# Many body quantum dynamics and nonlinear dispersive PDE

Nataša Pavlović (University of Texas at Austin)

August 24 - 28, 2015

## **Introductory Workshop: Randomness and long time dynamics in nonlinear evolution differential equations MSRI**

∢ □ ▶ ∢ <sub>□</sub> ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

## Main objectives of the lectures

- **1** To give a short review of the derivation of the NLS from quantum many body systems via the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) hierarchy.<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>2</sup> The most involved part in such a derivation of NLS consists in establishing uniqueness of solutions to the GP, which was originally obtained by Erdös-Schlein-Yau. We will focus on approaches to the uniqueness step that are motivated by the perspective coming from nonlinear dispersive PDE, including:
	- the approach of Klainerman-Machedon
	- the approach that we developed with T. Chen, C. Hainzl and R. Seiringer based on the quantum de Finetti's theorem.

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>1</sup>The GP hierarchy is an infinite system of coupled line[ar n](#page-0-0)[on-](#page-2-0)[h](#page-0-0)[om](#page-1-0)[o](#page-3-0)[gen](#page-0-0)[e](#page-2-0)o[us](#page-0-0) [P](#page-2-0)[D](#page-3-0)[E.](#page-0-0)  $\Omega$ 

# **Outline**

# Interacting bosons and nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

- [From bosons to NLS, via GP](#page-13-0)
	- From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau
	- [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)
- <sup>3</sup> [Going backwards i.e. from NLS to bosons](#page-45-0)
	- [Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0)
	- [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)
- <sup>4</sup> [Quantum de Finetti as a bridge between the NLS and the GP](#page-64-0)
	- [What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0)
	- [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0)
	- [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>つひひ

#### Interacting bosons

The mathematical analysis of interacting Bose gases is a hot topic in Math Physics. One of the important research directions is:

**• Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation** 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-3-0"></span> $298$ 

## Bose-Einstein condensation

At very low temperatures dilute Bose gases are characterized by the "macroscopic occupancy of a single one-particle state".

- **The prediction** in 1920's *Bose, Einstein*
- **The first experimental realization** in 1995 *Cornell-Wieman et al, Ketterle et al*
- **Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation** around 2000 *Aizenman-Lieb-Seiringer-Solovej-Yngvason, Lieb-Seiringer, Lieb-Seiringer-Yngvason*

∢ □ ▶ ∢ <sub>□</sub> ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

 $\Omega$ 



Figure : Velocity distribution data for a gas of rubidium atoms before/just after the appearance of a Bose-Einstein Condensate, and after further evaporation. The photo is a courtesy of Wikipedia.

( □ ) ( <sub>□</sub> ) (

 $\Omega$ 

## Nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS)

The mathematical analysis of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) has been a hot topic in PDE.

NLS is an example of a **dispersive**<sup>2</sup> equation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Informally, "dispersion" means that different frequencies of the equation propagate at different velocities, i.e. the solution disperses over time.  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\longleftrightarrow$   $\longleftrightarrow$   $\longleftrightarrow$   $\longleftrightarrow$  $\Omega$ 

The Cauchy problem for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$
(1.1) \t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\tiut + \Delta u = \mu |u|p-1 u
$$

$$
(1.2) \t u(x,0)=u_0(x)\in H^s(\Omega^n),\ t\in\mathbb{R},
$$

where  $\Omega^n$  is either the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or the n-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^n=\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n.$ The equation [\(1.1\)](#page-7-0) is called

- defocusing if  $\mu = 1$
- focusing if  $\mu = -1$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

## NLS - basic questions - I

- 1 **Local in time well-posedness, LWP** (existence of solutions, their uniqueness and continuous dependance on initial data $3$ )
	- [How:](#page-9-0) usually a fixed point argument.
	- Tools: Strichartz estimates
	- Then (in the '80s, '90s):
		- via Harmonic Analysis (e.g. *Kato, Cazenave-Weissler, Kenig-Ponce-Vega*)
		- via Analytic Number Theory (e.g. *Bourgain*)
		- via Probability ( e.g. *Bourgain* a.s. LWP<sup>4</sup> )
	- Now:
		- via Probability (e.g. *Burq-Tzvetkov, Rey-Bellet Nadmoh Oh - Staffilani, Nahmod-Staffilani, Bourgain-Bulut* )
		- via Incidence Theory ( a hot new direction *Bourgain-Demeter*)

<span id="page-8-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

<sup>3</sup>LWP: For any  $u_0 \in X$  there exist  $T > 0$  and a unique solution *u* to the IVP in *C*([0, *T*], *X*) that is also stable in the appropriate topology.

<sup>4</sup>a.s. LWP: There exists *Y* ⊂ *X*, with  $\mu(Y) = 1$  and such that for any  $u_0 \in Y$  there exist  $T > 0$  and a unique solution *u* to the IVP in  $C([0, T], X)$  that is also stable in the appropriate topology. ( ロ ) ( 何 ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ )

## NLS - more on local well-posedness

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>(A) **Energy methods:** integrate by parts the IVP to obtain an apriori bound  $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s}\leq C(T,u_0).$  Then use approximative methods to obtain a sequence for which the bound is valid and take a weak limit. **Bad news:** usually too many derivatives are needed.
- (B) **Iterative methods:** by the Duhamel's formula the IVP

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
iu_t + Lu = N(u)
$$

is equivalent to the integral equation

$$
u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-\tau)N(u(\tau))d\tau,
$$

where *U*(*t*) is the solution operator associated to the linear problem. **Tools:** Strichartz estimates (*Strichartz, Ginibre-Velo, Yajima, Keel-Tao*) For any admissible pairs  $(q, r)$  and  $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$  we have

(1.3) 
$$
||U(t)u_0||_{L_t^q L_x^r} \leq C||u_0||_{L_x^2}.
$$

(1.4) 
$$
\| \int_0^t U(t-\tau)N(\tau) d\tau \|_{L_t^q L_x^r} \leq C \|N\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} L_x^{\tilde{r}'}}.
$$

**Good news:** one can treat problems with much less regularity. **Bad news:** so[me](#page-8-0) smallness is needed (e.g. short times [or](#page-10-0) [s](#page-8-0)[m](#page-9-1)[al](#page-10-0)[l](#page-2-0) [da](#page-3-0)[t](#page-12-0)[a\)](#page-13-0)[.](#page-2-0)  $QQ$ 

## NLS - basic questions - II

#### 2 **Global in time well-posedness/blow-up**

- $\bullet$  How: LWP  $+$  use of conserved quantities
- Tools: very technical clever constructions in order to access conserved quantities
- Then (in the '00s):
	- via Harmonic Analysis (e.g. *Bourgain* and *Colliander-Keel-Staffilani-Takaoka-Tao* induction on energy, *Kenig-Merle* concentration-compactness, *Killip - Visan*)
- Now:
	- via Probability (a construction of Gibbs measure e.g. *Burq-Tzvetkov, Oh, Rey-Bellet - Nadmoh - Oh - Staffilani, Bourgain-Bulut*).

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

<span id="page-10-0"></span> $209$ 

## Bosons and NLS

What is a connection between:

**•** interacting bosons

and

o NLS?

 $299$ 

∍

K ロ ⊁ K 個 ≯ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁

## Rigorous derivation of the NLS from quantum many body systems

- How: the topic of these lectures
- Then (in the late '70s and the '80s):
	- via Quantum Field Theory (*Hepp, Ginibre-Velo*)
	- via Math Physics (*Spohn*)
- Now:
	- via Quantum Field Theory (*Rodnianski-Schlein, Grillakis-Machedon-Margetis, Grillakis-Machedon, X. Chen*)
	- via Math Physics (*Frohlich-Tsai-Yau, Bardos-Golse-Mauser, ¨ Erdos-Yau, Adami-Bardos-Golse-Teta, Elgart-Erd ¨ os-Schlein-Yau, ¨ Erdos-Schlein-Yau ¨* )
	- via Math Physics + Dispersive PDE (*Klainerman-Machedon, Kirkpatrick-Schlein-Staffilani, Chen-P., Chen-P.-Tzirakis, Gressman-Sohinger-Staffilani, Sohinger, X. Chen, X. Chen-Holmer, X. Chen-Smith, Chen-Hainzl-P.-Seiringer, Hong-Taliaferro-Xie, Herr-Sohinger, Bulut*) イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨ

<span id="page-12-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-13-0"></span> $209$ 

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [2006-07]

#### **Step 1: From** *N***-body Schrödinger to BBGKY hierarchy**

The starting point is **a system of** *N* **bosons whose dynamics is generated by the Hamiltonian**

(2.1) 
$$
H_N := \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_{x_j}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V_N(x_i - x_j),
$$

on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_N = \mathcal{L}^2_{sym}(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ , whose elements  $\Psi(x_1,\ldots,x_N)$  are fully symmetric with respect to permutations of the arguments *xj*.

**Here** 

$$
V_N(x) = N^{d\beta} V(N^{\beta} x),
$$

with  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

∢ □ ▶ ∢ <sub>□</sub> ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

 $\Omega$ 

When  $\beta = 1$ , the Hamiltonian

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
(2.2) \tH_N := \sum_{j=1}^N (-\Delta_{x_j}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} V_N(x_i - x_j),
$$

is called the Gross-Pitaevskii Hamiltonian.

