Density functional theory and optimal transport with Coulomb cost

Gero Friesecke

TU Munich

Workshop at MSRI, October 17, 2013 Organizers: Yann Brenier, Michael Cullen, Wilfrid Gangbo, Allen Tannenbaum

Ch. Mendl (TUM), C. Klüppelberg (TUM), C. Cotar (University College London), Brendan Pass (Alberta)

Minimize

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\sum_{1\leq i
$$

over symmetric N-body probability measures γ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} with given one-body marginal ρ , i.e.

$$
\rho(x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)\,dx_2\cdots dx_N.
$$

Minimize

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\sum_{1\leq i
$$

over symmetric N-body probability measures γ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} with given one-body marginal ρ , i.e.

$$
\rho(x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)\,dx_2\cdots dx_N.
$$

Simplifying feature: all marginals equal because γ symmetric

Minimize

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}}\sum_{1\leq i
$$

over symmetric N-body probability measures γ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} with given one-body marginal ρ , i.e.

$$
\rho(x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)\,dx_2\cdots dx_N.
$$

Simplifying feature: all marginals equal because γ symmetric Complicating features: cost decreases with distance; multi-marginal

Minimize

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} \, d\gamma(x_1, \ldots, x_N)
$$

over symmetric N-body probability measures γ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} with given one-body marginal ρ , i.e.

$$
\rho(x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)\,dx_2\cdots dx_N.
$$

Simplifying feature: all marginals equal because γ symmetric Complicating features: cost decreases with distance; multi-marginal Plan:

- Quantum mechanics \rightarrow Density functional theory \rightarrow OT
- Qualitative theory
- Exactly soluble examples
- Large N

Minimize

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} \, d\gamma(x_1, \ldots, x_N)
$$

over symmetric N-body probability measures γ on \mathbb{R}^{3N} with given one-body marginal ρ , i.e.

$$
\rho(x_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}}\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)\,dx_2\cdots dx_N.
$$

Simplifying feature: all marginals equal because γ symmetric Complicating features: cost decreases with distance; multi-marginal Plan:

- Quantum mechanics \rightarrow Density functional theory \rightarrow OT
- Qualitative theory
- Exactly soluble examples
- Large N

C.Cotar, G.F., C.Kl¨uppelberg, CPAM 66, 548-599, 2013 (arXiv 2011) G.F., Ch.Mendl, B.Pass, C.C, C.K., arXiv 2013 (to appear in J.Chem.Phys.) C.C., G.F., B.Pass, arXiv 1307.6540, 2013

Quantum mechanics of atoms and molecules – overview

Quantum mechanics of atoms and molecules – overview

Chemical behaviour of atoms and molecules is described accurately, at least in principle, by quantum mechanics.

Chemical behaviour of atoms and molecules is described accurately, at least in principle, by quantum mechanics.

Quantum mechanics for a molecule with N electrons boils down to a partial differential equation (called electronic Schrödinger equation) for a function $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}, \mathbb{C})$.

Born formula: $|\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 = \text{joint probability of positions } x_1,..,x_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$

Chemical behaviour of atoms and molecules is described accurately, at least in principle, by quantum mechanics.

Quantum mechanics for a molecule with N electrons boils down to a partial differential equation (called electronic Schrödinger equation) for a function $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N}, \mathbb{C})$.

Born formula: $|\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 = \text{joint probability of positions } x_1,..,x_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$

To simulate chemical behaviour, approximations are needed. (Full Schröd.eq.: $\mathbb{R} \to 10$ gridpoints means $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \to 10^{3N}$ gridpoints. E.g., H₂O has 10 electrons, so 10^{30} gridpts! Curse of dimension.)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$.

All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

Roughly, DFT models \approx semi-empirical models of the pair density $\rho_2({\mathsf{x}}_1,{\mathsf{x}}_2) = \int |{\boldsymbol \Psi}({\mathsf{x}}_1,..,{\mathsf{x}}_{{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}}})|^2d{\mathsf{x}}_3..d{\mathsf{x}}_{{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}}}$ in terms of its marginal $\rho.$

– Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

Roughly, DFT models \approx semi-empirical models of the pair density $\rho_2({\mathsf{x}}_1,{\mathsf{x}}_2) = \int |{\boldsymbol \Psi}({\mathsf{x}}_1,..,{\mathsf{x}}_{{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}}})|^2d{\mathsf{x}}_3..d{\mathsf{x}}_{{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{N}}}}$ in terms of its marginal $\rho.$

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

– Kohn: existence of 'exact' (but comp'ly unfeasible) fctnal; reasonable approx.

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

- Kohn: existence of 'exact' (but comp'ly unfeasible) fctnal; reasonable approx.
- Nowadays many ingenious (semi-empirical) functionals: LDA, B3LYP, PBE, ...

