

ELLIPTIC VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS

David Jerison (MIT)

Jan 2017

MSRI Lecture 1

Elliptic Variational Problems

- ▶ 1st variation and singular limits
- ▶ 2nd variation and stability

Elliptic Variational Problems

- ▶ 1st variation and singular limits
- ▶ 2nd variation and stability
- ▶ Free boundary regularity $n = 3, 4$

Elliptic Variational Problems

- ▶ 1st variation and singular limits
- ▶ 2nd variation and stability
- ▶ Free boundary regularity $n = 3, 4$
- ▶ Higher critical points, topology
- ▶ Higher dimensions; $n \rightarrow \infty$?

$$J(v) := \int [|\nabla v|^2 + F(v)]$$

First variation $J'(u) = -2\Delta u + F'(u)$

$$J(v) := \int [|\nabla v|^2 + F(v)]$$

First variation $J'(u) = -2\Delta u + F'(u)$

$$J(u + \varepsilon v) =$$

$$J(u) + \varepsilon \int [2\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + F'(u)v] + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$= J(u) + \varepsilon \langle -2\Delta u + F'(u), v \rangle + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

CRITICAL POINTS

$$J'(u) = -2\Delta u + F'(u) = 0$$

CRITICAL POINTS

$$J'(u) = -2\Delta u + F'(u) = 0$$

Euler-Lagrange eq'n:

$$2\Delta u = F'(u)$$

Blow down limit

$$F(v) = 0, v \leq 0, F(v) = 1, v \geq a.$$

$$v_R(y) = \frac{1}{R}v(Ry) \longrightarrow v_\infty(y), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

Blow down limit

$$F(v) = 0, v \leq 0, F(v) = 1, v \geq a.$$

$$v_R(y) = \frac{1}{R} v(Ry) \longrightarrow v_\infty(y), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\int_{B_R} [|\nabla v|^2 + F(v)] \frac{dx}{R^n} \\ \longrightarrow \int_{B_1} [|\nabla v_\infty|^2 + 1_{\{v_\infty > 0\}}] dy$$

Alt-Caffarelli functional

$$J_\infty(v, \Omega) = \int_\Omega [|\nabla v|^2 + 1_{\{v>0\}}] dy$$

$$F_\infty(v) = 1_{\{v>0\}}$$

Optimal Insulation

$$\text{Cost} = c_1 \int_R |\nabla u|^2 + c_2 \text{vol}(R)$$

Equilibrium temperature u :

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad R = \{T_0 < u < T_1\}$$

Optimal Insulation

$$\text{Cost} = c_1 \int_R |\nabla u|^2 + c_2 \text{vol}(R)$$

Equilibrium temperature u :

$$\Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad R = \{T_0 < u < T_1\}$$

$$\partial u / \partial \nu = \text{const} \quad \text{on} \quad \{u = T_0\}$$

Global Minimizers

Functions $u : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$J(u, \Omega) = \min_v J(v, \Omega) \quad \text{and all } \Omega \subset\subset \mathbf{R}^n,$$

min over all v such that $v = u$ on $\partial\Omega$.

$$J(v, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^2 + 1_{\{v>0\}}) dx$$

Rescaled Euler-Lagrange Equation

$$u_R(x) := u(Rx)/R$$

$$2\Delta u_R = RF'(Ru_R) \longrightarrow "2\Delta u_\infty = \delta(u_\infty)"$$

Rescaled Euler-Lagrange Equation

$$u_R(x) := u(Rx)/R$$

$$2\Delta u_R = RF'(Ru_R) \longrightarrow "2\Delta u_\infty = \delta(u_\infty)"$$

Free boundary condition

$$|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 1 \quad \text{on } \partial\{u > 0\}$$

Rescaled Euler-Lagrange Equation

$$u_R(x) := u(Rx)/R$$

$$2\Delta u_R = RF'(Ru_R) \longrightarrow "2\Delta u_\infty = \delta(u_\infty)"$$

Free boundary condition

$$|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 1 \quad \text{on } \partial\{u > 0\}$$

One phase: $u^- \equiv 0$. Two phase: $u^- \not\equiv 0$.

How about $\Delta u = f(u)$, $\int f \, du = 0$?

How about $\Delta u = f(u)$, $\int f \, du = 0$?

2 wells: $F > 0$, $|u| < a$, $F(u) = 0$, $|u| \geq a$.

