Invariant measures for nonlinear PDE

Andrea R. Nahmod

University of Massachusetts Amherst

August 27th-28th, 2015

Introductory Workshop at MSRI

Image: A math a math

The defocusing cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2

(NLS)
$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^2 u \\ u(x,0) = \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2) \end{cases}$$

Mass:
$$M(u(t)) := \int |u(t,x)|^2 dx$$

Hamiltonian: $H(u(t)) := \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int |u(t,x)|^4 dx$

are both constant in time.

- The equation is L^2 critical ($s_c = 0$).
- Bourgain (93') proved LWP for s > 0 and GWP in $H^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$.
 - Recall that in the first lecture we discussed the ε-loss of derivatives in the L⁴_{xt}(T² × T) Strichartz estimate for the linear evolution. This accounts for the need of s > 0 to close the fixed point argument.

Some Issues ...

We are interested in the existence and invariance of the Gibbs measure for the defocusing cubic NLS on \mathbb{T}^2 , *formally* given by

and in the almost sure global well posedness on its support.

Recall from the first lecture that in 2D, the Gaussian measure ρ on $H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is countably additive if and only if $B_s := (1 - \Delta)^{s-1}$ on \mathbb{T}^2 is of trace class; i.e. if and only if s < 0.

Recall also that in 2D, ρ yields for ϕ the distribution of a random (Fourier) series

$$\phi = \phi^{\omega} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{1+|n|^2}} e^{in \cdot x}.$$

which defines a.s. a distribution -not a function- in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T}), s < 0$.

Hence, **unlike the 1D case**, in 2D for the typical ϕ the expression $e^{-\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |\phi|^4 dx}$ is unbounded a.s.; i.e

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}|P_N(\phi^\omega)|^4\,dx\,=\,\infty\qquad\text{a.s. in }\omega$$

where as before $P_N(\phi^{\omega}) = \sum_{|n| \le N} \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{1+|n|^2}} e^{in \cdot x} =: \phi_N^{\omega}$.

To overcome this problem Bourgain considers the *Wick ordering*¹ of $|\phi_N|^4$.

¹as in QFT

Let

$$a_N := \mathbb{E}(|\phi_N^{\omega}|^2) \sim \sum_{|n| \leq N} rac{1}{1+|n|^2} \sim \log N \quad (ext{2D}).$$

After renormalizing by a_N the Wick ordering of $|\phi_N|^4$ (complex) is given by:

$$|\phi_N|^4 := |\phi_N|^4 - 4a_N|\phi_N|^2 + 2a_N^2$$

Proposition (Bourgain 96')

(1) $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} : |\phi_N^{\omega}|^4 : dx$ converges a.s. in ω to a finite limit as $N \to \infty$.

(2) The measures $d\mu_N := e^{-\int |\phi_N|^4 dx} d\rho_N$ converge to a weighted Wiener measure with density in $L^r(d\rho), r < \infty$. Call this measure μ

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

<u>Very roughly</u>: In the real case, Wick ordering consists in associating to a monomial x^n a Hermite polynomial $He_n(x)$ obtained by orthogonalization of the monomials w.r.t Gaussian measure on \mathbb{R} .

$$He_n(x) := 2^{-n/2} H_n(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}),$$

where

$$H_n(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^m n!}{m! 2^m (n-2m)!} \, x^{n-2m}$$

Note the recursion relation

$$He_{n+1}(x) = xHe_n(x) - nHe_{n-1}(x).$$

So for example we have

$$He_0(x) = 1, He_1(x) = x, He_2(x) = x^2 - 1, He_3(x) = x^3 - 3x, He_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3, etc.$$

In the complex case and the notation above

$$: |\phi_N|^{2k} := a_N^k H_{2k}(\frac{\phi_N}{\sqrt{a_N}})$$

(c.f. Bourgain's IAS/Park City Lecture Notes Vol 5, 1999).