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

- Kohn: existence of 'exact' (but comp'ly unfeasible) fctnal; reasonable approx.
- Nowadays many ingenious (semi-empirical) functionals: LDA, B3LYP, PBE, ... (most cited physicist of all time is a designer of DFT models, J.Perdew)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

- Kohn: existence of 'exact' (but comp'ly unfeasible) fctnal; reasonable approx.
- Nowadays many ingenious (semi-empirical) functionals: LDA, B3LYP, PBE, ... (most cited physicist of all time is a designer of DFT models, J.Perdew)
- Successful in many instances but rare drastic failures too (e.g. $Cr₂$ doesn't bind)

DFT is the standard approximation to quantum mechanics in simulations with more than a dozen or so electrons.

DFT approximates quantum mechanics via a closed eq./var.principle for the marginal density $\rho(x_1) = \int |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 dx_2 \cdots dx_N$. All one-point marginals equal, since $|\Psi|^2$ is symmetric in the x_i . (Pauli principle)

- Nobel Prize 1998 for its inventor Walter Kohn
- Routinely used in phys., chem., materials, molecular biology (predict binding energies/molecular geometries; ex.: Momany, Carbohyd. Res. 2005)

- Kohn: existence of 'exact' (but comp'ly unfeasible) fctnal; reasonable approx.
- Nowadays many ingenious (semi-empirical) functionals: LDA, B3LYP, PBE, ... (most cited physicist of all time is a designer of DFT models, J.Perdew)
- Successful in many instances but rare drastic failures too (e.g. $Cr₂$ doesn't bind)
- Accuracy not that high; lack of systematic derivability/improvability of functionals

Quantum mechanics of atoms and molecules – Details

Goal: compute lowest eigenvalue ("ground state energy") E_0 of the following linear operator ("electronic Hamiltonian")

$$
H_{e\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta_{x_i}) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_R(x_i)
$$

(depends on position vector $R = (R_1, ..., R_M)$ of atomic nuclei via potential $\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{R}}(x_i) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathsf{M}} \frac{Z_\alpha}{|x_i - \mathsf{R}|}$ $\frac{Z_{\alpha}}{|x_i-R\alpha|}$)

Quantum mechanics of atoms and molecules – Details

Goal: compute lowest eigenvalue ("ground state energy") E_0 of the following linear operator ("electronic Hamiltonian")

$$
H_{e\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta_{x_i}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_R(x_i)
$$

(depends on position vector $R = (R_1, ..., R_M)$ of atomic nuclei via potential $\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{R}}(x_i) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathsf{M}} \frac{Z_\alpha}{|x_i - \mathsf{R}|}$ $\frac{Z_{\alpha}}{|x_i-R\alpha|}$)

 \blacktriangleright H_{el} acts on Hilbert space $L^2_{anti}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ of square-integrable, antisymmetric functions $\Psi\,:\, (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\textstyle \mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \, \Psi=\Psi(x_1,..,x_{\textstyle \mathcal{N}})$ ("eletronic wavefunctions")

Quantum mechanics of atoms and molecules – Details

Goal: compute lowest eigenvalue ("ground state energy") E_0 of the following linear operator ("electronic Hamiltonian")

$$
H_{e\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta_{x_i}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_R(x_i)
$$

(depends on position vector $R = (R_1, ..., R_M)$ of atomic nuclei via potential $\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{R}}(x_i) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\mathsf{M}} \frac{Z_\alpha}{|x_i - \mathsf{R}|}$ $\frac{Z_{\alpha}}{|x_i-R\alpha|}$)

- \blacktriangleright H_{el} acts on Hilbert space $L^2_{anti}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ of square-integrable, antisymmetric functions $\Psi\,:\, (\mathbb{R}^3)^{\textstyle \mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \, \Psi=\Psi(x_1,..,x_{\textstyle \mathcal{N}})$ ("eletronic wavefunctions")
- \triangleright Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle:

$$
\mathit{E}_0 = \min_{||\Psi||=1} \Bigl\langle \Psi, \mathit{H}_{e\ell} \Psi \Bigr\rangle_{\mathit{L}^2}
$$

Hohenberg-Kohn-Theorem (1964) There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) functional \mathcal{F}^HK of the single-particle density ρ such that for any potential v_R , the exact QM ground state en. satisfies

$$
E_0=\min_{\rho}\Bigl(F^{HK}[\rho]+N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_R(x)\rho(x)\,dx\Bigr),
$$

where the min is over $\rho\,:\,\mathbb{R}^3\rightarrow\mathbb{R},\,\rho\geq 0,\,\int\rho=1.$

