

Applications of monoidal model categories of spectra

Brooke Shipley
November 30, 2017
MSRI WIT

1. A gentle introduction to spectra
2. Applications

Applications of monoidal model categories of spectra

November 30, 2017
MSRI WIT

1. A gentle introduction to spectra
2. Applications

Warning: below “*anything in quotes*” is a heuristic,
not a careful definition.

An introduction to stable homotopy theory

“Abelian groups up to homotopy”
spectra \iff generalized cohomology theories

Examples:

1. Ordinary cohomology:

For A any abelian group, $H^n(X; A) = [X_+, K(A, n)]$.

Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum, denoted HA .
 $HA_n = K(A, n)$ for $n \geq 0$.

The coefficients of the theory are given by

$$HA^*(\text{pt}) = \begin{cases} A & * = 0 \\ 0 & * \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

2. Hypercohomology:

For C . any chain complex of abelian groups,

$$\mathbb{H}^s(X; C.) \cong \bigoplus_{q-p=s} H^p(X; H_q(C.)).$$

Just a direct sum of shifted ordinary cohomologies.

$$HC.*(pt) = H_*(C.).$$

3. Complex K-theory:

$K^*(X)$; associated spectrum denoted K .

$$K_n = \begin{cases} U & n = \text{odd} \\ BU \times \mathbb{Z} & n = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

$$K^*(pt) = \begin{cases} 0 & * = \text{odd} \\ \mathbb{Z} & * = \text{even} \end{cases}$$

4. Stable cohomotopy:

$\pi_S^*(X)$; associated spectrum denoted \mathbb{S} .

$\mathbb{S}_n = S^n$, \mathbb{S} is the *sphere spectrum*.

$\pi_S^*(pt) = \pi_{-*}^S(pt) =$ stable homotopy groups of spheres. These are only known in a range.

“Rings up to homotopy”

ring spectra \iff gen. coh. theories with a product

1. For R a ring, HR is a ring spectrum.

The cup product gives a graded product:

$$HR^p(X) \otimes HR^q(X) \rightarrow HR^{p+q}(X)$$

Induced by $K(R, p) \wedge K(R, q) \rightarrow K(R, p + q)$.

2. For A a differential graded algebra (DGA),
 HA is a ring spectrum. Product induced by
 $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, or $A_p \otimes A_q \rightarrow A_{p+q}$.

The groups $\mathbb{H}(X; A)$ are still determined by $H_*(A)$,
but the product structure is *not* determined $H_*(A)$.

3. K is a ring spectrum;

Product induced by tensor product of vector bundles.

4. \mathbb{S} is a commutative ring spectrum.

Definition. A “*ring spectrum*” is a sequence of pointed spaces $R = (R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n, \dots)$ with compatibly associative and unital products $R_p \wedge R_q \rightarrow R_{p+q}$.

Definition. A “*spectrum*” F is a sequence of pointed spaces $(F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n, \dots)$ with structure maps $\Sigma F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$. Equivalently, adjoint maps $F_n \rightarrow \Omega F_{n+1}$.

Example: \mathbb{S} a commutative ring spectrum

Structure maps: $\Sigma S^n = S^1 \wedge S^n \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{n+1}$.

Product maps: $S^p \wedge S^q \xrightarrow{\cong} S^{p+q}$.

Actually, must be more careful here. For example: $S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\text{twist}} S^1 \wedge S^1$ is a degree -1 map.

History of spectra and \wedge

Boardman in 1965 defined spectra and \wedge . \wedge is only commutative and associative up to homotopy.

A_∞ ring spectrum = best approximation to associative ring spectrum.

E_∞ ring spectrum = best approximation to commutative ring spectrum.

Lewis in 1991: No good \wedge exists.

Five reasonable axioms \implies no such \wedge .

Since 1997, lots of monoidal categories of spectra exist! (with \wedge that is commutative and associative.)

1. 1997: Elmendorf, Kriz, Mandell, May

2. 2000: Hovey, S., Smith

3, 4 and 5 ... Lydakis, Schwede, ...

