# The Threshold Theorem for the energy critical Yang-Mills Flow

### Daniel Tataru

University of California, Berkeley

Harmonic Analysis Introductory Workshop January 2017

D. Tataru (UC Berkeley)

The Yang-Mills flow

э

### Three linear wave equations

1. The wave equation for functions

$$u:\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\to\mathbb{R}$$

Lagrangian:

$$L(\phi) = \int \partial^{\alpha} u \cdot \partial_{\alpha} u \, dx dt$$

Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\Box u = 0$$

D'Allembertian:

$$\Box = \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$$

э

# Three linear wave equations

2. The Maxwell equation for 1-forms: Electromagnetic potential:

$$A_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$$

Covariant differentiation:

$$D_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} + iA_{\alpha}$$

Curvature:

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}A_{\alpha}.$$

Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(A) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4+1}} \langle F_{\alpha\beta}, F^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \, dx dt.$$

Maxwell system:

$$D^{\alpha}F_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

Gauge freedom

$$A \to A + db, \qquad b: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$$

D. Tataru (UC Berkeley)

< ∃⇒

### Three linear wave equations

3. The covariant wave equation for functions

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}$$

Lagrangian:

$$L(\phi) = \int D^{\alpha}\phi \cdot \overline{D_{\alpha}\phi} \, dxdt$$

Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\Box_A u = 0$$

D'Allembertian:

$$\Box_A = D^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}$$

∃ ≥ >

Three geometric wave equations

- Wave-Maps
- Maxwell-Klein Gordon
- Yang Mills

Global well-posedness and scattering in two settings:

- small data in the critical Sobolev space
- large data in the energy critical dimension

### Wave maps

Maps into a Riemannian manifold:

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to (M,g)$$

Lagrangian

$$L(\phi) = \int \langle \partial^{\alpha} \phi, \partial_{\alpha} \phi \rangle_{g} dx dt$$

Euler-Lagrange equation in local coordinates:

$$\Box \phi + \Gamma(\phi) \partial^{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\alpha} \phi = 0$$

Covariant formulation:

$$D^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}\phi = 0$$

Energy

$$E(\phi) = \int |\partial_t \phi|_g^2 + |\nabla_x \phi|_g^2 dx$$

Critical Sobolev space:  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ , energy critical dimension n = 2.

## Maxwell-Klein-Gordon

Maxwell field A, with curvature  $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}A_{\alpha}$ . Complex field  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , with covariant differentiation  $D_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha} + iA_{\alpha}$ . Lagrangian:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2} D^{\alpha} \phi \overline{D_{\alpha} \phi} + \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \beta} dx dt$$

Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\partial^{\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = \Im(\phi \overline{D_{\alpha} \phi})$$
$$D^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \phi = 0$$

Gauge invariance:  $(A, \phi) \rightarrow (A - db, \phi e^{ib})$ . Energy:

$$E(A,\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{4} |F|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |D_A\phi|^2 dx$$

Critical Sobolev space:  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}$ , energy critical dimension n = 4.

### **Yang-Mills**

Connection form  $A_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^{4+1} \to \mathfrak{g}$ , semisimple Lie algebra.

 $D_{\alpha}B := \partial_{\alpha}B + [A_{\alpha}, B] \qquad (\text{covariant differentiation})$ Curvature tensor

$$F_{\alpha\beta} := \partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}A_{\alpha} + [A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}],$$

Lagrangian action functional

$$\mathcal{L}(A_{\alpha},\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4+1}} \langle F_{\alpha\beta}, F^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \, dx dt.$$

Covariant form of Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$D^{\alpha}F_{\alpha\beta}=0.$$

Gauge invariance:  $A_{\alpha} \rightarrow OAO^{-1} - \partial_{\alpha}OO^{-1}$ . Conserved energy:

$$E(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |F|^2 dx$$

Critical Sobolev space:  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}$ , energy critical dimension n = 4,

## Small data results

### Theorem

(WM) is globally well-posed for small data in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ .  $n \ge 2$ . (Tao '01  $\mathbf{S}^{n}$ , Krieger '03  $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ , T.'05 (M, q))

#### Theorem

(MKG) is globally well-posed for small data in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . *[Coulomb gauge]* (Rodnianski-Tao '05  $(n \ge 6)$ , Krieger-Sterbenz-T. '13)