Hohenberg-Kohn-Theorem (1964) There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) functional \mathcal{F}^HK of the single-particle density ρ such that for any potential v_R , the exact QM ground state en. satisfies

$$
E_0=\min_{\rho}\Bigl(F^{HK}[\rho]+N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_R(x)\rho(x)\,dx\Bigr),
$$

where the min is over $\rho\,:\,\mathbb{R}^3\rightarrow\mathbb{R},\,\rho\geq 0,\,\int\rho=1.$

Proof 1. The non-universal part of the energy only depends on ρ_Ψ :

$$
\langle \Psi, \sum_i v(x_i) \Psi \rangle = \int \sum_i v(x_i) |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x) \, \rho_\Psi(x) \, dx.
$$

Hohenberg-Kohn-Theorem (1964) There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) functional \mathcal{F}^HK of the single-particle density ρ such that for any potential v_R , the exact QM ground state en. satisfies

$$
E_0=\min_{\rho}\Bigl(F^{HK}[\rho]+N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_R(x)\rho(x)\,dx\Bigr),
$$

where the min is over $\rho\,:\,\mathbb{R}^3\rightarrow\mathbb{R},\,\rho\geq 0,\,\int\rho=1.$

Proof 1. The non-universal part of the energy only depends on ρ_{Ψ} :

$$
\langle \Psi, \sum_i v(x_i) \Psi \rangle = \int \sum_i v(x_i) |\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)|^2 = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x) \rho_{\Psi}(x) dx.
$$

2. Partition the min over Ψ into a double min, first over Ψ subject to fixed ρ , then over ρ : letting $H^{univ}_{e\ell} := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta + \sum_{i < j}\frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|},$

$$
E_0 = \inf_{\Psi} \left(\langle \Psi, H_{e\ell}^{\text{univ}} \Psi \rangle + N \int v(r) \, \rho_{\Psi}(r) \, dr \right)
$$

=
$$
\inf_{\rho} \underbrace{\inf_{\Psi \mapsto \rho} \left(\langle \Psi, H_{e\ell}^{\text{univ}} \Psi \rangle \right)}_{=: F^{\text{HK}}[\rho]} + N \int v(r) \, \rho(r) \, dr.
$$

Existence of a universal map $\rho \rightarrow \rho_2$

Corollary of the HK theorem There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) map from single-particle densities $\rho(x_1)$ to pair densities $\rho_2(x_1, x_2)$ which gives the exact pair density of any N-electron molecular ground state $\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)$ in terms of its single-particle density.

Existence of a universal map $\rho \rightarrow \rho_2$

Corollary of the HK theorem There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) map from single-particle densities $\rho(x_1)$ to pair densities $\rho_2(x_1, x_2)$ which gives the exact pair density of any N-electron molecular ground state $\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)$ in terms of its single-particle density.

Proof $\Psi_* :=$ minimizer of $\langle \Psi, H^{univ}_{e\ell} \Psi \rangle$ subject to marginal constraint $\Psi \mapsto \rho$

 ρ_2 : = pair density of minimizer, i.e. $\rho_2(x_1,x_2)=\sum_{s_1,..,s_N}\int|\Psi_*(x_1,s_1,..,x_N,s_N)|^2dx_3..dx_N$ Analogously, $\rho_k(x_1,..,x_k) := \int |\Psi_*(x_1,..,x_k,..,x_N)|^2 d x_{k+1}..d x_N$ universal k-point density

Existence of a universal map $\rho \rightarrow \rho_2$

Corollary of the HK theorem There exists a universal (i.e., molecule-independent) map from single-particle densities $\rho(x_1)$ to pair densities $\rho_2(x_1, x_2)$ which gives the exact pair density of any N-electron molecular ground state $\Psi(x_1,..,x_N)$ in terms of its single-particle density.

Proof $\Psi_* :=$ minimizer of $\langle \Psi, H^{univ}_{e\ell} \Psi \rangle$ subject to marginal constraint $\Psi \mapsto \rho$

 ρ_2 : = pair density of minimizer, i.e. $\rho_2(x_1,x_2)=\sum_{s_1,..,s_N}\int|\Psi_*(x_1,s_1,..,x_N,s_N)|^2dx_3..dx_N$ Analogously, $\rho_k(x_1,..,x_k) := \int |\Psi_*(x_1,..,x_k,..,x_N)|^2 d x_{k+1}..d x_N$ universal k-point density

 ρ_2 may be nonunique since GS may be degenerate

Map highly nontrivial and not comp'ly feasible – still uses high-dim. wavefunctions.

Design comp'ly feasible DFT's \approx approximate the map

Connection DFT ←→ OT (simulation)

Left: simulation of the universal map $\rho \rightarrow \rho_2$. Right: optimal transport prediction.