How about $\Delta u = f(u)$, $\int f \, du = 0$?

2 wells: $F > 0$, $|u| < a$, $F(u) = 0$, $|u| \geq a$.

$$w_R(y) = v(Ry) \longrightarrow w_\infty(y), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\int_{B_R} [|\nabla v|^2 + F(v)] \frac{dx}{R^{n-1}} \\ \longrightarrow c \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_1 \cap \{|w_\infty| < a\})$$

How about $\Delta u = f(u)$, $\int f \, du = 0$?

2 wells: $F > 0$, $|u| < a$, $F(u) = 0$, $|u| \geq a$.

$$w_R(y) = v(Ry) \longrightarrow w_\infty(y), \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\int_{B_R} [|\nabla v|^2 + F(v)] \frac{dx}{R^{n-1}} \\ \longrightarrow c \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(B_1 \cap \{|w_\infty| < a\})$$

J_∞ is the area of the $\pm a$ interface.

Euler-Lagrange equation

If F has “one hump”, and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} F(w) dw = 1, \quad \text{then}$$

$$2\Delta w_R = R^2 F'(Rw_R) \longrightarrow “2\Delta w_\infty = \delta'(w_\infty)”$$

$w_\infty = \pm a$ and $H = 0$ on the interface.

Euler-Lagrange equation

If F has “one hump”, and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} F(w) dw = 1, \quad \text{then}$$

$$2\Delta w_R = R^2 F'(Rw_R) \longrightarrow “2\Delta w_\infty = \delta'(w_\infty)”$$

$w_\infty = \pm a$ and $H = 0$ on the interface.

What can minimal surface theory teach us?

Def'n. Area-minimizer $M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(M) \leq \text{vol}_{n-1}(N) \text{ all } N, \partial N = \partial M$$

Def'n. Area-minimizer $M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$

$$\text{vol}_{n-1}(M) \leq \text{vol}_{n-1}(N) \text{ all } N, \partial N = \partial M$$

Theorem. (J. Simons 1967 +)

Area-minimizers are smooth for $n \leq 7$. But for $n = 8$,

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_4^2 = x_5^2 + \cdots + x_8^2 \quad \text{minimizes.}$$

Universal bounds, $n \leq 7$

Suppose M is area-minimizing in B_1 ,
 $0 \in M$. After rotation, in $B_{1/100}$,

$$M = \{x_n = g(x')\}$$

$$|\nabla g| + |D^2 g| \leq 100$$

Theorem. Energy-minimizing free boundaries are smooth in \mathbf{R}^n , $n = 3, 4$.
(Caffarelli, J-, Kenig 2002; J-, Savin 2015)

Theorem. Energy-minimizing free boundaries are smooth in \mathbf{R}^n , $n = 3, 4$.
(Caffarelli, J-, Kenig 2002; J-, Savin 2015)

There are singular minimizing cones $n \geq 7$.
(De Silva, J-, 2006)

Dimensions $n = 5, 6$ are open.

STABILITY

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} J(u + \varepsilon v)|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ = \int (2|\nabla v|^2 + F''(u)v^2) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

STABILITY

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{d\varepsilon^2} J(u + \varepsilon v)|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ = \int (2|\nabla v|^2 + F''(u)v^2) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Linearization of $2\Delta u - F'(u) = 0$

$$\langle (-2\Delta + F''(u))v, v \rangle \geq 0$$

SUBSOLUTION METHOD

Positive subsolution \iff instability.

$$Lv = (-2\Delta + F''(u))v < 0; \quad v > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

and $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$0 > \int_{\Omega} (Lv)v = \int_{\Omega} (2|\nabla v|^2 + F''(u)v^2)$$

Stability for minimal hypersurface M :

$$\int_M f^2 |A|^2 d\text{vol} \leq \int_M |\nabla f|^2 d\text{vol}$$

Stability for minimal hypersurface M :

$$\int_M f^2 |A|^2 d\text{vol} \leq \int_M |\nabla f|^2 d\text{vol}$$

Instability \iff Positive subsolution:

$$\Delta f > |A|^2 f, \quad f \geq 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(M)$$

Stability for minimal hypersurface M :

$$\int_M f^2 |A|^2 d\text{vol} \leq \int_M |\nabla f|^2 d\text{vol}$$

Instability \iff Positive subsolution:

$$\Delta f > |A|^2 f, \quad f \geq 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(M)$$

