

4:15-

①

Lecture 2: Using the Boundary

We have seen that the Tits and visual topologies can be used to distinguish geometries from each other. Let's add some group theory now.

Before I do, 3 more geometric results that are useful:

The results hold in varying degrees of generality - I will use the strongest assumption: X admits a geometric group action by a group G

Bridson/Haefliger

(1) If $\partial_T X$ is discrete, then X hyperbolic

Bosché

(2) If $\partial_T X \cong \partial_{\infty} X$ (and X is geodesically complete), then X is flat and both are spheres.

Caprace/Won

(3) If $\partial_T X$ splits as a join, then $X \cong Y \times Z$.

When we have $G \curvearrowright X$ geometrically, we get that each $g \in G$ extends to $\bar{g}: \partial_{\infty} X \rightarrow \partial_{\infty} X$ a homeo and $\tilde{g}: \partial_T X \rightarrow \partial_T X$ an isometry. These actions can be very useful for studying G .

We give some examples of theorems (i.e. tools) that use the topologies and/or these extended actions to obtain results about G .

NOTETAKER CHECKLIST FORM

(Complete one for each talk.)

Name: Noureen Khan Email/Phone: noureen.khan@unt.edu/ 2142842214

Speaker's Name: Kim Ruane

Talk Title: Visual and Tits Boundaries of CAT(0) spaces

Date: 8/17/2016 / _____ Time: 4:15 p _____ am / pm (circle one)

List 6-12 key words for the talk: Hyperbolic spaces, Euclidean spaces
Geodesic Metric space, Locally finite trees, Tits boundaries, visual boundaries

Please summarize the lecture in 5 or fewer sentences: Part-2

1. Continued with more examples (fundamental group) from lecture 1.
2. Facts and results related to CAT(0) were presented.
3. Cited and presented the theorem about fundamental closed 3 manifold and CAT(0) groups.

CHECK LIST

(This is **NOT** optional, we will **not pay** for **incomplete** forms)

- Introduce yourself to the speaker prior to the talk. Tell them that you will be the note taker, and that you will need to make copies of their notes and materials, if any.
- Obtain ALL presentation materials from speaker. This can be done before the talk is to begin or after the talk; please make arrangements with the speaker as to when you can do this. You may scan and send materials as a .pdf to yourself using the scanner on the 3rd floor.
 - **Computer Presentations:** Obtain a copy of their presentation
 - **Overhead:** Obtain a copy or use the originals and scan them
 - **Blackboard:** Take blackboard notes in black or blue **PEN**. We will **NOT** accept notes in pencil or in colored ink other than black or blue.
 - **Handouts:** Obtain copies of and scan all handouts
- For each talk, all materials must be saved in a single .pdf and named according to the naming convention on the "Materials Received" check list. To do this, compile all materials for a specific talk into one stack with this completed sheet on top and insert face up into the tray on the top of the scanner. Proceed to scan and email the file to yourself. Do this for the materials from each talk.
- When you have emailed all files to yourself, please save and re-name each file according to the naming convention listed below the talk title on the "Materials Received" check list.
(YYYY.MM.DD.TIME.SpeakerLastName)
- Email the re-named files to notes@msri.org with the workshop name and your name in the subject line.

4:15-

①

Lecture 2: Using the Boundary

We have seen that the Tits and visual topologies can be used to distinguish geometries from each other. Let's add some group theory now.

Before I do, 3 more geometric results that are useful:

The results hold in varying degrees of generality - I will use the strongest assumption: X admits a geometric group action by a group G

Bridson/Haefliger

(1) If $\partial_T X$ is discrete, then X hyperbolic

Bosché

(2) If $\partial_T X \cong \partial_{\infty} X$ (and X is geodesically complete), then X is flat and both are spheres.

Caprace/Won

(3) If $\partial_T X$ splits as a join, then $X \cong Y \times Z$.

When we have $G \curvearrowright X$ geometrically, we get that each $g \in G$ extends to $\bar{g}: \partial_{\infty} X \rightarrow \partial_{\infty} X$ a homeo and $\tilde{g}: \partial_T X \rightarrow \partial_T X$ an isometry. These actions can be very useful for studying G .

We give some examples of theorems (i.e. tools) that use the topologies and/or these extended actions to obtain results about G .

(2)

(2) Spcs $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{E}^2$, then $\exists g \in G$ of infinite order
s.t. g central in a subgroup of finite index
and $G/\langle g \rangle$ 2-ended.

- That \exists a $g \in G$ of infinite order is easy for \mathbb{E}^2 but is also true for all CAT(0) groups by E. Swenson.

$\exists A_g$ an axis for g inside \mathbb{E}^2 .
Consider $\text{Min}(g)$ - could be a line (glide reflection)
 \rightarrow the entire plane. (translation)

$P(g) = \{ \text{lines in } \mathbb{E}^2 \text{ that are parallel to } A_g \}$
In general, $P(g)$ is larger than $\text{Min}(g)$
which can cause problems...

ex: Construct an example of a group $G \curvearrowright X$ CAT(0)
 $g \in G$, $\text{Min}(g) = \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{Min}(g) = \text{line}$$

$$\text{Min}(g^P) = F \times \mathbb{R}$$

finite subtree

$$P(g) = T \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$\subseteq \text{Min}(g^{P+1}) \subseteq \dots$$

(larger finite subtree $\times \mathbb{R}$)

(never stabilizing...)

