

HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY, REPRESENTATION STABILITY, AND FI-MODULES

THOMAS CHURCH

ABSTRACT. Homological stability is the classical phenomenon that for many natural families of moduli spaces the homology groups stabilize. Often the limit is the homology of another interesting space; for example, the homology of the braid groups converges to the homology of the space of self-maps of the Riemann sphere. Representation stability makes it possible to extend this to situations where classical homological stability simply does not hold, using ideas inspired by asymptotic representation theory. I will give a broad survey of homological stability and a gentle introduction to the tools and results of representation stability, focusing on its applications in topology.

Part I: homological stability

Part II: representation stability

$$Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n+1}$$

$H_*(Y_n) \rightarrow H_*(Y_{n+1})$ is isomorphism for $* \leq f(n)$. $H_*(\mathrm{SO}(n))$

	SO(1)	SO(2)	SO(3)	SO(4)	SO(5)	SO(6)	SO(∞)
H_0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}
H_1		\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
H_2			0	0	0	0	0
H_3			\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}^2	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
H_4				$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$
H_5				0	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
H_6				\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
H_7					\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$
H_8					$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$...
H_9					0	$\mathbb{Z}/2$...
H_{10}					\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$...

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{SO}(n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SO}(n+1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & S^n \end{array}$$

$\mathrm{SO}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n+1)$ is $(n-1)$ -connected.

$H_*(\mathrm{SO}(n)) \rightarrow H_*(\mathrm{SO}(n+1))$ for $* < n-1$.

Often there's some interesting Y such that $H_*(Y_n) = H_*(Y)$, $n \gg *$ (for example, $\mathrm{SO}(\infty)$).

Configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}_n(M) = \{S \subset M : |S| = n\}$.

Example. $\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a $K(\mathrm{Braid}_n, 1)$.

$$H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})) = H_*(\mathrm{Braid}_n)$$

Theorem (Arnold 1969). $H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}))$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 2*$.

Audience question: what is the map? Add a point very far away from the other points.

Theorem (F. Cohen 1973). $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_*(\mathrm{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C})) = H_* \mathrm{Maps}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Warning: $\pi_1 \mathrm{Maps}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \neq \pi_1 \mathrm{Conf}_\infty \mathbb{C} = \mathrm{Braid}_\infty$.

Stability for $GL_n \mathbb{Z}$ (originally theorem of Charney)

New approach Bestvina–Church, inspired by Hatcher–Vogtmann.

Define constants c_n as follows: $P_n =$ simplicial complex with vertices pairs (a, b) in \mathbb{Z}^n with $a \cdot 1 = 1$, simplices on $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_k, b_k)$ if $a_i \cdot b_j = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise.

$c_n =$ connectivity of P_n

P_n is c_n -connected.

Conjecture (Church–Bestvina). $P_n \simeq \vee S^{n-2}$, so $c_n = n - 3$.

$X_n = \{ \text{inner products } \omega \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \} = \{ \text{positive definite symmetric } n \times n \text{ matrices } \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \}$

nonpositively curved metric

$GL_n \mathbb{R} \curvearrowright X_n$

$GL_n \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X_n$ with compact \implies finite stabilizers.

$H_*(GL_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(X_n / GL_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q})$, $Y_n = X_n / GL_n \mathbb{Z}$

Given ω , say $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is ω -integral if $\omega(v, v) = 1$ and $\omega(v, \mathbb{Z}^n) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $X_n^k = \{ \omega \in X_n \mid \# \text{ of } \omega\text{-integral vectors} > n - k \}$.

$X_n^1 \subset X_n^2 \subset \dots \subset X_n^n \subset X_n^{n+1} = X_n$.

The filtration starts with lots of ω -integral vectors, ends with one ω -integral vector.

Theorem (C.–Bestvina). (1) X_n^k is c_k -connected, so $H_*(GL_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(X_n^k / GL_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q})$ for $* \leq c_k$.

(2) The quotient space $X_n^k / GL_n \mathbb{Z}$ is independent of n for $n \geq k - 1$.

(If conjecture of BC $\implies H_*(GL_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Q})$ independent of n for $n > * + 1$.)

(3) Integrally $H_*(\mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{Z}) = H_*^{\mathrm{orb}}(X_n^k / \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{Z})$.

As orbifold $X_n^k / \mathrm{GL}_n \mathbb{Z}$ not constant by stabilizers for $G_k \times O_{n-k}(\mathbb{Z})$.

~ starting again from scratch... ~

Often guess a space Y and prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_*(Y_n) = H_*(Y)$ *without* knowing that $H_*(Y_n)$ actually stabilize.

Recall: if G is a discrete group

$$BG = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 \\ \circ & \circ & \circ \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

topology: when points collide, you multiply the labels, and when points hit they boundary, they disappear.

BG is a $K(G, 1)$, $\pi_1 = G$, $\pi_i = 0$.

What if M monoid, like $M = \mathbb{N}$ (all you need in the definition of BG is multiplication).

What is $B\mathbb{N}$? $\pi_1 B\mathbb{N} \neq \mathbb{N}$ because π_1 is a group.

It turns out that $\pi_1 B\mathbb{N}$ is \mathbb{Z} , in fact $B\mathbb{N} = K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$.

$BM = K(M^*, 1)$, where M^* is groupified M (questioned by audience, need sufficiently nice M).

In general, ΩBG is a $K(G, 0)$, which is to say $\Omega BG \simeq G$.

For M discrete, $\Omega BM = M^*$.