#### Theorem

(YM) is globally well-posed for small data in  $\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \times \dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}-2}$ ,  $n \ge 4$ . [Coulomb gauge] (Sterbenz-Krieger '06  $(n \ge 6)$ , Krieger-T. '15)

- quasilinear well-posedness (continuous dependence on data)
- modified scattering

## The large data energy critical problem

Energy critical dimension: n = 2 (WM), n = 4 (MKG), (YM)

Potential obstructions to large data global well-posedness:

- Blow-up solutions (e.g. self-similar)
- Stationary solutions (solitons)

Ground state = lowest energy nontrivial steady state

### Conjecture (Threshold Conjecture)

Global well-posedness and scattering holds in energy critical problems for data below the ground state energy (globally, if there is no steady state solution).

## Three large data results

#### Theorem

(WM) is globally well-posed for data below the ground state energy, n = 2. (Sterbenz-T. '08 (M,g), Krieger-Schlag '08  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , Tao '08  $\mathcal{H}^n$ )

#### Theorem

(MKG) is globally well-posed for finite energy data, n = 4. [Coulomb gauge] (Oh-T. '15, Krieger-Luhrmann '15 )

#### Theorem

(YM) is globally well-posed for data below the ground state energy. [caloric gauge] ( Oh-T. '17, main goal of these lectures )

### • no self-similar blow-up scenario

## The gauge choice

Gauge freedom:

$$A \to A - db \qquad (MKG)$$
$$A_{\alpha} \to OAO^{-1} - \partial_{\alpha}OO^{-1} \qquad (YM).$$

Objectives of gauge fixing:

- preserve hyperbolic structure
- capture null structure of equations
- globally defined (large data)

Gauge choices:

- Lorenz gauge  $\partial^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} = 0$ .
- temporal gauge  $A_0 = 0$ .
- Coulomb gauge  $\partial_j A_j = 0.$  [ (MKG), small data (YM)]
- Caloric gauge -defined via covariant heat flow. [ Large data (YM)]

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э.

### The heat flow and the local caloric gauge

Covariant Yang-Mills heat flow:

$$F_{j\alpha} = D^k F_{k\alpha}$$

Gauge choices:

- De Turck gauge  $A_s = \partial^j A_j$ .
  - strongly parabolic flow, but
  - ▶ not clear that solutions are global for large data
- local caloric gauge  $A_s = 0$ 
  - ▶ degenerate parabolic
  - global solutions
  - solutions decay to flat connection  $A_{\infty}$ , i.e.  $F_{\infty} = 0$ .

### **Theorem (Threshold theorem for Yang-Mills heat flow)** Data with energy below the ground state energy $E_0$ yield global solutions in the local caloric gauge.

D. Tataru (UC Berkeley)

The Yang-Mills flow

January 2017

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э.

### The caloric gauge

A state  $A_x$  is called caloric if its parabolic flow satisfies

$$A(s=\infty)=0$$

Caloric manifold C of class  $C^1$  below  $E_0$ . Wave Yang Mills data  $(A_x, \partial_0 A_x) \in TC$ . Generalized Coulomb condition:

$$\partial^j A_j = Q(A, A) + R(A, A, A)$$

with Q quadratic and explicit, and R cubic and higher. Yang-Mills equation in the caloric gauge:

$$\Box_A A_j = Q_j(A, \partial A) + R_j(A, A, A)$$
$$\Delta_A A_0 = Q_0(A, \partial A) + R_0(A, A, A)$$

A = 
 A = 
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## Small data: semilinear vs quasilinear

Nonlinear wave equation:

$$\Box A = N(A)$$

Perturbative (semilinear) set-up:

 $\Box A = N(A) \qquad (perturbative)$ 

Paradifferential (quasilinear) set-up:

$$\Box A_k + 2[A^{\alpha}_{< k}, \partial_{\alpha} A_k] = N_{pert}(A)_k$$

Two key difficulties:

A. Scale invariant function spaces for the perturbative part.

**B.** Parametrix construction for the paradifferential part.

# **Function spaces** S, N

Here the goal is to have two properties:

 $||A||_{S} \lesssim ||A[0]||_{E} + ||\Box A||_{N} \qquad \text{(linear mapping)}$  $||N_{pert}(A)||_{N} \lesssim C(||A||_{S}) \qquad \text{(nonlinear mapping)}$ 

- Strichartz norms
  - scale invariant
  - do not capture null structure
- **2**  $X^{s,b}$  spaces
  - capture null structure
  - no good scaling.
- **3**  $U^2$  and  $V^2$  spaces (T. '00, Koch-T. '04)
  - scale invariant refinements of  $X^{\frac{1}{2}}$  spaces.
- Null frame spaces (T, Tao '00)
  - combine Strichartz norms with multiscale frequency localizations adapted to the null cone.