- We note that physically [\(2.2\)](#page-14-0) describes a very dilute gas, where **interactions among particles are very rare and strong**.
- This is in contrast to a mean field Hamiltonian, where each particle usually reacts with all other particles via a very weak potential.
- However thanks to the factor  $\frac{1}{N}$  in front of the interaction potential, [\(2.2\)](#page-14-0) can be formally interpreted as a mean field Hamiltonian. In particular, one can still apply to [\(2.2\)](#page-14-0) similar mathematical methods as in the case of a mean field potential.

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

## Schrödinger equation

The wave function satisfies the Schrödinger equation

(2.3) *i*∂*t*ψ*<sup>N</sup>* = *H<sup>N</sup>* ψ*<sup>N</sup>* ,

with initial condition  $\Psi_{N,0} \in \mathcal{H}_N$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span> $\bullet$  Since the Schrödinger equation [\(2.3\)](#page-15-0) is linear and the Hamiltonian  $H_N$  is self-adjoint, global well-posedness of [\(2.3\)](#page-15-0) is not an issue.

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

## On the N-body Schrödinger equation

#### Bad news:

Qualitative and quantitative properties of the solution are hard to extract in physically relevant cases when number of particles *N* is very large (e.g. it varies from 10<sup>3</sup> for very dilute Bose-Einstein samples, to 10<sup>30</sup> in stars).

#### Good news:

- Physicists often care about macroscopic properties of the system, which can be obtained from averaging over a large number of particles.
- Further simplifications are related to obtaining a macroscopic behavior in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , with a hope that the limit will approximate properties observed in the experiments for a very large, but finite *N*.

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $298$ 

To study the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , one introduces:

**the** *N***-particle density matrix**

$$
\gamma_N(t,\underline{x}_N;\underline{x}_N') = \Psi_N(t,\underline{x}_N)\overline{\Psi_N(t,\underline{x}_N')},
$$

#### **and its** *k***-particle marginals**

$$
\gamma_N^{(k)}(t,\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}_k')\,=\,\int d\underline{x}_{N-k}\gamma_N(t,\underline{x}_k,\underline{x}_{N-k};\underline{x}_k',\underline{x}_{N-k})\,,
$$

for  $k = 1, ..., N$ .

**Here** 

$$
\underline{x}_k = (x_1, \ldots, x_k),
$$
  

$$
\underline{x}_{N-k} = (x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_N).
$$

<span id="page-18-0"></span>From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

## **The BBGKY**<sup>5</sup> **, hierarchy is given by**

$$
i\partial_t \gamma_N^{(k)} = -(\Delta_{\underline{x}_k} - \Delta_{\underline{x}'_k}) \gamma_N^{(k)}
$$
\n
$$
(2.4) \qquad \qquad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} \left( V_N(x_i - x_j) - V_N(x'_i - x'_j) \right) \gamma_N^{(k)}
$$
\n
$$
(2.5) \qquad \qquad + \frac{N - k}{N} \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr}_{k+1} \left( V_N(x_i - x_{k+1}) - V_N(x'_i - x_{k+1}) \right) \gamma_N^{(k+1)}
$$

In the limit  $N \to \infty$ , the sums weighted by combinatorial factors have the following size:

- In [\(2.4\)](#page-18-0),  $\frac{k^2}{N} \to 0$  for every fixed *k* and sufficiently small  $\beta$ .
- In [\(2.5\)](#page-18-1),  $\frac{N-k}{N} \to 1$  for every fixed *k* and  $V_N(x_i x_j) \to b_0 \delta(x_i x_j)$ , with  $b_0 = \int dx V(x)$ .

<sup>5</sup>Bogoliubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-18-1"></span> $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

#### **Step 2: BBGKY hierarchy** → **GP hierarchy**

As  $N \to \infty$ , one obtains the infinite GP hierarchy as a weak limit.

$$
i \partial_t \gamma_\infty^{(k)} \;\; = \;\; - \sum_{j=1}^k \bigl( \Delta_{x_j} - \Delta_{x'_j} \bigr) \, \gamma_\infty^{(k)} \; + \; b_0 \, \sum_{j=1}^k B_{j;k+1} \gamma_\infty^{(k+1)}
$$

where the **"contraction operator"** is given via

$$
(B_{j;k+1}\gamma_{\infty}^{(k+1)})(t, x_1, \ldots, x_k; x'_1, \ldots, x'_k)
$$
  
=  $\gamma_{\infty}^{(k+1)}(t, x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_k, x_j; x'_1, \ldots, x'_k, x_j)$   
-  $\gamma_{\infty}^{(k+1)}(t, x_1, \ldots, x_k, x'_j; x'_1, \ldots, x'_j, \ldots, x'_k, x'_j).$ 

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

 $298$ 

### **Step 3: Factorized solutions of the GP hierarchy**

It is easy to see that

$$
\gamma_\infty^{(k)} = \big| \phi \big> \big< \phi \big| ^{\otimes k} := \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(t,x_j) \, \overline{\phi(t,x_j')}
$$

is a solution of the GP if  $\phi$  satisfies the cubic NLS

$$
i\partial_t\phi\,+\,\Delta_x\phi\,-\,b_0\left|\phi\right|^2\phi\,=\,0
$$

with  $\phi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

 $\Omega$ 

#### **Step 4: Uniqueness of solutions to the GP hierarchy**

While the existence of factorized solutions can be easily obtained, the proof of **uniqueness of solutions** of the GP hierarchy is the most difficult<sup>6</sup> part in this analysis.

<sup>6</sup>We will describe those difficulties soon. **K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K** Nataša Pavlović (UT Austin) [From bosons to NLS, and back](#page-0-0)

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

## Summary of the method of ESY

Roughly speaking, the method of *Erdös, Schlein, and Yau* for deriving the cubic NLS justifies the heuristic explained above and it consists of the following two steps:

- (i) **Deriving the GP hierarchy as the limit as**  $N \rightarrow \infty$  **of the BBGKY hierarchy**.
- (ii) **Proving uniqueness of solutions for the GP hierarchy,** which implies that for factorized initial data, the solutions of the GP hierarchy are determined by a cubic NLS. The proof of uniqueness is accomplished by using highly sophisticated **Feynman graphs**.

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

## A remark about ESY solutions of the GP hierarchy

Solutions of the GP hierarchy are studied in "*L* 1 -type trace Sobolev" spaces of *k*-particle marginals

$$
\{\gamma^{(k)}\,|\,\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^1}\,<\,\infty\}
$$

with norms

$$
\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha} \;:=\; \text{Tr}(|\mathcal{S}^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|)\,,
$$

where $^7$ 

$$
S^{(k,\alpha)}\,:=\,\prod_{j=1}^k\langle\nabla_{x_j}\rangle^\alpha\langle\nabla_{x_j'}\rangle^\alpha\,.
$$

<sup>7</sup> Here we use the standard notation:  $\langle y \rangle := \sqrt{1 + y^2}$ .  $(0 \times 10) \times 1$  $QQ$ 

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

<span id="page-24-0"></span>イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

## Why is it difficult to prove uniqueness?

One considers the *r*-fold iterate of the Duhamel formula for  $\gamma^{(k)}$ , with initial data  $\gamma_0^{(k)}=0$ , for some arbitrary  $r\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = (i\lambda)^r \int_{t \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_r} dt_1 \cdots dt_r U^{(k)}(t - t_1) B_{k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1 - t_2) \cdots
$$
  

$$
\cdots U^{(k+r-1)}(t_{r-1} - t_r) B_{k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)}(t_r)
$$
  
(2.6) =:  $\int_{t \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_r} dt_1 \cdots dt_r J^k(\underline{t}_r) , \quad \underline{t}_r := (t_1, \ldots, t_r).$ 

**A key difficulty** stems from the fact that the interaction operator  $B_{\ell+1}$  is the sum of  $O(\ell)$  terms, therefore [\(2.6\)](#page-24-0) contains  $O(\frac{(k+r-1)!}{(k-1)!}) = O(r!)$  terms.

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

<span id="page-25-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

## Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon

*Klainerman and Machedon* (2008) introduced an alternative method for proving uniqueness in a space of density matrices equipped with the Hilbert-Schmidt type Sobolev norm

$$
\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{H_k^\alpha}:=\|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})}.
$$

The method is based on:

- a reformulation of the relevant combinatorics via the **"board game argument"** and
- the use of certain **space-time estimates** of the type:

$$
\|B_{j:k+1} \,\, U^{(k+1)} \gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{L^2_t \dot H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}\times \mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{dk})} \lesssim \|\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\dot H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{d(k+1)} \times \mathbb{R}^{d(k+1)})}.
$$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

 $\Omega$ 

The method of *Klainerman and Machedon* makes the assumption that the a priori space-time bound

<span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
||B_{j,k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}||_{L_t^1\dot{H}_k^1} < C^k,
$$

holds, with *C* independent of *k*.

Subsequently:

- *Kirkpatrick, Schlein and Staffilani* (2011) were the first to use the KM formulation to derive the cubic NLS in  $d = 2$  via proving that the limit of the BBGKY satisfies [\(2.7\)](#page-26-0).
- *Chen-P* (2011) generalized this to derive the quintic GP in  $d = 1, 2$ .
- *Xie* (2013) generalized it further to derive a NLS with a general power-type nonlinearity in  $d = 1, 2$ .
- $\bullet$  A derivation of the cubic NLS in  $d = 3$  based on the KM combinatorial formulation was settled recently (*Chen-P*; *X. Chen*, *X. Chen-Holmer* and *T. Chen-Taliaferro*).