In general, ΩBM is "groupification of M "

M	ΩBM
FinSubsets(\mathbb{R}^2)	Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ (F.Cohen)
FinSubsets(\mathbb{R}^{∞})	Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ (Barratt–Priddy–Quillen)
Surf $^{\partial}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty})$ = $\{U \subset \mathbb{R}^{\infty}\} \{U \text{ smooth connected 2-}$ $\text{manifold w/ 1 component boundary}$	Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \text{Aff}_2(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}))$ (Madsen–Weiss)
Metric graphs = $\{ \text{isometry classes of metric}$ $\text{graphs w/ basepoint} \}$?	Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \text{Graphs}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}))$ (Galatius)
Subspaces(\mathbb{C}^{∞})	(\cong Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \mathbb{R}^{\infty})$) Maps $_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1, \text{GL}_{\infty} \mathbb{C})$ (Bott periodicity)

The entries in left column are equivalent to $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Conf}_n \mathbb{R}^2$, $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{BS}_n$, $\bigsqcup_{g \in \mathbb{N}} \text{BDiff}(\Sigma_g)$, $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{BAut}(F_n)$, $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{BU}(n)$, respectively.

If $M = \bigsqcup M_n$, then $\mathbb{Z} \times M_{\infty} \rightarrow \Omega BM$ is H_* -iso.

Theorem (Arnold). $H_*(\text{Conf}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\text{Conf}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ stabilizes.

Theorem (McDuff, Segal). For any open manifold M ,

$$H_*(\text{Conf}_n(M); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(\text{Conf}_{n+1}(M); \mathbb{Z})$$

stabilizes for $n \gg *$.

Theorem (Church 2012). For any M ,

$$H_*(\text{Conf}_n(M); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H_*(\text{Conf}_{n+1}(M); \mathbb{Q})$$

stabilizes for $n > *$.

Why the historical gap?

(1) No maps $\text{Conf}_n(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_{n+1}(M)$.

(2) False integrally (Artin 1925):

$$H_1(\text{Conf}_n(S^2); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/(2n-2)\mathbb{Z}$$

$S_n \curvearrowright \text{PConf}_n(M) = M^n - \{p_i = p_j\}$, and $\text{PConf}_n(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(M)$.

$H^*(\text{PConf}_n(M); \mathbb{Q})^{S_n} \rightarrow H^*(\text{Conf}_n(M); \mathbb{Q})$

“reduces” to understanding H^* of $\text{PConf}_n(M)$ as an S_n -representation.

Now we have maps $\text{PConf}_n(M) \leftarrow \text{PConf}_{n+1}(M)$ (forget the last point).

$H_*(\text{SL}_n \mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ stabilizes,

$$\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}, l) = \ker(\text{SL}_n \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{SL}_n \mathbb{Z}/l) = \{M \equiv \text{id} \pmod{l}\}$$

but H_* doesn't stabilize, $H_1 \text{SL}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/l) = \text{sl}_n \mathbb{Z}/l = (\mathbb{Z}/l)^{n^2-1}$.

Define $T \subset [n]$

$$\text{SL}_T \mathbb{Z} = \{M \in \text{SL}_n \mathbb{Z} \mid M_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i \text{ or } j \notin T\}.$$

$$\text{SL}_T(\mathbb{Z}, l) = \{M \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}, l) \mid M_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i \text{ or } j \notin T\}.$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \text{SL}_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \text{ vs } \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{SL}_2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$S \subset T, \text{SL}_S \mathbb{Z} \subset \text{SL}_T \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem (Church–Ellenberg, after Putman CEFN). “*inductive stability*”

$$\forall n, H_i(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}, l); \mathbb{Z}) = \varinjlim_{T \subset [n], |T| \leq 2^{i+2}} H_i(\text{SL}_T(\mathbb{Z}, l); \mathbb{Z}) \quad H_i(\Gamma_n) = \varinjlim_{|T| \leq C, T \subset [n]} H_i(\Gamma_T)$$

Definition. FI = category of Finite Sets T and Injections $S \hookrightarrow T$.

FI-module, $V : FI \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$.

Example. $\text{SL}_\bullet \mathbb{Z} : FI \rightarrow \text{Groups}$

$\text{SL}_\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, l) : FI \rightarrow \text{Groups}$

$H_i(\text{SL}_\bullet(\mathbb{Z}, l)) : FI \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ is an FI-module.

$\text{PConf}_\bullet M : FI \rightarrow \text{Spaces}^{op}$, $T \mapsto \text{PConf}_T(M) = \text{Inj}(T, M)$.

$H^i \text{PConf}_\bullet M : FI \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ is an FI-module.

An FI-module V is for each n abelian group V_n with S_n -action, $S_n \curvearrowright V_n$, with maps $V_n \rightarrow V_N$ ($n < N$) that play nicely with S_n -action.

As algebraic objects, notion of finitely generated FI-module, similarly finitely presented, projective, injective etc.

Theorem (CEF). *If V an FI-module over \mathbb{Q} , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *representation stability for S_n -representations V_n*
- (2) *V is finitely generated.*

Theorem (CE). *Any V , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *inductive stability : $\exists C$ such that $V_n = \varinjlim_{|T| \leq C} V_T$*
- (2) *V is finitely presented*

Theorem (CEFN). *FI is Noetherian, so finitely generated \implies finitely presented, and both are preserved by e.g. spectral sequences.*

Theorem (Church–Putman). *$\forall k, \exists C_k, \forall$ genus g such that k -th term of Johnson filtration is generated by elements supported on surfaces / splittings of genus $\leq C_k$.*

Is $\mathrm{SL}_\bullet \mathbb{Z}$ finitely presented as FI-group?

Does there exist C such that $\mathrm{SL}_n \mathbb{Z} = \varinjlim_{|T| \leq C} \mathrm{SL}_T \mathbb{Z}$?