Connection DFT \longleftrightarrow OT (theory)

In the semiclassical limit, exact DFT reduces to an OT problem.

Theorem (Cotar/GF/Klüppelberg, CPAM 2013)

$$
F^{HK}[\rho] = \min_{\substack{\Psi \in H^1, \Psi \mapsto \rho \\ \hbar \to 0}} \left(\langle \Psi, (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|}) \Psi \rangle_{L^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}, \gamma \mapsto \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N} \times \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} d\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_N) =: F^{OT}[\rho]
$$

where \mathcal{P}_N is the set of symmetric probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{3N}.$

Connection DFT \longleftrightarrow OT (theory)

In the semiclassical limit, exact DFT reduces to an OT problem.

Theorem (Cotar/GF/Klüppelberg, CPAM 2013)

$$
F^{HK}[\rho] = \min_{\substack{\Psi \in H^1, \Psi \mapsto \rho \\ \hbar \to 0}} \left(\langle \Psi, (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|}) \Psi \rangle_{L^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}, \gamma \mapsto \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N} \times \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} d\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_N) =: F^{OT}[\rho]
$$

where \mathcal{P}_N is the set of symmetric probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{3N}.$

 \triangleright Limit problem (up to passage to prob. measures) introduced in two remarkable papers in physics lit., without being aware this is an OT pb. Seidl'99, Seidl/Gori-Giorgi/Savin'07

Connection DFT \longleftrightarrow OT (theory)

In the semiclassical limit, exact DFT reduces to an OT problem.

Theorem (Cotar/GF/Klüppelberg, CPAM 2013)

$$
F^{HK}[\rho] = \min_{\substack{\Psi \in H^1, \Psi \mapsto \rho \\ \hbar \to 0}} \left(\langle \Psi, (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \Delta + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|}) \Psi \rangle_{L^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\hbar \to 0} \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}, \gamma \mapsto \rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N} \times \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} d\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_N) =: F^{OT}[\rho]
$$

where \mathcal{P}_N is the set of symmetric probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^{3N}.$

- \triangleright Limit problem (up to passage to prob. measures) introduced in two remarkable papers in physics lit., without being aware this is an OT pb. Seidl'99, Seidl/Gori-Giorgi/Savin'07
- ► Difficulty (regularity issue): Any Ψ with $|\Psi|^2 = \gamma =$ optimal plan of OT pb. has $\Psi\not\in H^1,~\Psi\not\in L^2,~\mathcal{T}[\Psi]=+\infty,$ and hence cannot be used as trial state in var. principle for F^HK . Smoothing the optimal OT plan doesn't work either, since this destroys the marginal constraint. The matrix of the matrix of 35

Way out: new smoothing technique which preserves marginals

Given: arbitrary (nonsmooth) transport plan γ with smooth marginals ρ_A

Goal: smooth transport plan $\tilde{\gamma}$ close to γ , with same marginals

- **F** Smoothen γ . Note: this modifies the marginals.
- \triangleright Make it "strongly positive" (i.e. bigger or equal a positive constant times the tensor product of its marginals), by mixing in a small amount of the tensor product plan.
- \triangleright Re-instate the marginal constraint (see next slide)

Re-instating the marginal constraint

Given: two measures $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a transport plan $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathcal{A}}$ with $\sqrt{\gamma} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Goal: transport plan $\gamma_{B\rightarrow B}$ which is "close" to $\gamma_{A\rightarrow A}$ if ρ_B is close to $ρ_A$, and also has $\sqrt{\gamma} \in W^{1,2}$. Available regularity on opt. maps far too weak to achieve this. Use a hybrid map/plan.

Re-instating the marginal constraint

Given: two measures $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a transport plan $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathcal{A}}$ with $\sqrt{\gamma} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Goal: transport plan $\gamma_{B\rightarrow B}$ which is "close" to $\gamma_{A\rightarrow A}$ if ρ_B is close to $ρ_A$, and also has $\sqrt{\gamma} \in W^{1,2}$. Available regularity on opt. maps far too weak to achieve this. Use a hybrid map/plan.

First, define a suitable plan $\gamma_{A\rightarrow B}$: Let $f(x) := min\{\rho_A(x), \rho_B(x)\},$ $f_A := (\rho_A - f)_+, f_B = (\rho_B - f)_+.$ Do nothing on f, and move f_A to f_B via the tensor product plan.

Let $\gamma_{B\rightarrow A}$ be the corresponding reverse plan.

