Bottom of spectrum and equivariant families of boundary measures in negative curvature.

University of Notre Dame/Université Paris 6 François Ledrappier

Joint work with Seonhee Lim, Seoul Nat. Univ.

MSRI, May 14, 2015

Ч

Riemannian manifold,  $\Delta = \text{div}\nabla$  the Laplace Beltrami operator on  $C^2$  functions. Let (M,g) be an open, connected, complete

that Then [Sullivan (87)], there is  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$  such

 $\overset{\circ}{\scriptstyle >} 0.$ tained in  $(-\infty, -\lambda_0]$ , but not in  $(-\infty, -\lambda_0 - \varepsilon]$ , for 1) The spectrum of  $\Delta$  on  $L^2(\widetilde{M}, \text{Vol})$  is con-

2) For any  $\lambda \leq \lambda_0$ , there are positive  $(-\lambda)$ eigenfunctions

sions for  $\lambda_0$ From the spectral theorem, one gets expres-

as the Rayleigh quotient:

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{\substack{f \in C_c^2(\widetilde{M})}} \frac{\int \|\nabla f\|^2}{\int f^2}$$

for  $t > 0, x, y \in \widetilde{M}$ ,  $p(t, x, y) := e^{t\Delta}(x, y)$  and as the decay rate of the heat kernel:

$$\lambda_0 = -\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln p(t, x, y).$$

ω

Example:  $(\widetilde{M},g) = (\mathbb{R}^d,g_0)$ . Then,  $\lambda_0 = 0$ ,

transform) the spectrum of  $\Delta$  is  $(-\infty, 0]$  (by Fourier

$$<\Delta f,g>_{L^2}=-rac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int |\xi|^2\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi).$$

and for  $\lambda \geq 0, \lambda = r^2$ , and  $\mu$  measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,

$$F(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{r < \xi, x > d\mu(\xi)}$$

is a  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction.

spectrum is  $(-\infty, -(d-1)^2/4]$  (use represencurvature -1. Then,  $\lambda_0 = (d-1)^2/4$ , the tation theory of  $Iso_+(\mathbb{H}^d, g_0))$ Example:  $(\mathbb{H}^d, g_0)$  the hyperbolic space with

and for  $\lambda \leq \frac{(d-1)^2}{4}, \lambda = -(d-1)^2 s(s-1), s \geq$ ary of  $\mathbb{H}^d$ ) and  $k(o, z, \xi)$  the Poisson kernel,  $1/2,\ \mu$  measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  (seen as the bound-

$$F_{s,\mu}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} k^s(o, z, \xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

is a  $(-\lambda)$ -eigenfunction.

С

to *o*. function that depends only on the distance tation invariant measure  $d\xi$ , we get an eigen-In both cases, when the measure  $\mu$  is the ro-

In particular, the  $(-\lambda_0)$ -eigenfunction

$$F_{1/2,d.}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sqrt{k(o, z, \xi)} d\xi$$

function is called the ground state, or Harish-Chandra

group of deck transformations. In this talk, we assume that  $({ar M},g)$  is the universal cover of a compact manifold with negative sectional curvatures. Let G be the

M is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . d-dimensional ball. if their Hausdorff distance is bounded. For any  $x \in M$  and a suitable a > 0,  $e^{-ad_x(\xi,\eta)}$ GEOMETRY OF  $\widetilde{M}$  AND  $\partial \widetilde{M}$ omorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $\widetilde{M}\cup\partial\widetilde{M}$  is a closed The space  $\partial M$  of equivalence classes is home-Geodesic rays  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are said to be equivalent

$$d_x(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{y \to \xi, z \to \eta} \frac{1}{2} \left( d(x,y) + d(x,z) - d(y,z) \right)$$

where  $d_x$  is the Gromov product

defines a metric on  $\partial M$ .

For all  $x \in \widetilde{M}$ ,

defines a bi-Hölder continuous homeomor- $\pi_x: S_x \widetilde{M} \to \partial \widetilde{M}, \pi_x(v) := [\gamma_v(\mathbb{R}_+)] = \gamma_v(+\infty)$ phism. We identify  $M imes \partial M$  with SM by

$$(x,\xi) \sim \pi_x^{-1}(\xi).$$

with SM. to  $\partial M$ . The quotient  $M imes \partial M/G$  identifies The action of the covering group G extends

scends to the stable foliation of the geodesic flow on SM. The foliation  $\widetilde{M} \times \{\xi\}, \xi \in \partial \widetilde{M}$  on  $\widetilde{M} \times \partial \widetilde{M}$  de-

sures; r.e.m. Regular equivariant families of boundary mea-

2) such that all  $\mu_x$  have the same zero sets, 1) equivariant: for all  $g \in G, x, \mu_{gx} = g_*\mu_x$ , A r.e.m. is a mapping  $x \mapsto \mu_x, x \in M, \mu_x$ measure on  $\partial M$  that is

