A K(Z,4) IN NATURE

ANDRE HENRIQUES

ABSTRACT. Topological spaces and simplicial sets have associated homotopy types (that is, the 1-category of topological spaces maps to the infinity-category of spaces, and so does the 1-category of simplicial sets), but these are not the only kind of mathematical objects that have associated homotopy types. In this talk, I will present a mathematical object (not a topological space) that comes from the theory of von Neumann algebras, and whose associated homotopy type is K(Z,4).

This was a chalk talk. The scanned lecture notes can be found at the end.

Joint with A. Bartels, C. Douglas.

1. K(Z,0)-K(Z,3)

 $K(\mathbb{Z}, 0) = \mathbb{Z}, K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) = S^1$ or \mathbb{C}^x

 $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) = \mathbb{CP}^{\infty}$ the set of all lines in \mathbb{C}^{∞} . We'd like this better if we keep the description as 'set of all lines' but throw away the reliance on \mathbb{C}^{∞} . So we consider the stack of all lines. It does have a homotopy type and it's a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$.

This stack of all lines is the classifying stack of S^1 (if you equip your lines with a metric; otherwise it's $B(\mathbb{C}^x)$).

We can do the same trick to get to $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ if you have a group which is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$. We'll start with an infinite dimensional Hilbert space *H* and take the unitary group U(H). A theorem of Kuiper says U(H) is contractible. Also, multiples of the unit gives a subgroup of U(H) which is an S^1 . When we take the quotient we get $PU(H) = U(H)/S^1$ and this is a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$. Taking classifying stack BPU(H) yields a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

Aside: The correct topology on U(H) is the one that makes U(H) into a Polish group, i.e. completely metrizable and separable. With this topology, all the topologies on H become equal upon passage to U(H). Furthermore, Kuiper's Theorem is easy to prove. Just take any $u \in U(L^2[0, 1])$ and define $u_t : 1 \mapsto u$ so that we obtain a splitting $L^2[0, t] \oplus L^2[t, 1]$ where u acts on the first part and 1 on the second. Let t go to 0 and this provides a continuous homotopy where the first part is contracted.

Date: April 11, 2014. Prepared by David White, Wesleyan University.

ANDRE HENRIQUES

Note that because PU(H) is defined as a quotient group, it's not clear how to make it act on something. Thankfully,

Theorem 1.1. PU(H) = Aut(b(H)) where b is for 'bounded operators' and Aut means automorphisms respecting the C^{*}-structure.

Proof. The action of PU(H) on b(H) is by conjugation.

To see that it is in fact the full automorphism group, first prove that every automorphism of b(H) is inner, using the analytic Morita equivalence $b(H) \simeq_M \mathbb{C}$ (algebraically, \mathbb{C} is only Morita equivalent to b(V) for finite dimensional V). Given an automorphism α , consider the $(b(H), \mathbb{C})$ -bimodule H. One could also twist the b(H) action by α and there's a unitary isomorphism U between these two. Thus, $\alpha = ad(U)$.

Having proven surjectivity, we must now prove ker($ad : U(H) \rightarrow Autb(H)$) = S^1 . This kernel is the center Z(U(H)). Next, $Z(b(H)) = End(_{b(H)}b(H)_{b(H)}) = End(_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}})$ where this notation means view \mathbb{C} as a (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})-bimodule.

So now BPU(H) can be seen to be the moduli stack of algebras that look like b(H), i.e. are isomorphic to b(H) as algebras. These algebras are called 'type *I* factors,' i.e. infinite dimensional von Neumann algebras that are factors (the center is 1-dimensional) and the set of projections admits minimal elements. We've now eliminated the choice of *H* in the description of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

You could replace \mathbb{C} by \mathbb{R} and nothing would change till the last paragraph, which would now have two isomorphism factors (one for matrices over \mathbb{R} and one for matrices over the quaternions). So this would have a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ in π_0 .

1.1. Connections to twisted K-theory. Suppose X is a space and there's a map from X to the stack of type I factors. This is equivalent to the data of a bundle over X whose fibers are of the type of b(H). So you get a bundle of algebras over X.

K-theory is defined via bundles of vector spaces over *X*. The bundles above naturally define twisted *K*-theory.

2. A CHOICE-FREE $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$

Suppose *E* is a spectrum. Then *E* admits twistings by spherical fibrations. Given a spherical fibration, can apply $- \wedge E$ fiberwise and you get sections of that bundle of spectra. This is the twisted *E*-cohomology.

