

17 Gauss Way Berkeley, CA 94720-5070 p: 510.642.0143 f: 510.642.8609 www.msri.org

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM (Complete one for each talk.) Email/Phone: Leannemeuoregon edu/ Blumberg 5184617614 PRIMAR Speaker's Name: categories and algebraic **Talk Title:** <u>// : 00 (am) / pm (circle one)</u> Time: Date: Waldhausen categories List 6-12 key words for the talk: Additivity theorem, spectra ones ca Waldhausen categories Virtual Lecture Please summarize the lecture or fewer sentances: summerized erties motopy versions Una context waldhausen thec ane It discussed Small spectra es ane consea Or

CHECK LIST

(This is NOT optional, we will not pay for incomplete forms)

Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.

D Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.

- <u>Computer Presentations</u>: Obtain a copy of their presentation
- **<u>Overhead</u>**: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
- <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - Handouts: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list. (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to <u>notes@msri.org</u> with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

and the pushant

$$\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\end{pmatrix} is an exact sequence.$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\chi & \longrightarrow \chi \downarrow \downarrow \chi
\end{array}$$
is an exact sequence.
$$\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\chi & \longrightarrow \chi \downarrow \downarrow \chi
\end{array}$$
S.C.
$$\begin{array}{c}
S.C. \\
S.n.C. & Ar [n] \longrightarrow C. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \\
(i,j) & 0 \leq i \leq n. \\
(i$$



(4)
2) what if
$$LF: LC \rightarrow LD$$
 is a Dwyer-Khan
equivalence of simplicial categories?
That is, C- a model category, then
 $LC(X, Y) \cong C(X^{eF}, Y^{eF})$.
Toén-Vezzosi show: if $LC \cong LD$, then
 $K(C) \cong K(D)$.
Cisinski, Blumberg-Mandell show
 $(S_n C) \iff LC(-, -) \times LC(-, -) \times ...$
IL Bhavt
 C coproduct of homotopy
automorphisms of objects
This is to close Say that:
Algebraic K-theory is invariant of
underlying ∞ - categories of C.
Nhe $(LC)^{Fib}) - {finctional at
this Level.
The point is to set up the following questions:
i what kind of functor is K-theory2.
2) can we build K-theory directly from
 ∞ - categorical data².$

 \bigcirc continuing with Tabuada's approach'. start with small consistent contractions, (idempotent contraction). or: start with small spectral cutegovies: then: C - Fun (Cop, spectral)~ How do we see additivity data? Thomason - Trobaugh: IF A -> B -> C stable Wald. such that HoA - HoB - HoC and Ho C ~ Ho B/HoB, (verdier quotient) then K(A) -> K(B) -> K(C). This says that on data on the level of triangulated categories, can see the K-theory information ve want. suppose instead me ask the following question: we require: $A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{i} C$ $f \xrightarrow{g} C$ and "C->S2C->C" is a sequence of this Kind $h \circ f = id$, $g \circ i = id$ TO and that we preserve filtered colimits of module categories.

Ġ Then: Bhimberg-Caepner-Tabuada Borwick Exc(Fun(Waldon, spaces))) stab (Fun(((catex , st)), op spaces)) N N "virtual waldhausen" "virtual waldhausen" So Funt (M, Spectra) ~ Fun add (Cat ex , spectra) FH Consequences'. NK-theory is corepresentable in M. Mapm (corepresentable, A) ~ K(A). Joneela lemma lets us study trace maps. 2) In M, S. is suspension on the rose. can view K-theory as a Goodwillie derivative 3) In V, one gets new proofs of theorems of Waldhausen (see Fiore - Linck).

New questions 1 things to consider:

i) Multiplicative structure (see Elvendorf-Mandell, Blumberg-Mandell, Borwick, Blumberg-Gepner-Tabuada, Glasman Gepner-Groth-Nikolaus)

Ð

2) What about TC, THH? Is there on analogous characterization? (Related to a conjecture of Kaledin)

What is the conceptual explanation for $TC(S)^{\circ}_{p} \simeq SV \Sigma CP^{\circ}_{1}$?

All of this provides a conceptual tramework for things we have known about K-theory for a long time. Can this perspective provide anything new?