Simons: On cones

$$f = |A|\psi(|x|), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

Stability for minimal hypersurface M :

$$\int_M f^2 |A|^2 d\text{vol} \leq \int_M |\nabla f|^2 d\text{vol}$$

Stability for energy-minimizing u :

$$\int_{\partial\Gamma} \phi^2 H d\sigma \leq \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla\phi|^2 dx$$

$$(\Gamma = \{u > 0\})$$

Area instability \iff Positive subsolution:

$$\Delta f > |A|^2 f, \quad f \geq 0, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(M)$$

Energy instability \iff Pos. subsolution:

$$\Delta \varphi > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma; \quad \varphi_\nu \geq H\varphi \text{ in } \partial\Gamma; \quad \varphi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Gamma})$$
$$(\varphi \geq 0)$$

Simons: On cones

$$f = |A|\psi(|x|), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

Energy functional analogue:

$$\varphi = |D^2 u|^\alpha \psi(|x|), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

Simons: On cones

$$f = |A|\psi(|x|), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

Energy functional analogue:

$$\varphi = |D^2 u|^\alpha \psi(|x|), \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

Goal: $\varphi \geq 0$, $\Delta \varphi \geq 0$ on Γ ; $\varphi_\nu \geq H\varphi$ on $\partial\Gamma$

Plan

- ▶ 1st and 2nd variations
- ▶ Stability and subsolutions
- ▶ Free boundary regularity for $n = 3, 4$
- ▶ Higher critical points, topology
- ▶ Higher dimensions; $n \rightarrow \infty$?

WARM-UP: Optimal $\alpha > 0$ for which

$$\Delta u = 0 \implies \Delta |D^k u|^\alpha \geq 0 \quad (\text{Cald.-Zyg})$$

WARM-UP: Optimal $\alpha > 0$ for which

$$\Delta u = 0 \implies \Delta |D^k u|^\alpha \geq 0 \quad (\text{Cald.-Zyg})$$

$$w = |Du| \implies \Delta w^\alpha \geq 0, \quad \alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{n-1}$$

$$(\Delta(\log w) \geq 0, \quad n = 2.)$$

$$w^2 = \sum_j u_j^2 \implies 2ww_k = 2 \sum_j u_j u_{jk}$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = |D^2 u|^2$$

$$w^2 = \sum_j u_j^2 \implies 2ww_k = 2 \sum_j u_j u_{jk}$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = |D^2 u|^2$$

$$D^2 u = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$$

$$w^2 = \sum_j u_j^2 \implies 2ww_k = 2 \sum_j u_j u_{jk}$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = |D^2 u|^2$$

$$D^2 u = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n]$$

$$w_k = \lambda_k u_k / w \implies |\nabla w|^2 \leq \max_k \lambda_k^2$$

Since $\Delta u = 0$,

$$\lambda_n^2 = (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1})^2 \leq (n-1)(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1}^2)$$

Since $\Delta u = 0$,

$$\lambda_n^2 = (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1})^2 \leq (n-1)(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^2 &= \frac{1}{n}\lambda_n^2 + \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda_n^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n}(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^2) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n}|D^2u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta u = 0$,

$$\lambda_n^2 = (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1})^2 \leq (n-1)(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_{n-1}^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n^2 &= \frac{1}{n}\lambda_n^2 + \frac{n-1}{n}\lambda_n^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n}(\lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_n^2) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n}|D^2u|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$|\nabla w|^2 \leq \max_k \lambda_k^2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n}|D^2u|^2$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = |D^2 u|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1} |\nabla w|^2$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = |D^2 u|^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1} |\nabla w|^2$$

$$w\Delta w \geq \frac{1}{n-1} |\nabla w|^2$$

$$\Delta w^\alpha \geq 0, \quad \alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

ELLIPTIC VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS

David Jerison (MIT)

Jan 2017

MSRI Lecture 2: Free Boundary Regularity

Regularity of free boundary energy minimizers

$n = 2$, Alt, Caffarelli, Friedman (ACF) 1984

$n = 3$, Caffarelli, Jerison, Kenig (CJK) 2002

$n = 4$, Jerison, Savin 2015

Theorem If $n \leq 4$ and u minimizes

$$J(v) := \int_B [|\nabla v|^2 + 1_{v>0}] dx, \quad B \subset \mathbf{R}^n,$$

among all $v = u$ on ∂B , then

$B \cap \partial\{u > 0\}$ is smooth;

$\Delta u = 0$ in $\{u > 0\}$ and $\{u \leq 0\}^\circ$;

$|\nabla u^+|^2 - |\nabla u^-|^2 = 1$ on $B \cap \partial\{u > 0\}$.

