

# Motion of a Random String:

M. Hairer

①

Joint with L. Zambotti, Y. Bruned (work in progress)



analogue of heat eqn  
converts curve to a geodesic

$$u: S^1 \rightarrow M, \quad E(u) = \int g_{u(t)}(\dot{u}(t), \ddot{u}(t)) dt$$

Minimizers are geodesics

$\Rightarrow$  consider gradient flow

$$\text{Gradient flow of } E: \quad dt u^\alpha = \partial_x^2 u^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\eta}^\alpha(u) \partial_x u^\beta \partial_x u^\eta$$

Q: Random analogue of this?

Wanted: Evolution with " $e^{-E(u)} du$ " as invariant measure.

↑ interpretation is subtle

$$\text{In } \mathbb{R}^n: \quad dt u = \partial_x^2 u + \xi \quad \leftarrow \text{space-time white noise}, \quad \xi \in C^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi(x,t) \xi(y,s)) = \delta(x-y) \delta(t-s)$$

Brownian bridge is invariant, forward and backward in time.

$$\text{On } M: \quad dt u^\alpha = \partial_x^2 u^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\eta}^\alpha \partial_x u^\beta \partial_x u^\eta + \sigma_i^\alpha \xi_i$$

with  $\sigma_i^\alpha \sigma_i^\beta = g^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\sigma_i$  on  $M$ . (unique?)

It turns out that we will also want to impose

(1).  $\sum_i \nabla_{x_i} \sigma_i = 0$ , can be done by embedding into a higher-dimensional manifold.

Thm:  $\xi_i^\varepsilon$  with  $E(\xi_i^\varepsilon(x,t) \xi_j^\varepsilon(y,s)) = \delta_{ij} \varepsilon^{-3} p\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t-s}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$

$$\int p = 1.$$

Then  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$  up to a stopping time

$u$  might depend on  $p$ .

(corresponds to a different choices of  $u_n$ )  
extra term  $\tilde{m}$  ↗

Consider

$$dt u_\varepsilon^\alpha = dx^2 u_\varepsilon^\alpha + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(u_\varepsilon) dx^\beta u_\varepsilon^\gamma + \sigma_i^\alpha(u) \xi_i^\varepsilon$$

Note: This type of result is not true in general,  
based on using Levi-Civita connection.

Arbitrary connection  $\Rightarrow$  extra

$$-\frac{\log \varepsilon}{4\pi r^3} R(\nabla_G g)^\alpha$$

required  
for convergence

$\underbrace{= 0}_{\text{for}} \text{ Levi-civita.}$

Scaling  $\Rightarrow$  at small scales, should consider nonlinear part small.

Consider  $\frac{d}{t} v_i = \partial_x^2 v_i + \xi_i^\varepsilon$

Guess, at small scales solutions should look like

$$v^\alpha(q, s) \approx u^\alpha(x, t) + \sigma_i^\alpha(u(x, t)) (v_i(q, s) - v_i(x, t))$$

$$\mathbb{E}(v_i^\varepsilon \xi_i^\varepsilon) \sim -\frac{c}{\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbb{E} \partial_x v_i^\varepsilon \partial_x v_i^\varepsilon \sim \frac{c}{\varepsilon}$$

$\curvearrowleft$   $\curvearrowright$  exactly cancel out by (1).

Need a  
higher order version  
of this expansion

Notation:  $\circ$ : instance of noise  $\xi^\varepsilon$

$\underline{\phantom{x}}$ : convolution with  $P$  (heat kernel).

$\sim$ : convolution with  $\partial_x P$

$$v(q, s) - v(x, t) : \circ \quad \partial_x v : \underline{\xi} \quad q_{x,v} \leftarrow (\partial_x v)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ansatz: } U &= u \mathbb{1} + \sigma \circ + 2 \sigma \underline{\xi} + \Gamma \sigma^2 \underline{\xi} \circ \\ &\quad + 2 \Gamma \sigma^2 \partial_x \underline{\xi} \circ + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Individual terms don't have limits as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Step 2: Redefine these objects to force them  
to converge.

Want  $M_\varepsilon : T \rightarrow T$  ↪ set of formal  
expressions of type  
above.  
to force convergence.

$$\text{E.g. } M_\varepsilon : \text{expressions} \rightarrow \text{expressions} - \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{1}.$$

(Resembles a technique from QFT.)

Author initials:  
BP + Z  
'68 80's.

Also want  $M_\varepsilon$  to preserve the combinatorial structure  
of these objects.

Also  
Connes  
Kreimer  
99-2000

One considers  $\bar{T} = \sum_i u_i \cdot T$ ,  $u_i$  new unknown  
coefficients.

12 expressions of  
type above.

A fixed point argument  
is done in this framework.