ALGEBRAIC K-THEORY AND HIGHER CATEGORIES

ANDREW J. BLUMBERG

ABSTRACT. The outline of the talk.

1. Setup

- Goal: Explain algebraic *K*-theory as a functor from the homotopical category of homotopical categories to spectra.
- Start with a "classical" model of a homotopical category.

Definition 1.1. A pointed category C equipped with subcategories cof(C) of cofibrations and wC of weak equivalences is a Waldhausen category if:

- (1) Every isomorphism is a cofibration.
- (2) The unique map $* \to X$ is a cofibration for every X.
- (3) If $X \to Y$ is a cofibration and $X \to Z$ is any map, the pushout



exists and $Z \to Y \coprod_X Z$ is a cofibration.

- (4) Every isomorphism is a weak equivalence.
- (5) Given a diagram



where $X \to Y$ and $X' \to Y'$ are cofibrations and the vertical maps are weak equivalences, the induced map $Y \coprod_X Z \to Y' \coprod_{X'} Z'$ is a weak equivalence.

• What is this data? This is a category with weak equivalences and certain specified homotopy pushouts. In particular, we are stipulating which maps have homotopy cofibers:



(This is an exact sequence.)

ANDREW J. BLUMBERG

- Also, we have coproducts (and this becomes a symmetric monoidal category under coproduct).
- Out of this data, we can define the Waldhausen K-theory space:

Definition 1.2. For each n, the simplicial space $S_{\bullet}C$ is specified as functors

$$\operatorname{Ar}([n]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

(i.e., where $\operatorname{Ar}([n])$ has objects (i, j) with $0 \le i, j \le n$, and maps if $i \le i'$ and $j \le j'$) with certain properties:

Specifically, a collection of objects A_{ij} such that

- (1) $A_{ii} = *$ for all i.
- (2) $A_{ij} \to A_{ik}$ is a cofibration for all i, j, and k.
- (3) Each square



(This is itself a Waldhausen category, of course.)

• Now, we can relax the hypotheses a bit, as follows. We define a homotopy pushout square to be a square that is equivalent via a zig-zag to a pushout along a cofibration. (Notion of a weak cofibration is useful here.)

Under mild hypotheses, these behave the way we expect them to.

- Now can redo the S_{\bullet} construction; call it the S'_{\bullet} construction. (Joint with Mandell.)
- Functorial in "weakly exact" functors (preserve the point (up to homotopy), weak equivalences and weak cofibrations).
- This sure makes it look like algebraic K-theory reflects the homotopical data encoded by the Waldhausen category structure (i.e., weak equivalences and homotopy pushouts).
- First guess: $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ induces an equivalence of homotopy categories, then F induces an equivalence of algebraic K-theory.
- Thomason-Trobaugh proved this is true, under stability hypotheses (DG-Waldhausen categories).
- More sophisticated: $F: L^{H}C \to L^{H}D$ is a DK-equivalence of simplicial categories, then F induces an equivalence on algebraic K-theory. Toen-Vezzosi, Cisinski, Blumberg-Mandell. (Equivalent to approximation theorem.)
- In fact, we (Blumberg-Mandell) explain how *K*-theory is assembled from the mapping spaces in the Dwyer-Kan simplicial localization (total space of fibration with base homotopy automorphism spaces and fiber mapping spaces).
- Interlude about ∞ -categories: What this says is that algebraic K-theory is an invariant of the underlying ∞ -category. (One way to extract it is from a fibrant replacement of the Dwyer-Kan simplicial localizations; also, Barwick-Kan relative category.)
- More precisely, algebraic K-theory is a functor from ∞ -categories with certain homotopy pushouts to the ∞ -category of spectra.

 $\mathbf{2}$

- A fruitful question to ask: What kind of functor is it? (And can we build it directly?)
- 2. Universal characterizations of higher algebraic K-theory
- Slogan: Algebraic K-theory splits exact sequences.
- In K_0 , this is the definition:

Definition 2.1. For a Waldhausen category C, K_0 is the free abelian group on weak equivalence classes [M] subject to the relation

$$[Y] = [X] + [Y/X].$$

(Notice that this means that the exact sequence $X \to Y \to Y/X$ and $X \to X \coprod Y/X \to Y/X$ become equivalent.)