Proof Outline

- ▶ Blow up limits exist (ACF monotonicity)
- ▶ Limits are cones (Weiss monotonicity)
- ▶ Two-phase limits are planar (ACF)
- ▶ Characterize one-phase limits
- ▶ Flat implies smooth (Caffarelli)

Proof Outline

- ▶ Blow up limits exist (ACF monotonicity)
- ▶ Limits are cones (Weiss monotonicity)
- ▶ Two-phase limits are planar (ACF)
- ▶ One-phase limits are planar!
- ▶ Flat implies smooth (Caffarelli)

One-phase Limit: Stable Cone Γ

$\Delta u = 0, u > 0, u(rx) = ru(x)$ in Γ .
 $u = 0$ and $|\nabla u|^2 = 1$ on $\partial\Gamma$.

$$\int_{\partial\Gamma} H\varphi^2 d\sigma \leq \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx$$

By induction, $S^{n-1} \cap \partial\Gamma$ is smooth.
Moreover, we will only need test
functions $\varphi \geq 0$,

$$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U \cap \bar{\Gamma}),$$

$$U = \{0 < a < |x| < b\}.$$

Mean Curvature H

Rotate and translate so locally

$$\Gamma = \{x_n > g(x')\}, \quad \nabla g(0) = 0.$$

Then $u(x', g(x')) = 0$ implies for $i, j < n$,

$$u_j = 0, \quad u_{ij} = -u_n g_{ij} = -g_{ij} \quad \text{at } 0.$$

Mean Curvature H

Rotate and translate so locally

$$\Gamma = \{x_n > g(x')\}, \quad \nabla g(0) = 0.$$

Then $u(x', g(x')) = 0$ implies for $i, j < n$,

$$u_j = 0, \quad u_{ij} = -u_n g_{ij} = -g_{ij} \quad \text{at } 0.$$

$$\Delta u = 0 \implies u_{nn} = \sum_{j < n} g_{jj} =: -H.$$

$\Delta|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ and $|\nabla u|^2$ bounded in Γ imply

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq 1 \quad (\text{Maximum principle})$$

$\Delta|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ and $|\nabla u|^2$ bounded in Γ imply

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq 1 \quad (\text{Maximum principle})$$

$$\partial_n |\nabla u|^2 < 0 \quad (\text{Hopf lemma})$$

$\Delta|\nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ and $|\nabla u|^2$ bounded in Γ imply

$$|\nabla u|^2 \leq 1 \quad (\text{Maximum principle})$$

$$\partial_n |\nabla u|^2 < 0 \quad (\text{Hopf lemma})$$

$$\partial_n |\nabla u|^2 = \sum_j 2u_j u_{nj} = 2u_{nn} = -2H.$$

Hence $-u_{\nu\nu} = H > 0$.

$$u_\varepsilon = (u - \varepsilon\varphi)^+, \quad S_\varepsilon = \{0 < u < \varepsilon\varphi\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_\varepsilon) &= J(u) - 2\varepsilon \int_\Gamma \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + \varepsilon^2 \int_\Gamma |\nabla \varphi|^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{S_\varepsilon} [|\nabla u|^2 + 1] + 2\varepsilon \int_{S_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

$$u_\varepsilon = (u - \varepsilon\varphi)^+, \quad S_\varepsilon = \{0 < u < \varepsilon\varphi\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_\varepsilon) &= J(u) + 2\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi d\sigma + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla\varphi|^2 \\ &\quad - \int_{S_\varepsilon} [|\nabla u|^2 + 1] + 2\varepsilon \int_{S_\varepsilon} \nabla u \cdot \nabla\varphi + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

$$u_\varepsilon = (u - \varepsilon\varphi)^+, \quad S_\varepsilon = \{0 < u < \varepsilon\varphi\}.$$

$$J(u_\varepsilon) = J(u) + 2\varepsilon \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi d\sigma + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla\varphi|^2 \\ - \int_{S_\varepsilon} [|\nabla u|^2 + 1] + 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi\varphi_\nu d\sigma + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

