

17 Gauss Way Berkeley, CA 94720-5070 p: 510.642.0143 f: 510.642.8609 www.msri.org

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Nam	ne: <u>Sean Howe</u> Email/Phone: <u>Seanpkhagmail.com</u>
Spea	sker's Name: <u>Gaetan</u> Chenevier
Talk Title: On conductor lalgebraic automorphic representations of GLAD over Q and applications Date: 12 / 05 / 2014 Time: 10:30 am/pm (circle one)	
Co	5-12 key words for the talk: Automorphiz forms, entimelied representation, unting, explicit functoriality. Fortaine-Mazor
	se summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Explains some results on classifying and antima everywhere unramitived algebraic automorphic representations can thus rectally certain calcic representations). Gives applications to applications to applications to applications functions and to explicitly computing functional and for, from SOCN to Oken).
	CHECK LIST
	(This is NOT optional, we will not pay for incomplete forms)
	Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
	Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3 rd floor.
	 <u>Computer Presentations</u>: Obtain a copy of their presentation <u>Overhead</u>: Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them <u>Blackboard</u>: Take blackboard notes in black or blue <u>PEN</u>. We will <u>NOT</u> accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
	• Handouts: Obtain copies of and scan all handouts For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
	When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list. (YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
	Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

On algebraic automorphic representations of conductor 1

Gaëtan Chenevier

chenevier@math.cnrs.fr

December 5th 2014

Plan

 \bigcirc GL_n case

② General G

Automorphic representations

Consider cuspidal automorphic rep's. π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ such that :

- (i) π_p is unramified for each prime p.
- (ii) π_∞ is algebraic, i.e. $\inf \pi_\infty \subset \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has integer eigenvalues

$$k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_n$$

called the weights of π .

Set also $w(\pi) = k_1 - k_n$: motivic weight of (effective twist of) π .

General problem : Can we classify those π ?

A motivation : galois representations

Fix a prime ℓ and an embedding $\iota: \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$.

Consider irred. cont. rep's $\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that :

- (i) ρ is unramified outside ℓ ,
- (ii) ρ is crystalline at ℓ .

Conjecture (Langlands-Fontaine-Mazur) : There exists a unique bijection $\pi \mapsto \rho_{\pi}$ such that for all primes $p \neq \ell$

$$\det(T - \rho_{\pi}(\operatorname{Frob}_{p})) = \iota \det(T - c(\pi_{p})).$$

Moreover, the weights of π are the Hodge-Tate weights of ρ_{π} at ℓ .

Regular case : $\pi \mapsto \rho$ has been defined and some properties proved.

A counting problem

 $N(k_1,\cdots,k_n)=$ number of π of weights $k_1\geq\cdots\geq k_n$.

Finite by general results of Harish-Chandra.

e.g.
$$N(k) = 1$$
, $N(k,0) = \dim S_{k+1}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$,

... no result for any n > 2 valid for all weights.

Problems : – no discrete series for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for n>2,

- difficult to compute geometric side of trace formula.



Essentially-self-dual, regular, case

 $\mathrm{N}^{\perp}(k_1,\cdots,k_n)=$ subnumber of π of such that $\pi^{\vee}\simeq\pi|\cdot|^{-k_1-k_n}.$

Theorem (Ch.-Renard, Taïbi) Explicit given formula for $N^{\perp}(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ valid for all n and all $k_1 > \dots > k_n$, implemented on a computer for $n \leq 15$ (so far). Conditional if two k_i 's are consecutive.

Basic idea of proofs. Induction on n. Such a π descends to a collection of aut. rep. of classical groups over $\mathbb Z$ such that π_∞ discrete series (Arthur). Compute (or use known) dim. of certain spaces of aut. rep. of classical groups, and substract "endoscopic contributions" (Arthur's Multiplicity Formula). Condition "unramified everywhere" important in A.M.F.

Dim. formula previously known for Sp_{2g} with $g \leq 2$ (Igusa, Tsushima), get split SO_m with $m \leq 5$ by exc. isogenies.

Ch.-Renard : proof theorem for $n \le 5$, + conditionnally n = 6 and 7 (use \mathbb{R} -anisotropic inner forms to compute dim.).

Taïbi : general case. He found an algorithm to compute the "Euler characteristic of discrete spectrum of split classical groups for any cohomological weight", starting form Arthur's formula in his paper L²-Lefschetz.. As an application, he deduces dimension spaces of vector valued Siegel cusp forms for $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ for $g \leq 7$.

An (unexpected) application

Applies conjecturally to $\zeta(s, \mathcal{M}_{g,n})$.

Thanks to works of Bergström, Faber, van der Geer & Megarbané, it led to a conjectural purely automorphic expression for this ζ function for

$$(g, n) = (3, 17).$$

Two interesting π 's of dimension 6 and weight $23 = \dim \mathcal{M}_{3,17}$ occur (there are 7 such ess. self. dual reg. π 's).