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

The Wick ordering above leads to a modified Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{N}(\phi_{N}) &:= \int |\nabla \phi_{N}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} |\phi_{N}|^{4} : dx \\ &= \int |\nabla \phi_{N}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} |\phi_{N}|^{4} dx - 2a_{N} \int |\phi_{N}|^{2} + a_{N}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

whence we obtain the Wick ordered NLS equation (WNLS):

$$i \partial_t u_N = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_N}{\partial \overline{u_N}}$$

$$i \partial_t u_N = -\Delta u_N + P_N(|u_N|^2 u_N) - 2a_N u_N$$

or equivalently

$$i\partial_t u_N + \Delta u_N + 2(a_N - \int |u_N|^2 \, dx)u_N - P_N(u_N|u_N|^2 - 2u_N \int |u_N|^2 \, dx) = 0$$

Using the L^2 conservation,

$$\int |u_N|^2 dx - a_N = \int |\phi_N|^2 dx = \underbrace{c_N(\omega)}_{\text{independent of time}} \longrightarrow_{N \to \infty} c(\omega), \text{ a.s. in } \omega,$$

whence we get

$$i \partial_t u_N + \Delta u_N + 2c_N u_N - P_N(u_N |u_N|^2 - 2u_N \int |u_N|^2 dx) = 0$$

and the linear term maybe simply removed by letting $v_N := e^{2ic_N t} u_N$ satisfying

(FWNLS)
$$i \partial_t v_N + \Delta v_N - P_N (v_N |v_N|^2 - 2v_N \int |v_N|^2 dx) = 0,$$

which is the truncated or finite dimensional approximation to:

(WNLS)
$$i \partial_t v + \Delta v - (v|v|^2 - 2v \int |v|^2 dx) = 0,$$

the Wick ordered cubic NLS equation.

The measures $d\mu_N = e^{-\mathcal{H}_N(\phi_N)} \Pi d^2 \phi_N = e^{-\int |\phi_N|^4 dx} d\rho_N$ are invariant under the flow of (FWNLS).

The weighted Wiener measure μ to which the invariant measures μ_N converge -according to the Proposition above- **should be** the invariant Gibbs measure associated to (WNLS). To conclude this –just as we have seen for the 1D quintic NLS– the main two outstanding issues are:

Some form of local well-posedness **below** $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ for (WNLS).

- ► Recall ρ necessitates the flow to be well-posed in H^s(T²), s < 0.</p>
- s < 0 corresponds to the supercritical regime where even some small data could -in principle- lead to 'bad' behavior in short times.
- 2 An approximation lemma (uniform convergence of v_N to v.)
 - This approximation lemma is similar but a bit more delicate than in 1D because of the form of the solution in the a.s. LWP result below. (c.f. A.N-Staffilani, arXiv:1507.07320 [Prop 3.5]).

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほう

Then relying on (2) above and the invariance of μ_N one can prove the **almost** sure global well posedness for WNLS in $H^{-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ (as in the 1D case) and the invariance of the Gibbs measure μ under the WNLS flow. (Bourgain 96').

- The main issue to address then is (1) above since we do not have a deterministic LWP in H^s(T²), s < 0 in place (as it was the case in 1D).
 - At present, we do not even have a deterministic well-posedness in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$!

The main point however is that one only needs local well-posedness in the support of the measure. That is, it is enough to prove almost sure local well-posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^{2})$, s < 0 of WNLS.

(日)

Theorem (a.s LWP Bourgain(96'))

The Cauchy initial value problem

(WNLS)

$$\begin{cases} iv_t + \Delta v = |v|^2 v - 2(\int |v|^2 dx) v \\ v(x,0) = \phi^{\omega} = \sum_n \frac{g_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{1+|n|^2}} e^{ix \cdot n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^2, \end{cases}$$

is locally well-posed on a time interval $[0, \tau]$ except for ω in a set Ω_{τ}^c of measure at most $e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}$

The solution v is the distributional limit of v^N , the solution to (FWNLS) with initial data $v^N(0) = P_N(\phi^{\omega})$.

Furthermore, <u>almost surely</u> in ω the nonlinear part

 $\boldsymbol{w} := \boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{S}(t)\phi^{\omega} \in \boldsymbol{C}([0,\tau]; H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^2)), \, \alpha > 0.$

i.e. is **smoother** *than the linear part.*

Here $S(t)\phi^{\omega}$ is the solution to the linear problem with data ϕ^{ω} .