3) for fixed  $x, y, \xi \mapsto \frac{d\mu y}{d\mu x}(\xi) =: k(x, y, \xi)$  is 4) for fixed  $\xi$ ,  $y \mapsto k(x, y, \xi)$  is  $C^1$ . Hölder continous and

where  $M_0$  is a fundamental domain for G. We normalize a r.e.m. by  $\int_{M_0} \mu_x(\partial M) dx = 1$ ,

 $\alpha(x,\xi)$ . For each  $\xi$ , set Associated to a r.e.m. is a closed 1-form

 $\alpha(x,\xi) := d \ln k(x_0, y, \xi)|_{y=x}.$ 

It is well-defined by 4), is a closed 1-form on M, does not depend on  $x_0$  and is G-invariant:

 $g^*\alpha(gx,g\xi) = \alpha(x,\xi).$ 

as a closed 1-form along the stable foliation. In particular, it descends on  $\widetilde{M} \times \partial \widetilde{M} = SM$ 

given by The energy  $\mathcal{E}$  of a (normalized) r.e.m. is

 $\mathcal{E}(\mu) := \int_{M_0} \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \|\alpha(x,\xi)\|_x^2 \, d\mu_x(\xi) \, dx.$ 

Examples:

 $u_{x,R}$  be the Lebesgue measure on the sphere  $\nu_x$  is constructed as follows. For R > 0, let Margulis (70) showed that there is a number converge on  $M \cup \partial M$  to a measure  $\nu_x$  supof center x and radius R. V such that the measures  $e^{-VR} 
u_{x,R}$  weak\* The Margulis (or Patterson-Sullivan) r.e.m.

the Liouville 1-form on SM and  $\mathcal{E}(\nu) = V^2$ . logical entropy of the geodesic flow; lpha is V imesV is the volume entropy of M and the topo-

ported by  $\partial M$ . Equivariance is clear.

due to Anosov (67).  $\pi_x$ . Equivariance is clear. 2), 3) and 4) are image of the Lebesgue measure on  $S_{x}M$  by The Lebesgue (or visibility) r.e.m.  $\lambda_x$  is the

 $y \mapsto k(x, y, \xi)$  is harmonic. x. Equivariance and 2) are clear. 3) is due to sure of the Brownian Motion starting from Anderson and Schoen (85). 4) follows since The harmonic r.e.m.  $\omega_x$  is the exit mea-

tropy of the Brownian Motion. The energy  $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$  is h, the Kaimanovich en-

we have the general variable negative curvature case, tion) when (M,g) is a symmetric space. In All three families coincide (up to normaliza-

## Theorem [Mohsen 07]

$$4\lambda_0 = \inf\{\mathcal{E}(\mu); \mu \text{ r.e.m.}\}.$$

## normalized r.e.m. $\mu^0$ such that **Theorem 1** [L- Lim 15] There exists a unique

$$\mathcal{E}(\mu^0) = 4\lambda_0.$$

 $\frac{1}{3}$ 

Actually, Mohsen was considering the Rayleigh quotient of a normalized r.e.m.  $\mathcal{R}(\mu)$ 

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu) := \int_{M_0} \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \|\nabla \sqrt{k(x_0, x, \xi)}\|_x^2 d\mu_{x_0}(\xi) dx$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\mu) = \int_{M_0} \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \frac{\|\nabla \sqrt{k(x_0, x, \xi)}\|_x^2}{(\sqrt{k(x_0, x, \xi)})^2} d\mu_x(\xi) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \int_{M_0} \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \|\nabla \ln k(x_0, x, \xi)\|_x^2 d\mu_x(\xi) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E}(\mu).$$

 $\Delta + \lambda_0$  is finite: We give one construction of  $\mu_x^0, x \in \widetilde{M}$ . Fact [Sullivan 87] The Green function of

$$G_{\lambda_0}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Delta + \lambda_0}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t,x,y) dt$$

on  $\widetilde{M}$ . where  $p(t, x, y) = e^{t\Delta}(x, y)$  is the heat kernel

We prove:

Then, supported by  $\partial M$ . weak\* converge on  $\widetilde{M} \cup \partial \widetilde{M}$  to a measure  $\mu_x^0$ **Fact** [L- Lim 15] The measures  $G^2_{\lambda_0}(x,.)\nu_{x,R}$ 

$$\frac{d\mu_{y}^{0}}{d\mu_{x}^{0}}(\xi) = \lim_{z \to \xi} \frac{G_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}(y,z)}{G_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}(x,z)} = k_{\lambda_{0}}^{2}(x,y,\xi),$$

where  $k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi)$  is a positive  $(-\lambda_0)$ -eigenfunction. The last equality is

boundary  $\partial M$ . ary of the operator  $\Delta + \lambda_0$  is the geometric Theorem 2 [L- Lim 15] The Martin bound-

Martin boundary for  $\Delta + \lambda$ :

Anderson-Schoen (85) for  $\Delta$ ,

groups, the Green function being  $G_r(g) :=$ dom walks with finite support on hyperbolic  $\sum_{n} r^{n} \mu^{*n}(g), r < R, R$  critical exponent. Ancona (85) for  $\Delta + \lambda, \lambda < \lambda_0$  and for ran-

 $G_R$ on hyperbolic groups with finite support and Gouëzel (2014) for symmetric random walk

terpart of Gouëzel's result. Our Theorem 2 is the Brownian motion coun-

sure  $\mu_x^0$ , then  $\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_y^0}{d\mu_x^0}}(\xi)$  is a positive  $(-\lambda_0)$ sures  $G^2_{\lambda_0}(x,.)
u_{x,R}$  weak\* converge to a mea-Theorem 2 shows that if indeed the mea-

eigenfunction.

 $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\mu).$ It follows that  $\mathcal{E}(\mu^0) = 4\lambda_0$ . Uniqueness follows by the strict convexity of the functional

and  $\tilde{v}$  any lift ov to SM: In order to show weak\* convergence of the measures  $G^2_{\lambda_0}(x,.)
u_{x,R}$ , define for  $v\in SM$ ,

$$\varphi := -2 \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \ln k_{\lambda_0}(\gamma_{\widetilde{v}(0)}, \gamma_{\widetilde{v}}(t), \gamma_{\widetilde{v}}(+\infty)).$$

exponential factor since entropy in Margulis's argument. There is no mixing and replaces the measure of maximal ous function on SM. The Gibbs measure is Then (Hamenstädt),  $\varphi$  is a Hölder continu-

**Fact** Pressure  $(\varphi) = 0$ .

c(x, y) is the ground state around x: The following positive  $(-\lambda_0)$ -eigenfunction

$$c(x,y) := \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x,y,\xi) d\mu_x^0(\xi)$$
$$= \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} \sqrt{d\mu_y^0} \sqrt{d\mu_x^0}.$$

It appears in the Local Limit Theorem:

C such that Theorem 3 [L- Lim 15] There is a constant

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{3/2} e^{\lambda_0 t} p(t, x, y) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\pi}} c(x, y).$$

LLT for symmetric spaces is due to P. Bougerol (81).

G with probability  $\mu$ : Analogous LLT for random walks on a countable group

- P. Gerl (79):  $G = \mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $\mu$  on generators;
- P. Gerl & W. Woess (86):  $G = \mathbb{F}_d$ ,  $\mu$  on generators;
- S. Lalley (93):  $G = \mathbb{F}_d$ ,  $\mu$  finite support;
- S. Gouëzel & S. Lalley (13): G surface group,  $\mu$  symmetric finite support;
- group,  $\mu$  symmetric superexponential moments. S. Gouëzel (14)(15): G fin.gen. Gromov-hyperbolic

rian Theorem and Local Limit Theorem follows from a Taube-

$$\lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda_0} \sqrt{\lambda_0 - \lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_\lambda(x, y) = C c(x, y).$$
(1)

function The proof of (1) uses the Hölder continuous

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{\lambda} &:= -2 \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \ln k_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\widetilde{v}(0)}, \gamma_{\widetilde{v}}(t), \gamma_{\widetilde{v}}(+\infty)). \\ \text{Then, } \varphi_{\lambda} \to \varphi \text{ as } \lambda \to \lambda_{0}, \text{ the Pressure } P_{\lambda} \text{ or } \\ \varphi_{\lambda} \text{ goes to } 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to \lambda_{0} \text{ and} \end{split}$$

tions as  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$ . formly mixing on Hölder continuous func-**Fact** The Gibbs states  $m_{\lambda}$  of  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  are uni-

$$-P_{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_{\lambda}(x,y) = -P_{\lambda} \int_{\widehat{M}} G_{\lambda}(x,z) G_{\lambda}(z,y) dz = -P_{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{P_{\lambda} R} \left( \int_{S_{R}(x)} e^{-P_{\lambda} R} \frac{G_{\lambda}(y,z)}{G_{\lambda}(x,z)} G_{\lambda}^{2}(x,z) dz \right) dR$$

the corresponding Lebesgue measures. where  $S_R(x)$  is the sphere of radius R and dz