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

> 4 D F  $\leftarrow$   $\Box$

 $\Omega$ 

#### Uniqueness argument of Klainerman and Machedon

Main steps of the approach:

- **1** a reformulation of the relevant combinatorics of ESY via the **"board game argument"**
- 2 the use of certain **space-time estimates**

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

 $299$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

## The board game combinatorial argument, in a nutshell

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-29-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

#### Revisit the iterated Duhamel formula

Let us go back to the *r*-fold iterate of the Duhamel formula for  $\gamma^{(k)}$ , with initial data  $\gamma_0^{(k)}=0$ , for some arbitrary  $r\in\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = (i\lambda)^r \int_{t \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_r} dt_1 \cdots dt_r U^{(k)}(t - t_1) B_{k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1 - t_2) \cdots
$$

$$
\cdots U^{(k+r-1)}(t_{r-1} - t_r) B_{k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)}(t_r)
$$
  
(2.8) =:  $\int_{t \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_r} dt_1 \cdots dt_r J^k(\underline{t}_r) , \quad \underline{t}_r := (t_1, \ldots, t_r).$ 

Recalling that 
$$
B_{\ell+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_{j;\ell+1}
$$
, we write

(2.9) 
$$
J^{k}(\underline{t}_{r}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r}} J^{k}(\sigma; \underline{t}_{r}),
$$

 $B_1$ <sub>k+2</sub>

... **B2**,**k**+**2**

*Bk*,*k*+2

 $\cdots$  ... **B**<sub>σ</sub>(**k**+ $\ell$ ),**k**+ $\ell$ 

where

$$
J^{k}(\sigma; t_{r}) := (i\lambda)^{r} U^{(k)}(t - t_{1}) B_{\sigma}(k+1), k+1 U^{(k+1)}(t_{1} - t_{2}) \cdots
$$
  
...
$$
U^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_{\ell-1} - t_{\ell}) B_{\sigma}(k+\ell), k+\ell \cdots U^{(k+r-1)}(t_{r-1} - t_{r}) B_{\sigma}(k+r), k+r \gamma^{(k+r)}(t_{r}),
$$

... ... ... **B1**,**k**+**r**

... ...

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

1 T H L I I I I L L L L L I. T

 $209$ 

... ... ... ...

... ... ... ...

... ... ... ...

and  $\sigma$  is a map  $\sigma$  : { $k + 1, k + 2, ..., k + r$ }  $\rightarrow$  {1, 2, ...,  $k + r - 1$ },  $\sigma(2) = 1$ , and  $\sigma(i) < i$  for all *j*.

Each map  $\sigma$  can be represented by highlighting one nonzero entry in each column of an  $(k + r - 1) \times r$  matrix:

> ... 0 ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... 0 ... ... 0 0 ... 0 ... *Bk*+*r*[−](#page-29-0)1,*k*[+](#page-31-0)*[r](#page-29-0)*

0 *Bk*+1,*k*+2 (2.10) .

f  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{1}$ -1 1 1 1 1 -----÷ -1 **B1**,**k**+**1**

 $B_{k, k+1}$ <sub>0</sub>

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-31-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

## A representation of  $\gamma^{(k)}$

Having defined  $\sigma,$  we can rewrite  $\gamma^{(k)}$  as

$$
(2.11) \t\t\t\t\t\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r}} \int_{t \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_r} J^k(\sigma, \underline{t}_r) \ dt_1 ... dt_r.
$$

where the time domains are given by the same simplex  ${t > t_1 > \cdots > t_r} \subset [0, t]^r$  for all integrals in the sum over  $\sigma$ .

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

## A very brief summary of the combinatorial part of KM:

- introduce a boardgame on a set related to M*k*,*<sup>r</sup>* , so that an **acceptable move** does not change values of corresponding integrals
- in finitely many acceptable moves, each matrix can be transforrmed to  $\bullet$ an upper echelon matrix
- an upper echelon matrix is a representative of a class of equivalance  $\bullet$
- easy to obtain the number of classes of equivalence
- in each equivalence class, one can re-organize all relevant integrals

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

メロトメ 倒 トメ ミトメ ヨト

 $298$ 

## Now, the details of the combinatorial argument of KM

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

 $\Omega$ 

## Enlarged matrix:

Now we consider the integrals with permuted time integration orders:

$$
(2.12) \tI(\sigma,\pi)=\int_{t\geq t_{\pi(1)}\geq\cdots\geq t_{\pi(r)}}J^k(\sigma;\underline{t}_r)\ dt_1...dt_r,
$$

where  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, ..., r\}$ .

One can associate to  $I(\sigma, \pi)$  the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n t_{\pi}-1_{(1)} & t_{\pi}-1_{(2)} & \cdots & t_{\pi}-1_{(r)} \\
\mathbf{B}_{1,k+1} & B_{1,k+2} & \cdots & \mathbf{B}_{1,k+r} \\
\cdots & \mathbf{B}_{2,k+2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
B_{k,k+1} & B_{k,k+2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & B_{k+1,k+2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & B_{k+r-1,k+r}\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

whose columns are labeled 1 through *r* and whose rows are labeled  $0, 1, ..., k + r - 1.$ ∢ □ ▶ ∢ n<sup>3</sup>

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $209$ 

#### On the set of such matrices

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc} t_{\pi}-1(1) & t_{\pi}-1(2) & \cdots & t_{\pi}-1(r) \\ B_{1,k+1} & B_{1,k+2} & \cdots & B_{1,k+r} \\ \mathbf{B}_{2,k+1} & B_{2,k+2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ B_{k+1} & B_{k,k+2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & B_{k+1}+k+2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & B_{k+r-1,k+r} \end{array}
$$

KM introduce the following *board game*:

 $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{+}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I}$ ÷  $\mathbf{I}$ 

An *acceptable move* is characterized via: If  $\sigma(k+\ell) < \sigma(k+\ell-1)$ , the player is allowed to do the following three changes at the same time:

- exchange the highlights in columns  $\ell$  and  $\ell + 1$ ,
- $\bullet$  exchange the highlights in rows  $k + \ell 1$  and  $k + \ell$ ,
- exchange  $t_{\pi^{-1}(\ell)}$  and  $t_{\pi^{-1}(\ell+1)}.$
イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

The main property of the integrals *I*(σ, π) is *invariance under acceptable moves*:

### Lemma

*If*  $(\sigma, \pi)$  *is transformed into*  $(\sigma', \pi')$  *by an acceptable move, then*  $I(\sigma, \pi) = I(\sigma', \pi').$ 

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

### Upper echelon form

We say that a matrix of the type [\(2.10\)](#page-30-0) is in *upper echelon form if each highlighted entry in a row is to the left of each highlighted entry in a lower row*.

For example, the following matrix is in upper echelon form (with  $k = 1$  and  $r = 4$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\n\mathbf{B}_{1,2} & B_{1,3} & B_{1,4} & B_{1,5} \\
0 & \mathbf{B}_{2,3} & B_{2,4} & B_{2,5} \\
0 & 0 & \mathbf{B}_{3,4} & \mathbf{B}_{3,5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B_{4,5}\n\end{array}\right].
$$

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イ

 $\Omega$ 

# Why are upper echelon matrices handy?

The following *normal form* property holds:

#### Lemma

*For each matrix in* M*k*,*<sup>r</sup> , there is a finite number of acceptable moves that transforms the matrix into upper echelon form.*

### And we can count:

### Lemma

*Let*  $C_{k,r}$  *denote the number of upper echelon matrices of size*  $(k + r - 1) \times r$ . *Then*

$$
(2.13) \tC_{k,r} \leq 2^{k+r}.
$$

∢ □ ▶ ∢ <sub>□</sub> ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

 $\Omega$ 

Let  $\mathcal{N}_{k,r}$  denote the subset of matrices in  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$  which are in upper echelon form. Let  $\sigma_s$  account for a matrix in  $\mathcal{N}_{k,r}$ . We write  $\sigma \sim \sigma_s$  if the matrix corresponding to  $\sigma$  can be transformed into that corresponding to  $\sigma_s$  in finitely many acceptable moves.

Then, the following key theorem holds:

#### Theorem

*Suppose*  $\sigma_s \in \mathcal{N}_{k,r}$ . Then, there exists a subset of  $[0, t]^r$ , denoted by  $D(\sigma_s, t)$ , *such that*

$$
(2.14)\sum_{\sigma\sim\sigma_s}\int_{t\geq t_1\geq\cdots\geq t_r}J^k(\sigma;\underline{t}_r)\,dt_1...dt_r=\int_{D(\sigma_s,t)}J^k(\sigma_s;\underline{t}_r)\,dt_1...dt_r.
$$

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

 $299$ 

∍

### The space-time estimate

Nataša Pavlović (UT Austin) [From bosons to NLS, and back](#page-0-0)

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

.