• In higher algebraic K-theory, this becomes Waldhausen's additivity theorem. Recall that S_2C is the category of exact sequences. Additivity theorem says that:

$$K(S_2\mathcal{C})\simeq K(\mathcal{C})\times K(\mathcal{C})$$

via the obvious map.

- Waldhausen deduces almost all of the rest of his theorems from the addivity theorem. Staffeldt showed that all of Quillen's foundational theorems follow from it too.
- McCarthy gave a marvellous proof of the addivitity theorem:

Theorem 2.2. If F is a functor from Waldhausen categories to spectra such that

- (1) F takes the trivial category to a point,
- (2) F preserves products up to weak equivalence, and
- (3) Realization property for geometric realization,

then $F(S_{\bullet}-)$ has the additivity theorem.

- Put another way, the S_{\bullet} construction is the universal thing that forces a functor to be additive.
- Following McCarthy, Hesselholt-Madsen explain how this basically implies all the rest of Waldhausen's theorems. (Analogue of the Staffeldt observation.)
- So we want to express the idea that K-theory is somehow a distinguished functor that "satisfies additivity".
- Question: what is the domain category?
- We start with the homotopical category of Waldhausen categories.
- One choice: Observe that the additivity theorem implies that K-theory is an invariant of stable categories: under reasonable hypotheses, there is a cofiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Id} \longrightarrow CX \longrightarrow \Sigma X,$$

and then we conclude that $\operatorname{Id} \vee \Sigma \simeq *$.

- So we can work with small stable categories; think of this as a Moritatheoretic context. (Model this by taking spectral categories and forcing the map $\mathcal{C} \to Fun(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, S)^{\omega}$ to be an equivalence.
- OK, so we have functors out of some homotopical category of small homotopical categories with colimits (e.g., small stable categories), and we want to identify those functors which have the additivity theorem.

ANDREW J. BLUMBERG

- First, we want to observe that we can reflect the addivity theorem in terms of properties of the the category of Waldhausen categories (or small stable categories):
- Thomason-Trobaugh tell us that given stable categories lifting triangulated categories, if we have a Bousfield localization sequence

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A},$$

then we have a cofiber sequence on K-theory. This is a bit stronger than additivity (it's the property we see on non-connective K-theory), but related.

• Additivity can be seen as a property in the same way:

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

where (f, h) and (g, i) are adjoint pairs such that $h \circ f \simeq id$ and $g \circ i \simeq id$ (Split Bousfield localization sequences, basically.)

- Here's our strategy: we're going to modify the category of Waldhausen categories so that colimit-preserving functors out of it are the same as additive functors.
- Additional property: preserve filtered colimits.
- Easy as pie:

Take the compact objects, take simplicial presheaves, localize, stabilize. (Work of Tabuada and Blumberg-Gepner-Tabuada.)

(In Barwick's setting, take compact objects, take certain simplicial presheaves, localize, take excisive functors.)

- Some interesting consequences:
 - (1) BGT: Get a "category of motives"; *K*-theory is co-representable (initial additive functor over the "moduli of objects"), can view this as "applying *K*-theory to the hom objects".
 - (2) Barwick: new proofs of the theorems of Waldhausen (also, Fiore).
 - (3) The S_{\bullet} construction becomes the suspension; Barwick observes this means K-theory can be thought of as a derivative (in the Goodwillie sense). (Waldhausen and McCarthy knew this, of course.)
 - (4) Yoneda lemma gives trace maps.
- Other consequences, future questions:
 - Multiplicative structures: Many authors (Cisinski-Tabuada, Blumberg-Mandell, Blumberg-Gepner-Tabuada, Barwick, Glasman, Gepner-Groth-Nikolaus, Elmendorf-Mandell).
 - (2) Trace methods (how to fit TC and THH into this perspective; Blumberg-Mandell work on cyclotomic spectra, Kaledin, etc.)
 - (3) Other kinds of K-theory (endomorphisms, homotopy invariant, etc.)
 - (4) Even higher categories. (Ayala-Blumberg.)
 - (5) Relationship to field theories.
 - (6) What can we do with this?

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas, Austin, TX~78703 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{blumberg@math.utexas.edu}$

4