MSRI Hot Topics Workshop: Perfectoid Spaces and their Applications

## Remarks the Cohomology of the Lubin-Tate Tower - Peter Scholze 2:30pm February 21, 2014

Notes taken by Dan Collins (djcollin@math.princeton.edu)

**Keywords**: Lubin-Tate tower, *p*-adic Local Langlands correspondence, Gross-Hopkins period map

**Summary**: The speaker describes a construction that takes an admissible  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -representation of a *p*-adic field and obtains an admissible  $D^{\times}$ -representation in it from cohomology of a sheaf on the infinite-level Lubin-Tate tower that is descended to projective space via the Gross-Hopkins period map. The proof is explained by showing how it is modeled off of a proof of a finiteness theorem from a previous lecture. The speaker then discusses local-global compatibility for these representations.

Originally the talk was supposed to be "Future directions II: Local Langlands and equivariant sheaves on projective space", but the speaker was asked by many participants to speak on this topic instead.

Setup: Let  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension of degree  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the ring of integers,  $\varpi$  a uniformizer,  $k = \mathcal{O}/\varpi$  the residue field, and  $\overline{k}$  an algebraic closure of it. Fix F to be the completion of the unramified extension of F with residue field  $\overline{k}$ . From Weinstein's talks, we have the Lubin-Tate space  $\mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty}$ (which was a perfectoid space) on which  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F) \times D^{\times}$  acts, where D/F is the division algebra of invariant 1/n. Also we have the Gross-Hopkins period map  $\pi_{GH} : \mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty} \to \mathbb{P}_{F}^{n-1}$ . This is  $D^{\times}$ -equivariant (for the natural action of  $D^{\times}$ on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ) and also  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant (for the trivial action on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ).

**Theorem 1** (Gross-Hopkins). The map  $\pi_{GH}$  is surjective, so is a  $GL_n(F)$ -torsor.

Remark: The surjectivity here is absolutely crucial to what we're doing, and the argument won't carry over to other Rapoport-Zink spaces because the period maps there aren't surjective!

Recall some facts about  $\ell$ -adic cohomology for  $\ell \neq p$ . Fix a supercuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ . Then:

**Theorem 2** (Harris-Taylor, Mieda). Take  $C/\check{F}$  algebraically closed and complete. Consider

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(F)}(\pi, H^i_c(\mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty,C}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)).$ 

This still has an action of  $D^{\times}$ , and also an action of  $W_F$  (extending the action of inertia via Weil descent, coming from the Galois group of C). Then, as a  $D^{\times} \times W_F$ -module, this space is isomorphic to  $JL(\pi) \otimes LLC(\pi)$  (up to some twists and duals) if i = n - 1, and is trivial otherwise.

One would like to have a similar result in the *p*-adic case. But then we run into a problem: there's no finiteness results for the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -cohomology. Even at finite level, the cohomology changes if we change the algebraic closure C. However, we'll see that if one does things in the correct way we still get a finiteness result.

Construction: Let  $\pi$  be an admissible  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -representation of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  on a vector space V. We descend the constant sheaf  $\underline{V}$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty}$  to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\check{F}}$  via the  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$ -action. Get a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\check{F},\eta}$ .

**Theorem 3.** For all  $i \ge 0$ , the group  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})$  (which has a natural action of  $D^{\times} \times W_F$ ) is an admissible  $D^{\times}$ -representation, is independent of C, and zero for i > 2(n-1).

**Proposition 4.** This is compatible with global correspondences.

Strategy for proving the finiteness theorem: follow the proof of the "Old Theorem" that Nizioł explained, that if X/C is proper and smooth then  $H^i(X_{\text{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finite-dimensional. There were two main steps:

(1) Prove almost-finite-generation of  $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+/p)$ .

(2) Use Artin-Schreier sequence argument to get an almost-isomorphism

$$H^i(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C/p \cong_a H^i(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathcal{O}_X^+/p)$$

Of course, in our new case we don't want a finite-dimensional representation, but an admissible one, so need to change our setup a bit. To do this we define a funny cohomology theory.

