

17 Gauss Way	Berkeley, CA 94720-5070	p: 510.642.0143	f: 510.642.8609	www.msri.org
NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM				
(Complete one for each talk.)				
Name: Alex	Kruchmon	Email/Phone:_	Kruchman	2gmail.com
Speaker's Name: Ya'acov Peterzil				
Talk Title: O-Minimal Ingredients in Proofs of Arithmetical Conjectures				
Date: 02,06,14 Time: 1:30 am (pm (circle one) Andre - Oort (I)				
List 6-12 key words for the talk: Ominimality, Pila Wilkie, Special pomts,				
Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Part 1 of 2. Slides (1-21,				
schemp strate ay for applications of the Pila-Willie theorem on country isonts				
an sets demaide no minimal theories to "special points" problems. A test				
case was worked out in detail. The "exponential example", in which special points				
are tarsian p	outs in (CSm) a	,	-	<i>w</i>

# CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- X Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor.
  - <u>Computer Presentations</u>: Obtain a copy of their presentation
  - **Overhead**: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
  - <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
  - Handouts: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list. (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to <u>notes@msri.org</u> with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

Example: {(x,y,z) | y=x<sup>2</sup>} is definable in IRexp The fibers over rational z are semi-algebraic, so this set has a dense family of one-dimensional semi-algebraic subsets, but no two-dimensional semi-algebraic subset. The "algebraic part" of this set is a very non-definable object. Strategy:  $\Theta: V \xrightarrow{analytic}$   $\Gamma \leq G \xrightarrow{Ul}$   $\Theta \Gamma$ -invariant  $\xrightarrow{T}$   $\Gamma$ -invariant Ealgebraic Х OloFis Fi definable curve (a priori very tiny) special E X=(OIF)'(X) definable from Pila-Willie take its Zaniski closure, curre <u>Notation</u>: Stab<sub>G</sub>( $\widetilde{A}$ ) =  $\Xi g \in G | g \widetilde{A} = \widetilde{A}$ Alternative Fundamental set for the exponential case: But the complex exponential map is not definable ani on this set in an o-minimal structure! IF the imaginary part of a set is not bounded, defining exp on it will contradict ominimality. -2970

O-minimality and Arithmetic. The Pila-Zannier method

Kobi Peterzil

Department of Mathematics University of Haifa

MSRI workshop, February 2014

**J. Pila and U. Zannier**, *Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin-Mumford conjecture*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl. 19 (2008), no. 2, 149-162.

**J. Pila,** O-minimality and the André–Oort conjecture for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Ann. of Math. (2), 172(3), 2011, 1779–1840.

### Survey papers

**T. Scanlon**, *A proof of the André–Oort conjecture via mathematical logic [after Pila, Wilkie and Zannier]*, Sèminaire BOURBAKI Avril 2011 63ème année, 2010–2011, no 1037.

**T. Scanlon**, *Counting special points: Logic, diophantine geometry, and transcendence theory*, Bull. AMS (N.S.) 49 (2012), no. 1, 51 – 71.

- $\mathcal{C} =$  an underlying family of sets
- $S \subseteq C$  is a collection of so-called "special" C-sets
- $S_0$  = a set of so-called "special" points, often these are the \$-sets of dimension zero.

#### The problem scheme

Start with an *S*-set *V* and consider an arbitrary *C*-set  $X \subseteq V$ . Assume that *X* has "many" special points. Then *X* contains a special set of positive dimension. Under additional assumptions, *X* itself is a special set.

- $\mathcal{C} =$  an underlying family of sets
- $S \subseteq C$  is a collection of so-called "special" C-sets
- $S_0$  = a set of so-called "special" points, often these are the S-sets of dimension zero.

#### The problem scheme

Start with an S-set V and consider an arbitrary C-set  $X \subseteq V$ . Assume that X has "many" special points. Then X contains a special set of positive dimension. Under additional assumptions, X itself is a special set.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = an underlying family of sets
- $S \subseteq C$  is a collection of so-called "special" C-sets
- $S_0$  = a set of so-called "special" points, often these are the S-sets of dimension zero.

# The problem scheme

Start with an 8-set *V* and consider an arbitrary *C*-set  $X \subseteq V$ . Assume that *X* has "many" special points. Then *X* contains a special set of positive dimension. Under additional assumptions, *X* itself is a special set.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = an underlying family of sets
- $S \subseteq C$  is a collection of so-called "special" C-sets

 $S_0$  = a set of so-called "special" points, often these are the S-sets of dimension zero.

# The problem scheme

Start with an S-set V and consider an arbitrary C-set  $X \subseteq V$ . Assume that X has "many" special points. Then X contains a special set of positive dimension. Under additional assumptions, X itself is a special set.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = an underlying family of sets
- $S \subseteq C$  is a collection of so-called "special" C-sets

 $S_0$  = a set of so-called "special" points, often these are the S-sets of dimension zero.

### The problem scheme

Start with an S-set V and consider an arbitrary C-set  $X \subseteq V$ . Assume that X has "many" special points. Then X contains a special set of positive dimension. Under additional assumptions, X itself is a special set.

### Fix $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$ an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- C = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0 = \text{points in } (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n.$

### The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $\mathsf{X} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

 $limsup_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : height_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

# Fix $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$ an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}=$  the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}_{+}$
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0 = \text{points in } (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n.$

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $K \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

 $limsup_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : height_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).  $S_0 =$  points in  $(\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

 $limsup_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : height_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0$  = points in  $(\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

 $\textit{limsup}_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : \textit{height}_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0 = \text{points in } (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n.$

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

X  $\cap$   $(\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

 $\textit{limsup}_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : \textit{height}_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0$  = points in  $(\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $X\cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is large $^*$  if exists  $k\in\mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon>0$  such that

 $\textit{limsup}_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg})^n : \textit{height}_k(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{\tau^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0 = \text{points in } (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n.$

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $X\cap (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathit{alg}})^n$  is  $oldsymbol{large}^*$  if exists  $oldsymbol{k}\in\mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon>0$  such that

 $limsup_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : height_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0 = \text{points in } (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n.$

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

 $\mathsf{X} \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{\mathit{alg}})^n$  is  $\mathsf{large}^*$  if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > \mathsf{0}$  such that

 $limsup_{T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_{k}^{alg})^{n} : height_{k}(\bar{q}) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Fix  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, \dots \rangle$  an o-minimal expansion of the real field.

- $\mathcal{C}$  = the family of all definable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- S = The family of semi-algebraic sets (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- $S_0$  = points in  $(\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# The Pila-Wilkie theorem(s)

Assume that  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$  is *large*<sup>\*</sup> then X contains a connected infinite semi-algebraic set defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, if one removes **all** infinite connected semi-algebraic subsets of X then a *small*<sup>\*</sup> number of  $\mathbb{Q}^{alg}$ -points remains.

$$X \cap (\mathbb{Q}^{alg})^n$$
 is **large**<sup>\*</sup> if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  
$$\underset{T \in \mathcal{T}^{\epsilon}}{limsup_T} \frac{|\{\bar{q} \in X \cap (\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg})^n : height_k(\bar{q}) \leq T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$$

# From now on-the algebraic general problem scheme The algebraic presentation

- $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}} =$  complex algebraic (irreducible) varieties, (quasi) affine or projective.
- S = a specified subfamily of "special" varieties.
- $S_0 = 0$ -dimensional S-sets: special points.
- V = an irreducible *S*-variety.
- $X \subseteq V$  = an irreducible complex algebraic subvariety

Assumption

The set  $X \cap S_0$  is Zariski dense in X.

#### Goal

The variety X is itself in S.

 $\mathcal{C} =$  complex algebraic (irreducible) varieties, (quasi) affine or projective.

