

1. RANDOM DYNAMICS AND A FORMULA FOR FURSTENBERG, KULLBACK-LEDRAPPIER ENTROPY

Joint work with Brown.

Problem: understand the existence and properties of invariant measure under  $\Gamma$  (a free group of 2 elements.)

Approach: stationary measure ( $\mu = \mu * \gamma$ ). Show its invariance by showing that it is nice enough.

Homogeneous setting:

Homogeneous setting (BFLM, BQ):  $\Gamma \subset SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  large enough, generated by  $supp(\gamma)$ , acting on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , then every stationary measure is Haar or atomic.

Application: Theorem 1 (BH)  $\Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , assume  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a subgroup of finite index of  $\Gamma$ ,  $S = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  a finite generating set,  $f_i$  be  $C^1$ -small area-preserving perturbations to  $A_i$ , then a measure stationary under them is either the area form or atomic.

Theorem 2:  $R_1, \dots, R_m \in SO(3)$ , with counting measure,  $f_i$  are area-preserving perturbations to  $R_i$  as elements in  $Diff(S^2)$ , then stationary implies area form or atomic.

Oseledec's theorem:  $\gamma$  a finite supported measure on  $Diff^r(M) = \Omega$ , consider  $F : \Omega^{\mathbb{N}} \times M \rightarrow \Omega^{\mathbb{N}} \times M$  as  $(w, x) \mapsto (\sigma(w), f_0(x))$ , where  $\sigma$  is the shift and  $f_0$  is the first entry of  $w$ . Then  $\mu$  is stationary iff  $F$  preserves  $\gamma^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mu$ . Similarly we can define  $\hat{F}$  when replacing  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Assume  $\mu$  is ergodic, for  $\gamma^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mu$  a.e.  $(w, x)$ , there is  $0 \subset V_1 \cdots \subset V_k = E^S \cdots \subset T_x(M)$ , s.t.  $v \in V_i \setminus V_{i-1}$  then  $\lim \frac{1}{n} \log |D(f_{n-1} \cdots f_0)x| = \lambda_i$ .

On  $\gamma^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mu$ , there is decomposition  $T_x M = \oplus_i E_i$ .  $V_i$  is tangent to foliations  $\hat{W}_i$ .

Furstenberg, Kullback-Ledrappier Entropy: measure the non-invariance of measure.

$f_*\mu = J_f\mu + \eta$ , where  $\eta \perp \mu$ .  $J_f = \frac{df_*\mu}{d\mu}$ . Define  $H_\gamma(\mu) = - \int \int \log J_f \times d\mu(x) d\gamma(f)$ .  $H = 0$  iff  $\mu$  is invariant on  $supp(\gamma)$ .

Theorem: (Ledrappier, Avila-Viana)  $H_\gamma(\mu) \leq \Lambda_- dim(M)$ , where  $\Lambda_- = \max_{\lambda_i < 0} (-\lambda_i)$ .

Corr: if  $\mu$  has no negative exponent then it is invariant.

Exercise: If all exponents are positive, the measure is atomic.

How to handle  $H$  when there is negative exponent?

Let  $\hat{\mu}_w$  be the conditional measure of  $\hat{\mu} = \gamma^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mu$  on  $\{w\} \times M$ ,  $\hat{\mu}^{\hat{W}_i}(w, x)$  be the conditional measure on  $\hat{W}_i(w, x)$ ,  $\mu^{\hat{W}_i}(w, x)$  be the conditional measure of  $\mu$  on  $\hat{W}_i$ , the Hausdorff dimension of the latter 2  $\delta_i(\hat{\mu})$ ,  $D_i(\mu)$ , let  $e_1 = \delta_1$ ,  $e_i = \delta_i - \delta_{i-1}$  when  $i > 1$ , and define  $E_i$  similarly from  $D_i$ , then:

$$H_\gamma = - \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} (-\lambda_i)(E_i - e_i)$$

Remark: the sum depends only on the stable part.

Remark:  $\hat{\mu}_w = \hat{\mu}_v$  if  $w$  and  $v$  have the same future.  $\mu$  is the integral of these  $\hat{\mu}_w$ , hence is generally “thicker” than  $\hat{\mu}_w$ , hence the right-hand-side is usually positive.

Theorem:  $\nu$  compactly supported on  $Diff^2(M)$ ,  $dim(M) = 2$ , assume  $\mu$  has 1 positive & 1 negative exponent and ergodic, then either (1)  $\mu$  is atomic (2)  $\hat{\mu}^{W^u}$  is Lebesgue, (3)  $E^s$  is non-random (i.e.  $E^s(w, x)$  only depends on  $x$  for a.e.  $(w, x)$ ).