First case where $\zeta(s, \mathcal{M}_{g,n})$ not entirely explained by Siegel modular forms of genus $\leq g$.

A different method/result

Theorem : π cusp. aut. rep. of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Assume $\mathrm{w}(\pi) \leq 20$. Then :

- (a) either $n \leq 2$,
- (b) or π is a twist of the unique rep. of $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{A})$ sat. (i) and (ii) and with weights $19 \geq 13 \geq 6 \geq 0$.

Known to Serre and Mestre that for $w(\pi) < 11$ then n = 1.

Sketck of proof

Idea in the continuation of ideas of Stark, Odlyzko, Serre, Mestre, Miller: contradict existence of π using analytic properties of $\Lambda(s,\pi\times\pi^\vee)$, using Riemann-Weil explicit formula.

Let $W = W_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (an extension of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by S^1).

K= Grothendieck ring of \mathbb{C} -rep. of $W=\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}arepsilon\bigoplus_{w>0}\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{I}_w$.

If π is the unitary twist of a Π satisfying (i) and (ii), then $L(\pi_{\infty}) \in K$ (Clozel purity lemma).

Choice of test function : $F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, even, C^2 , compact support. And define $\Phi(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t) e^{(s-1/2)t} dt$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Linear map $J_F: K \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad W \mapsto -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathrm{Re}(s)=1/2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(W,s) \Phi(s) ds.$

The explicit formula (following Mestre)

Fix Π, Π' sat. (i) and (ii), let π and π' be their unitary twists.

Result of a contour integration $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(s,\pi\times\pi')\Phi(s)ds$.

Set $V=\mathrm{L}(\pi_\infty)$ and $V'=\mathrm{L}(\pi'_\infty)$.

$$\sum_{\mu} \Phi(\mu) - 2 \, \delta_{\pi',\pi^{\vee}} \, \Phi(0)$$

=

$$-2\operatorname{J}_F(V\otimes V')-2\operatorname{Re}\ \sum_{p^k}\operatorname{trace}(\operatorname{c}(\pi_p)^k\otimes\operatorname{c}(\pi_p')^k)F(k\operatorname{log}(p))\frac{\operatorname{log}(p)}{p^{k/2}}.$$

The inequality

Assume $F \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(s) \geq 0$ if $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq 1$, and $\pi' = \pi^{\vee} = \overline{\pi}$.

(e.g. $F = f(x/\lambda)/\mathrm{ch}(x/2)$ where f is Odlyzko function and $\lambda > 0$.)

Then $J_F(V^2) \leq \Phi(0)$.

Proposition: For F well chosen, the quadratic form $W \to J_F(W^2)$, $K \to \mathbb{R}$, is positive definite on $K^{\leq 20}$.

Proof : a Gram matrix computation using Odlyzko function ($\lambda = \log 9$)!

Corollary : Only finitely many possible π_{∞} , hence π !

List all possible V with the computer. Get Thm. when $w(\pi) \leq 17$. A few possible V in general, *regular* and with dim $V \leq 5$ in all cases. Conclude if π is selfdual.

End of proof

Show that when π exists, then there is a unique one.

Observation of Taïbi : let π_1, \ldots, π_k be k different aut. rep. of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\mathrm{L}(\pi_\infty) \simeq V$. Then :

$$J_F(V^2) \leq \frac{1}{k} \Phi(0)$$

Proof : same as before applied to $\Lambda(s, (\oplus_i \pi_i) \otimes (\oplus_i \pi_i^{\vee}))$.

Check that for all previously found V then $k \leq 1$. \square

Arthur-Langlands conjecture

G reductive gp. scheme over \mathbb{Z}

$$\widehat{G}=\operatorname{red}$$
. group over $\mathbb C$ dual to $G(\mathbb C)$

= Langlands dual of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (Gross)

 π discrete aut. rep. of $G(\mathbb{A})$ s.t. $\pi_p^{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \neq 0$ for all p.

$$\rho: \widehat{\mathsf{G}} \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Conjecture: $\exists k \geq 1$, and for i = 1, ..., k, integers d_i , $n_i \geq 1$, and a cusp. aut. rep. π_i of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A})$, such that :

- (a) $n = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i n_i$,
- (b) $L(s, \pi, \rho) = \prod_{i} \prod_{j=0}^{d_i-1} L(s+j-\frac{d_i-1}{2}, \pi_i),$
- (c) $\rho(\inf \pi_{\infty}) = \bigoplus_i \inf \pi_i \otimes \operatorname{diag}(\frac{d_i-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-d_i}{2}).$

If conjecture holds for (π, ρ) , write $\psi(\pi, \rho) = \bigoplus_i \pi_i[d_i]$.

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めなべ

Two remarks:

- (i) If $\langle \inf \pi_{\infty}, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each root α of \widehat{G} , then π_i is algebraic up to twist for each i.
- (ii) If $\rho^{\vee} \simeq \rho$, then π_i selfdual for each i.