16 / 22

### The parametrix construction

Here the goal is to have the linear bound

$$||A_k||_S \lesssim ||A_k[0]||_E + ||\Box A_k + ||_N$$

Renormalization: approximately conjugate the paradifferential flow to the flat wave flow.

 $R(A_k + 2[A_{< k}^{\alpha}, \partial_{\alpha}A_k]) - \Box RA_k = perturbative$ 

with good mapping and invertibility properties

$$R: S \to S, \qquad R: N \to N$$

(i) (WM) (Tao '01, T. '04) Multiplicative renormalization

$$R = R(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to SO(d)$$

(ii) (MKG) (Rodnianski-Tao '05) (YM) (Sterbenz-Krieger '06).

$$R=R(t,x,D),$$

pseudodifferential operator with rough symbol.

D. Tataru (UC Berkeley)

## Large data: three related methods

Goal: To prove an estimate

 $||A||_S \lesssim F(E(A)), \qquad E < E_0 \quad (\text{ground state energy})$ 

- Direct induction on energy (Bourgain), combines perturbative and nonperturbative elements in a single induction step.
- **2** Concentration compactness method (Kenig-Merle). Two step approach, by contradiction:
  - ▶ prove the existence of a minimal energy blow-up solution, with good compactness properties.
  - ▶ disprove the existence of a minimal energy blow-up solution, by Morawetz style (nonconcentration) estimates.
- Senergy dispersion method (Sterbenz-T.). Two step approach, direct method:
  - ▶ prove that energy dispersed solutions are global and scatter.
  - prove that all solutions are either energy dispersed or have pockets of energy convergent to a steady state.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - つへぐ

# Energy dispersed solutions

Energy dispersion norm:

$$||A||_{ED} = \sup_{k} 2^{-k} ||A_k||_{L^{\infty}},$$

- scales like the energy
- measure of pointwise concentration
- measured in a time interval, not at fixed time

### Theorem (Energy dispersed solutions)

For each  $E < E_0$  there exist  $\epsilon(E)$ , F(E) so that for each solution A of energy E in a time interval I we have:

$$||A||_{ED} \le \epsilon(E) \implies ||A||_S \le F(E)$$

A B M A B M

# An induction on energy proof

The key steps are as follows:

- Improved bilinear/multilinear estimates: ED yields gains for all balanced frequency interactions.
- **2** Improved paradifferential bound:

 $||A_k||_S \lesssim ||A_k[0]||_E + ||\Box A_k + 2[A_{< k}^{\alpha}, \partial_{\alpha} A_k]||_N$ 

with the frequency gap  $m \gg_{\|\phi\|_S} 1$  as a proxy for smallness.

Oivisibility estimate: For any solution φ of energy E and S size F we can split the time interval into N ≤<sub>F</sub> 1 so that

$$\|\phi\|_{S[I_k]} \lesssim E$$

(a) Induction on energy  $E \to E + c$  with c = c(E).

# A concentration dichotomy

#### Theorem

For any finite energy solution in a cone we have the following dichotomy. Either  $E(A) \rightarrow 0$  at the tip of the cone, or, on a subsequence,

$$A(\frac{x-x_n}{r_n},\frac{t-t_n}{r_n}) \to LA_{steady}$$

with L Lorentz and Asteady state.

Proof ideas:

- Energy-flux relation: Flux converges to 0 at the tip of the cone.
- Morawetz identity with vector field  $X_0 = \frac{t\partial_t + x\partial_x}{\sqrt{t^2 r^2}}$  and translates.
- Eliminate null concentration scenario
- Show concentration persists away from cone.
- Extract concentration profile by multiple pidgeonhole arguments.
- Exclude self-similar solutions.

The Yang-Mills flow