THE GEOMETRY OF CAT(0) SPACES

RUTH CHARNEY

ABSTRACT. The talk will begin with a brief history of CAT(0) geometry, including some long-standing open problems. Then I will discuss more recent developments and areas of current interest, including the theory of CAT(0) cube complexes and the interplay between CAT(0) geometry and hyperbolic geometry.

Outline

- I. Dawn of CAT(0) geometry
- II. Invasion of the CAT(0) cube complexes
- III. Marriage of hyperbolic and CAT(0) geometry

1. DAWN OF CAT(0) GEOMETRY

Gromov 1987: two notions of "curvature"

• coarse: δ -hyperbolic



triangles are δ -thin

• fine: CAT(*K*) space, $K \leq 0$

Date: 23 August 2016.

all triangles in X are "thin" compared to triangles in \mathbb{E}^2 (K = 0) or \mathbb{H}^2 (K = -1).



Theorem. If X is locally CAT(K) and simply connected then X is CAT(K).

CAT(-1) $\implies \delta$ -hyperbolic, and CAT(-1) \implies CAT(0).

Being hyperbolic (for some δ) is a quasi-isometry invariant, whereas being CAT(0) is *not* a quasi-isometry invariant.

(e.g. \mathbb{Z}^2 vs \mathbb{R}^2)



Definition. A group *G* is *hyperbolic* (respectively *CAT*(0)) if *G* acts geometrically (i.e. properly, cocompactly by isometries) on a hyperbolic (respectively CAT(0)) space.

Theorem (Svarc–Milnor Theorem). If $G \stackrel{geom}{\frown} X$ then $G \sim_{QI} X$.

 \rightsquigarrow *G* is hyperbolic \iff some (hence any) Cayley graph of *G* is hyperbolic.

How to show *G* is CAT(0)?

Cay(G) is never CAT(0) unless G = free group.

Must construct some CAT(0) space *X* and geometric action $G \curvearrowright X$.

Early questions.

• Which groups are CAT(0)?

(e.g. Moussong: *all* Coxeter groups are CAT(0).)

- How to effectively construct CAT(0) spaces?
- What (algebraic) properties do CAT(0) groups satisfy?

Still open.

- Are Artin groups CAT(0)? Are braid groups CAT(0)?
- Is every hyperbolic group CAT(0)?
- If H < G finite index, $H \operatorname{CAT}(0) \stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow} G \operatorname{CAT}(0)$?
- Is the isomorphism problem solvable?
- Are all CAT(0) groups automatic?
- Do CAT(0) groups satisfy a Tits Alternative?
 - 2. INVASION OF THE CAT(0) CUBE COMPLEXES

Definition. A *cube complex* is a geodesic metric space X formed by gluing Euclidean cubes $([0, 1]^k)$ by isometries along faces.



(note: blue indicates hyperplanes, introduced later)

Gromov: A cube complex is locally CAT(0) \iff links of vertices are flag complexes \iff X has "no empty corners".



This condition is easy to check!

Example (Right-angled Artin group). $\Gamma = \text{finite graph}, V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$



$$A_{\Gamma} = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n | v_i v_j = v_j v_i \text{ if } v_i - v_j \in E(\Gamma) \rangle$$

Salvetti complex:

 $T^n = n$ -torus



 $T^n \supset S_{\Gamma} = \bigcup$ faces labelled by commuting sets of generators



 S_{Γ} is always locally CAT(0)

CAT(0) cube complexes (CCC's) come equipped with combinatorial as well as geometric structure.

• l^1 -metric (metric on $X^{(1)}$)

(QI to CAT(0) metric)

• hyperplane structure (indicated in blue on above example of cube complex)



Using this one can show for $G \stackrel{geom}{\curvearrowright} X = CCC$

- *G* is bi-automatic (Niblo–Reeves)
- *G* satisfies Tits Alternative (Sageev–Wise)
- If X/G is "special" and G is torsion-free, then $G \hookrightarrow A_{\Gamma}$ QI-embedding.

RUTH CHARNEY

3. MARRIAGE OF HYPERBOLIC AND CAT(0) Geometry

Theme: generalize theorems / techniques from hyperbolic geometry / groups to more general groups / spaces.

- relatively hyperbolic groups (Gromov, Farb, Bowditch, ...)
- acylindrically hyperbolic groups G ∩ X = hyperbolic acylindrically (Osin, ...)
- group \hookrightarrow finite product of hyperbolic groups
- hierarchically hyperbolic groups (Behrstock-Hagen-Sisto)
- groups with non-trivial Morse boundary

Morse boundaries

CAT(0) spaces often display some hyperbolic behavior.

Example. $A_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$ generated by *a*, *b*, *c*.

 $S_{\Gamma} = T^2 \vee S^1.$

 $X = \widetilde{S_{\Gamma}} =$ "tree of flats"



 $G \stackrel{geom}{\frown} X = CAT(0)$, every $g \in G$ infinite order has an axis α_g and $min(g) = \{x \mid d(x, gx) \text{ is minimal}\} = \alpha_g \times Y$.

e.g.: *min(a)*:



Properties of geodesics α in *hyperbolic* space.

- (1) rank one: α does not bound a half-flat
- (2) thin triangles: $\exists \delta$ such that triangles based on α are δ -thin



(3) contracting: \forall balls *B* with $B \cap \alpha = \emptyset$, $\pi_{\alpha}(B)$ has diameter $\leq D$



(4) Morse: Given (λ, ε), ∃ N = N(λ, ε) such that every (λ, ε)-quasi geodesic β with endpoints on α stays in *N*-neighborhood of α



Theorem. If X is proper, CAT(0) space then a geodesic (ray or line) satisfies (2) \iff (3) \iff (4).

If α is periodic ($\alpha = \alpha_g$), then (1) \iff (2) and so on.

Example. $G = A_{\Gamma}$ as above, $\alpha = aca^2ca^3ca^4c...$



Definition. The *Morse* boundary of a proper CAT(0) space is

 $\partial_* X = \{ \alpha : [0, \infty) \to X \, | \, \text{Morse rays} \} \, / \sim$

where $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $d_{Haus}(\alpha, \beta) < \infty$.

C.–Sultan, Cordes generalized CAT(0) to geodesic spaces.

 $\partial_* X = \lim \partial^N X$ (*N*-Morse)

Theorem (C.–Sultan, Cordes). *X*, *Y* proper geodesic metric spaces. If $f : X \to Y$ is a quasi-isometry, then f induces a homeomorphism $\partial_* f : \partial_* X \to \partial_* Y$.

Corollary. $\partial_* G$ is well-defined.

Problems.

• Use $\partial_* G$ to distinguish QI-classes of groups.

- Use $\partial_* G$ to prove rigidity theorems.
- How are topological properties of ∂_∗*G* reflected in algebraic properties of *G*?
- Study geodesic flows on $\partial_* X$.
- Study $\partial_* X$ for CCC's. How is it related to Roller boundary and simplicial boundary.