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

∍

 $\Omega$ 

# Strichartz-type estimate for the GP

#### Theorem

Let  $\gamma^{(k+1)}$  be the solution of

$$
i\partial_t\gamma^{(k+1)}(t,\underline{x}_{k+1};\underline{x}'_{k+1}) + (\Delta_{\underline{x}_{k+1}} - \Delta_{\underline{x}'_{k+1}})\gamma^{(k+1)}(t,\underline{x}_{k+1};\underline{x}'_{k+1}) = 0
$$

with initial condition  $\gamma^{(k+1)}(0, \, \cdot\,) \, = \, \gamma^{(k+1)}_0 \in H^1$  . Then, there exists a constant *C such that*

$$
\left\|\left.B_{j;k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})\dot{H}^1_k(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})}\leq\left.C\right\|\gamma^{(k+1)}_0\right\|_{\dot{H}^1_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{d(k+1)}\times\mathbb{R}^{d(k+1)})}
$$

*holds.*

In other words: 
$$
\left\|B_{j;k+1}U^{(k+1)}\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\right\|_{L^2\dot{H}_k^1}\leq C\left\|\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\right\|_{\dot{H}_{k+1}^1}
$$

From bosons to NLS following Erdös-Schlein-Yau [Uniqueness of GP following Klainerman-Machedon](#page-25-0)

メロトメ 御 トメ き トメ き ト

 $299$ 

<span id="page-42-0"></span>目

# The finale

Nataša Pavlović (UT Austin) [From bosons to NLS, and back](#page-0-0)

 $\mathsf{For} \ I = [0, T]$  ,  $D \subset I^r$  and  $D_{t_1} = \{(t_2, ..., t_r) \,|\, (t_1, ..., t_r) \in D\}$  we have that  $\|\gamma^{(k)}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1}$  is bounded by the sum of at most  $2^{k+r}$  terms of the form:

$$
\Big\|\int_D dt_1...dt_r U^{(k)}(t-t_1)B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2)...B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r}\gamma^{(k+r)}\Big\|_{\dot{H}_k^1}
$$

$$
= \Big\|\int_0^t dt_1 U^{(k)}(t-t_1)\int_{D_{t_1}} dt_2\cdots dt_r B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2)\cdots B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r}\gamma^{(k+r)}\Big\|_{\dot{H}_k^1}
$$

$$
\leq \int_{I'} dt_1\cdots dt_r \Big\|B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1}U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2)\cdots B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r}\gamma^{(k+r)}\Big\|_{\dot{H}_k^1}
$$

$$
(2.15) \n\leq t^{1/2} \int_{t^{r-1}} dt_2 \cdots dt_r \left\| B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2) B_{\sigma(k+2),k+2} \cdots B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)} \right\|_{L^2_{t_1} \in t^{\dot{H}^1_k}}
$$

<span id="page-43-2"></span><span id="page-43-1"></span>
$$
(2.16)
$$
\n
$$
\leq t^{1/2} \int_{r^{-1}} dt_2 \cdots dt_r \Big\| B_{\sigma(k+2),k+2} U^{(k+2)}(t_2-t_3) \cdots B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)} \Big\|_{\dot{H}_{k+1}^1}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \cdots \left( t^{1/2} \right)^{r-1} \int_{I} dt_r \Big\| B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)} \Big\|_{\dot{H}_{k+r-1}^1}.
$$

<span id="page-43-0"></span>[\(2.15\)](#page-43-1) was obtained by Cauchy-Schwarz w.r.t. to *t*1 , [\(2.16\)](#page-43-2) via the space-time estimate, and t[he la](#page-42-0)st [line](#page-44-0) [vi](#page-42-0)[a ite](#page-43-0)[rat](#page-44-0)[ion](#page-24-0)[.](#page-25-0)  $298$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

<span id="page-44-0"></span> $QQ$ 

Therefore, we have:

$$
(2.17) \t||\gamma^{(k)}(t)||_{\dot{H}^1}\leq c\left(Ct^{1/2}\right)^{r-1}\int_{I}dt\Big\|B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r}\gamma^{(k+r)}\Big\|_{\dot{H}^1_{k+r-1}}
$$

which after we recall the assumption of Klainerman and Machedon

$$
||B_{j,k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)}||_{L_t^1\dot{H}_k^1} < C^k
$$

implies

$$
\|\,\gamma^{(k)}(t)\,\|_{\dot{H}^1}\leq c\left(Ct^{1/2}\right)^{r-1}.
$$

Hence by choosing  $Ct^{1/2} < 1$  and letting  $r \to \infty$ , it follows that:

$$
\|\,\gamma^{(k)}(t)\,\|_{\dot{H}^1}=0.
$$

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

<span id="page-45-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

# Going backwards i.e. from NLS to bosons

Since the GP

**•** arises in a derivation of the NLS from quantum many-body system it is natural to ask:

- <sup>1</sup> Whether the GP retains some of the features of a dispersive PDE?
- <sup>2</sup> Whether methods of nonlinear dispersive PDE can be "lifted" to the GP and the QFT levels?

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

 $\Omega$ 

# Some questions about the GP - inspired by the NLS theory

- **1 Local in time existence** of solutions to GP.
- **2 Blow-up** of solutions to the focusing GP hierarchies.
- **B** Global existence of solutions to the GP hierarchy.
- <sup>4</sup> **Derivation of the cubic GP hierarchy** in [KM] spaces.
- **5** Uniqueness of the cubic GP hierarchy on  $\mathbb{T}^3$ .
- **Dimiqueness of the cubic GP hierarchy** on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  via dispersive tools.

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-47-0"></span>つへへ

Now, we shall look a bit into two of those questions:

- **1** Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP
- 2 Negative energy blow-up result for the GP

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-48-0"></span> $298$ 

## Local in time existence and uniqueness

The work of *Klainerman and Machedon* inspired us to study the Cauchy problem for GP hierarchies.

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

### Towards a well-posedness result for the GP

### Problem: The equations for  $\gamma^{(k)}$  do not close & no fixed point argument.

### Solution: Endow the space of sequences

$$
\Gamma := (\gamma^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.
$$

with a suitable topology.

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

<span id="page-50-0"></span> $298$ 

# Revisiting the GP hierarchy

Recall,

$$
\Delta_\pm^{(k)} \ = \ \Delta_{\underline{x}_k} - \Delta_{\underline{x}'_k}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_{\underline{x}_k} \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^k \Delta_{x_j}.
$$

We introduce the notation:

$$
\Gamma = (\gamma^{(k)}(t, x_1, \ldots, x_k; x'_1, \ldots, x'_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}},
$$

$$
\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm}\Gamma\,:=\,(\,\Delta_{\pm}^{(k)}\gamma^{(k)}\,)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,,
$$

$$
\widehat{B}\Gamma := (B_{k+1}\gamma^{(k+1)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}.
$$

Then, the cubic GP hierarchy can be written  $as<sup>8</sup>$ 

(3.1) 
$$
i\partial_t \Gamma + \widehat{\Delta}_{\pm} \Gamma = \mu \widehat{B} \Gamma.
$$

8Moreover, for  $\mu = 1$  we refer to the GP hierarchy as defocusing, and for  $\mu = -1$  as focusing. イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

<span id="page-51-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

# Spaces

Let

$$
\mathfrak{G} := \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{dk})
$$

be the space of sequences of density matrices

$$
\Gamma := (\gamma^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.
$$

As a crucial ingredient of our arguments, we introduce Banach spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{\alpha}=\{\, \mathsf{\Gamma}\in\mathfrak{G} \,|\, \|\, \mathsf{\Gamma}\, \|_{\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{\alpha}}<\infty \, \}$  where

$$
\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\xi}} := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \xi^k \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{dk}\times\mathbb{R}^{dk})}.
$$

**Properties:**

- $\textsf{Finiteness: } \|\operatorname{\Gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi}< C \text{ implies that } \|\operatorname{\gamma}^{(k)}\|_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{dk} \times \mathbb{R}^{dk})} < C \xi^{-k}.$
- **Int[er](#page-52-0)pr[e](#page-63-0)t[a](#page-53-0)tion:**  $\xi^{-1}$  uppe[r](#page-47-0) bound on typ[ic](#page-44-0)a[l](#page-45-0)  $H^{\alpha}$ [-e](#page-50-0)ner[g](#page-50-0)[y p](#page-51-0)er [p](#page-48-0)a[rt](#page-54-0)icle[.](#page-64-0)

∢ □ ▶ к 何 ▶ к ∃ ▶

<span id="page-52-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

With T. Chen, we prove **local in time existence and uniqueness** of solutions to the cubic and quintic GP hierarchy with focusing or defocusing interactions, in a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{\alpha},$  for  $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}(\boldsymbol{d},\boldsymbol{\rho}),$  which satisfy a spacetime bound

$$
\|\widehat{B}\Gamma\|_{L^1_{l\in I}\mathcal{H}^\alpha_{\xi}}<\infty,
$$

for some  $\xi > 0$ .

<span id="page-53-2"></span><span id="page-53-1"></span>**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

<span id="page-53-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

### **Flavor of the proof:**

Note that the GP hierarchy can be formally written as a system of integral equations

$$
(3.3) \t\Gamma(t) = e^{it\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm}}\Gamma_0 - i\mu \int_0^t ds e^{i(t-s)\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm}} \widehat{B}\Gamma(s)
$$

(3.4) 
$$
\widehat{B}\Gamma(t) = \widehat{B} e^{it\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm}}\Gamma_0 - i\mu \int_0^t ds \,\widehat{B} e^{i(t-s)\widehat{\Delta}_{\pm}}\widehat{B}\Gamma(s),
$$

where  $(3.4)$  is obtained by applying the operator  $\overline{B}$  on the linear non-homogeneous equation [\(3.3\)](#page-53-2).