- S = a specified subfamily of "special" varieties.
- $S_0 = 0$ -dimensional S-sets: special points.
- V = an irreducible \$-variety.
- $X \subseteq V$  = an irreducible complex algebraic subvariety

# Assumption The set $X \cap S_0$ is Zariski dense in $\lambda$

### Goal

The variety X is itself in S.

 $\mathcal{C} =$  complex algebraic (irreducible) varieties, (quasi) affine or projective.

- S = a specified subfamily of "special" varieties.
- $S_0 = 0$ -dimensional S-sets: special points.
- V = an irreducible \$-variety.
- $X \subseteq V$  = an irreducible complex algebraic subvariety

### Assumption

The set  $X \cap S_0$  is Zariski dense in X.

#### Goal

The variety X is itself in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

 $\mathcal{C} =$  complex algebraic (irreducible) varieties, (quasi) affine or projective.

- S = a specified subfamily of "special" varieties.
- $S_0 = 0$ -dimensional S-sets: special points.
- V = an irreducible \$-variety.
- $X \subseteq V$  = an irreducible complex algebraic subvariety

### Assumption

The set  $X \cap S_0$  is Zariski dense in X.

### Goal

The variety X is itself in S.

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $\mathbb{S} = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}$ 

 $\mathbb{S}_0 = \mathsf{Torsion} \mathsf{ points} \mathsf{ in } (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ 

#### Theorem (Laurent)

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $S = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}$ 

 $S_0 =$ Torsion points in  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^r$ 

#### Theorem (Laurent)

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $S = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}$ 

 $S_0 = \text{Torsion points in } (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ 

#### Theorem (Laurent)

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $S = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}.$ 

 $S_0 =$  Torsion points in  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ 

#### Theorem (Laurent)

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $S = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}.$ 

 $S_0$  = Torsion points in  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ 

#### Theorem (Laurent)

### The algebraic side

Let  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$  (so here *V* admits the structure of an algebraic group, which is also a complex Lie group).

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}_m)^n : X \text{ an irreducible algebraic variety} \}.$ 

 $S = \{A + p : A \text{ a conn. algebraic subgrp of } \mathbb{G}_m^n \& p \text{ a torsion point}\}.$ 

 $S_0$  = Torsion points in  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ 

### Theorem (Laurent)

We work with affine (or projective) algebraic variety V and an algebraic subvariety  $X \subseteq V$ .

#### An analytic covering map

We have  $\widetilde{V} = a$  (semi-algebraic) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (with  $n = \dim V$ ). And  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  a holomorphic, **transcendental**, surjection.

#### General strategy

Replace V and its algebraic variety  $X \subseteq V$  by  $\widetilde{V}$  and a complex analytic subvariety  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \widetilde{V}$ .

#### Caution

We work with affine (or projective) algebraic variety V and an algebraic subvariety  $X \subseteq V$ .

#### An analytic covering map

We have  $\widetilde{V} = a$  (semi-algebraic) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (with  $n = \dim V$ ). And  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  a holomorphic, **transcendental**, surjection.

#### General strategy

Replace V and its algebraic variety  $X \subseteq V$  by  $\widetilde{V}$  and a complex analytic subvariety  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \widetilde{V}$ .

#### Caution

We work with affine (or projective) algebraic variety V and an algebraic subvariety  $X \subseteq V$ .

# An analytic covering map

We have  $\widetilde{V} = a$  (semi-algebraic) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (with  $n = \dim V$ ). And  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  a holomorphic, **transcendental**, surjection.

### General strategy

Replace V and its algebraic variety  $X \subseteq V$  by  $\widetilde{V}$  and a complex analytic subvariety  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \widetilde{V}$ .

#### Caution

We work with affine (or projective) algebraic variety V and an algebraic subvariety  $X \subseteq V$ .

### An analytic covering map

We have  $\widetilde{V} = a$  (semi-algebraic) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (with  $n = \dim V$ ). And  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  a holomorphic, **transcendental**, surjection.

### General strategy

Replace V and its algebraic variety  $X \subseteq V$  by  $\tilde{V}$  and a complex analytic subvariety  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \tilde{V}$ .

#### Caution

We work with affine (or projective) algebraic variety V and an algebraic subvariety  $X \subseteq V$ .

### An analytic covering map

We have  $\widetilde{V} = a$  (semi-algebraic) open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (with  $n = \dim V$ ). And  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  a holomorphic, **transcendental**, surjection.

### General strategy

Replace V and its algebraic variety  $X \subseteq V$  by  $\tilde{V}$  and a complex analytic subvariety  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \tilde{V}$ .

### Caution

# An underlying group action

- We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .
- $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of **G** (not necessarily normal).
- The map  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .
- So, V can be identified with  $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .
- If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .
- In general,  $\overline{X}$  might have infinitely many connected components.

# An underlying group action

We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta: V \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

# So, V can be identified with $\Gamma \setminus V$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general, X might have infinitely many connected components.

# An underlying group action

We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta : \overline{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

# So, V can be identified with $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general, X might have infinitely many connected components.
We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

### So, V can be identified with $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general,  $\overline{X}$  might have infinitely many connected components.

We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

### So, V can be identified with $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general,  $\tilde{X}$  might have infinitely many connected components.

We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

So, V can be identified with  $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general, X might have infinitely many connected components.

We have G = a real algebraic group acting semi-algebraically and transitively on  $\tilde{V}$ . In some cases  $\tilde{V} = G$ .

 $\Gamma$  = an infinite discrete subgroup of *G* (not necessarily normal).

The map  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Namely,  $\Theta(x) = \Theta(y)$  if and only if  $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ .

So, V can be identified with  $\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

If  $X \subseteq V$  is a complex algebraic subvariety then  $\Theta^{-1}(X) = \widetilde{X}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant analytic subvariety of  $\widetilde{V}$ .

In general,  $\tilde{X}$  might have infinitely many connected components.

#### special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \widetilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

#### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

• If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\widetilde{X}$  is called **weakly special**.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!).

A point  $z \in V$  is **special** if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

#### **Fact** (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of *V*. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of *H*. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows ir either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap \widetilde{S}_{0} \neq \emptyset$ 

• If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\widetilde{X}$  is called **weakly special**.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

#### **Fact** (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

• If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\widetilde{X}$  is called **weakly special**.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

#### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $X \subseteq V$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . • If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\widetilde{X}$  is called **weakly special**.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V.

(ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that X is an orbit of H. In case  $\tilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\tilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\tilde{X}$  is real algebraic).

(iii)  $X \cap S_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\widetilde{X}$  is called weakly special.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\tilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case V = G it means that X is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\tilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\tilde{X} \cap S_0 \neq \infty$ . I fonly (i) and (ii) hold then  $\tilde{X}$  is called weakly special.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\tilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\tilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\tilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that X is real algebraic). (iii)  $\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . It only (i) and (ii) hold then X is called weakly special.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

## Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap S_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

If only (i) and (ii) hold then X is called **weakly special**.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

### Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\tilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\tilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\tilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\tilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\tilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ . • If only (i) and (ii) hold then  $\tilde{X}$  is called weakly special.

## special-varieties and points

An irreducible analytic subvariety  $Y \subseteq \tilde{V}$  is called a special variety if  $\Theta(Y)$  is a spacial subvariety of V. In particular,  $\Theta(Y)$  is algebraic (!). A point  $z \in \tilde{V}$  is special if  $\Theta(z)$  is a special point. Namely  $\Theta(z) \in S_0$ .