Now compose: first transport B to A , then A to A , then A back to B.

$$
\gamma_{B\to B}(x,\omega):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\gamma_{B\to A}(x,y)\,\frac{\chi_{\rho_A>0}(y)}{\rho_A(y)}\,\gamma_{A\to A}(y,z)\frac{\chi_{\rho_A>0}(z)}{\rho_A(z)}\,\gamma_{A\to B}(z,\omega)\,dy\,dy
$$

Qualitative theory, $N=2$

Minimize $\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|{\mathsf{x}}-{\mathsf{x}}|}$ $\frac{1}{|x-y|}d\gamma(x,y)$ subject to: γ has equal marginals $\rho.$

Theorem (Cotar/GF/Klueppelberg, arXiv 2011/CPAM 2013) For $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

- **•** unique minimizer γ
- \blacktriangleright Minimizer of 'Monge form', $\gamma(x,y) = \mu(x) \delta_{\mathcal{T}(x)}(y)$ for some map $\mathcal{T} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\triangleright \ \mathcal{T}(x) = x + \frac{\nabla v(x)}{|\nabla v(x)|^{3/2}} \text{ for some potential } v : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}
$$

Qualitative theory, $N=2$

Minimize $\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|{\mathsf{x}}-{\mathsf{x}}|}$ $\frac{1}{|x-y|}d\gamma(x,y)$ subject to: γ has equal marginals $\rho.$

Theorem (Cotar/GF/Klueppelberg, arXiv 2011/CPAM 2013) For $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

- **•** unique minimizer γ
- \blacktriangleright Minimizer of 'Monge form', $\gamma(x,y) = \mu(x) \delta_{\mathcal{T}(x)}(y)$ for some map $\mathcal{T} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

$$
\triangleright \ \ T(x) = x + \frac{\nabla v(x)}{|\nabla v(x)|^{3/2}} \text{ for some potential } v : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}
$$

Similar statements on physical grounds in Seidl 99, Seidl/Gori-Giorgi/Savin 07 Similar rigorous results: Buttazzo/Gori-Giorgi/DePascale 12

Why $T(x) = x + \frac{\nabla v(x)}{|\nabla v(x)|^3}$ $\frac{\sqrt{v(x)}}{|\nabla v(x)|^{3/2}}$?

Optimal transport answer: Generalize $1/|x - y| \longrightarrow$ $c(x, y) = \ell(x - y) = k(|x - y|)$

 $\ell^* :=$ generalized Legendre transform of ℓ – Extend k to all of $\mathbb R$ via $k(r) := +\infty$ if $r < 0$ $-k^*$ ordinary Leg.trf. of k, i.e. $k^*(p) := \sup_p(p \cdot r - k(r))$ $- \ell^*(z) := k^*(-|z|)$

Gangbo-McCann theory for opt.map: $T(x) = x - \nabla \ell^*(\nabla v(x))$

Check that theory (originally for convex costs) generalizes to Coulomb; advice by Robert McCann gratefully acknowledged

Explicit computation: $\ell(x - y) = 1/|x - y| \Longrightarrow \ell^*(z) = -2\sqrt{|z|}$

Physics answer: (Seidl 1999)

 ∇ *v*(*x*)=Coulomb force on electron at *x* by electron at $T(x)$, i.e. $\nabla v(x) = \frac{T(x)-x}{|T(x)-x|^3}$.

Exact solution, 2 particles in 1D

Special case of results in Cotar, GF, Klüppelberg, CPAM ρ =uniform measure on [0,1] $\gamma_{opt}(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y)$

 T rigidly switches right and left half of $[0,1]$, $T(x) = x + 1/2$ for $x < 1/2$, $x - 1/2$ for $x > 1/2$

Exact solution, 2 particles in 1D

Special case of results in Cotar, GF, Klüppelberg, CPAM ρ =uniform measure on [0,1] $\gamma_{opt}(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y)$

 T rigidly switches right and left half of $[0,1]$, $T(x) = x + 1/2$ for $x < 1/2$, $x - 1/2$ for $x > 1/2$

Exact solution, 2 particles in 1D

Special case of results in Cotar, GF, Klüppelberg, CPAM ρ =uniform measure on [0,1] $\gamma_{opt}(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y)$

 T rigidly switches right and left half of [0,1], $T(x) = x + 1/2$ for $x < 1/2$, $x - 1/2$ for $x > 1/2$

Generalization to N particles in 1D: Colombo, DePascale, DiMarino

Physical realization

Beryllium atom, angular pair density

Accurate numerics (many DOF's, no insight in mechanism)

Physical realization

Beryllium atom, angular pair density

Accurate numerics (many DOF's, no insight in mechanism)

Optimal transport Position of maxima of pair density $(x - y = \pi)$ correctly captured Exact solution, 2 particles in 3D, radial density $\gamma_{opt}(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y)$

Optimal map T determined by: $\frac{T(x)}{|T(x)|} = -\frac{x}{|x|}$ (opposite direction) $4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{-|x|} r^2 \rho(r) dr = 4\pi \int_0^{|T(x)|} r^2 \rho(r) dr$ (mass balancing).

