THE SHAPE OF THE MODULI SPACE NOTES FROM THE OCTOBER 2016 MSRI WORKSHOP ON MAPPING CLASS GROUPS AND OUTER AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

KASRA RAFI

Consider \mathcal{M}_g the moduli space of genus g hyperbolic surfaces. Equip it with the Teichmüller metric.

One way to understand \mathcal{M}_g is with the systole function

$$syst: \mathcal{M}_q \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

With $syst(X) = \min_{\alpha} \operatorname{length}_X(\alpha)$.

It is known that the systole is a topological Morse function, and its analysis gives a traditional picture of \mathcal{M}_g



Finite volume, infinite diameter, suggesting a unique maximum for the systole.

Today we suggest this picture is flawed.

Theorem (Fourtier-Bourque–Rafi). There exists a sequence $L_n \to \infty$ so that if $g = \frac{kn}{2} + 1$ with k > 0 and g large $(g > a^n)$ then syst : $\mathcal{M}_g \to \mathbb{R}_+$ has N(n,g) local maxima at L_n where

$$N(n,g) \geq \frac{g^g}{(cgn)^k}$$

The remark is that the systole is not good for describing the topology of \mathcal{M}_q .

Recall Farb-Masur's result that \mathcal{M}_g is $(1, D_g)$ -quasi-isometric to $\frac{Cone(\mathcal{C}(S))}{Mod(S)}$, suggesting this coarse picture

Notes prepared by Edgar A. Bering IV.



where although the picture is a fan the volume is still decreasing as $\mathcal{C}(S)$ lacks full dimensional cells. The theorem suggests that upon zooming in we arrive at this picture



Buser-Sarnak give an estimate $\frac{4}{3}\log g \leq B_g \leq 2\log g$. This Belt = $\{X | \exists a \text{ pants decomposition } P \text{ with } length(X, \alpha) \approx 1, \alpha \in P\}$ These are the surfaces we like to draw, with short pants decompositions



Pants decompositions correspond to trivalent graphs. Let G(m) te trivalent m vertex graphs, $|G(m)| = m^m$. This tells us the belt is combinatorially large. Specifically, Rafi-Tao show

$$diam_{Teich}(Belt) \asymp \log g$$

and so we get

$$diam_{Teich}(\mathcal{M}_g^{\geq\epsilon}) \asymp \log(g/6)$$

Continuing this line of describing \mathcal{M}_g we arrive in the unknown.

Question. (1) Are there closed geodesic loops in the $c \log g$ -thick part of \mathcal{M}_q

- (2) What is the Cheeger constant of \mathcal{M}_g ?
- (3) What is the "depth" of \mathcal{M}_g , that is how far into the thin part must a geodesic joining two local maxima go?
- (4) Is there a Collar/Margulis lemma? That is does there exist an ϵ such that for all g and $X \in \mathcal{M}_q$, $Stab_{\epsilon}(X)$ is elementary?

Recall the work of Schmutz. If X is a local max then the systole curves of X have nice properties.

- (1) Sys(X) fills X.
- (2) $|Sys(X)| \ge 6g 5$. Best known examples have 12g 12 systoles
- (3) There exists L_S for all g odd such that there is a local maximum in \mathcal{M}_g at L_S .

1. Sketches of the proof n = 3

Basic building blocks are crosses, doubled hyperbolic squares:



with σ_n small and L_n large. When n = 3 consider



Glue rings of n crosses, and then get a graph of the gluing pattern. The dual is n regular. The systoles are in the diagram (and translates by symmetry).

To calculate genus, if Γ the guing graph has k vertices, then $2E_{\Gamma} = nk = 2(g-1)$.

So for all n there exists L_n so that for all n-regular Γ with sufficiently large girth, the systoles of X_{Γ} are the curves in the picture.

Theorem (Bollabás). For all j

$$\frac{\#n\text{-regular graphs with } k \text{ vertices and girth at least } j}{all \text{ of them}} \geq c_{jn}$$

So we have plenty of graphs. Looking at systoles now we can look at their shadows in Γ and the gluing graph. Shadow in Γ is trivial if $girht(\Gamma) \cdot \sigma_n > L_n$. Shadow in the gluing graph is non-trivial. These reduce the calculation and classification of systoles to a local argument.

1.1. Why are these Maxima? Kerckoff formula $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{\alpha}} \ell_{\beta} = \cos \theta$ for intersecting curves. There is a nice collection of reflectionally symmetric local curves where twists, along with a few lengths of "f-curves" whose derivatives are a basis for the tangent space of \mathcal{M}_a that is easy to calculate in. Let F be the set of f-cruves and R the reflectionally symmetric curves. In this basis we can find a pair of inequalities that show these points are local maxima.