## Fact (an alternative definition): special varieties as orbits

An irreducible complex analytic variety  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is special iff (i)  $\Theta(\widetilde{X})$  is an algebraic subvariety of V. (ii) There exists a real algebraic subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\widetilde{X}$  is an orbit of H. In case  $\widetilde{V} = G$  it means that  $\widetilde{X}$  is a coset. (Note: it follows in either case that  $\widetilde{X}$  is real algebraic). (iii)  $\widetilde{X} \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

If only (i) and (ii) hold then X is called weakly special.

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

#### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order k iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S_0} = \{ \overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n \} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

#### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order k iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S_0} = \{ \overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n \} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i \mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

#### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order k iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S}_0 = \{\overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n\} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

#### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S}_0 = \{\overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n\} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

#### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order k iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}_0} = \{\overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n\} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $S_0 = \{\overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n\} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S}_0 = \{\overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n\} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

#### special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S_0} = \{ \overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n \} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

## special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S_0} = \{ \overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n \} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

## special varieties

**Recall**  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ . Take  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Theta := \exp : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  defined by  $exp(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

So  $\Theta$  :  $(\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to ((\mathbb{G}_m)^n, *)$  is a holomorphic group homomorphism.  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta) = (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Clearly,  $\Theta$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

### special points

Because  $\Theta$  is a homomorphism,  $\Theta(z)$  is a torsion point of order *k* iff  $kz \in \Gamma$ . So,  $\widetilde{S_0} = \{ \overline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \exists k \ k\overline{z} \in (2\pi i\mathbb{Z})^n \} = (2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n$ .

## special varieties

## We have $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \ \sim \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{V}$

#### The non-definability of

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\widetilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

#### The non-definability of

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\widetilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \varnothing$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

#### The non-definability of

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\widetilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \varnothing$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\overline{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\tilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

#### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\tilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ )

(ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \varnothing$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

#### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\tilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\tilde{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

We have  $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ 

#### The non-definability of O

Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite discrete,  $\Theta^{-1}(p)$  is an infinite discrete set (for every  $p \in V$ ). Hence, the map  $\Theta$  is never definable in an o-minimal structure.

Instead we aim for a small subset of  $\overline{V}$  on which  $\Theta$  is definable in **some** o-minimal structure.

#### Fundamental sets

A closed semi-algebraic set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$  if: (i)  $\Theta(\mathfrak{F}) = V$  (i.e.  $\Gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} = \widetilde{V}$ ) (ii) There are only finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \cdot \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$ .

# The ingredients for the Pila-Zannier method

## We have $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ . $S_0 \subseteq V$ the set of special points.

#### Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

# The ingredients for the Pila-Zannier method

## We have $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ . $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$ the set of special points.

#### Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

# The ingredients for the Pila-Zannier method

## We have $\Theta: \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ . $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$ the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".
We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$  the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$  the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

#### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$  the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### II. Number theory goal

The set S<sub>0</sub> = Θ<sup>-1</sup>(S<sub>0</sub>) is contained in Q<sub>k</sub><sup>alg</sup> for some k(up to definable bijection).
If X ∩ S<sub>0</sub> (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then S<sub>0</sub> ∩ (X ∩ S) (on the analytic side) is large\* (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound"

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$  the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\mathfrak{S}_0 \subseteq V$  the set of special points.

### I. Definability requirements (from algebraic to o-minimal)

One needs to establish the existence of a semialgebraic fundamental set  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  and the definability of  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  in some o-minimal structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . In all examples,  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

For  $X \subseteq V$  algebraic, let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  be an irreducible analytic component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F} = (\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F})^{-1}(X)$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### II. Number theory goal

• The set  $\tilde{S}_0 = \Theta^{-1}(S_0)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_k^{alg}$  for some k (up to definable bijection).

▶▶ If  $X \cap S_0$  (on the algebraic side) is Zariski dense in X then  $\tilde{S}_0 \cap (\tilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  (on the analytic side) is large<sup>\*</sup> (in the sense of Pila-Wilkie). This is "the lower bound".

# The ingredients of the Pila-Zannier method (cont)

### The Pila-Wilkie input

- Assume that we established that  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large\*.
- By PW, There exists a connected semi-algebraic nontrivial curve  $C \subseteq \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ .
- Let  $\overline{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be the Zariski closure of C. It is a complex algebraic curve, and by dimension considerations  $(\overline{C} \cap \widetilde{V}) \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .
- So X contains a complex algebraic curve (relative to the open semialgebraic V).

# The ingredients of the Pila-Zannier method (cont)

### The Pila-Wilkie input

- Assume that we established that  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large\*.
- By PW, There exists a connected semi-algebraic nontrivial curve  $C \subseteq \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ .
- Let  $\overline{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be the Zariski closure of C. It is a complex algebraic curve, and by dimension considerations  $(\overline{C} \cap \widetilde{V}) \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .
- So  $\tilde{X}$  contains a complex algebraic curve (relative to the open semialgebraic  $\tilde{V}$ ).

### The Pila-Wilkie input

- Assume that we established that  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large\*.
- By PW, There exists a connected semi-algebraic nontrivial curve  $C \subseteq \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ .
- Let  $\overline{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be the Zariski closure of C. It is a complex algebraic curve, and by dimension considerations  $(\overline{C} \cap \widetilde{V}) \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .

• So X contains a complex algebraic curve (relative to the open semialgebraic  $\tilde{V}$ ).

### The Pila-Wilkie input

- Assume that we established that  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap (\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large\*.
- By PW, There exists a connected semi-algebraic nontrivial curve  $C \subseteq \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ .
- Let  $\overline{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  be the Zariski closure of C. It is a complex algebraic curve, and by dimension considerations  $(\overline{C} \cap \widetilde{V}) \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .

• So  $\tilde{X}$  contains a complex algebraic curve (relative to the open semialgebraic  $\tilde{V}$ ).

### We have $\Theta: \, \widetilde{V} o V \sim \Gamma \! \setminus \! \widetilde{V}. \;\;\; \widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$ a component of $\Theta^{-1}(X).$

The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

More precisely,

#### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then  $\tilde{A}$  is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

#### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

More precisely,

#### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then A is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of A is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

More precisely,

#### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then A is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

#### More precisely,

#### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

- Then A is a weakly special variety. Namely,
- (i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).
- (ii)  $\Theta(\widetilde{A})$  is an algebraic subvariety of *V*.

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

### More precisely,

### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then A is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

#### More precisely,

### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

- Then A is a weakly special variety. Namely,
- (i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

#### More precisely,

### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then  $\tilde{A}$  is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of *G* (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). (ii)  $\Theta(\widetilde{A})$  is an algebraic subvariety of *V*.

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

#### More precisely,

### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then  $\tilde{A}$  is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

ii)  $\Theta(A)$  is an algebraic subvariety of V.

We have  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V \sim \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$  a component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

### The general idea

Take  $\widetilde{A}$  a maximal algebraic subset of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . The  $\Gamma$ -periodicity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  together with the algebraicity of  $\widetilde{A}$  is "unlikely" and should imply that the stabilizer of  $\widetilde{A}$  in  $G(\mathbb{R})$  is nontrivial. In fact, it should imply that  $\widetilde{A}$  is "special".

#### More precisely,

### Ingredient III, the "Ax-Lindemann" goal

Assume that  $\widetilde{A}$  is a maximal irreducible algebraic (relative to  $\widetilde{V}$ ) subset of  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Then  $\tilde{A}$  is a weakly special variety. Namely,

(i)  $\widetilde{A}$  is an orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of G (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

# Summary of the Pila-Zannier method

We have  $X \subseteq V$ ,  $\Theta : V \to V$  and  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X.

#### I. Definability

 $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in an o-minimal structure.

#### II. Number Theory

The set  $\widetilde{S_0} \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

Application of the Pila-Wilkie Theorem.