$$x_t = x_0 - t\nu \quad (\nu \text{ inner unit normal})$$

$$dx = (1 + tH + O(t^2))dt d\sigma,$$
$$|\nabla u(x_t)|^2 = 1 - 2tH + O(t^2).$$

$$x_t = x_0 - t\nu \quad (\nu \text{ inner unit normal})$$

$$dx = (1 + tH + O(t^2)) dt d\sigma,$$
$$|\nabla u(x_t)|^2 = 1 - 2tH + O(t^2).$$

$$S_\varepsilon : 0 < u < \varepsilon\varphi \iff 0 < t < \bar{t},$$

$$\bar{t} = \varepsilon\varphi + \varepsilon^2 \left(\frac{H}{2}\varphi^2 - \varphi\varphi_\nu \right) + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

SUMMARY

If u is a critical point and $u_\varepsilon = (u - \varepsilon\varphi)^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_\varepsilon) - J(u) \\ = \varepsilon^2 \int_\Gamma |\nabla\varphi|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\partial\Gamma} H\varphi^2 d\sigma + O(\varepsilon^3) \end{aligned}$$

SUBSOLUTION: $U = \{a < |x| < b\}$.

$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

$\Delta\varphi \geq 0$ in $U \cap \Gamma$, $\varphi_\nu \geq -H\varphi$ on $\partial\Gamma$.

SUBSOLUTION: $U = \{a < |x| < b\}$.

$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

$\Delta\varphi \geq 0$ in $U \cap \Gamma$, $\varphi_\nu \geq -H\varphi$ on $\partial\Gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U \cap \Gamma} |\nabla\varphi|^2 &= - \int_{U \cap \Gamma} \varphi \Delta\varphi - \int_{\partial\Gamma} \varphi \varphi_\nu d\sigma \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Gamma} H\varphi^2 d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

For instability, we need strictness somewhere.

$$w^2 := |D^2 u|^2 = \sum_{kl} u_{kl}^2.$$

$$\varphi = w^\alpha \psi(|x|), \quad 0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

If $n = 3$,

$$(w^\alpha)_v = -2\alpha H w^\alpha \implies \varphi_v = -2\alpha H \varphi$$

Hence $\varphi_v \geq -H\varphi$ for $\alpha \leq 1/2$.

Rotate so $D^2g(x_0)$ is diagonal.

Differentiating $|\nabla u(x', g(x'))|^2 = 1$ gives

$$u_{in} = 0, \quad u_{ijn} = 0 \quad i, j < n, i \neq j,$$

$$u_{iin} = u_{nn}u_{ii} - u_{ii}^2, \quad u_{nnn} = \sum u_{kk}^2.$$

Rotate so $D^2g(x_0)$ is diagonal.

Differentiating $|\nabla u(x', g(x'))|^2 = 1$ gives

$$u_{in} = 0, \quad u_{ijn} = 0 \quad i, j < n, i \neq j,$$

$$u_{iin} = u_{nn}u_{ii} - u_{ii}^2, \quad u_{nnn} = \sum u_{kk}^2.$$

$$\partial_n \sum u_{kl}^2 = 2 \sum u_{kl} u_{kln} = 2 \sum u_{kkn} u_{kk}.$$

Rotate so $D^2g(x_0)$ is diagonal.

Differentiating $|\nabla u(x', g(x'))|^2 = 1$ gives

$$u_{in} = 0, \quad u_{ijn} = 0 \quad i, j < n, i \neq j,$$

$$u_{iin} = \lambda_n \lambda_i - \lambda_i^2, \quad u_{nnn} = \sum \lambda_k^2.$$

Rotate so $D^2g(x_0)$ is diagonal.

Differentiating $|\nabla u(x', g(x'))|^2 = 1$ gives

$$u_{in} = 0, \quad u_{ijn} = 0 \quad i, j < n, i \neq j,$$

$$u_{iin} = \lambda_n \lambda_i - \lambda_i^2, \quad u_{nnn} = \sum \lambda_k^2.$$

$$\partial_n(w^2) = -4Hw^2 - 2 \sum \lambda_k^3 \quad (= -4Hw^2, n = 3).$$

$$\varphi = w^\alpha \psi(|x|), \quad 0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

If $n = 3$, there is ψ such that $\Delta\varphi \geq 0$ iff

$$\Delta(w^\alpha) \geq (\alpha - 1/2)^2 w^\alpha / |x|^2$$

$$\varphi = w^\alpha \psi(|x|), \quad 0 \leq \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$$

If $n = 3$, there is ψ such that $\Delta\varphi \geq 0$ iff

$$\Delta(w^\alpha) \geq (\alpha - 1/2)^2 w^\alpha / |x|^2$$

$$\Delta(w^\alpha) \geq (\alpha + 1)\alpha w^\alpha / |x|^2, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Hence φ is a subsolution iff $1/8 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$.