We prove the local well-posedness result by applying the fixed point argument in the following space:

(3.5) 
$$
\mathfrak{W}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(I) := \{ \Gamma \in L_{t \in I}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{\alpha} \mid \widehat{B} \Gamma \in L_{t \in I}^{1} \mathcal{H}_{\xi}^{\alpha} \},
$$

where  $I = [0, T]$ .

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

<span id="page-54-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

### The conserved energy for the GP and an application

It is possible to:

- <sup>1</sup> **Identify an observable corresponding to the average energy per particle** and prove that it is conserved.
- <sup>2</sup> Prove, on the L<sup>2</sup> critical and supercritical level, that solutions of focusing GP hierarchies with a negative average energy per particle and finite variance **blow up in finite time**.

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

<span id="page-55-0"></span> $298$ 

#### Inspired by the spaces of solutions used by ESY, we introduce the spaces

$$
\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha}_{\xi}=\{\,\Gamma\in\mathfrak{G}\,|\,\|\,\Gamma\,\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\alpha}_{\xi}}<\infty\,\}
$$

where

$$
\|\,\Gamma\,\|_{\mathfrak{H}^\alpha_\xi}\,:=\,\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\xi^k\,\|\,\gamma^{(k)}\,\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha}\,,
$$

with

$$
\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathfrak{h}^\alpha}\quad :=\quad \text{Tr}(\,|S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)}|\,)\,.
$$

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

# Conservation of average energy per particle

#### Theorem (Chen-P-Tzirakis)

 $\mathsf{Assume}\, \mathsf{\Gamma}(t) \in \mathfrak{H}^{\alpha}_{\xi}, \, \alpha \geq 1, \, \mathsf{solves}\, p\text{-}\mathsf{GP}$  for  $\mu = \pm 1.$ *Define k -particle energy and* ξ*-energy of GP,* 0 < ξ < 1*,*

$$
E_k(\,\Gamma(t)\,)\,:=\,\text{Tr}\Big[\,\sum_{j=1}^k(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{x_j})\gamma^{(k)}\,\Big]\,+\,\frac{\mu}{\rho+2}\text{Tr}\Big[\,B_{k+\frac{\rho}{2}}^+\,\gamma^{(k+\frac{\rho}{2})}\,\Big]
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\xi}(\Gamma(t)) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi^k E_k(\Gamma(t)).
$$

*Then, the*  $\xi$ *-energy is conserved,*  $\mathcal{E}_{\xi}(\Gamma(t)) = \mathcal{E}_{\xi}(\Gamma(0))$ *. In particular, admissibility<sup>* $a$ *</sup>*  $\Rightarrow$  *reduction to the one-particle density* 

<span id="page-56-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{E}_{\xi}(\Gamma(t)) = \left(\sum_{k\geq 1} k\xi^k\right) E_1(\Gamma(t)).
$$

<sup>*a*</sup>We call Γ = ( $\gamma^{(k)}$  $\gamma^{(k)}$  $\gamma^{(k)}$ )<sub>*k*[∈](#page-56-0)ℕ</sub> admissible if  $\gamma^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{k+1} \gamma^{(k+1)}$  [fo](#page-55-0)r [all](#page-57-0) *k* ∈ ℕ[.](#page-54-0)

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

<span id="page-57-0"></span>つへへ

# Explicit expression for one-particle energy

Let 
$$
k_p := 1 + \frac{p}{2}
$$
. Then,  
\n
$$
E_1(\Gamma) = \text{Tr}[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_x \gamma^{(1)}] + \frac{\mu}{p+2} \int dx \gamma^{(k_p)}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k_p}; \underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{k_p})
$$

**For factorized states Γ** $(t) = ( |\phi(t) \rangle \langle \phi(t)|^{\otimes k} )_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$ 

$$
E_1(\Gamma(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{p+2} \|\phi(t)\|_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2},
$$

coincides with energy for NLS

$$
i\partial_t \phi + \Delta \phi + \mu |\phi|^p \phi = 0.
$$

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $209$ 

### Blow-up of solutions to the focusing GP hierarchies

#### Theorem (Chen-P-Tzirakis)

 $\mathcal{L}$ et  $p \geq p_{\mathcal{L}^2} = \frac{4}{d}$  . Assume that  $\mathsf{\Gamma}(t) = (\,\gamma^{(k)}(t)\,)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  solves the focusing  $p$ -GP with  $\Gamma(0) \in \mathfrak{H}^1_{\xi}$  for some  $0 < \xi < 1$ , and  $\text{Tr}(\chi^2 \gamma^{(1)}(0)) < \infty$ .

*If E*1( Γ(0) ) < 0*, then the solution* Γ(*t*) *blows up in finite time.*

[Skip](#page-62-0) details...

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $209$ 

Zakharov-Glassey's argument for the L<sup>2</sup>-critical or supercritical focusing NLS

Consider a solution of

$$
i\partial_t \phi = -\Delta \phi - |\phi|^p \phi
$$

with  $\phi(0) = \phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $p \geq p_{\mathcal{L}^2} = \frac{4}{d}$ , such that

$$
E[\phi(t)]:=\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla \phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2-\frac{1}{\rho+2}\|\phi(t)\|_{L^{\rho+2}}^{\rho+2}=E[\phi_0]<0.
$$

Moreover, assume that  $\| \, |x| \phi_0 \, \|_{L^2} < \infty.$ Then the quantity  $V(t) := \langle \phi(t), x^2 \phi(t) \rangle$  satisfies the **virial identity** 

(3.6) 
$$
\partial_t^2 V(t) = 16E[\phi_0] - 4d \frac{p - p_{L^2}}{p + 2} ||\phi(t)||_{L^{p+2}}^{p+2}.
$$

Hence, if  $E[\phi_0]< 0,$  and  $p\geq \rho_{L^2},$  this identity shows that  $V$  is a strictly concave function of *t*. But since *V* is also non-negative, we conclude that the solution can exit only for a finite amount of time.

 $QQ$ 

Zakharov-Glassey's argument for the *L*<sup>2</sup>-critical or supercritical focusing GP

The quantity that will be relevant in reproducing Zakharov-Glassey's argument is given by

(3.7) 
$$
V_k(\Gamma(t)) := \text{Tr}(\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^2 \gamma^{(k)}(t)).
$$

Similarly as in our discussion of the conserved energy, we observe that<sup>9</sup>

(3.8)  $V_k(\Gamma(t)) = k V_1(\Gamma(t))$ .

 $^9$ Again, this follows from the fact that  $\gamma^{(k)}$  is symmetric in its variables, and from the admissibility of  $\gamma^{(k)}(t)$  for all  $k\in\mathbb{N}.$ イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

イロト イ押ト イヨト イ

 $\Omega$ 

We calculate ∂ 2 *<sup>t</sup> V*1(*t*) and relate it to the conserved energy per particle:

$$
\partial_t^2 V_1(t) = 16E_1(\Gamma(0)) + 4d\mu \frac{p - p_{L^2}}{p + 2} \int dX \, \gamma(\underbrace{X, ..., X}_{1 + \frac{\rho}{2}}; \underbrace{X, ..., X}_{1 + \frac{\rho}{2}}).
$$

Hence for the focusing ( $\mu=-1$ ) GP hierarchy with  $p\geq \rho_{L^2},$ 

 $∂<sub>t</sub><sup>2</sup> V<sub>1</sub>(t) ≤ 16E<sub>1</sub>(Γ(0))$ .

However, the function  $V_1(t)$  is nonnegative, so we conclude that if  $E_1(\Gamma(0)) < 0$ , the solution can exist only for a finite amount of time.

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

# Dispersive tools at the level of the GP

- <span id="page-62-0"></span><sup>1</sup> Tools at the level of the GP, that are inspired by the NLS techniques, are instrumental in understanding:
	- Well-posedness for the GP hierarchy
	- Well-posedness for quantum many body systems
	- Going from bosons to NLS in Klainerman-Machedon spaces

Results of: *Gressman-Sohinger-Staffilani, Sohinger, Chen-P, Chen-P-Tzirakis, Chen-Taliaferro, X. Chen, X. Chen-Holmer*.

- <sup>2</sup> But there were still few questions that resisted the efforts to apply newly built tools at the level of the GP, e.g.
	- Long time behavior of the GP hierarchy
	- Uniqueness of the cubic GP on  $\mathbb{T}^3$
	- Uniqueness of the quintic GP on  $\mathbb{R}^3$

[Local in time existence and uniqueness for the GP](#page-48-0) [The conserved energy for the GP and an application](#page-54-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-63-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

# Q & A session

- **Q** How to address the questions that are "GP tools resistant"?
- an **A** Use tools at the level of the NLS?

### **Q** How to use NLS tools when considering the GP?

an **A** Apply **the quantum de Finetti theorem**, which roughly says that (relevant) solutions to the GP are given via an average of factorized solutions.

#### [What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0)

[Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

<span id="page-64-0"></span> $298$ 

# Quantum de Finetti as a bridge between the NLS and the GP

What is quantum De Finetti?