As  $R \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{G_{\lambda}(y,z)}{G_{\lambda}(x,z)}$  converges to  $k_{\lambda}(x, y, \pi_x(v_x^z))$ (Martin Boundary),

$$u_{\lambda,R}(x,y) := \int_{S_R(x)} e^{-P_{\lambda}R} k_{\lambda}(x,y,\pi_x(v_x^z)) G_{\lambda}^2(x,z) dz$$

for some r.e.m.  $\mu_x^\lambda$  (by mixing of the Gibbs converges, as  $R o \infty$  to  $\int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda}(x,y,\xi) d\mu_x^{\lambda}(\xi)$ measure  $m_{\lambda}$ ).

Using the uniform mixing of  $m_{\lambda}$ , as  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ ,

$$-P_{\lambda}\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}G_{\lambda}(x,y) = -P_{\lambda}\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{P_{\lambda}R} a_{\lambda,R}(x,y) dR$$

converges towards

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0, R \to \infty} a_{\lambda, R}(x, y) = \int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_x^{\lambda_0}(\xi)$$

The r.e.m. 
$$\mu^0$$
 is the normalized  $\mu^{\lambda_0}$  so that 
$$\int_{\partial \widetilde{M}} k_{\lambda_0}(x, y, \xi) d\mu_x^{\lambda_0}(\xi) = c(x, y) \int_{M_0} \mu_z^{\lambda_0}(\partial \widetilde{M}) dz.$$

In order to eliminate  $P_{\lambda},$  one uses in the same way

$$(-P_{\lambda})^{3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \lambda^{2}} G_{\lambda}(x,y)$$

$$= 2(-P_{\lambda})^{3} \int_{\widetilde{M}} G_{\lambda}(x,z) G_{\lambda}(z,w) G_{\lambda}(z,y) \, dz du$$
and the uniform 2-mixing of  $m_{\lambda}$  as  $\lambda \to \lambda_{0}$ .

Finally, one gets, setting 
$$F(\lambda) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} G_{\lambda}(x, y)$$
,  
as  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ ,  
$$\frac{2F'(\lambda)}{F(\lambda)^3} \to (Cc(x, y))^{-2},$$

which shows (1).

of the r.e.m.  $\mu^0$  as follows. limit exists: **Fact** For  $x \in \widetilde{M}, \xi \neq \eta \in \partial \widetilde{M}$ , the following The constant C is related to the total mass

$$heta_x(\xi,\eta) := \lim_{\substack{y o \xi, z o \eta}} rac{G_{\lambda_0}(y,z)}{G_{\lambda_0}(y,x)G_{\lambda_0}(x,z)}.$$

 $dm^{\mathsf{U}}(\xi,\eta,\zeta) :=$ **Fact** The measure  $m^0$  on  $(\partial M)^3$  defined by  $\theta_x(\xi,\eta)\theta_x(\eta,\zeta)\theta_x(\eta,\xi)d\mu_x^0(\xi)d\mu_x^0(\eta)d\mu_x^0(\zeta)$ 

G-invariant. does not depend on x. In particular,  $m^0$  is

domain for the action of G on  $(\partial M)^3$ . Then, Let  $\Upsilon$  be the  $m^0$ -measure of a fundamental

$$C = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Upsilon}}.$$

J. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (2014), 893–928 dom walks in Gromov-hyperbolic groups S. Gouëzel, Local limit theorem for symmetric ran-

ble **13** (1995), 97-122 F. Ledrappier, Structure au bord des variétés à courbure négative, Séminaire Théorie Spec. Géom. Greno-

F. Ledrappier and S. Lim Local limit theorem in negative curvature, arXiv math. 1503.04156

Sup. (4), **40** (2007), 191–207 bolique est un point selle, Ann. Sci. École Norm O. Mohsen, Le bas du spectre d'une variété hyper-

nian geometry, J. Diff. Geom. 25 (1987), 327–351. D. Sullivan, Related aspects of positivity in Rieman-