two points at distance π.

Crucial ingredient of the proof: TFAE

- (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is an $RCD^*(N 1, N)$ space
- ▶ The metric cone built over (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is an RCD(0, N + 1) space

Proved via the study of the Bochner inequality

Geometric properties of RCD(K, N) spaces

The Abresch-Gromoll inequality

- ► The splitting theorem
 - Statement
 - The proof in the smooth case
 - The proof in the non-smooth case
- The maximal diameter theorem
- Tangent spaces as mGH limits of blow-ups

Tangent spaces

What we expect:

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(K, N) space. Then for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. point x the rescaled space pointed at x converge to \mathbb{R}^n , for some $n \leq N$ independent on x.

Tangent spaces

What we expect:

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(K, N) space. Then for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. point x the rescaled space pointed at x converge to \mathbb{R}^n , for some $n \leq N$ independent on x.

What we have: **Thm.** (G., Mondino, Rajala '13)

Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an RCD(K, N) space. Then for \mathfrak{m} -a.e. point x there exists a sequence of rescaling such that the rescaled space pointed at x converge to \mathbb{R}^n , for some $n \le N$ possibly dependent on x and the chosen sequence of scalings.

(1) Pick $x \in X$ which is the intermediate point of some geodesic γ (note: \mathfrak{m} -a.e. point have this property)

(1) Pick $x \in X$ which is the intermediate point of some geodesic γ (note: m-a.e. point have this property)

(2) Blow up the space and use compactness to find a limit

(1) Pick $x \in X$ which is the intermediate point of some geodesic γ (note: m-a.e. point have this property)

(2) Blow up the space and use compactness to find a limit

(3) The limit space is RCD(0, N) and the geodesic γ in the limit is a line

(1) Pick $x \in X$ which is the intermediate point of some geodesic γ (note: m-a.e. point have this property)

(2) Blow up the space and use compactness to find a limit

(3) The limit space is RCD(0, N) and the geodesic γ in the limit is a line

(4) Hence such tangent space splits off a factor $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$

(1) Pick $x \in X$ which is the intermediate point of some geodesic γ (note: m-a.e. point have this property)

(2) Blow up the space and use compactness to find a limit

(3) The limit space is RCD(0, N) and the geodesic γ in the limit is a line

(4) Hence such tangent space splits off a factor $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}$

(5) Use Preiss' principle:

'Iterated tangents are tangents'

Thank you