Arthur's theorem: Conjecture holds for (π, St) if $G = \operatorname{Sp}_{2g}$ or split SO_m over \mathbb{Z} . + Converse result (Arthur's multiplicity formula).

Example $G = PGSp_4 = SO_5$

$$\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{C})$$

Fix w > v > 0 odd integers.

The number of cuspidal π of G such that π_{∞} hol. discrete series of inf. car. $\operatorname{diag}(\frac{w}{2}, \frac{v}{2})$ (with mult.)

= dimension of a certain space of vector valued Siegel modular forms.

Known formula (Tsushima). For $w \leq 21$, dim 0 or 1, non zero iff :

$$(w, v) = (17,1) (19,7) (21,1) (21,5) (21,9) (21,13).$$

When $v \neq 1$, $\psi(\pi, \operatorname{St})$ cuspidal as $\operatorname{S}_{v+1}(\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$.

When v=1, might be $\Delta_w \oplus [2]$ and it is (Saito-Kurokawa form).

Example $G = \text{definite SO}_n$ for $n \le 24$

 $n \equiv 0 \mod 8$

 $\mathcal{L}_n = \text{set of even unimodular lattices in } \mathbb{R}^n$.

Choose $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

 $G = \mathrm{SO}_L$ semisimple over \mathbb{Z} , $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

 $G(\mathbb{Q})\backslash G(\mathbb{A})/G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})=\mathcal{L}_n$

Number of π of G such that $\pi_{\infty}=1$ (with mult.)

 $= |SO(\mathbb{R}^n) \backslash \mathcal{L}_n|.$

= 1, 2, 25 if n = 8, 16, 24 (Mordell, Witt, Niemeier).

Question: What are the $\psi(\pi, \operatorname{St})$?

Theorem (Ch.-Lannes) *They are :*

- (i) $[15] \oplus [1]$ and $\Delta_{11}[4] \oplus [7] \oplus [1]$ if n = 16.
- (ii) the following if n = 24:

```
\operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{17}[4] \oplus \Delta_{11}[2] \oplus [9]
                                      [23] \oplus [1]
                              \operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus [21]
                                                                                                                                \operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{15}[6] \oplus [9]
                            \Delta_{21}[2] \oplus [1] \oplus [19]
                                                                                                                                         \Delta_{15}[8] \oplus [1] \oplus [7]
                   \mathrm{Sym}^2\Delta_{11}\oplus\Delta_{19}[2]\oplus[17]
                                                                                                                   \Delta_{21}[2] \oplus \Delta_{17}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [7]
                                                                                                                              \Delta_{19}[4] \oplus \Delta_{11}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [7]
                 \Delta_{21}[2] \oplus \Delta_{17}[2] \oplus [1] \oplus [15]
                           \Delta_{19}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [15]
                                                                                                                            \Delta_{21.9}[2] \oplus \Delta_{15}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [7]
         Sym^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{19}[2] \oplus \Delta_{15}[2] \oplus [13]
                                                                                                                      \operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{19}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[6] \oplus [5]
                   \operatorname{Sym}^2\Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{17}[4] \oplus [13]
                                                                                                         \operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{19,7}[2] \oplus \Delta_{15}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[2] \oplus [5]
                            \Delta_{17}[6] \oplus [1] \oplus [11]
                                                                                                                              \Delta_{21}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[8] \oplus [1] \oplus [3]
                 \Delta_{21}[2] \oplus \Delta_{15}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [11]
                                                                                                                  \Delta_{21.5}[2] \oplus \Delta_{17}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[4] \oplus [1] \oplus [3]
              \Delta_{\bf 21,13}[2] \oplus \Delta_{\bf 17}[2] \oplus [1] \oplus [11]
                                                                                                                               \operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{11}[10] \oplus [1]
\operatorname{Sym}^2 \Delta_{11} \oplus \Delta_{19}[2] \oplus \Delta_{15}[2] \oplus \Delta_{11}[2] \oplus [9]
                                                                                                                                                   \Delta_{11}[12]
```

lkeda had found 20 of the 24 parameters (the ones without the $\Delta_{w,v}$), building on works of Nebe-Venkov, Freitag-Borcherds-Weissauer. Unconditional proof.

Case n=16.

First check that π has a ϑ -correspondant on Sp_{2g} with g < 8. (Actually g = 4, as $\vartheta_g(\operatorname{E}_8 \oplus \operatorname{E}_8) \neq \vartheta_g(\operatorname{E}_{16})$ iff g > 4).

It shows $\psi(\pi, \operatorname{St})$ exists (Arthur, Rallis), say $\psi(\pi, \operatorname{St}) = \bigoplus_i \pi_i[d_i]$.

Inf. character: $\pm 7, \pm 6, \cdots, \pm 1, 0, 0$.

In part. $w(\pi_i) + d_i - 1 \le 14$ for each i, so $\pi_i = 1$ or Δ_{11} (Theorem).

Only two possibilites: the ones of the statements!

Happy Birthday Michael