Compare our inequality for $w = |D^2 u|$,

$$\Delta(w^\alpha) \geq \alpha(\alpha + 1) \frac{w^\alpha}{|x|^2},$$

with Simons's inequality for $w = |A|$:

$$(\Delta + |A|^2)w \geq 2 \frac{w}{|x|^2}.$$

Δ , Laplace-Beltrami; A , 2nd fund. form

Lemma If u is harmonic, $w = |D^2 u|$, then

$$w\Delta w \geq \frac{2}{n}|\nabla w|^2.$$

If, in addition, $u(rx) = ru(x)$, then

$$w\Delta w \geq \frac{2}{n-1}|\nabla w|^2 + 2\frac{n-2}{n-1}\frac{w^2}{|x|^2}.$$

Bochner identities

$$w^2 := \sum u_{kl}^2, \quad \Delta u = 0.$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = \Delta(w^2) = 2 \sum u_{klm}^2.$$

Bochner identities

$$w^2 := \sum u_{kl}^2, \quad \Delta u = 0.$$

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 = \Delta(w^2) = 2 \sum u_{klm}^2.$$

$$w_m = \sum_{kl} u_{kl} u_{klm} / w = \sum_k \lambda_k u_{kk} u_{km} / w.$$

For $n = 4$, $\alpha \geq 1/3$ works:

$$\Delta w^{1/3} \geq \frac{4 w^{1/3}}{9 |x|^2}$$

yields $\Delta \phi \geq 0$ for appropriate $\psi(|x|)$.

For $n = 4$, $\alpha \geq 1/3$ works:

$$\Delta w^{1/3} \geq \frac{4 w^{1/3}}{9 |x|^2}$$

yields $\Delta \phi \geq 0$ for appropriate $\psi(|x|)$.

Unfortunately,

$$\phi_v \geq -H\phi \quad \text{fails!}$$

It's even worse for $n \geq 5$: no multiple of $H\phi$ is a lower bound for ϕ_v .

n=4: $\phi = w^{1/3} \psi(|x|)$, new w

$$w = \left(\sum_{\lambda_j > 0} \lambda_j^2 + 4 \sum_{\lambda_k < 0} \lambda_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Lemma. Suppose $\Delta u = 0$ and $u(rx) = ru(x)$. Let

$$w = S(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

with S symmetric, convex and homogeneous degree 1, and λ_j the eigenvalues of $D^2 u$.

Then

$$w\Delta w + |\nabla w|^2 \geq \frac{2}{n-1} |\nabla w|^2 + \frac{2(n-2)}{n-1} \frac{w^2}{|x|^2}$$

Eigenvalues of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

$$\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + O(\varepsilon^4); \quad \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

Eigenvalues of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

$$\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + O(\varepsilon^4); \quad \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} + O(\varepsilon^4).$$

(and $\lambda \pm \varepsilon$, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$)

Open Questions.

Suppose that $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, and

$$\Delta u = f(u).$$

What do level sets of u look like?

In Lecture 3, we will discuss $n = 2$, $n = 3$, and $n \rightarrow \infty$ by analogy with minimal surfaces.

Higher critical points, Isoperimetric sets

David Jerison (MIT)

MSRI, Lecture 3, Jan 2017

What else do we learn from minimal surface theory?

Theorems of Colding-Minicozzi

C-M Removable Singularities Theorem

$\forall \delta > 0, \exists C$, such that every minimal annulus

$$M \subset B_1 \setminus B_\varepsilon; \quad \partial M = \text{two loops in } \partial B_\varepsilon \cup \partial B_1,$$

satisfies

$$M \text{ is a } \delta\text{-Lipschitz graph in } B_{1/C} \setminus B_{C\varepsilon}$$

(Proof inserts stable surfaces)

Thm 1. (J-, Kamburov) Let $A^+ := \{u > 0\}$ be simply-connected in

$$\text{annulus } A = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \varepsilon < |x| < 1\},$$

and assume that the two strands of F connecting ∂D_1 to ∂D_ε don't get close to each other.