Now take E = tmf. Then there's a map $BO \rightarrow BGL_1tmf$. You can precompose with $BString \rightarrow BO$ and the resulting map $BString \rightarrow BGL_1tmf$ is null-homotopic. Thus, there's an extension to the cofiber $BO/BString \rightarrow BGL_1tmf$. This cofiber has homotopy groups $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2, 0, \mathbb{Z}$ in degrees 0,1,2,3,4. So there's a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow BO/BString$.

2

In the background just now were type III factors (we will not say anything about type II factors). There's also a subtype called type III₁ factors. Let *R* be a hyperfinite type III₁ factor. Just like *H*, it turns out *R* is uniquely defined by this property, up to isomorphism. How do we construct *R*?

Consider $\mathbb{Q}^x \ltimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{Q}^x \times \mathbb{R})$ via $((q, f) \cdot \zeta)(x, y) = f(y)\zeta(qx, qy)$. The closure is *R*.

Properties of *R*:

- (1) $Z(R) = \mathbb{C}$, so *R* is a factor.
- (2) $U(R) \simeq \{*\}.$
- (3) $Aut(R) \simeq \{*\}$, but this is non-trivial.

We can now make a construction $0 \rightarrow ZU(R) \rightarrow U(R) \rightarrow PU(R) \rightarrow 0$ where $ZU(R) = S^{1}$.

Similarly, $0 \rightarrow Inn(R) \rightarrow Aut(R) \rightarrow Out(R) \rightarrow 0$.

Inner automorphisms are exactly the same as unitaries modulo those unitaries that act trivially (i.e. those from the center) so Inn(R) = PU(R). Because Aut(R) is contractible, this makes Out(R) a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. Taking B of it yields a $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) = BOut(R)$.

All the groups in the two exact sequences above are Polish groups, but Out(R) is not a topological group because the map $Inn(R) \rightarrow Aut(R)$ is the inclusion of a dense subset.

Out(R) is still a sheaf of groups on *Top*. And BOut(R) is $Bim(R)^x/iso$, i.e. invertible (R, R)-bimodules mod isomorphism.

Theorem 2.1. Out(R) is the group of automorphisms of Bim(R), the monoidal category of (R, R)-bimodules. Again, these are automorphisms as a sheaf of spaces rather than as a group.

The action of Aut(R) on Bim(R) is given by $\alpha \cdot M = (\alpha M_{\alpha})$, where α twists on both sides. We next need a trivialization of the action of Inn(R). That will induce a trivialization of the action of U(R) and we must be certain that this induces a trivialization of the action of ZU(R). So we take our formula for $\alpha \cdot M$ and we put $\alpha = ad(U)$ for $U \in U(R)$. So we need a trivialization $M \cong (_{ad(U)}M_{ad(U)})$. It turns out that the map $U \cdot (-) \cdot U^{-1}$ does the job. On ZU(R) this is the identity, as required, because $UU^{-1} = 1$.

We can now show a piece of the proof of the theorem, namely the part analogous to our proof of surjectivity in Theorem 1.1. This uses that Bim(R) is Morita equivalent to $Bim(\mathbb{C})$. This Morita equivalence is given by $_{Bim(R)}R - Mod_{Bim(\mathbb{C})}$. Then you may formally copy the argument from Theorem 1.1. Given α in Aut(Bim(R)), use α to twist R-Mod (viewed as a (Bim(R), $Bim(\mathbb{C})$)-bimodule) into R-Mod (viewed as a (Bim(R), α , $Bim(\mathbb{C})$)-bimodule). The untwisting can be done in \mathbb{C} and we get

ANDRE HENRIQUES

an equivalence M (equivariant with respect to the untwisting action) between the untwisted R-Mod and the twisted R-Mod. We then see that $\alpha = ad(M)$, proving surjectivity.

We finish by looking at BOut(R). This is the moduli stack of things that look like Bim(R). Hopefully this description will help in the project of finding geometric co-cycles for tmf. There should be a notion of bundle with an action of the bundle of categories.

4

MSRI "Reimagining the foundation of Algebraic Topology," April 2014 A K(R,4) in nature also : Teen Collaboration: Thank: Viglerth AD+CP Mark ADICP Gunner Mike Hol Julia Andren K(Z,n)'s . . . Z val . S' or CX let's pro it we pro CP° set of all lines à C° grent artificial ~ set of all lives (could iterate B) I won't go down that path today 123 . Let's think about other models of K(2,2) that I can use to get a model of K(R,3) Want a K(R,2) that's a group need: Contractible group & with 5taG. U(H) ~ [x] (Kuiper) H: Hilbertspace PU(H) = U(H)/s1BPU(H) = K(2,3)Note: Kniper proves the contractibility of U(H) in the norm topology. It's a bit of a difficult theorem, but it's the wrong theorem: the correct to pology on U(H) is the one that makes it a Polish grap. Aprile from the norm topology, the algebra B(H) has a whole bund of other topologies : they all asses on U(H).