#### III. Ax-Lindemann

If  $\widetilde{A} \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is maximal irreducible algebraic then it is weakly special. (So, f in addition  $\widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$  then  $\widetilde{A}$  is special).

We conclude: X contains a special variety  $\Theta(\widetilde{A})$ .

# Summary of the Pila-Zannier method

We have  $X \subseteq V$ ,  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  and  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X.

### I. Definability

 $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in an o-minimal structure.

II. Number Theory

The set  $\widetilde{S_0} \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

Application of the Pila-Wilkie Theorem.



We conclude: X contains a special variety  $\Theta(A)$ .

# Summary of the Pila-Zannier method

We have  $X \subseteq V$ ,  $\Theta : \widetilde{V} \to V$  and  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X.

### I. Definability

 $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in an o-minimal structure.

### II. Number Theory

The set  $\widetilde{S_0} \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

Application of the Pila-Wilkie Theorem.

### III. Ax-Lindemann

If  $\widetilde{A} \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is maximal irreducible algebraic then it is weakly special. (So, f in addition  $\widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{S_0} \neq \emptyset$  then  $\widetilde{A}$  is special).

We conclude: X contains a special variety  $\Theta(A)$ .

We have  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $S_0 = Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $\mathbb{S} = \{ A + p : A \leqslant (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \ , \ p \in \mathbb{S}_0 \}.$ 

I. Fundamental set and the definability of 🕒

We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  given by  $\Theta(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

• A fundamental set for  $\Theta$  is:

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{ \overline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mathbf{0} \leqslant |Im(z_i)| \leqslant \pi \}.$ 

We have  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $S_0 = Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $\mathbb{S} = \{ A + p : A \leqslant (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \ , \ p \in \mathbb{S}_0 \}.$ 

I. Fundamental set and the definability of  $\Theta$ 

We have  $\Theta: \mathbb{C}^n o (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  given by  $\Theta(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n})$ .

• A fundamental set for  $\Theta$  is:

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{ \overline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mathbf{0} \leqslant |\mathit{Im}(z_i)| \leqslant \pi \}.$ 

We have  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $S_0 = Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $\mathbb{S} = \{ A + p : A \leqslant (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \ , \ p \in \mathbb{S}_0 \}.$ 

I. Fundamental set and the definability of  $\Theta$ 

We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  given by  $\Theta(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n}).$ 

A fundamental set for ⊖ is:

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{\overline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 0 \leq |Im(z_i)| \leq \pi\}.$ 

We have  $V = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $S_0 = Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ 

 $\mathbb{S} = \{ A + p : A \leqslant (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \ , \ p \in \mathbb{S}_0 \}.$ 

I. Fundamental set and the definability of  $\Theta$ 

We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{C}^n \to (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  given by  $\Theta(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (e^{z_1}, \ldots, e^{z_n}).$ 

A fundamental set for ⊖ is:

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{\overline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : 0 \leq |Im(z_i)| \leq \pi\}.$ 



#### $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ :

We have  $e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x(cosy + i siny)$ .

The map  $e^x$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$ ; the maps  $\cos$ ,  $\sin \upharpoonright [0, 2\pi]$  are definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , hence:

The map  $e^z \upharpoonright \{0 \leq Im(z) \leq 2\pi\}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

It follows that  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F} = exp \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .



### $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ :

#### We have $e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x(cosy + i siny)$ .

The map  $e^x$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$ ; the maps  $cos, sin \upharpoonright [0, 2\pi]$  are definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , hence: The map  $e^z \upharpoonright \{0 \le lm(z) \le 2\pi\}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ . It follows that  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F} = exp \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .



### $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ :

We have  $e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x(\cos y + i \sin y)$ .

The map  $e^x$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$ ; the maps  $\cos, \sin \in [0, 2\pi]$  are definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , hence: The map  $e^z \in \{0 \leq Im(z) \leq 2\pi\}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ . It follows that  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F} = exp \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .



#### $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ :

We have  $e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x(cosy + i siny)$ .

The map  $e^x$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$ ; the maps  $\cos, \sin \upharpoonright [0, 2\pi]$  are definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , hence:

The map  $e^z \upharpoonright \{0 \leq Im(z) \leq 2\pi\}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

It follows that  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F} = exp \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .



#### $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$ is definable in $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ :

We have  $e^z = e^{x+iy} = e^x(cosy + i siny)$ .

The map  $e^x$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$ ; the maps  $\cos, \sin \upharpoonright [0, 2\pi]$  are definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , hence:

The map  $e^z \upharpoonright \{0 \leq Im(z) \leq 2\pi\}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

It follows that  $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F} = exp \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

### We want to show that $(2\pi i \mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$ is large\*.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .
- If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.
- **Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_i rac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

### We want to show that $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$ is large<sup>\*</sup>.

• X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .

- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .
- If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.
- **Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

### We want to show that $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$ is large<sup>\*</sup>.

• X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .

• Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i,m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_i rac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

### We want to show that $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$ is large<sup>\*</sup>.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

We want to show that  $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

We want to show that  $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

Corollary

The following set is large\*
## The exponential case: II. Basic Number Theory

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

We want to show that  $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

#### Corollary

The following set is large\*

 $\{(q_1,\ldots,q_n)\in\mathbb{Q}^n:\Sigma_j2\pi iq_j\in\Theta^{-1}(X)\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$ 

## The exponential case: II. Basic Number Theory

• Assume that  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and that  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is Zariski dense in X.

We want to show that  $(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})^n \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

- X is defined over a number field k. For simplicity,  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ .
- Since  $X \cap Tor(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is infinite there are natural numbers  $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$  and elements  $g_i \in X$ , with  $ord(g_i) = m_i$ .

• If  $g \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , and ord(g) = m then g has at least  $\phi(m)$  conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\phi(m) = \#\{i \leq m : (i, m) = 1\}$  is the Euler function.

**Fact** For every  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $\lim \phi(m)/m^{\epsilon} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\lim_{i} \frac{|\{g \in X: ord(g) = m_i\}|}{m_i^{1/2}} = \infty$ .

#### Corollary

The following set is large\*

 $\{(q_1,\ldots,q_n)\in\mathbb{Q}^n:\Sigma_j2\pi iq_j\in\Theta^{-1}(X)\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$ 

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal:** A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

- Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .
- We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

- Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .
- We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

• Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .

• We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

• Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .

• We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

- Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .
- We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

- Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .
- We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

The analytic set  $\Theta^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  contains a nontrivial algebraic set. Take a maximal such irreducible algebraic set *A*.

**Goal**: A is weakly special = a coset of a linear s.space of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## A proof using the classical Ax's theorem (corrected)

**Ax's Theorem** If  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{C}(A)$  and  $lin.dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bar{\xi}/\mathbb{C}) = m$  then  $tr.deg(\mathbb{C}(exp(\xi_1), \ldots, exp(\xi_n))/\mathbb{C}) = m$ .

- Take  $H \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  a minimal subspace  $/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $A \subseteq H + p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $m = \dim H$ .
- We have  $\Theta(A) \subseteq \Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , and  $\Theta(H) \leq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  algebraic.

## It follows that $\Theta(A)$ is Zariski dense in $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , so $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p) \subseteq X$ . Hence, $A \subseteq H + p \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ . By maximality, A = H + p, so A is weakly special.

It follows that  $\Theta(A)$  is Zariski dense in  $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , so  $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p) \subseteq X$ . Hence,  $A \subseteq H + p \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ . By maximality, A = H + p, so A is weakly special. It follows that  $\Theta(A)$  is Zariski dense in  $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p)$ , so  $\Theta(H) + \Theta(p) \subseteq X$ .

Hence,  $A \subseteq H + p \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

By maximality, A = H + p, so A is weakly special.