**Definition 5.** Fix  $K \subseteq D^{\times}$  a compact open. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $D^{\times}$ -equivariant sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , define a cohomology group

$$R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_C^{n-1}/K,\mathcal{G}) = R\Gamma_{\rm cont}(K,R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_C^{n-1},\mathcal{G})).$$

The notation is because we want to think of descending  $\mathcal{G}$  to a sheaf on a quotient  $\mathbb{P}_C^{n-1}/K$ , but this doesn't quite make sense itself. Then, we have the following key proposition.

**Proposition 6.**  $H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{C}/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{+}/p)$  is almost finitely generated.

If we assume this, then step 2 of the argument above goes through, and we conclude:

**Corollary 7.** The group  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})$  is finite-dimensional, and we have an almost-isomorphism

$$H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{C}/K,\mathcal{F}_{\pi})\otimes\mathcal{O}_{C}/p\cong_{a}H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{C}/K,\mathcal{F}_{\pi}\otimes\mathcal{O}^{+}/p).$$

Thus if we take a direct limit over K, get the "basic comparison theorem"

$$H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{C},\mathcal{F}_{\pi})\otimes\mathcal{O}_{C}/p\cong_{a}H^{i}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{C},\mathcal{F}_{\pi}\otimes\mathcal{O}^{+}/p).$$

**Corollary 8.**  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})$  is an admissible  $D^{\times}$ -representation.

*Proof.* Induct on i (so assume the result holds for all degrees i' < i). Then there's a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^{m_1}_{\operatorname{cont}}(K, H^{m_2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C, \mathcal{F}_{\pi})) \implies H^{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi}).$$

Then, it's a fact that if  $\rho$  is an admissible  $D^{\times}$ -representation then the dimension of all  $H_{Cont}^{i}(K,\rho)$  are finite. Then, if we look at the terms contributing to  $H^{i}(\mathbb{P}_{C}^{n-1}/K,\mathcal{F}_{\pi})$ , there are a bunch of terms with  $m_{1} < i$  (which are finitedimensional by induction) and a term  $H^{i}(\mathbb{P}_{C}^{n-1},\mathcal{F}_{\pi})^{K}$ . Since  $H^{i}(\mathbb{P}_{C}^{n-1}/K,\mathcal{F}_{\pi})$ is finite-dimensional by the above corollary, this forces  $H^{i}(\mathbb{P}_{C}^{n-1},\mathcal{F}_{\pi})^{K}$  to be finite-dimensional.

So we need to prove the key proposition. Back to the "old theorem": we had X/C proper and smooth, and we use an argument of shrinking covers due to Cartan-Serre and Kiehl. The idea is to take finite covers

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$$

with  $U_i, V_i$  affinoids satisfying  $\overline{U}_i \subseteq V_i$  (and having good coordinates, etc.). Then the key lemma was:

**Lemma 9.** Let U, V be affinoids of finite type over C with  $\overline{U} \subseteq V$ . Then  $H^i(V_{\text{\'et}}, \mathcal{O}^+/p) \to H^i(U_{\text{\'et}}, \mathcal{O}^+/p)$  has almost-finitely-generated image.

Now, we turn back to the new case of our key proposition,. Take finite covers

$$\mathbb{P}_C^{n-1} = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$$

with  $U_i, V_i$  affinoids satisfying  $\overline{U}_i \subseteq V_i$  (and the other properties we needed above). Moreover can assume the  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  are K-stable by shrinking K if need be. Now, since  $\pi_{GH} : \mathcal{M}_{LT,0,C} \to \mathbb{P}_C^{n-1}$  is surjective, the inclusion  $V_i \to \mathbb{P}_C^{n-1}$ lifts to a map  $V_i \to \mathcal{M}_{LT,0,C}$ . Also, note that  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi}|_{\mathcal{M}_{LT,0}}$  depends only on  $\pi|_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)}$ , as  $\mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty} \to \mathcal{M}_{LT,0}$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ -torsors.