Andrea R. Nahmod (UMass Amherst)

・ロット (日) (日) (日)

Randomization does not improve regularity in terms of derivatives! The initial data,

$$\phi^{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum \frac{g_n(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i \langle \mathbf{x}, n \rangle},$$

defines almost surely in ω a function in $H^{-\epsilon}$; **but not** in H^s , $s \ge 0$. In other words, it is as regular as

$$\phi(x) = \sum \frac{1}{|n|} e^{i\langle x,n\rangle}.$$

- Why does randomization help ?
- Key Point: The linear flow S(t)φ^ω(x) of rough but randomized data enjoys almost surely improved L^p bounds.
 - Results of Rademacher, Kolmogorov, Paley and Zygmund show that random series enjoy better L^p bounds than deterministic ones.
 - Randomness has classically been introduced into Fourier series as a tool for answering deterministic questions (Paley and Zygmund 30's)
 - Phenomena akin to how Kintchine inequality is used in Littlewood-Paley theory.

Classical Example

Consider Rademacher Series :

$$f(y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r_n(y)$$
 $y \in [0,1), a_n \in \mathbb{C}$

where

$$r_n(y) := \operatorname{sign} \sin(2^{n+1}\pi y)$$

We have:

• If
$$a_n \in \ell^2$$
 the sum $f(y)$ converges a.e.

.

Classical Theorem

If $a_n \in \ell^2$ then the sum f(y) belongs to $L^p([0, 1))$ for all $p \ge 2$. More precisely,

$$(\int_0^1 |f|^p \, dy)^{1/p} \approx_p \|a_n\|_{\ell^2}$$

Ex.
$$a_n = c_n e^{i n \theta}$$
, $c_n \in \ell^2$

Andrea R. Nahmod (UMass Amherst)

3

 These a.s. improved L^p bounds on the linear evolution in turn yield improved nonlinear estimates *almost surely* in the analysis of

$$w(t,x) = v(t,x) - S(t)\phi^{\omega}(x),$$

where *v* is the solution of the equation at hand and as a consequence *w* solves a difference equation:

(DE)
$$\begin{cases} iw_t + \Delta w = \mathcal{N}(w + S(t)\phi^{\omega}) \\ w(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(f) = (|f|^2 f - 2f \int |f|^2)$

Remark (Important)

The difference equation that w solves is <u>not</u> back to merely being at a 'smoother' level but rather it is a hybrid equation with nonlinearity = supercritical (but random) + deterministic (smoother).

- Randomization techniques have now been used in several contexts and regimes to **improve the LWP almost surely**. How to pass from LWP to global is a separate issue which depends on the equation, the dimension, and the regime (invariant measures, energy methods, probabilistic adaptations of Bourgain's high-low/I-method, etc.)
- Schrödinger Equations: Bourgain, Tzvetkov, Thomann-Tzvetkov, A.N.-Oh-Rey-Bellet-Staffilani, A.N.-Rey-Bellet- Sheffield-Staffilani, Colliander-Oh, Burq-Thomann-Tzevtkov, Y. Deng, Burq-Lebeau, Bourgain-Bulut, A.N.- Staffilani, Poiret-Robert-Thomann, Bényi- Oh- Pocovnicu (conditional), ...
- KdV Equations: Bourgain, Oh, Richards.
- NLW/NLKG Equations: Burq-Tzvetkov, de Suzzoni, Bourgain-Bulut, Luehrmann-Mendelson, S. Xu, Pocovnicu, Oh-Pocovincu, Mendelson.
- Benjamin-Ono Equations: Y. Deng, Tzvetkov-Visciglia. and Y. Deng-Tzvetkov-Visciglia.
- Navier-Stokes Equations: A.N.-Pavlovic-Staffilani: infinite 'energy' global (weak) sols in \mathbb{T}^2 , \mathbb{T}^3 , global energy bounds, uniqueness in \mathbb{T}^2 . Also work by Deng-Cui and Zhang-Fang

Heart of the matter. The difference equation

- One proceeds via a fixed point argument on a suitable Banach space X^s ⊂ C([0, τ]; H^α(T²)).
- To set up a contraction, the main estimate one needs is essentially:

$$\|\int_0^t S(t-t')\mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{w}+S(t)\phi^\omega)\,dt'\|_{X^s}\lesssim au^\gamma(1+\|oldsymbol{w}\|_{X^s}^3)$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\tau}$

- Recall ϕ^{ω} belongs only $H^{-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T}^2)$.
- The heart of the matter is to prove suitable estimates for $\mathcal{N}(w + S(t)\phi^{\omega})$.
- $\mathcal{N}(w + S(t)\phi^{\omega})$ consists essentially of cubic terms which may be all random (*R*), all deterministic (*D*), or mixed.
- The Wick ordering of the Hamiltonian crucially removed certain bad resonant frequencies!