Pf of this: 1. Coulomb cost $c(|x - y|) = 1/|x - y|$ convex, decreasing in the distance. 2. McCann ('economy of scale'): concave, increasing costs.

Exact solution, 2 particles in 3D, radial density $\gamma_{opt}(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y)$

Optimal map T determined by: $\frac{T(x)}{|T(x)|} = -\frac{x}{|x|}$ (opposite direction) $4\pi \int_{-\infty}^{-|x|} r^2 \rho(r) dr = 4\pi \int_0^{|T(x)|} r^2 \rho(r) dr$ (mass balancing).

Pf of this: 1. Coulomb cost $c(|x - y|) = 1/|x - y|$ convex, decreasing in the distance. 2. McCann ('economy of scale'): concave, increasing costs.

$$
\min\{\int \sum_{i < j} c(x_i, x_j) \, d\gamma \, | \, \gamma \mapsto \rho, \, \gamma \text{ symm. prob.} \text{meas.} \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{3N}\}
$$

Curse of dimension (just like original Schrödinger eq.)

$$
\min\{\int \sum_{i < j} c(x_i, x_j) d\gamma \, | \, \gamma \mapsto \rho, \, \gamma \text{ symm. prob. mean. on } \mathbb{R}^{3N}\}
$$

Curse of dimension (just like original Schrödinger eq.)

Seidl '99: Suggests minimizers should be supported on 3D subsets of \mathbb{R}^{3N} ,

 $\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)$ = symmetrization of $\rho(x_1)\delta(x_2 - T_2(x_1))\cdots \delta(x_N - T_N(x_1))$ I.e., only need $N-1$ maps $\, T_{i} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ instead of 1 fctn on \mathbb{R}^{3N}

$$
\min\{\int \sum_{i < j} c(x_i, x_j) d\gamma \, | \, \gamma \mapsto \rho, \, \gamma \text{ symm. prob. mean. on } \, \mathbb{R}^{3N}\}
$$

Curse of dimension (just like original Schrödinger eq.)

Seidl '99: Suggests minimizers should be supported on 3D subsets of \mathbb{R}^{3N} ,

 $\gamma(x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ = symmetrization of $\rho(x_1)\delta(x_2 - T_2(x_1))\cdots \delta(x_N - T_N(x_1))$ I.e., only need $N-1$ maps $\, T_{i} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ instead of 1 fctn on \mathbb{R}^{3N} Carlier'07 (without being aware of Seidl:) True for harmonic cost $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$

$$
\min\{\int \sum_{i < j} c(x_i, x_j) d\gamma \, | \, \gamma \mapsto \rho, \, \gamma \text{ symm. prob. mean. on } \mathbb{R}^{3N}\}
$$

Curse of dimension (just like original Schrödinger eq.)

Seidl '99: Suggests minimizers should be supported on 3D subsets of \mathbb{R}^{3N} ,

 $\gamma(x_1, ..., x_N)$ = symmetrization of $\rho(x_1)\delta(x_2 - T_2(x_1))\cdots \delta(x_N - T_N(x_1))$ I.e., only need $N-1$ maps $\, T_{i} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ instead of 1 fctn on \mathbb{R}^{3N} Carlier'07 (without being aware of Seidl:) True for harmonic cost $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$

Pass'12: False for repulsive harmonic cost $c(x, y) = -|x - y|^2$. There exist minimizers supported on $(3N - 3)$ -dimensional subsets.

$$
\min\{\int \sum_{i < j} c(x_i, x_j) d\gamma \, | \, \gamma \mapsto \rho, \, \gamma \text{ symm. prob. mean. on } \, \mathbb{R}^{3N}\}
$$

Curse of dimension (just like original Schrödinger eq.)

Seidl '99: Suggests minimizers should be supported on 3D subsets of \mathbb{R}^{3N} ,

 $\gamma(x_1,..,x_N)$ = symmetrization of $\rho(x_1)\delta(x_2 - T_2(x_1))\cdots \delta(x_N - T_N(x_1))$ I.e., only need $N-1$ maps $\, T_{i} \,:\, \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ instead of 1 fctn on \mathbb{R}^{3N} Carlier'07 (without being aware of Seidl:) True for harmonic cost $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ Pass'12: False for repulsive harmonic cost $c(x, y) = -|x - y|^2$. There exist minimizers supported on $(3N - 3)$ -dimensional subsets.

Open: Coulomb cost $c(x, y) = 1/|x - y|$ (Pass: ex. with 4D supp.)