(3)

Fundamental Facts needed

$$|g| = \inf \{d(x, g \cdot x) \mid x \in X\}$$

$$\text{Min}(g) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, g \cdot x) = |g|\}$$

(1) ~~If $g \in G \curvearrowright X$, then g is a "hyperbolic" or~~

(1) $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$, one of three types

- elliptic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$. (fixed pt)
- hyperbolic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| > 0$
- parabolic $\text{Min}(g) = \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$

FACT: If $G \curvearrowright X$ geom, then we can rule out parabolics too.

(We can ignore the elliptics for now.)

(2) If $g \in G$ infinite order, then \exists geod.

line $A_g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ on which g acts by translation (called an axis for g)

(let $x \in \text{Min}(g)$, consider $\cup [x, g^n \cdot x]$)

• The set of all axes is $\text{Min}(g)$ and this set decomposes as $\text{Min}(g) = Y \times \mathbb{R}$ for some closed, convex $Y \subseteq X$.

(3) $C(g)$ acts geom on $\text{Min}(g)$ which means $C(g)$ is a "smaller" CAT(0) group inside G .

(4) when we consider $\bar{g}: \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \partial_\infty X$
 $\text{Fix}(\bar{g}) = \partial_\infty \text{Min}(g)$ and in fact

(4) Kleiner/Kapovich

(Bridson-Mosher)
independently

(4)

Sps $G = \pi_1(M)$ ^{closed} 3-manifold,

$\tilde{M} = \text{univ. cover.}$
with a G -inv. CAT(0) metric.

Then either G is hyperbolic or G contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

(all)
- Conjecture for CAT(0) groups:

(actually they prove this for any 3-dim P.D. group over a certain type of ring)
comm. hereditary

Weak Hyperbolization: A class of groups satisfies WH if every group in the class is either δ -hyp or contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

There are many open questions about which classes ~~fall~~ satisfy this property.

The reason they can do it in a more general setting than Bridson-Mosher is because their proof uses the bdry (with both topologies).
The assumption about \tilde{M} being a PD-3 group over that type of ring allows them to conclude $2\tilde{M} \cong S^2$ by work of Bestvina.

(2)

(2) Spcs $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{E}^2$, then $\exists g \in G$ of infinite order
s.t. g central in a subgroup of finite index
and $G/\langle g \rangle$ 2-ended.

- That \exists a $g \in G$ of infinite order is easy for \mathbb{E}^2 but is also true for all CAT(0) groups by E. Swenson.

$\exists A_g$ an axis for g inside \mathbb{E}^2 .
Consider $\text{Min}(g)$ - could be a line (glide reflection)
 \rightarrow the entire plane. (translation)

$P(g) = \{ \text{lines in } \mathbb{E}^2 \text{ that are parallel to } A_g \}$
In general, $P(g)$ is larger than $\text{Min}(g)$
which can cause problems...

ex: Construct an example of a group $G \curvearrowright X$ CAT(0)
 $g \in G$, $\text{Min}(g) = \mathbb{R}$

$$\text{Min}(g) = \text{line}$$

$$\text{Min}(g^P) = F \times \mathbb{R}$$

finite subtree

$$P(g) = T \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$\subseteq \text{Min}(g^{P+1}) \subseteq \dots$$

(larger finite subtree $\times \mathbb{R}$)

(never stabilizing...)

(3)

Fundamental Facts needed

$$|g| = \inf \{d(x, g \cdot x) \mid x \in X\}$$

$$\text{Min}(g) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, g \cdot x) = |g|\}$$

(1) ~~If $g \in G \curvearrowright X$, then g is a "hyperbolic" or~~

(1) $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$, one of three types

- elliptic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$. (fixed pt)
- hyperbolic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| > 0$
- parabolic $\text{Min}(g) = \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$

FACT: If $G \curvearrowright X$ geom, then we can rule out parabolics too.

(We can ignore the elliptics for now.)

(2) If $g \in G$ infinite order, then \exists geod.

line $A_g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ on which g acts by translation (called an axis for g)

(let $x \in \text{Min}(g)$, consider $\cup [x, g^n \cdot x]$)

- The set of all axes is $\text{Min}(g)$ and this set decomposes as $\text{Min}(g) = Y \times \mathbb{R}$ for some closed, convex $Y \subseteq X$.

(3) $C(g)$ acts geom on $\text{Min}(g)$ which means $C(g)$ is a "smaller" CAT(0) group inside G .

(4) when we consider $\bar{g}: \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \partial_\infty X$
 $\text{Fix}(\bar{g}) = \partial_\infty \text{Min}(g)$ and in fact

(4) Kleiner/Kapovich

(Bridson-Mosher)
independently

(4)

Sps $G = \pi_1(M)$ ^{closed} 3-manifold,

$\tilde{M} = \text{univ. cover.}$
with a G -inv. CAT(0) metric.