Andrea R. Nahmod (UMass Amherst)

Large Deviation-type result

Let *k* be the number of random terms in the multilinear estimate at hand.

Let $d \ge 1$ and $c(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\{g_n\}_{1 \le n \le d} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ be complex centered L^2 normalized independent Gaussians. For $k \ge 1$ denote by

$$A(k,d) := \{(n_1,\ldots,n_k) \in \{1,\ldots,d\}^k, n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k\}$$

and

$$F_k(\omega) = \sum_{A(k,d)} c(n_1,\ldots,n_k) g_{n_1}(\omega) \ldots g_{n_k}(\omega).$$

Then for $p \ge 2$

$$\|F_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \sqrt{k+1}(p-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}\|F_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

If $L := \Delta - x \cdot \nabla$, the Hartree-Fock operator defined as the self adjoint realization on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \exp(-|x|^2/2)dx)$, $\text{Dom} = \{u : u(x) = e^{|x|^2/4}v(x), v \in H^{\alpha,\beta}, |\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2\}$. The hyper-contractivity property of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup e^{-tL} gives $L^p - L^q$ estimates for the heat flow. Write $g_n = h_n + i\ell_n$ where $\{h_1, \ldots, h_d, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d\} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}(0, 1)$ are real centered independent Gaussian random variables with the same variance and re-express as Hermite polynomial, hence an eigenvector for semigroup (c.f. Tzvetkov)

Andrea R. Nahmod (UMass Amherst)

As a consequence from Chebyshev's inequality for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \, : \, |\mathcal{F}_k(\omega)| > \lambda \, \}) \, \leq \exp\left(\frac{-\mathcal{C}\,\lambda^{\frac{2}{k}}}{\|\mathcal{F}_k(\omega)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{k}}}\right).$$

Given $\tau > 0$, the large deviation result above with -say -

 $\lambda = \tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} \| F_k(\omega) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$

so that in a set Ω_{τ} with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\tau}^{c}) < e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}$ we can replace $|F_{k}(\omega)|^{2}$ by $||F_{k}(\omega)|^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$.

An Explicit Estimate

Let us assume, that $N_1 \gg N_2 \ge N_3$ are dyadic numbers, that have been fixed.

Let us consider the all random case $R_1 R_2 \overline{R}_3$ in the nonlinear term; ie. R_j is the linear evolution of the random data.

Thanks to the Wick ordering we know that n_1 , $n_2 \neq n_3$ where n_j is the spatial frequency of R_j .

Let us also assume that we have perform a LP decomposition and that R_j is frequency localized to N_j .

After further decomposing the frequency annulus of R_1 by boxes C of sidelength N_2 and using LP again, we need to estimate:

$$\|P_C R_1 R_2 \overline{R}_3\|_{L^2_{xt}}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_{\tau}$. We would like to obtain decay in N_1 so as to absorb a derivative of order $\alpha > 0$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

By Plancherel we reduce the estimate to

$$\|P_{C}R_{1}R_{2}\overline{R}_{3}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{3}\\n_{1}\neq n_{3};n_{2}\neq n_{3},n_{1}\in C\\m=|n_{1}|^{2}+|n_{2}|^{2}-|n_{3}|^{2}}} \frac{\overline{g}_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{|n_{1}|} \frac{\overline{g}_{n_{2}}(\omega)}{|n_{2}|} \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{|n_{3}|}\right|^{2} = |F_{3}(\omega)|^{2}$$

There are two cases

- **Case** A_0 : The frequencies n_i , i = 1, 2, 3 are all different from each other.
- Case A_1 : $n_1 = n_2$.

Case A₀: We first remark that the variation for the time frequency m is

 $\Delta m \sim N_1 N_2$.

Then we use the large deviation-type result with

 $\lambda \sim \tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} \| F_3(\omega) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$

so that in a set Ω_{τ} with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\tau}^{c}) < e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}$ we can replace $|F_{3}(\omega)|^{2}$ by $||F_{3}(\omega)|^{2}_{I^{2}(\Omega)}$.

Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{3}(\omega)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} &= \sum_{\mathcal{S}_{(n,m)}} \sum_{\mathcal{S}_{(n,m)}} \chi_{\mathcal{C}}(n_{1}) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{|n_{i}|} \chi_{\mathcal{C}}(n_{1}') \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{|n_{j}'|} \\ &\times \int_{\Omega} \overline{g}_{n_{1}}(\omega) \overline{g}_{n_{2}}(\omega) g_{n_{3}}(\omega) \overline{g}_{n_{1}'}(\omega) \overline{g}_{n_{2}'}(\omega) g_{n_{3}'}(\omega) dp(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{(n,m)}$ is the set of triplets

 $\{(n_1, n_2, n_3): n = n_1 + n_2 - n_3, n_1, n_2 \neq n_3, m = |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 - |n_3|^2\}.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Using the independence and normalization of $g_n(\omega)$, everything contracts to

$$\|F_3(\omega)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{S_{(n,m)}} \chi_C(n_1) \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{1}{|n_i|^3}$$

and we proceed to obtain

$$\|P_{C}R_{1}R_{2}\overline{R}_{3}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lesssim N_{1}N_{2}\sum_{n}|F_{3}(\omega)|^{2} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{3}{2}}N_{1}N_{2}N_{1}^{-2}N_{2}^{-2}N_{3}^{-2}\sup_{m}\#S(m)$$

where

$$S_m := \{(n, n_1, n_2, n_3) \mid n = n_1 + n_2 - n_3; m = |n_1|^2 + |n_2|^2 - |n_3|^2, n_1 \in C\}.$$

Since

 $\#S_m \lesssim N_3^2 N_2^2 N_1^\epsilon$

we then obtain in Case A_0 the bound:

$$\|P_C \bar{R}_1 \bar{R}_2 R_3\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{3}{2}} N_1^{-1} N_2.$$

Andrea R. Nahmod (UMass Amherst)

3

For **Case** A_1 : now we have $n_1 = n_2$ and,

$$\begin{split} \|P_{C}R_{1}R_{2}\overline{R}_{3}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &:= \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \left|\sum_{\substack{n=2n_{1}-n_{3}\\n_{1}\neq n_{3};\\m=2|n_{1}|^{2}-|n_{3}|^{2}}} \frac{(g_{n_{1}}(\omega))^{2}}{|n_{1}|^{2}} \frac{\overline{g}_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{|n_{3}|}\right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}^{2}} \left|\sum_{\substack{n_{1}\neq n;\\m=2|n_{1}|^{2}-|2n_{1}-n|^{2}}} \frac{(g_{n_{1}}(\omega))^{2}}{|n_{1}|^{2}} \frac{\overline{g}_{2n_{1}-n}(\omega)}{|2n_{1}-n|}\right|^{2} \end{split}$$

We can continue in this case by Cauchy Schwarz to obtain:

(I) < ((i) <

$$\sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}^{3}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n_{1}\neq n;\\m=2|n_{1}|^{2}-|2n_{1}-n|^{2}}} \frac{(g_{n_{1}}(\omega))^{2}}{|n_{1}|^{2}} \frac{\overline{g}_{2n_{1}-n}(\omega)}{|2n_{1}-n|} \right|^{2}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} \# \tilde{S}_{(n,m)} \sum_{n_{1};\ m=2|n_{1}|^{2}-|2n_{1}-n|^{2}} \frac{|g_{n_{1}}(\omega)|^{4}}{|n_{1}|^{4}} \frac{|\overline{g}_{2n_{1}-n}(\omega)|^{2}}{|2n_{1}-n|^{2}}$$

where

$$\tilde{S}_{(n,m)} = \{n_1 / m = 2|n_1|^2 - |2n_1 - n|^2\}.$$

Since $\#\tilde{S}_{(n,m)} \leq N_1^{\epsilon}$ and we can show that $|g_{n_1}(\omega)| \leq N_1^{\epsilon}$, we obtain a much better decay in this case than in Case A_0 .

イロト イヨト イヨト

Final Remarks

- Brydges and Slade showed it is not possible to carry over the canonical construction of Gibbs measures for the focusing cubic NLS on T².
- Invariant measures for Hamiltonian PDE in higher dimensions remain a challenge
- Little is known about ergodicity of (nonlinear) Hamiltonian PDE's.
 - Lebowitz and Lanford 74' (eg. linear wave equation and more general linear PDE)
 - Jaksic and Pillet 90' (PDE coupled to a finite dimensional ODE)
 - McKean 95' (hyperbolic sine-Gordon)