# Summary of proof in the exponential case

• We started with  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}^m)^n$  such that  $Tor(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \cap X$  is Zariski dense in X.

• Using Pila-Wilkie, we concluded that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contained a nontrivial complex algebraic set A. Furthermore we can choose it so  $A \cap \tilde{S}_0$  is nonempty. Take such A maximal.

- By Ax, A is weakly special, hence special  $(A \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset)$ .
- It follows that X contains a nontrivial special set  $\Theta(A)$ ..

• By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie we could show that X is actually special.

• We started with  $X \subseteq (\mathbb{G}^m)^n$  such that  $Tor(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \cap X$  is Zariski dense in X.

• Using Pila-Wilkie, we concluded that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contained a nontrivial complex algebraic set *A*. Furthermore we can choose it so  $A \cap \widetilde{S}_0$  is nonempty. Take such *A* maximal.

- By Ax, A is weakly special, hence special  $(A \cap \tilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset)$ .
- It follows that X contains a nontrivial special set  $\Theta(A)$ ...
- By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie we could show that X is actually special.

### The setting

### V = an abelian variety in $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

#### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

### The setting

V = an abelian variety in  $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

#### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

### The setting

V = an abelian variety in  $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

#### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

#### The setting

V = an abelian variety in  $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

#### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

#### The setting

V = an abelian variety in  $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

#### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

### The setting

V = an abelian variety in  $\mathbb{P}^{n}(\mathbb{C})$ .

So, V is a projective algebraic variety which admits an algebraic group structure, abelian. It is also a compact, complex Lie group.

C = all irreducible algebraic subvarieties of V.

S = all cosets of the form A + p, where  $p \in Tor(V)$  and A a connected algebraic subgroup (i.e. abelian subvariety) of V.

 $S_0 = Tor(V)$  the torsion elements.

### The Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's Theorem, 1983)

### • There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

• special points =  $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

• special points =  $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

## • special points = $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

# • special points = $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

# • special points = $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

• special points =  $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

• special points =  $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

#### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

• There exists a holomorphic group homomorphism  $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^n, +) \to V$ .

•  $\Gamma := Ker(\Theta)$  is a 2*n*-lattice. I.e.,  $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\omega_i$ , where  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{2n}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(Note: While every 2n-lattice gives rise to a complex torus, it might not give rise, if n > 1, to an **projective** complex torus, i.e. abelian variety.)

• special points =  $\Theta^{-1}(Tor(V)) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Q}\omega_i$ .

• **special varieties** are cosets of the form  $\overline{z} + H$ , where H a complex linear subspace defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

• Weakly special varieties are arbitrary cosets of such H.

### (weakly) special varieties as orbits

#### I. The fundamental set and definability of $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$

Consider the compact semilinear parallelogram  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i \omega_i : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then: (i)  $\Gamma + \mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . (ii) The set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \varnothing\}$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$ .



### I. The fundamental set and definability of $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$

Consider the compact semilinear parallelogram  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i \omega_i : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then: (i)  $\Gamma + \mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . (ii) The set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$ .



#### I. The fundamental set and definability of $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$

Consider the compact semilinear parallelogram  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i \omega_i : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then: (i)  $\Gamma + \mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . (ii) The set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$ .



#### I. The fundamental set and definability of $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$

Consider the compact semilinear parallelogram  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i \omega_i : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then: (i)  $\Gamma + \mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . (ii) The set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$ .



#### I. The fundamental set and definability of $\Theta \upharpoonright \mathfrak{F}$

Consider the compact semilinear parallelogram  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} t_i \omega_i : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1\}$ . Then: (i)  $\Gamma + \mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . (ii) The set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : (\gamma + \mathfrak{F}) \cap \mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fundamental set for  $\Theta$ .



# Pila-Zannier for Manin-Mumford (cont)

### II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

• V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.

X ⊆ V is irreducible algebraic, with X ∩ Tor(V) Zariski dense in X.
So, X is also defined over a number field k ⊇ F.

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

 ${\displaystyle \operatorname{{\sf limsup}}_{{\sf T}}} rac{|\{P \in X: {\it ord}(P) \leqslant {\sf T}\}|}{{\sf T}^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_j\in\Theta^{-1}(X)\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.

# Pila-Zannier for Manin-Mumford (cont)

## II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

• V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.

X ⊆ V is irreducible algebraic, with X ∩ Tor(V) Zariski dense in X.
So, X is also defined over a number field k ⊇ F.

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

 ${\displaystyle \operatorname{{\sf limsup}}_{{\sf T}}} rac{|\{P \in X: {\it ord}(P) \leqslant {\sf T}\}|}{{\sf T}^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_l\in\Theta^{-1}(X)\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.

# Pila-Zannier for Manin-Mumford (cont)

## II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

- V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.
- $X \subseteq V$  is irreducible algebraic, with  $X \cap Tor(V)$  Zariski dense in X.

• So, X is also defined over a number field  $k \supseteq F$ .

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

 ${\displaystyle \operatorname{{\sf limsup}}_{{\sf T}}} rac{|\{P \in X: {\it ord}(P) \leqslant {\sf T}\}|}{{\sf T}^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$ 

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_j\in\Theta^{-1}(m{X})\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.
### II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

- V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.
- $X \subseteq V$  is irreducible algebraic, with  $X \cap Tor(V)$  Zariski dense in X.
- So, X is also defined over a number field  $k \supseteq F$ .

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

 $limsup_T rac{|\{P \in X: ord(P) \leqslant T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty$ 

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_l\in\Theta^{-1}(X)\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.

### II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

- V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.
- $X \subseteq V$  is irreducible algebraic, with  $X \cap Tor(V)$  Zariski dense in X.
- So, X is also defined over a number field  $k \supseteq F$ .

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

$${\displaystyle {{{{{{limsup}_{T}}}}}_{{T}^{\epsilon}}}}=\infty$$

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_j\in\Theta^{-1}(m{X})\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.

### II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

- V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.
- $X \subseteq V$  is irreducible algebraic, with  $X \cap Tor(V)$  Zariski dense in X.
- So, X is also defined over a number field  $k \supseteq F$ .

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

$$limsup_T rac{|\{P \in X: ord(P) \leq T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$$

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(m{q}_1,\ldots,m{q}_{2n})\in\mathbb{Q}^{2n}:\Sigma_{j=1}^{2n}m{q}_j\omega_j\in\Theta^{-1}(m{X})\cap\mathfrak{F}\}$  is large\*.

### II. Number Theory (on the algebraic side)

- V is an abelian variety defined over a number field F.
- $X \subseteq V$  is irreducible algebraic, with  $X \cap Tor(V)$  Zariski dense in X.
- So, X is also defined over a number field  $k \supseteq F$ .

#### Number theoretic input (Masser)

There exists  $\rho = \rho(V) > 0$  and a constant *c*, such that for every  $P \in V$ , if ord(P) = T then  $[F(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \ge cT^{\rho}$ .

By conjugating  $X \cap Tor(V)$  over k we conclude: if  $\epsilon < \rho(V)$  then

$$limsup_T rac{|\{P \in X: ord(P) \leq T\}|}{T^{\epsilon}} = \infty.$$

Conclusion: on the analytic side

The set  $\{(q_1, \ldots, q_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2n} : \sum_{j=1}^{2n} q_j \omega_j \in \Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F}\}$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

## III. Ax-Lindemann: an o-minimal argument

### The Pila-Wilkie input

The analytic variety  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an unbounded semialgebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

By the o-minimality of  $\sigma$ , when we translate it into  $\mathfrak{F}$  by elements of  $\Gamma$  we get (inside  $\widetilde{X}$ ) curves which are more and more "linear". Since  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is compact, at the limit we get an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .



## III. Ax-Lindemann: an o-minimal argument

### The Pila-Wilkie input

The analytic variety  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an unbounded semialgebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

By the o-minimality of  $\sigma$ , when we translate it into  $\mathfrak{F}$  by elements of  $\Gamma$  we get (inside  $\widetilde{X}$ ) curves which are more and more "linear". Since  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is compact, at the limit we get an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .



## III. Ax-Lindemann: an o-minimal argument

### The Pila-Wilkie input

The analytic variety  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an unbounded semialgebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

By the o-minimality of  $\sigma$ , when we translate it into  $\mathfrak{F}$  by elements of  $\Gamma$  we get (inside  $\widetilde{X}$ ) curves which are more and more "linear". Since  $\widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is compact, at the limit we get an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \widetilde{X}$ .



#### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

#### Back to the algebraic side

- The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.
- The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of *V*, which is contained in *X*.
- Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .
- By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.
- END of the proof of Manin-Mumford.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

#### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of *V*, which is contained in *X*.

Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of V, which is contained in X.

Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of V, which is contained in X.

Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of V, which is contained in X.

#### Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

#### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of V, which is contained in X.

Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

### On the analytic side

We saw that  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  contains an affine line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ .

#### Back to the algebraic side

The variety  $X \subseteq V$  contains  $\Theta(\ell)$ , a coset of a subgroup.

The Zariski closure of  $\Theta(\ell)$  is a coset of an algebraic subgroup of V, which is contained in X.

Hence, X contains a (weakly) special variety z + A, for  $A \leq X$ .

By using the full strength of Pila-Wilkie, together with the ability to write V as a an almost direct product  $A \oplus B$ , we can show that X itself is a special variety.

#### The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our Ṽ.
  G(ℝ) acts on Ṽ. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : Ṽ → Ṽ is a biholomorphism.
- Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

### • $G(\mathbb{R})$ is the $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### • $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$ a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})$

• (with additional assumptions) the quotient space  $G(\mathbb{R})/K$  admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This set is our  $\widetilde{V}$ . •  $G(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\widetilde{V}$ . Actually, for every  $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g : \widetilde{V} \to \widetilde{V}$  is a biholomorphism.

• Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our Ṽ.
  G(ℝ) acts on Ṽ. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : Ṽ → Ṽ is a biholomorphism.
- Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space  $G(\mathbb{R})/K$  admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This set is our V.
- $G(\mathbb{R})$  acts on V. Actually, for every  $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g : V \to V$  is a biholomorphism.
- Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \backslash V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space  $G(\mathbb{R})/K$  admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . This set is our  $\widetilde{V}$ .
- $G(\mathbb{R})$  acts on V. Actually, for every  $g \in G(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g : V \to V$  is a biholomorphism.
- Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \backslash V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our V.
  G(ℝ) acts on V. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : V → V is a biholomorphism.
- Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

### The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our V.
  G(ℝ) acts on V. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : V → V is a biholomorphism.

• Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

#### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our V.
  G(ℝ) acts on V. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : V → V is a biholomorphism.

• Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our V.
  G(ℝ) acts on V. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : V → V is a biholomorphism.

• Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

## The general analytic setting for Shimura varieties (simplified)

- $G(\mathbb{R})$  is the  $\mathbb{R}$ -points of an algebraic semisimple group G over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $K \leq G(\mathbb{R})$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (with additional assumptions) the quotient space G(ℝ)/K admits the structure of an open semi-algebraic subset of C<sup>n</sup>. This set is our V.
  G(ℝ) acts on V. Actually, for every g ∈ G(ℝ), g : V → V is a biholomorphism.

• Let  $\Gamma = G(\mathbb{Z})$  (more generally, an arithmetic subgroup), and consider the quotient  $V = \Gamma \setminus \widetilde{V}$ .

### The Baily-Borel Theorem (1966)

There exists a holomorphic embedding  $\Theta : \Gamma \setminus V \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$  whose image is a quasi-projective variety.

We start with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) > 0\}.$ 

The group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  (transitively) as follows: If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  then  $A \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$ .

#### Connection to elliptic curves

III is a parameter space for elliptic curves, namely, every  $\tau$  represents the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\Lambda_{\tau}$  the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$ .

 $E_{\tau_1} \cong E_{\tau_2} \Leftrightarrow \tau_1, \tau_2$  are in the same  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. So,  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mathbb{H}$  is the moduli space of elliptic curves.

#### The *J*-invariant

We start with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) > 0\}$ . The group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  (transitively) as follows: If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  then  $A \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$ .

#### Connection to elliptic curves

III is a parameter space for elliptic curves, namely, every  $\tau$  represents the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\Lambda_{\tau}$  the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$ .

 $E_{\tau_1} \cong E_{\tau_2} \Leftrightarrow \tau_1, \tau_2$  are in the same  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. So,  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is the moduli space of elliptic curves.

#### The *J*-invariant

We start with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) > 0\}$ . The group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  (transitively) as follows: If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  then  $A \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$ .

#### Connection to elliptic curves

If is a parameter space for elliptic curves, namely, every  $\tau$  represents the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\Lambda_{\tau}$  the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$ .

 $E_{\tau_1} \cong E_{\tau_2} \Leftrightarrow \tau_1, \tau_2$  are in the same  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. So,  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mathbb{H}$  is the moduli space of elliptic curves.

#### The J-invariant

We start with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) > 0\}$ . The group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  (transitively) as follows: If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  then  $A \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$ .

#### Connection to elliptic curves

If is a parameter space for elliptic curves, namely, every  $\tau$  represents the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\Lambda_{\tau}$  the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$ .

 $E_{\tau_1} \cong E_{\tau_2} \Leftrightarrow \tau_1, \tau_2$  are in the same  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. So,  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mathbb{H}$  is the moduli space of elliptic curves.

#### The J-invariant

We start with the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Im(z) > 0\}$ . The group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  (transitively) as follows: If  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  then  $A \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$ .

#### Connection to elliptic curves

If is a parameter space for elliptic curves, namely, every  $\tau$  represents the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau}$  where  $\Lambda_{\tau}$  the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau$ .

 $E_{\tau_1} \cong E_{\tau_2} \Leftrightarrow \tau_1, \tau_2$  are in the same  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -orbit. So,  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mathbb{H}$  is the moduli space of elliptic curves.

#### The J-invariant

### We now begin on the analytic side

•  $V = \mathbb{H}^n$ .

- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\bar{z})$  for any  $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note:  $\overline{V}$  is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, \dots, J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

On the algebraic side We define  $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ , via  $\Theta$ .

### We now begin on the analytic side

- $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\overline{z})$  for any  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note:  $\widetilde{V}$  is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, \ldots, J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

On the algebraic side We define  $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ , via  $\Theta$ .

### We now begin on the analytic side

- $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\overline{z})$  for any  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note: V is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, \ldots, J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

On the algebraic side We define  $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ , via  $\Theta$ .

### We now begin on the analytic side

- $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\overline{z})$  for any  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note:  $\tilde{V}$  is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, \ldots, J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

On the algebraic side

We define  $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ , via  $\Theta$ .

### We now begin on the analytic side

•  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^n$ .

- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\overline{z})$  for any  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note:  $\tilde{V}$  is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, ..., J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

### On the algebraic side We define $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \mathbb{H}^n$ , via 6

### We now begin on the analytic side

•  $\widetilde{V} = \mathbb{H}^n$ .

- $G(\mathbb{R}) = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  in coordinates.
- The action is transitive so  $\mathbb{H}^n = G(\mathbb{R})/stab_G(\overline{z})$  for any  $\overline{z} \in \mathbb{H}^n$ .
- Since  $stab(i, ..., i) = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , we have  $\mathbb{H}^n = SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n / O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ (namely,  $K = O(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ ).