 $\overline{2}$ With respect to that to pology, it's very easy to see that U(H) D contractible Given u EU (BE, 1) I'll construct a canonical path 1 1 - 4 $u: L^{2}[0,t] \in L^{2}[t,1]$ let's see if we like it ... V(H) depends on a choice of H (I'll adress that later) PU(H) - figuration to made to get the answer we want I want PU(H) = Aut (...). Thin; PU(H) = Aut (B(H)) R bounded questus on H proof: PU(H) acts on B(H) We need an action of U(H) whose restriction to s'a U(H) is trivial, and indeed the conjugation action has that property. ace Aut (B(H)) · Every automorphile (i) inner Not an algebraic Use: B(H) ~ C Marita equivalence: it's analytical 5 a ~ p(H), + c Using the Morita equivalence, I can go back and forthe between B(H), C - bimodules & C-C-bimoduler.

4 Really the reason I like this model is because of the connection to furisted K-theory. You might have heard that KU admits twots by H3(-12): X -> [Stack of type I factors] 2 buille of algebrus over X If justead of looking at vector bundles over X you look at bundles of modules over this bundle of algebras, you get twisted Kitheory. Now really what I want to tell you about is a particular model of K (2,4) that bears a lot of analogies with the previous story. The reason I'm very excited about having such a model of K (2,4) is because of the relation to twists of elliptic cohomology. For any spectrum E, E-cohomology admits twistings by vector buddes (or, more generally, by spherical fibrations) (spherical fiberwine) (-1) (-1) = twisted E cohomology.

 \bigcirc Now if R= tmf by a theorem of Am o-Hupkins-Rezk K(Z,4) -> Bo/3string (which is to say Bstriy -> BO map) ll BGL, (tup) that's Zah 7402 precompose st wh class for tusts of ty (VN. algebra. unique up to Joursglin. R: hyperfinite II factor QXL (R) acting on L (QXR) by Construction : $(q, F) \cdot \overline{3}(x, y) = f(y) \overline{5}(qx, qy)$ (many many construction) (that look very different) and take closure in w.o.t • Z(R) = C "factor" · U(R) ~ Sx) (analog of Kniper) · And (R) ~ &? [unpyblished - Wassermann] (and not writte up) 543 > U(Z(R)) -> U(R) -> Im (R) +> 0 0 -542 0 +> Inn(R) -> Aut(R) -> Out (R) -> 0 not a topological group. [dense] It's a sheaf or Top Polinh All =) still has an associated groups homotops type ~ K(Z,3)

6 =) B Out (R) = K(2,4) Next goal: Convince that that's a natural construction. Note: Out (R) = Bim (R)/iso] (invertible) (invertible) Claim: $Out(R) = Aut(\dots)$ aut. as & catgory Bin(R), the &- category of RR- bimoduler. Sketch of proof First: Out(R) ach on Bin (R) An action of Out(R) on Bin(R) A trivialization of the action of Inn(R) control of: consists of: • An action of Ant (R) $\alpha \cdot M := {}_{\alpha}M_{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Bimodule \\ with both \\ action two determs the states} \end{pmatrix}$ · A trivialization of the action of U(R) $M \xrightarrow{u \cdot (1 - u^{-1})} M$ · A trivialization of · whose restriction to 5' does nothing. its restriction to Inn(R) (1) Every automorphia of Bin (R) P inner [i.e. of the form ad (M) for som MEBIL(R) T (2) Out (R) -> Aut (Bim (R)) injective (3) Aut (Bin (R)) D a group (not a 2-group) (it's zero-truncatul)

(7 I'll prove (1) for you : Assumption: There exists an appropriate notion of Morite equivalence set Bim (R) and Bim (C) and the equivalence bimodule is given by R-Mod Bin (R) Bin (C) (Certainly not an algebraic notion of Morita equivalence) Given a E Aut (Bim (RI) consider R-Mod Bim(R), & Bim(C) Using the Morita equivalence, I can go back and forth between Bim (R) - Bin (C) - bimodules & Bim (C) - Bim (C) - bimodules. But the latter are rather boring things, and one can show that there a only one Invertible Bin (0) - Pin (0). bimodule up b Dohorphim. R-Mod Bin(R) Bin(C) A Bin(C) Bin(C) functor 7) give by some bimodule M Writing out what it means for M to be a Bim (R) - Bim (E) - bimodule map => & = ad(M)