Large N

Optimal transport with infinitely many particles

Theorem (Cotar, GF, Pass, arXiv 2013) a) For pair interactions

$$
V_{ee}^N = {N \choose 2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} c(x_i, x_j)
$$

with a potential $c(x_1, x_2) = \ell(x_1 - x_2)$ with positive Fourier transform, and any given one-body density ρ with $\int \rho = 1$, the infinite-body energy

$$
\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^\infty}V^N_{ee}(x_1,..,x_N) d\gamma(x_1,x_2,...)=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^\infty}c(x_1,x_2) d\gamma(x_1,x_2,...)
$$

is minimized over symmetric probability measures γ in infinitely many variables with $\gamma \mapsto \rho$ if and only if

$$
\gamma(x_1,x_2,x_3,...)=\rho(x_1)\rho(x_2)\rho(x_3)\cdots
$$

(independent product measure).

b) The optimal cost per particle pair of the N-body OT problem, $\inf_{\gamma_N \mapsto \rho} \int V_{\rm ee}^N d\gamma_N$, tends to the mean field cost $\int c(x, y)\rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy (= \inf_{\gamma \mapsto \rho} C_{\infty}[\gamma])$ as $N \to \infty$.

Optimal transport with infinitely many particles

Theorem (Cotar, GF, Pass, arXiv 2013) a) For pair interactions

$$
V_{ee}^N = {N \choose 2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} c(x_i, x_j)
$$

with a potential $c(x_1, x_2) = \ell(x_1 - x_2)$ with positive Fourier transform, and any given one-body density ρ with $\int \rho = 1$, the infinite-body energy

$$
\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^\infty}V^N_{ee}(x_1,..,x_N) d\gamma(x_1,x_2,...)=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^\infty}c(x_1,x_2) d\gamma(x_1,x_2,...)
$$

is minimized over symmetric probability measures γ in infinitely many variables with $\gamma \mapsto \rho$ if and only if

$$
\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3, ...) = \rho(x_1)\rho(x_2)\rho(x_3) \cdots
$$

(independent product measure).

b) The optimal cost per particle pair of the N-body OT problem, $\inf_{\gamma_N \mapsto \rho} \int V_{\rm ee}^N d\gamma_N$, tends to the mean field cost $\int c(x, y)\rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy (= \inf_{\gamma \mapsto \rho} C_{\infty}[\gamma])$ as $N \to \infty$.

Infinite-body minimizer not of 'Monge' form. Open: thermodynamic $(O(N))$ correction. Note total N-body cost $O(N^2)$

Intuition, 1: reformulation via representability

GF, Mendl, Pass, Cotar, Klüppelberg, arXiv 2013/to appear in J.Chem.Phys. Recall 1-body and 2-body marginals:

$$
p_1(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} p_N(x_1, ..., x_N) dx_2...dx_N
$$

$$
p_2(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} p_N(x_1, ..., x_N) dx_3...dx_N
$$

Notation: $p_N \mapsto p_1$, $p_N \mapsto p_2$, etc.

Def. A probability measure p_2 on \mathbb{R}^6 is said to be N-density-representable, $N > 2$, if there exists a symmetric probability measure p_N on \mathbb{R}^{3N} such that $p_N \mapsto p_2$, and infinite-density-representable if there exists a symm. ρ_{∞} on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\infty}$ s.th. $p_{\infty} \mapsto p_2$.

Intuition, 1: reformulation via representability

GF, Mendl, Pass, Cotar, Klüppelberg, arXiv 2013/to appear in J.Chem.Phys. Recall 1-body and 2-body marginals:

$$
p_1(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} p_N(x_1, ..., x_N) dx_2...dx_N
$$

$$
p_2(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} p_N(x_1, ..., x_N) dx_3...dx_N
$$

Notation: $p_N \mapsto p_1$, $p_N \mapsto p_2$, etc.

Def. A probability measure p_2 on \mathbb{R}^6 is said to be N-density-representable, $N > 2$, if there exists a symmetric probability measure p_N on \mathbb{R}^{3N} such that $p_N \mapsto p_2$, and infinite-density-representable if there exists a symm. ρ_{∞} on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\infty}$ s.th. $p_{\infty} \mapsto p_2$.

- highly nontrivial restriction
- precise characterization deep open question
- some nec.cdns were derived by physicists (Davidson, Ayers) and probabilists – (under the name 'exchangeable sequences of random variables', Aldous) which to this day are unaware of each other

Example of a pair density which is not 3-representable

Violates the necessary condition of GF et al that for any partition of \mathbb{R}^3 into two subsets $\mathcal A$ and $\mathcal B,$

$$
\int_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}} p_2 + \int_{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A}} p_2 \leq 2(\int_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} p_2 + \int_{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}} p_2)
$$

Example of a pair density which is not 3-representable

Violates the necessary condition of GF et al that for any partition of \mathbb{R}^3 into two subsets $\mathcal A$ and $\mathcal B,$

$$
\int_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}} p_2 + \int_{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{A}} p_2 \leq 2(\int_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}} p_2 + \int_{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}} p_2)
$$

Physically: weight of 'neutral' configurations can at most be twice as big as weight of 'ionic' configurations.