Note:  $\tilde{V}$  is not a group anymore. It is a semialgebraic homogenous space.

• Let  $\Gamma = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$  and  $\Theta := (J, ..., J) : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\Theta$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant surjection.

#### On the algebraic side

We define  $V := \mathbb{C}^n \sim \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^n$ , via  $\Theta$ .

# Special varieties and points

### Again, the definition begins on the analytic side.

#### Definition of special points: The set $S_0$

 $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special**, if for every *i*, the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_i}$  has complex multiplication ( $End(E_{\tau}) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ ). Equivalently,  $\tau_i$  belongs to an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (abstract definition of special points in Shimura varieties-omitted here)

#### Definition of special varieties

Recall: An irreducible analytic variety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special** if (i) *Y* is an orbit of a real algebraic group  $H \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ . (ii)  $\Theta(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an algebraic variety. (iii)  $Y \cap \widetilde{\delta}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .
# Special varieties and points

Again, the definition begins on the analytic side.

### Definition of special points: The set $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0$

 $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special**, if for every *i*, the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_i}$  has complex multiplication  $(End(E_{\tau}) \neq \mathbb{Z})$ . Equivalently,  $\tau_i$  belongs to an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (abstract definition of special points in Shimura varieties-omitted here).

#### Definition of special varieties

Recall: An irreducible analytic variety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special** if (i) *Y* is an orbit of a real algebraic group  $H \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ . (ii)  $\Theta(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an algebraic variety. (iii)  $Y \cap \widetilde{\delta}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

# Special varieties and points

Again, the definition begins on the analytic side.

### Definition of special points: The set $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_0$

 $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special**, if for every *i*, the elliptic curve  $E_{\tau_i}$  has complex multiplication  $(End(E_{\tau}) \neq \mathbb{Z})$ . Equivalently,  $\tau_i$  belongs to an imaginary quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (abstract definition of special points in Shimura varieties-omitted here).

#### Definition of special varieties

Recall: An irreducible analytic variety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  is **special** if (i) Y is an orbit of a real algebraic group  $H \leq SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ . (ii)  $\Theta(Y) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an algebraic variety. (iii)  $Y \cap \widetilde{S}_0 \neq \emptyset$ .

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$   $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\Theta(X) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\Theta(X) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety.

•  $X = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular

polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

The image under  $\Theta$  of a special point is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $\mathcal{S}_0 := \Theta(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_0)$ . The Image under  $\Theta$  of special variety is **special** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Examples of special varieties

•  $\widetilde{X} = \{\tau\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ , with  $\tau \in \widetilde{S}_0$ ; it is an orbit of  $H = \{1\} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-1}$ .  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is a special variety. •  $\widetilde{X} = \{(\tau, N\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-2}$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is an orbit of  $H_1 \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})^{n-2}$ , with  $H_1 = \{(g, hgh^{-1}) : g \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})\}$  and  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$  $\Theta(\widetilde{X}) = Z(\Phi_N) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2}$  where  $\Phi_N$  is the zero set of a modular polynomial.

#### Moonen's work

#### The André-Oort Conjecture for $\mathbb{C}^n$ (a theorem of Pila)

If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and  $X \cap S_0$  is Zariski dense in X then X is special.

By the nature of the definitions, we immediately have an analytic presentation of the problem:

- We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  given by the *J* function in each coordinate.
- We have notions of special points and varieties in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

#### The André-Oort Conjecture for $\mathbb{C}^n$ (a theorem of Pila)

If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is an irreducible algebraic variety and  $X \cap S_0$  is Zariski dense in X then X is special.

By the nature of the definitions, we immediately have an analytic presentation of the problem:

- We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$  given by the *J* function in each coordinate.
- We have notions of special points and varieties in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

### The Pila Zannier method: I. The fundamental set

By the basic theory of elliptic curves, the following is a fundamental set for  $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  (for every  $0 < a < \sqrt{3}/2$ ):

 $\mathfrak{F} = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : -1/2 \leqslant \operatorname{Re}(z) \leqslant 1/2 \& \operatorname{Im}(z) > a\}.$ 



So  $\mathfrak{F}^n$  is a fundamental set for  $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})^n$ .

#### Theorem

The restriction of J to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

**Proof** Consider first the map  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}$ . It sends  $\mathfrak{F}$  onto a punctured disc  $D^*$ . The "point"  $Im(z) = \infty$  is sent to 0.



• The restriction of  $e^{2\pi i z}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

• As pointed out in an earlier talk, we may write J in the variable  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$  and obtain a meromorphic function on  $D^*$ . Hence (???) J(q) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ . It follows that J(z) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

#### Theorem

The restriction of J to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

**Proof** Consider first the map  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}$ . It sends  $\mathfrak{F}$  onto a punctured disc  $D^*$ . The "point"  $Im(z) = \infty$  is sent to 0.



• The restriction of  $e^{2\pi i z}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

• As pointed out in an earlier talk, we may write J in the variable  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$  and obtain a meromorphic function on  $D^*$ . Hence (???) J(c) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ , it follows that J(z) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$  exp.

#### Theorem

The restriction of J to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

**Proof** Consider first the map  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}$ . It sends  $\mathfrak{F}$  onto a punctured disc  $D^*$ . The "point"  $Im(z) = \infty$  is sent to 0.



• The restriction of  $e^{2\pi i z}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

• As pointed out in an earlier talk, we may write J in the variable  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$  and obtain a meromorphic function on  $D^*$ . Hence (???) J(q) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ . It follows that J(z) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

#### Theorem

The restriction of J to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

**Proof** Consider first the map  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i z}$ . It sends  $\mathfrak{F}$  onto a punctured disc  $D^*$ . The "point"  $Im(z) = \infty$  is sent to 0.



• The restriction of  $e^{2\pi i z}$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

• As pointed out in an earlier talk, we may write *J* in the variable  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$  and obtain a meromorphic function on *D*<sup>\*</sup>. Hence (???) *J*(*q*) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an}$ . It follows that *J*(*z*) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  algebraic, with  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X. We use  $\mathfrak{F}$  for the fundamental set for  $\Theta (=\mathfrak{F}^n)$ .

#### On the analytic side

Let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  be an irreducible **analytic** component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . We already saw that if  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is special then each  $\tau_i$  is imaginary quadratic, so  $\widetilde{\mathbb{S}}_0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}_2^{alg})^n$ .

Using a theorem of Siegel on imaginary quadratic fields, Pila proves:

Largness of special points The set  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is large\*. We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  algebraic, with  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X. We use  $\mathfrak{F}$  for the fundamental set for  $\Theta (=\mathfrak{F}^n)$ .

#### On the analytic side

Let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  be an irreducible **analytic** component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . We already saw that if  $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is special then each  $\tau_i$  is imaginary quadratic, so  $\widetilde{S}_0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}_2^{alg})^n$ .

Using a theorem of Siegel on imaginary quadratic fields, Pila proves:

Largness of special points

The set  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is large\*.

We have  $\Theta : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  algebraic, with  $X \cap S_0$  Zariski dense in X. We use  $\mathfrak{F}$  for the fundamental set for  $\Theta (=\mathfrak{F}^n)$ .

#### On the analytic side

Let  $\widetilde{X} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$  be an irreducible **analytic** component of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$ . We already saw that if  $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is special then each  $\tau_i$  is imaginary quadratic, so  $\widetilde{S}_0 \subseteq (\mathbb{Q}_2^{alg})^n$ .