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0)

[Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

 $2990$ 

# Strong quantum de Finetti theorem

Due to: *Hudson-Moody (1976/77), Stormer (1969), Lewin-Nam-Rougerie (2013)*

#### Theorem

*(Strong Quantum de Finetti theorem) Let* H *be any separable Hilbert space* and let  $\mathcal{H}^k = \bigotimes_{sym}^k \mathcal{H}$  denote the corresponding bosonic k-particle space. Let Γ *denote a collection of admissible bosonic density matrices on* H*, i.e.,*

$$
\Gamma=(\gamma^{(1)},\gamma^{(2)},\dots)
$$

with  $\gamma^{(k)}$  a non-negative trace class operator on  $\mathcal{H}^k$ , and  $\gamma^{(k)} = \text{Tr}_{k+1} \gamma^{(k+1)}$ , *where*  $Tr_{k+1}$  *denotes the partial trace over the*  $(k + 1)$ *-th factor. Then, there exists a unique Borel probability measure* µ*, supported on the unit sphere S* ⊂ H*, and invariant under multiplication of* φ ∈ H *by complex numbers of modulus one, such that*

(4.1) 
$$
\gamma^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi) (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes k} , \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0)

[Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

### Weak quantum de Finetti theorem

The limiting hierarchies obtained via weak-\* limits from the BBGKY hierarchy of bosonic *N*-body Schrödinger systems as in *Erdös-Schlein-Yau* do not necessarily satisfy admissibility.

A weak version of the quantum de Finetti theorem then still applies (a version was recently proven by y *Lewin-Nam-Rougerie*).

#### [What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0)

[Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

<span id="page-67-0"></span> $298$ 

# De Finetti theorems in action

**1** Uniqueness of solutions to the GP hierarchy

2 Scattering for the GP hierarchy

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**≮ロト ⊀何ト ⊀ ヨト** 

<span id="page-68-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

# Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti theorems

- Until recently, the only available proof of unconditional uniqueness of solutions in<sup>10</sup>  $L^\infty_{t\in [0,\,T)}$ 5)<sup>1</sup> to the cubic GP hierarchy in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  was given in the works of Erdös, Schlein, and Yau, who developed an approach based on use of Feynman graphs. A key ingredient in their proof is a powerful combinatorial method that resolves the problem of the factorial growth of number of terms in iterated Duhamel expansions.
- Recently, together with T. Chen, C. Hainzl and R. Seiringer, we obtained a new proof based on quantum de Finetti theorem.

$$
\mathfrak{H}^{1}:=\Big\{\,(\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\,\Big|\,\text{Tr}(|S^{(k,1)}\gamma^{(k)}|)
$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The  $5<sup>1</sup>$  denotes the trace class Sobolev space defined for the entire sequence  $(\gamma^{(k)})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ :

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

<span id="page-69-0"></span> $209$ 

# Mild solution to the GP hierarchy

A **mild solution** in the space  $L^{\infty}_{t\in[0,\,T)}$   $\mathfrak{H}^1,$  to the GP hierarchy with initial data  $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathfrak{H}^{1}$ , is a solution of the integral equation

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = U^{(k)}(t) \gamma^{(k)}(0) + i \lambda \int_0^t U^{(k)}(t-s) B_{k+1} \gamma^{(k+1)}(s) ds \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \,,
$$

satisfying

$$
\sup_{t\in [0,T)} \text{Tr}(|S^{(k,1)}\gamma^{(k)}(t)|)
$$

for a finite constant *M* independent of *k*.

Here,

$$
U^{(k)}(t):=\prod_{\ell=1}^k e^{it(\Delta_{x_\ell}-\Delta_{x'_\ell})}
$$

denotes the free *k*-particle propagator.

<span id="page-70-0"></span>[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

# Statement of the result

### Theorem (Chen-Hainzl-P-Seiringer)

Let  $(\gamma^{(k)}(t))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a mild solution in  $\mathsf{L}^{\infty}_{t\in[0,\mathcal{T})}$ §1 to the (de)focusing cubic GP *hierarchy in*  $\mathbb{R}^3$  *with initial data*  $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1$ , which is either admissible, *or obtained at each t from a weak-\* limit. Then,*  $({\gamma^{(k)}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  *is the unique solution for the given initial data. Moreover, assume that the initial data*  $(\gamma^{(k)}(0))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}^1$  satisfy

(4.2) 
$$
\gamma^{(k)}(0) = \int d\mu(\phi)(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes k} , \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

*where* µ *is a Borel probability measure supported either on the unit sphere or on the unit ball in*  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and invariant under multiplication of  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  by *complex numbers of modulus one. Then,*

(4.3) 
$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \int d\mu(\phi)(|S_t(\phi)\rangle \langle S_t(\phi)|)^{\otimes k} , \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

*where*  $S_t$  $S_t$  :  $\phi \mapsto \phi_t$  *is the flow map of the cubic (de)f[ocu](#page-69-0)[sin](#page-71-0)[g](#page-69-0) [N](#page-70-0)[L](#page-71-0)S[.](#page-68-0)* 

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

<span id="page-71-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

### Key tools that we use:

- **1** The boardgame combinatorial organization as presented by *Klainerman and Machedon* (KM)
- <sup>2</sup> **The quantum de Finetti theorem** allows one to avoid using the condition that was assumed in the work of KM.
[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $209$ 

# Setup of the proof

Assume that we have two positive semidefinite solutions  $(\gamma_j^{(k)}(t))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in L^{\infty}_{t \in [0,\,T)}$ ກິ<sup>1</sup> satisfying the same initial data,

$$
(\gamma_1^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}=(\gamma_2^{(k)}(0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\in\mathfrak{H}^1.
$$

Then,

(4.4) 
$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) := \gamma_1^{(k)}(t) - \gamma_2^{(k)}(t) \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

is a solution to the GP hierarchy with initial data  $\gamma^{(k)}(0)=0$   $\forall k\in\mathbb{N},$  and it suffices to prove that

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t)=0
$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

# Remarks:

**•** From de Finetti theorems, we have

(4.5)  
\n
$$
\gamma_j^{(k)}(t) = \int d\mu_t^{(j)}(\phi) (\vert \phi \rangle \langle \phi \vert)^{\otimes k} , \quad j = 1, 2,
$$
\n
$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \int d\widetilde{\mu}_t(\phi) (\vert \phi \rangle \langle \phi \vert)^{\otimes k} ,
$$

where  $\widetilde{\mu}_t:=\mu_t^{(1)}-\mu_t^{(2)}$  is the difference of two probability measures on<br>the unit ball in *L*<sup>2</sup>(™<sup>3</sup>) the unit ball in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**•** From the assumptions of Theorem [9,](#page-70-0) we have that

$$
(4.6) \quad \sup_{t\in[0,\,T)} \text{Tr}(|S^{(k,1)}\gamma_i^{(k)}(t)|) < M^{2k} \quad , \quad k\in\mathbb{N} \quad , \quad i=1,2,
$$

for some finite constant *M*, which is equivalent to

(4.7) 
$$
\int d\mu_t^{(j)}(\phi) ||\phi||_{H^1}^{2k} < M^{2k} \quad , \quad j=1,2 \, ,
$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

# Representation of solution using KM and de Finetti

KM implies that we can represent  $\gamma^{(k)}(t)$  in upper echelon form:

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{k,r}} \int_{D(\sigma,t)} dt_1 \cdots dt_r U^{(k)}(t-t_1) B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1} U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2) \cdots \cdots U^{(k+r-1)}(t_{r-1}-t_r) B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r} \gamma^{(k+r)}(t_r)
$$

Now using the quantum de Finetti theorem, we obtain:

$$
\gamma^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_{k,r}} \int_{D(\sigma,t)} dt_1, \ldots, dt_r \int d\widetilde{\mu}_{t_r}(\phi) J^k(\sigma;t,t_1,\ldots,t_r),
$$

where

$$
J^k(\sigma;t,t_1,\ldots,t_r;\underline{X}_k;\underline{X}'_k)=\Big(\;U^{(k)}(t-t_1)B_{\sigma(k+1),k+1}U^{(k+1)}(t_1-t_2)\cdots\\ \cdots U^{(k+r-1)}(t_{r-1}-t_r)B_{\sigma(k+r),k+r}\big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes (k+r)}\Big)(\underline{x}_k;\underline{x}'_k)\,.
$$

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\Omega$ 

### Product form

#### For *fixed*  $\phi$ , we note that since

<span id="page-75-1"></span>
$$
(4.8) \qquad \qquad (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes (k+r)}(\underline{x}_{k+r};\underline{x}'_{k+r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k+r} (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)(x_i;x'_i)
$$

is given by a product of 1-particle kernels, it follows that

<span id="page-75-0"></span>
$$
(4.9) \tJk(\sigma; t, t1,..., tr; xk; xk') = \prod_{j=1}^{k} Jj1(\sigmaj; t, tej,1,..., tej,mj; xj; xj')
$$

likewise has product form, for each fixed  $\sigma$ .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

 $\Omega$ 

## Goal:

### Hence<sup>11</sup>

 $\text{Tr}(\,|\gamma^{(k)}| \,)$ 

$$
\qquad\t\t\displaystyle\leq C'\sum_{i=1,2}\sup_{\sigma}\int_{[0,1]^r}dt_1\cdots dt_r\int d\mu_{t_r}^{(i)}(\phi)\prod_{j=1}^k\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\left|\,J_j^1(\sigma_j;t,t_{\ell_{j,1}},\ldots,t_{\ell_{j,m_j}})\,\right|\,\Big)\,.
$$

**Goal:** prove that the right hand side tends to zero as  $r \to \infty$ , for  $t \in [0, T)$ , and sufficiently small  $T > 0$ . Since r is arbitrary, this implies that the left hand side equals zero, thus establishing uniqueness.