Theorem. (Mandell, May, Schwede, S. '01;
Schwede '01)

All above models define the same homotopy theory.

Spectral Algebra

Given the good categories of spectra with \wedge , one can easily do algebra with spectra.

Definitions:

A *ring spectrum* is a spectrum R with an associative and unital multiplication $\mu : R \wedge R \rightarrow R$ (with unit $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow R$).

An *R -module spectrum* is a spectrum M with an associative and unital action $\alpha : R \wedge M \rightarrow M$.

\mathbb{S} -*modules* are spectra.

$S^1 \wedge F_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$ iterated gives $S^p \wedge F_q \rightarrow F_{p+q}$.

Fits together to give $\mathbb{S} \wedge F \rightarrow F$.

\mathbb{S} -*algebras* are ring spectra.

Applications of monoidal model categories of spectra:

We'll focus on applications of Symmetric spectra and Orthogonal spectra.

Applications of monoidal model categories of spectra:

We'll focus on applications of Symmetric spectra and Orthogonal spectra.

Waldhausen's Algebraic K-theory:

Theorem. (Geisser, Hesselholdt '99) Waldhausen's S_\bullet -construction for a Waldhausen category C of cofibrations and weak equivalences naturally produces a symmetric spectrum $K(C)$.

Applications of monoidal model categories of spectra:

We'll focus on applications of Symmetric spectra and Orthogonal spectra.

Waldhausen's Algebraic K-theory:

Theorem. (Geisser, Hesselholdt '99) Waldhausen's S_\bullet -construction for a Waldhausen category C of cofibrations and weak equivalences naturally produces a symmetric spectrum $K(C)$.

If C is also a symmetric monoidal category with a bi-exact product (i.e. the product interacts well with the cofibrations and weak equivalences), then $K(C)$ is a symmetric ring spectrum.

(Hovey '01) generalized the definition of symmetric spectra to consider spectra over any monoidal model category \mathcal{C} . Here instead of a sequence of spaces, one considers a sequence of objects $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n, \dots)$ in \mathcal{C} with structure maps $K \wedge C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$.

(Hovey '01) generalized the definition of symmetric spectra to consider spectra over any monoidal model category \mathcal{C} . Here instead of a sequence of spaces, one considers a sequence of objects $(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n, \dots)$ in \mathcal{C} with structure maps $K \wedge C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$.

Theorem. (Jardine '00) Symmetric spectra of motivic spaces (simplicial presheaves) model the motivic stable category.

Theorem. (Robinson '87, Schwede-S. '03, S. '07, Richter-S. 2017)

(1) $\mathcal{Ch} \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$

(2) Assoc. $DGA \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} \text{Assoc. } H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$

(3) $E_\infty DGA \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} \text{Commutative } H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$

Theorem. (Robinson '87, Schwede-S. '03, S. '07, Richter-S. 2017)

$$(1) \mathcal{Ch} \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$$

$$(2) \text{Assoc. DGA} \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} \text{Assoc. } H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$$

$$(3) E_\infty \text{ DGA} \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} \text{Commutative } H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$$

Central step of the proofs: Each of these statements uses (Hovey '01) to produce the stabilization of the Dold-Kan equivalence between non-negatively graded chain complexes and simplicial abelian groups.

Two applications of $DGA \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$:

1. *Topological equivalences of DGAs.* Consider \mathbb{S} -algebra equivalences between $H\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra spectra. (See Dugger - S. 2007; Bayindir 2017)

Two applications of $DGA \simeq_{\text{Quillen}} H\mathbb{Z}\text{-Alg}$:

1. *Topological equivalences of DGAs.* Consider \mathbb{S} -algebra equivalences between $H\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra spectra. (See Dugger - S. 2007; Bayindir 2017)
2. The establishment of simple, practical algebraic models for rational G -equivariant stable homotopy theories involves the equivalence of rational DGAs and $H\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra spectra. (See Greenlees - S. 2017)

For G a compact Lie group that is not finite, we use orthogonal spectra to model G -equivariant stable homotopy theory.