Reformulation of N-body and infinite-body OT

For any given single-particle density ρ , and any cost of two-body form $\mathit{V}^N_{\text{ee}} = \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} c(x_i, x_j)$ with c symmetric,

min γ^N 7→ρ Z R3N V N eedγ^N = min ρ27→ρ ρ² N-density-rep. N 2 Z R6 c dρ2, min γ∞7→ρ lim ^N→∞ ^Z (R3)[∞] V N eedγ = min ρ27→ρ ρ² ∞-density-rep. Z R6 c dρ2.

Optimal cost per particle pair as fctn of particle no.

Two-state toy model: F., Mendl, Cotar, Klüppelberg, Pass, JCP, in press $\tilde{V}_{ee}^{SCE, k}[\rho] := \min_{p_2 \text{ k-rep.}, p_2 \mapsto \rho}$ $\int c(x, y) dp_2(x, y)$ $c(A, A) = c(B, B) = U_{diag} > c(A, B) = c(B, A) = U_{AB}$

Optimal cost per particle pair as fctn of particle no.

Two-state toy model: F., Mendl, Cotar, Klüppelberg, Pass, JCP, in press $\tilde{V}_{ee}^{SCE, k}[\rho] := \min_{p_2 \text{ k-rep.}, p_2 \mapsto \rho}$ $\int c(x, y) dp_2(x, y)$ $c(A, A) = c(B, B) = U_{diag} > c(A, B) = c(B, A) = U_{AB}$

Fact: lim k→∞ $\tilde{V}_{ee}^{SCE,k}[\rho] = \int c(x,y)\rho(x)\rho(y) dx dy$ mean field en. (!)

Comparison of OT cost to true quantum interaction energy Ab-initio-densities of atoms: F., Mendl, Cotar, Klüppelberg, Pass, to appear, JCP

Infinite-dimensional geometric intuition

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}$ (k-repr. ρ_2 's) = convex hull of mean field measures $\rho_1\otimes\rho_1$ 2-site system: $\rho_2 = \alpha_{AA} \delta_{AA} + \alpha_{AB} \delta_{AB} + \alpha_{BA} \delta_{BA} + \alpha_{BB} \delta_{BB}$

Infinite-dimensional geometric intuition

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}$ (k-repr. ρ_2 's) = convex hull of mean field measures $\rho_1\otimes\rho_1$ 2-site system: $\rho_2 = \alpha_{AA} \delta_{AA} + \alpha_{AB} \delta_{AB} + \alpha_{BA} \delta_{BA} + \alpha_{BB} \delta_{BB}$

General: de Finetti's thm $\gamma_\infty(x_1,..,x_N,..)=\int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\prod_{i=1}^\infty\rho_1(x_i)d\nu(\rho_1)$

Why is the infinite-body minimizer for repulsive cost...

...a single independent measure and not a convex combination?

Why is the infinite-body minimizer for repulsive cost...

...a single independent measure and not a convex combination?

Answer, toy model: want to maximise off-diagonal coefficient α_{AB} , hence maximum occurs on 'mean field line'

Why is the infinite-body minimizer for repulsive cost...

...a single independent measure and not a convex combination?

Answer, toy model: want to maximise off-diagonal coefficient α_{AB} , hence maximum occurs on 'mean field line'

Answer, general case: novel probabilistic interpretation of infinite-body OT functional

If γ infinitely rep'ble, then by de Finetti,

$$
\gamma = \int_{\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)} Q^{\otimes \infty} d\nu(Q)
$$

for some ν , and (when $\gamma \mapsto \rho$)

$$
C_{\infty}[\gamma] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \ell(x-y) d\rho(x) d\rho(y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\ell}(z) \text{var}_{\nu(dQ)} \hat{Q}(z) dz.
$$

Variance term: var $_{\nu(dQ)}\hat{Q}(z)=\int_{P(\mathbb{R}^d)}|\hat{Q}(z)|^2d\nu(Q)-\left|\int_{P(\mathbb{R}^d)}Re(\hat{Q}(z))d\nu(Q)\right|$ 2 Minimized if and only if $\nu = \delta_{\rho}$

Summary

In the semiclassical limit, many-body quantum correlations reduce to (still nontrivial, strongly correlated) multivariate distributions governed by optimal transport problems.

For a large no. of particles, surprisingly, mean field/independent distributions emerge.

Open: efficient description after 'un-doing' the semiclassical limit.

http://www-m7.ma.tum.de