<sup>11</sup>Recall that for a fixed *k*, the number of inequivalent echelon forms is bounded by *Cr* . **≮ロト ⊀何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

### Binary tree graphs

We now **introduce binary tree graphs** as a bookkeeping device to keep track of the complicated contraction structures imposed by the interaction operators inside the iterated Duhamel formula.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\Omega$ 

### Definition of binary trees

We associate to the iterated Duhamel formula the union of *k* disjoint binary tree graphs,  $(\tau_j)_{j=1}^k.$  We assign:

- An **internal vertex**  $v_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \ldots, r$ , to each operator  $B_{\sigma(k+\ell),k+\ell}$ .
- A **root vertex**  $w_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$  to each factor  $J_j^1(\cdots; x_j; x_j')$  in [\(4.9\)](#page-75-0).
- A leaf vertex  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., k + r$ , to the factor  $(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)(x_i; x'_i)$  in [\(4.8\)](#page-75-1).

We say that the tree  $\tau_j$  is **distinguished** if  $\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{r} \in \tau_j$ , and **regular** if  $\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{r} \not\in \tau_j.$ 

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\Omega$ 

### How to draw a tree?

For the sake of concreteness, we draw graphs as follows. We consider the strip in  $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $x\in[0,1]$  and draw:

- all root vertices  $(w_j)_{j=1}^k$ , ordered vertically, on the line  $x=0,$
- all internal vertices  $(v_{\ell})_{\ell=1}^{r}$  in the region  $x \in (0,1)$ , where  $v_{\ell'}$  is on the right of  $v_{\ell}$  if  $\ell' > \ell$ .
- all leaf vertices  $(u_i)_{i=1}^{k+r}$ , ordered vertically, on the line  $x=1$ .
- We introduce the equivalence relation "∼" of *connectivity* between vertices to describe the contraction structure determined by  $B_{\sigma(k+\ell),k+\ell}$ operators. Between any pair of connected vertices, we draw a connecting line, which we refer to as an *edge*.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

 $\Omega$ 

# A drawing of tree graphs



Figure 1. The disjoint union of three tree graphs  $\tau_i$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , corresponding to the case  $k = 3$ ,  $r = 4$ , and

$$
J^3(\sigma; t, t_1, \ldots, t_4) = U^{(3)}_{0,1} B_{2,4} U^{(4)}_{1,2} B_{2,5} U^{(5)}_{2,3} B_{3,6} U^{(6)}_{3,4} B_{5,7} (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes 7}\,,
$$

The root vertex  $w_i$  belongs to the tree  $\tau_i$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . The internal vertices correspond to  $v_1 \sim B_{2,4}$ ,  $v_2 \sim B_{2,5}$ ,  $v_3 \sim B_{3,6}$ , and  $v_4 \sim B_{5,7}$ . The leaf vertices *u*<sub>5</sub> and *u*<sub>7</sub>, and the internal vertex *v*<sub>4</sub> ∼ *B*<sub>5,7</sub> are distinguished. The distinguished tree  $\tau_2$  is drawn with thick edges. ( ロ ) ( 何 ) ( ヨ ) ( ヨ

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

 $\Omega$ 

# Roadmap of the proof

- **1** recognize that a certain product structure gets preserved from right to left (via recursively introducing kernels that account for contractions performed by B operators)
- 2 get an estimate on integrals in upper echelon form via recursively performing Strichartz estimates (at the level of the Schrödinger equation) from left to right

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

.

 $298$ 

#### A taste of the proof or [skip tasting](#page-91-1)

We consider, as an example, the contribution to the main bound of the form

<span id="page-82-0"></span>
$$
\int_{[0,T)^3} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi)
$$
\n(4.10)  
\n
$$
\text{Tr}\Big(\Big|\Big(U^{(1)}(t-t_1)B_{1,2}U^{(2)}(t_1-t_2)B_{2,3}U^{(3)}(t_2-t_3)B_{3,4}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes4}\Big|\Big)
$$

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\Omega$ 

#### Recursive determination of contraction structure

To account for the contractions performed by  $B_{\sigma(\alpha+1),\alpha+1}$ , we introduce kernels  $\Theta_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 3$ :

$$
\Theta_{\alpha}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x}')=\sum_{\beta_{\alpha}}\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\beta_{\alpha}}\chi^{\alpha}_{\beta_{\alpha}}(\mathsf{x})\overline{\psi^{\alpha}_{\beta_{\alpha}}}(\mathsf{x}')
$$

where  $\chi^\alpha_{\beta_\alpha}$  ,  $\psi^\alpha_{\beta_\alpha}$  are certain functions that will be recursively determined, and  $c_{\beta_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}$  are coefficients with values in {1, -1}.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $\Omega$ 

# The kernel  $\Theta_3$

We start at the last interaction operator  $B_{3,4}$  in [\(4.10\)](#page-82-0). It acts nontrivially only on the 3-rd and 4-th factor in  $(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes 4}$ ,

$$
(4.11) \tB_{3,4}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes 4} = (|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \Theta_3.
$$

The kernel  $\Theta_3$  is obtained from contracting a two particle density matrix to the one particle density matrix via  $B_{1,2}$  (which acts on a two-particle kernel  $f(x, y; x', y')$  by  $(B_{1,2}f)(x, x') = f(x, x; x', x) - f(x, x'; x', x')$ ),

$$
\Theta_3(x, x') \quad := \quad B_{1,2}\Big( \big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes 2} \Big) (x, x') = \widetilde{\psi}(x) \overline{\phi(x')} - \phi(x) \overline{\widetilde{\psi}(x')}
$$
\n
$$
=: \quad \sum_{\beta_3=1}^2 c_{\beta_3}^3 \chi_{\beta_3}^3(x) \overline{\psi_{\beta_3}^3}(x')
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\psi} := |\phi|^2 \phi \, .
$$

Here, we have  $c_1^3 = 1$ ,  $c_2^3 = -1$ ,  $\chi_1^3 = \tilde{\psi}$ ,  $\chi_2^3 = \phi$ ,  $\psi_1^3 = \phi$ ,  $\psi_2^3 = \tilde{\psi}$ . In a similar way, one determines  $\Theta_2$  and  $\Theta_1$ .

# Main difficulty

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

 $\Omega$ 

The main difficulty stems from the fact that the term  $\psi = |\phi|^2 \phi$  can only be controlled in *L* 2 , where by Sobolev embedding,

 $\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^1}^3,$ 

which can be controlled by the assumptions of the theorem.

Our objective is to apply the triangle inequality to the trace norm inside  $(4.10)$ , and to recursively "propagate" the resulting  $L^2$  norm through all intermediate terms until we reach  $\psi$ .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

# Return to [\(4.10\)](#page-82-0) and perform recursive bounds

• *Integral in*  $t_1$ *.* Applying Cauchy-Schwarz with respect to the integral in  $t_1$  and the triangle inequality for the trace norm, we obtain that

$$
(4.10) = \int_{[0,T)^3} dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi) \text{Tr} \Big( \Big| U^{(1)}(t-t_1) \Theta_1 \Big| \Big) \leq \sum_{\beta_1=1}^8 T^{1/2} \int_{[0,T)^2} dt_2 dt_3 \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi) \Big\| \| \chi_{\beta_1}^1 \|_{L_x^2} \| \psi_{\beta_1}^1 \|_{L_x^2} \Big\|_{L_{t_1}^2 \in [0,T)},
$$

It can be seen that given  $\beta_1 \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ , there exists  $\beta_2$  such that

$$
\chi^1_{\beta_1}(x) = (U_{1,3}\phi)(x) \n\psi^1_{\beta_1}(x) = (U_{1,3}\phi)(x)\overline{(U_{1,2}\chi^2_{\beta_2})}(x)(U_{1,2}\psi^2_{\beta_2})(x)
$$

(or with a cubic expressions for  $\chi^1_{\beta_1}$  and a linear expression for  $\psi^1_{\beta_1}$ ). Therefore,

$$
\| \, \|\chi^1_{\beta_1}\|_{L^2_x} \|\psi^1_{\beta_1}\|_{L^2_x} \, \Big\|_{L^2_{t_1 \in [0,T)}} = \|\phi\|_{L^2_x} \Big\| \, (U_{1,3}\phi)(x) \overline{(U_{1,2}\chi^2_{\beta_2})}(x) (U_{1,2}\psi^2_{\beta_2})(x) \, \Big\|_{L^2_{t_1 \in [0,T)} L^2_x}.
$$

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

 $\Omega$ 

## The crucial estimate (via Strichartz)

#### Next we observe that

<span id="page-87-0"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\| (e^{it\Delta} f_1)(x) \overline{(e^{it\Delta} f_2)(x)} (e^{it\Delta} f_3)(x) \right\|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R})L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq \|e^{it\Delta} f_1\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x} \|e^{it\Delta} f_2\|_{L^\infty_t L^6_x} \|e^{it\Delta} f_3\|_{L^2_t L^6_x} \\
&\leq C \|f_1\|_{H^1_x} \|f_2\|_{H^1_x} \|f_3\|_{L^2_x}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
(4.14)
$$

using the Hölder inequality, the Sobolev inequality, and the Strichartz estimate  $\|e^{i\Delta}f\|_{L^2_tL^6_x}\leq C\|f\|_{L^2}$  for the free Schrödinger evolution.