Then either G is hyperbolic or G contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

(call)
- Conjecture for CAT(0) groups:

(actually they prove this for any 3-dim P.D. group over a certain type of ring)
comm. hereditary

Weak Hyperbolization: A class of groups satisfies WH if every group in the class is either δ -hyp or contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

There are many open questions about which classes ~~fall~~ satisfy this property.

The reason they can do it in a more general setting than Bridson-Mosher is because their proof uses the bdry (with both topologies).
The assumption about \tilde{M} being a PD-3 group over that type of ring allows them to conclude $2\tilde{M} \cong S^2$ by work of Bestvina.

4:15-

①

Lecture 2: Using the Boundary

We have seen that the Tits and visual topologies can be used to distinguish geometries from each other. Let's add some group theory now.

Before I do, 3 more geometric results that are useful:

The results hold in varying degrees of generality - I will use the strongest assumption: X admits a geometric group action by a group G

Bridson/Haefliger

(1) If $\partial_T X$ is discrete, then X hyperbolic

Bosché

(2) If $\partial_T X \cong \partial_{\infty} X$ (and X is geodesically complete), then X is flat and both are spheres.

Caprace/Won

(3) If $\partial_T X$ splits as a join, then $X \cong Y \times Z$.

When we have $G \curvearrowright X$ geometrically, we get that each $g \in G$ extends to $\bar{g}: \partial_{\infty} X \rightarrow \partial_{\infty} X$ a homeo and $\tilde{g}: \partial_T X \rightarrow \partial_T X$ an isometry. These actions can be very useful for studying G .

We give some examples of theorems (i.e. tools) that use the topologies and/or these extended actions to obtain results about G .

(2)

(2) Spcs $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{E}^2$, then $\exists g \in G$ of infinite order
s.t. g central in a subgroup of finite index
and $\langle g \rangle$ \mathbb{Z} -ended.

- That \exists a $g \in G$ of infinite order is easy for \mathbb{E}^2 but is also true for all CAT(0) groups by E. Swenson.

$\exists A_g$ an axis for g inside \mathbb{E}^2 .
Consider $\text{Min}(g)$ - could be a line (glide reflection)
 \rightarrow the entire plane. (translation)

$P(g) = \{ \text{lines in } \mathbb{E}^2 \text{ that are parallel to } A_g \}$
In general, $P(g)$ is larger than $\text{Min}(g)$
which can cause problems...

ex: Construct an example of a group $G \curvearrowright X$ CAT(0)
 $g \in G$, $\text{Min}(g) = \mathbb{R}$
 $\text{Min}(g^P) = F \times \mathbb{R}$ (finite subtree)
 $P(g) = T \times \mathbb{R}$
 $\subseteq \text{Min}(g^{P+1}) = \dots$
(larger finite subtree $\times \mathbb{R}$)

(never stabilizing...)

(3)

Fundamental Facts needed

$$|g| = \inf \{d(x, g \cdot x) \mid x \in X\}$$

$$\text{Min}(g) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, g \cdot x) = |g|\}$$

(1) ~~If $g \in G \curvearrowright X$, then g is a "hyperbolic" or~~

(1) $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$, one of three types

- elliptic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$. (fixed pt)
- hyperbolic - $\text{Min}(g) \neq \emptyset$ and $|g| > 0$
- parabolic $\text{Min}(g) = \emptyset$ and $|g| = 0$

FACT: If $G \curvearrowright X$ geom, then we can rule out parabolics too.

(We can ignore the elliptics for now.)

(2) If $g \in G$ infinite order, then \exists geod.

line $A_g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ on which g acts by translation (called an axis for g)

(let $x \in \text{Min}(g)$, consider $\cup [x, g^n \cdot x]$)

- The set of all axes is $\text{Min}(g)$ and this set decomposes as $\text{Min}(g) = Y \times \mathbb{R}$ for some closed, convex $Y \subseteq X$.

(3) $C(g)$ acts geom on $\text{Min}(g)$ which means $C(g)$ is a "smaller" CAT(0) group inside G .

(4) when we consider $\bar{g}: \partial_\infty X \rightarrow \partial_\infty X$
 $\text{Fix}(\bar{g}) = \partial_\infty \text{Min}(g)$ and in fact

(4) Kleiner/Kapovich

(Bridson-Mosher)
independently

(4)

Sps $G = \pi_1(M)$ ^{closed} 3-manifold,

$\tilde{M} = \text{univ. cover.}$
with a G -inv. CAT(0) metric.

Then either G is hyperbolic or G contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

(call)
- Conjecture for CAT(0) groups:

(actually they prove this for any 3-dim P.D. group over a certain type of ring)
comm. hereditary

Weak Hyperbolization: A class of groups satisfies WH if every group in the class is either δ -hyp or contains $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

There are many open questions about which classes ~~fall~~ satisfy this property.

The reason they can do it in a more general setting than Bridson-Mosher is because their proof uses the bdry (with both topologies).
The assumption about \tilde{M} being a PD-3 group over that type of ring allows them to conclude $2\tilde{M} \cong S^2$ by work of Bestvina.