Using a theorem of Siegel on imaginary quadratic fields, Pila proves:

Largness of special points

The set  $\widetilde{S}_0 \cap \widetilde{X} \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is large\*.

#### The Pila-Wilkie input

 $\widetilde{X}$  contains an algebraic set of positive dimension (relative to  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ). Let *A* be maximal irreducible such set.

#### Goal

*A* is weakly special. Namely (i) it is the orbit of a real algebraic subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , and (ii)  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic.

• We have  $A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  a maximal, irreducible relatively algebraic subset, of positive dimension. Namely, there exists an algebraic  $\overline{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A = \overline{A} \cap \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Write  $G := SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , and  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n$ .

• Without loss of generality  $\dim(A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$  (if not, replace  $\widetilde{X}$  and A by  $\gamma \widetilde{X}$  and  $\gamma A$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

**Fact** A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

#### WHY?

• We have  $A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  a maximal, irreducible relatively algebraic subset, of positive dimension. Namely, there exists an algebraic  $\overline{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A = \overline{A} \cap \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Write  $G := SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , and  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n$ .

• Without loss of generality  $\dim(A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$  (if not, replace X and A by  $\gamma \tilde{X}$  and  $\gamma A$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

**Fact** A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

WHY?

• We have  $A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  a maximal, irreducible relatively algebraic subset, of positive dimension. Namely, there exists an algebraic  $\overline{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A = \overline{A} \cap \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Write  $G := SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , and  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n$ .

• Without loss of generality  $\dim(A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$  (if not, replace  $\widetilde{X}$  and A by  $\gamma \widetilde{X}$  and  $\gamma A$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

**Fact** A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

#### WHY?

• We have  $A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  a maximal, irreducible relatively algebraic subset, of positive dimension. Namely, there exists an algebraic  $\overline{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A = \overline{A} \cap \mathbb{H}^n$ .

Write  $G := SL(2, \mathbb{R})^n$ , and  $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n$ .

• Without loss of generality  $\dim(A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$  (if not, replace  $\widetilde{X}$  and A by  $\gamma \widetilde{X}$  and  $\gamma A$ , for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ).

**Fact** A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

#### WHY?

## Proof of A-L (cont)

We showed that A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ 



Hence, there are infinitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\dim(\gamma A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\gamma A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  (since that set is  $\Gamma$ -invariant).

## Proof of A-L (cont)

We showed that A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ 



Hence, there are infinitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\dim(\gamma A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\gamma A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  (since that set is  $\Gamma$ -invariant).

## Proof of A-L (cont)

We showed that A is not contained in finitely many  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $\mathfrak{F}$ 



Hence, there are infinitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\dim(\gamma A \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \dim A$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\gamma A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  (since that set is  $\Gamma$ -invariant).

### Let $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted) The set { $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)$ } is large<sup>\*</sup>.

A second use of Pila-Wilkie

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted) The set { $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)$ } is large\*.

A second use of Pila-Wilkie By PW, G(A) contains a semi-algebraic connected curve  $\sigma$ 

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted) The set  $\{\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)\}$  is large\*.

A second use of Pila-Wilkie By PW, *G*(*A*) contains a semi-algebraic connected curve

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

• As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.

• By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .

• The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted) The set  $\{\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)\}$  is large\*

A second use of Pila-Wilkie

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted) The set { $\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)$ } is large<sup>\*</sup>.

A second use of Pila-Wilkie

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted)

The set  $\{\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)\}$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

A second use of Pila-Wilkie

Let  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}.$ 

- As we showed,  $\Gamma \cap G(A)$  is infinite.
- By analyticity of  $\Theta^{-1}(X)$  and irreducibility of A, if  $g \in G(A)$  then  $gA \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$ .
- The set G(A) is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$ .

A counting Lemma (proof omitted)

The set  $\{\gamma \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})^n : \gamma \in G(A)\}$  is large<sup>\*</sup>.

#### A second use of Pila-Wilkie

## End of proof of A-L

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of *A*,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• It follows that X contains a (weakly) special variety. **End of Pila's Theorem.**
• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of *A*,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of A,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of A,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of A,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of A,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

• So, we have  $G(A) = \{g \in G : \dim(gA \cap (\Theta^{-1}(X) \cap \mathfrak{F})) = \dim A\}$ , containing a semi-algebraic curve  $\sigma$ .

• The set  $\sigma \cdot A \subseteq \Theta^{-1}(X)$  is a semi-algebraic set containing (a translate of) *A*.

• By the maximality of A,  $\sigma \cdot A = A$ , hence the group  $Stab_G(A)$  is infinite.

• Consider the real algebraic group  $Stab_G(A) \subseteq G$ . It is thus infinite and contains infinitely many  $\Gamma$  points (by a finer use of Pila-Wilkie).

• Let *H* be the Zariski closure of  $G(A) \cap \Gamma$ . It is a real algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which stabilizes *A*. Using induction and decomposition of Shimura varieties, one can show that *A* is an orbit of *H* and that  $\Theta(A)$  is algebraic, hence *A* is weakly special.

### André Oort for $A_g$ for g = 2 (Pila Tsimerman)

**Theorem** The André- Oort conjecture holds for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , the moduli space of abelian surfaces.

- I. Definability: P-Starchenko.
- II. Number Theory: Uses results of Tsimerman.
- III. A-L: using strongly the low dimension of  $\mathcal{A}_2$  (dim  $\mathcal{A}_2 = 3$ )

### **Status of General André-Oort**

#### Recent work of Klingler, Yafaev and Ullmo (2013)

I. The restriction of the Baily-Borel embedding of any Shimura variety to the Siegel fundamental set is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  (!). III. Ax-Lindemann holds for arbitrary Shimura varieties.

#### what is missing?

### André Oort for $A_g$ for g = 2 (Pila Tsimerman)

**Theorem** The André- Oort conjecture holds for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , the moduli space of abelian surfaces.

- I. Definability: P-Starchenko.
- II. Number Theory: Uses results of Tsimerman.
- III. A-L: using strongly the low dimension of  $A_2$  (dim  $A_2 = 3$ ).

#### Status of General André-Oort

#### Recent work of Klingler, Yafaev and Ullmo (2013)

I. The restriction of the Baily-Borel embedding of any Shimura variety to the Siegel fundamental set is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  (!). III. Ax-Lindemann holds for arbitrary Shimura varieties.

#### what is missing?

### André Oort for $A_g$ for g = 2 (Pila Tsimerman)

**Theorem** The André- Oort conjecture holds for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , the moduli space of abelian surfaces.

- I. Definability: P-Starchenko.
- II. Number Theory: Uses results of Tsimerman.
- III. A-L: using strongly the low dimension of  $A_2$  (dim  $A_2 = 3$ ).

### Status of General André-Oort

#### Recent work of Klingler, Yafaev and Ullmo (2013)

I. The restriction of the Baily-Borel embedding of any Shimura variety to the Siegel fundamental set is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  (!). III. Ax-Lindemann holds for arbitrary Shimura varieties.

#### what is missing?

### André Oort for $\mathcal{A}_g$ for g = 2 (Pila Tsimerman)

**Theorem** The André- Oort conjecture holds for  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , the moduli space of abelian surfaces.

- I. Definability: P-Starchenko.
- II. Number Theory: Uses results of Tsimerman.
- III. A-L: using strongly the low dimension of  $A_2$  (dim  $A_2 = 3$ ).

### **Status of General André-Oort**

### Recent work of Klingler, Yafaev and Ullmo (2013)

I. The restriction of the Baily-Borel embedding of any Shimura variety to the Siegel fundamental set is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{an,exp}$  (!). III. Ax-Lindemann holds for arbitrary Shimura varieties.

#### what is missing?