We make the important observation that in [\(4.14\)](#page-87-0), we can place the  $L_x^2$ -norm on any of the three functions  $f_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , and not only on  $f_3$ .

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $298$ 

#### The crucial estimate continues

Similarly, if a derivative is included,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\|\nabla_{x}\left(\left(e^{it\Delta}f_{1}\right)(x)\overline{\left(e^{it\Delta}f_{2}\right)}(x)\left(e^{it\Delta}f_{3}\right)(x)\right)\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}(\mathbb{R})L_{x}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{3}\left\|e^{it\Delta}\nabla_{x}f_{j}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2}L_{x}^{6}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 3 \\ i \neq j}}\left\|e^{it\Delta}f_{i}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty}L_{x}^{6}}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
(4.15) \quad \leq C\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{H_{x}^{1}}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{H_{x}^{1}}\left\|f_{3}\right\|_{H_{x}^{1}},
$$

which, together with [\(4.14\)](#page-87-0), implies that

$$
(4.16)\left\|(e^{it\Delta}f_1)(x)\overline{(e^{it\Delta}f_2)}(x)(e^{it\Delta}f_3)(x))\right\|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R})H^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\prod_{j=1}^3\|f_j\|_{H^1_x}\,.
$$

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

# The integral in  $t_1$  continues

Only one of the factors  $\chi^2_{\beta_2},\,\psi^2_{\beta_2}$  is distinguished $^{12}$ , say for instance  $\psi^2_{\beta_2}.$  We then use [\(4.14\)](#page-87-0) in such a way that the  $L^2_x$ -norm is applied to this term, thus obtaning:

$$
(4.10) \leq C T^{1/2} \sum_{\beta_1=1}^8 \int_{[0,T)^2} dt_2 dt_3 \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi) ||\phi||^2_{H^1_x} ||\chi^2_{\beta_2}||_{H^1_x} ||\psi^2_{\beta_2}||_{L^2_x},
$$

where the indices  $\beta_2$  depend on  $\beta_1$ .

Next, we use the defining relation for the functions  $\chi_{\beta_2}^2, \psi_{\beta_2}^2,$  and consider the integral in  $t_2$  and then, at the end, the integral in  $t_3$ .

<sup>12</sup>We call a factor *distinguished* if it is a function of  $\widetilde{\psi}$ .<br>
Natasa Pavlović (UT Austin) From bosons to  $(0 \times 10) \times 10$  $\Omega$ [From bosons to NLS, and back](#page-0-0)

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

 $209$ 

### Using de Finetti for the last step

#### Subsequently we obtain

$$
(4.10) \leq CT \sum_{\beta_1=1}^{8} \int_{[0,T)} dt_3 \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi) ||\phi||_{H^1}^{5} ||\widetilde{\psi}||_{L_x^2}
$$
  

$$
\leq 8CT^2 \sup_{t_3 \in [0,T)} \int d\mu_{t_3}^{(i)}(\phi) ||\phi||_{H^1}^{8}
$$
  

$$
(4.17) \leq 8CT^2M^4,
$$

where we used  $\|\widetilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_x} \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^1}^3$  from Sobolev embedding, and the bound *x* related to the de Finetti theorem, which is uniform in *t*3.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶** 

<span id="page-91-0"></span> $\Omega$ 

### Scattering for the GP hierarchy

- <span id="page-91-1"></span>**•** Previous works: Scattering for the GP has been a longstanding open problem despite much activity in the field.
- Our result, joint with Chen-Hainzl-Seiringer: Establishes the existence of scattering states for the cubic defocusing GP hierarchy on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- How: Via the de Finetti theorem, the result follows from the scattering theory for the NLS.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

 $\Omega$ 

# Scattering for the NLS

Let us recall that in the defocusing case  $\lambda = 1$ , the cubic NLS

<span id="page-92-0"></span>
$$
(4.18) \qquad i\partial_t \phi(t) = -\Delta \phi(t) + \lambda |\phi(t)|^2 \phi(t) \quad , \quad \phi(0) = \phi_0 \in H^1 \, ,
$$

is globally well-posed and displays the existence of scattering states and asymptotic completeness:

#### Theorem

<span id="page-92-1"></span>*Let*  $S_t$  :  $\phi_0 \mapsto \phi(t)$  *denote the flow map associated to* [\(4.18\)](#page-92-0)*, for t*  $\in \mathbb{R}$  *and*  $\lambda = 1$ . Then, there exist continuous bijections (wave operators)  $W_+$ ,  $W_-$  :  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , such that the strong limit

$$
(4.19) \qquad \lim_{t\to\pm\infty}e^{-it\Delta}S_t(\phi_0)=\phi_{\pm}\quad,\quad \phi_0=W_{\pm}(\phi_{\pm})
$$

*holds for all*  $\phi_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *.* 

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ何 トイヨ トイヨ ト

 $\Omega$ 

# The statement of the scattering result

#### Theorem (Chen-Hainzl-P-Seiringer)

Let 
$$
\gamma_0^{(k)} = \int d\mu(\phi) (\vert \phi \rangle \langle \phi \vert)^{\otimes k}
$$
 and such that

<span id="page-93-0"></span>
$$
(4.20) \quad \int d\mu(\phi)(E[\phi])^{2k} \leq R^k, \text{ for some } R > 0, \text{ and all } k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

 $\mathcal{L}$ et  $\gamma^{(k)}(t)=\int d\mu(\phi)(|S_t\phi\rangle\langle S_t\phi|)^{\otimes k}$ , for  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ , denote the unique solution to *the cubic defocusing GP satisfying*  $\gamma^{(k)}(0) = \gamma_0^{(k)}$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . *Then, there exist unique asymptotic measures*  $\mu_+$ ,  $\mu_-$  *such that*  $\gamma^{(k)}_{\pm}:=\int d\mu_{\pm}(\phi)(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)^k$  are scattering states on  ${\sf L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{3k})$  satisfying

$$
\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\left|\,\mathcal{S}^{(k,1)}\!\left[\,U^{(k)}(-t)\gamma^{(k)}(t)-\gamma^{(k)}_\pm\right]\,\right|\,\Big)=0,\,\,\text{for all}\,k\in\mathbb{N}.
$$

*In particular,*  $d\mu_{\pm}(\phi) = d\mu(W_{\pm}(\phi))$  *where the continuous bijections W<sub>+</sub>, W*<sub>−</sub> : *H*<sup>1</sup> → *H*<sup>1</sup> are the wave operators from Theorem [10.](#page-92-1)

On the initial data

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

∢ □ ▶ ∢r¶ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶ ∢

 $\Omega$ 

- We note that while the de Finetti theorems provide the existence and uniqueness of a measure  $\mu$ ,  $\mu$  is in general not explicitly known. Therefore, it is important to express the condition [\(4.20\)](#page-93-0), directly at the level of density matrices.
- This can be done using *higher order energy functionals* for GP hierarchies that were introduced in an earlier work of Chen-P.

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

 $\Omega$ 

# The roadmap of the proof

 $\bullet$  Initial conditions are chosen so that  $\mu$ -almost surely, there exists a unique solution to the defocusing cubic NLS [\(4.18\)](#page-92-0) with initial data  $\phi$ which exhibits scattering and asymptotic completeness:

<span id="page-95-1"></span>(4.21) 
$$
\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \|e^{-it\Delta}S_t(\phi)-\phi_{\pm}\|_{H^1}=0.
$$

Then,  $\phi_{\pm} = W_{\pm}^{-1}(\phi)$ .

2 Define scattering states for the GP as:

<span id="page-95-0"></span>
$$
(4.22)\,\gamma_{\pm}^{(k)}:=\int d\mu(\phi)\big(|\phi_{\pm}\rangle\langle\phi_{\pm}|\big)^{\otimes k}=\int d\mu_{\pm}(\phi)\big(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\big)^{\otimes k}\,,
$$

where  $d\mu_{\pm}(\phi) = d\mu(W_{\pm}(\phi))$ .

<sup>3</sup> Prove the existence of scattering states at the level of the GP using:

- Our uniqueness theorem for the GP
- The definition of the scattering states [\(4.22\)](#page-95-0)
- Scattering for the NLS [\(4.21\)](#page-95-1)

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

**K ロ ▶ K 何 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K** 

 $\Omega$ 

#### Very recent related works

- **Uniqueness of solutions to the cubic GP in low regularity spaces** *Hong-Taliaferro-Xie*
- Uniqueness of solutions to the quintic GP on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ *Hong-Taliaferro-Xie*
- Uniqueness of solutions to the cubic GP on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ *Sohinger*, *Herr-Sohinger*.
- **Uniqueness of solutions to the infinite hierarchy that appears in a**  $consection$  to the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system *X. Chen-Smith*
- **Negative energy blow-up for the focusing Hartree hierarchy** *Bulut*

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ

 $\Omega$ 

#### Back and forth from many body systems to nonlinear equations

Other examples:

- "From Newton to Boltzmann: hard spheres and short-range potentials" *Gallagher - Saint-Raymond -Texier, 2012*
- "Kac's Program in Kinetic Theory" *Mischler - Mouhot, 2011*

[What is quantum De Finetti?](#page-64-0) [Uniqueness of solutions to the GP via quantum de Finetti](#page-68-0) [Scattering for the GP hierarchy via quantum de Finetti](#page-91-0)

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト

 $299$ 

∍

### Thank you!

Nataša Pavlović (UT Austin) [From bosons to NLS, and back](#page-0-0)