**Lemma 10.** If  $U, V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{LT,0,C}$  are K-stable affinoids with  $\overline{U} \subseteq V$ , then for any admissible  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ -representation  $\pi$ , the image of

$$H^{i}(V/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{+}/p) \to H^{i}(U/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{+}/p)$$

is almost finitely generated.

*Proof.* We start by taking a resolution of  $\pi$  by a complex whose terms are finite products of  $C(\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F), \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then there's a spectral sequence computing the cohomology of  $\pi$  in terms of the cohomology of the resolution, so we can reduce to the case where  $\pi = C(\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F), \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

So have  $U \subseteq V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{LT,0,C}$ . Can take the preimages under the map  $f : \mathcal{M}_{LT,\infty,C} \to \mathcal{M}_{LT,0,C}$ , giving  $U_{\infty} \subseteq V_{\infty}$  with  $\overline{U}_{\infty} \subseteq V_{\infty}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_{\pi} = f_* \mathbb{F}_p$ , so we conclude

$$H^{i}(V/K, \mathcal{F}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{+}/p) = H^{i}(V_{\infty}/K, \mathcal{O}^{+}/p).$$

Next, we use the isomorphism between the Lubin-Tate tower and the Drinfeld tower, so we can move  $U_{\infty}$  and  $V_{\infty}$  over to  $\mathcal{M}_{Dr,\infty,C}$ . But now, since  $K \subseteq D^{\times}$  is compact open, we can pass to a finite level  $\mathcal{M}_{Dr,K,C}$  which is locally finite-type over C, and get affinoids  $U_K, V_K$  with  $\overline{U}_K \subseteq V_K$ .

Finally, it's obvious that

$$H^i(V_\infty/K, \mathcal{O}^+/p) = H^i(V_K, \mathcal{O}^+/p).$$

So we're reduced to showing that

$$H^i(V_K, \mathcal{O}^+/p) \to H^i(U_K, \mathcal{O}^+/p)$$

has finitely-generated image. But this follows from the lemma mentioned above.  $\hfill \square$ 

Local-global compatibility: Let  $\mathbb{F}^+$  be a totally real field and  $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}^+$  be a CM extension. Suppose that there's only one place over p, that the corresponding localization  $(\mathbb{F}^+)_p$  is isomorphic to our local field F from above, and that  $\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}^+$  is split at p. Take  $G/\mathbb{F}^+$  a compact unitary group which is  $\operatorname{GL}_n$  at p. Fix  $K^p \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}^+,f}^p)$ . Then, let

$$\pi = C(G(\mathbb{F}^+) \setminus G(\mathbb{A}^p_{\mathbb{F}^+, f}) / K^p, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),$$

which has an action of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  and also of a Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{K^p}$  away from p.

The question is then, what happens if we plug in this  $\pi$  to the machine above? For this, look at an inner form G' of G (which is now  $D^{\times}$  at p, and U(1, n - 1) at some infinite place, and left the same as G at the other places). This gives rise to a compact Shimura variety  $Sh_{K^p}$ . Can then look at

$$\pi' = H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_{K^p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),$$

which has an action of  $D^{\times} \times \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}}$  (though may have needed to use a similitude group to get this Galois action). We then have:

**Proposition 11.** We have  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}_C, \mathcal{F}_{\pi}) \cong \pi'$  as representations of  $D^{\times} \times \operatorname{Gal}_F \times \mathbb{T}_{K^p}$ .

Now, fix a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{K^p}$ . By a bunch of big theorems, we know that there exists a  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ :  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{F}} \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  which has the correct Hecke eigenvalues and  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{\operatorname{Gal}_F}$  reducible. Assume some sort of big image condition, say that  $\operatorname{img} \rho_{\mathfrak{m}} = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$  for some r. Then, claim that  $H^i(\mathbb{P}_C^{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_{\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}})^K$  is  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}|_{\operatorname{Gal}_F}$ isotypic (and not all zero).

Key input (that requires the big image assumption): A theorem of Emerton-Gee that  $H^i(\operatorname{Sh}_{K^p}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is  $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -isotypic. When one knows this, the above is immediate from the proposition (except the "not all zero" part, but that's not hard to show).