Then $\forall \delta > 0, \exists C$ such that

F is a δ -Lipschitz graph on $C\varepsilon < |x| < 1/C$

Thm 1. (J-, Kamburov) Let $A^+ := \{u > 0\}$ be simply-connected in

$$\text{annulus } A = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \varepsilon < |x| < 1\},$$

and assume that the two strands of F connecting ∂D_1 to ∂D_ε don't get close to each other.

Then $\forall \delta > 0, \exists C$ such that

F is a δ -Lipschitz graph on $C\varepsilon < |x| < 1/C$

Significance: rules out spirals.

In particular,

Flat implies Lipschitz

is valid for 2-dimensional, simply-connected phases with a small hole.

C-M Dichotomy for embedded minimal annuli.

- a) curvature is bounded, or
- b) near points of high curvature,
they resemble catenoids:

C-M Dichotomy for embedded minimal annuli.

- a) curvature is bounded, or
- b) near points of high curvature,
they resemble catenoids:

If $M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ ($\partial M =$ two loops in ∂B_1)

has neck size $\varepsilon > 0$ near the origin, then

$M \approx$ standard catenoid in $|x| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Thm 2. J-, Kamburov There is $c > 0$ such that if $0 \in \partial D^+$ and D^+ is simply-connected, then either

$B_c(0) \cap \partial D^+$ has one strand of bounded curvature
or it resembles a piece of a double hairpin HHP

Hauswirth, Hélein, Pacard 2012

$$S = \{\zeta = \xi + i\eta : |\eta| \leq \pi/2\}$$

$$\Omega := \varphi(S), \quad \varphi(\zeta) = i(\zeta + \sinh \zeta).$$

$$H(z) = \operatorname{Re} \cosh(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = (\cosh \xi)(\cos \eta)$$

Hauswirth, Hélein, Pacard 2012

$$S = \{\zeta = \xi + i\eta : |\eta| \leq \pi/2\}$$

$$\Omega := \varphi(S), \quad \varphi(\zeta) = i(\zeta + \sinh \zeta).$$

$$H(z) = \operatorname{Re} \cosh(\varphi^{-1}(z)) = (\cosh \xi)(\cos \eta)$$

$$H_a(z) = aH(z/a); \quad \Omega_a = a\Omega$$

Thm 3 (J-, Kamburov, Rigidity). $\forall \delta > 0$,
 $\exists c > 0$ such that if “neck size” is $\varepsilon > 0$ near 0, then

there is $a \approx \varepsilon$, $\psi : \Omega_a \cap B_c(0) \rightarrow D^+$

Near isometry: If $|z| < c$, then

$$|\psi'(z) - 1| \leq \delta, \quad z \in \Omega_a; \quad |\psi'(z)| = 1, \quad z \in \partial\Omega_a$$

Thm 3 (J-, Kamburov, Rigidity). $\forall \delta > 0$,
 $\exists c > 0$ such that if “neck size” is $\varepsilon > 0$ near 0, then

there is $a \approx \varepsilon$, $\psi : \Omega_a \cap B_c(0) \rightarrow D^+$

Near isometry: If $|z| < c$, then

$$|\psi'(z) - 1| \leq \delta, \quad z \in \Omega_a; \quad |\psi'(z)| = 1, \quad z \in \partial\Omega_a$$

Curvature bounds: If $|z| < c$, then

$$|\psi''(z)| \leq \delta, \quad z \in \Omega_a; \quad |\kappa(\psi(z)) - \kappa_a(z)| \leq \delta; \quad z \in \partial\Omega_a$$

Colding-Minicozzi: Embedded minimal annulus

$M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ with neck size $\varepsilon > 0$,

satisfies $M \approx$ standard catenoid, $|x| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$

Colding-Minicozzi: Embedded minimal annulus

$M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ with neck size $\varepsilon > 0$,

satisfies $M \approx$ standard catenoid, $|x| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$

Our rigidity extends the range to unit scale

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq |x| < 1/C.$$

Colding-Minicozzi: Embedded minimal annulus

$$M \subset B_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^3 \quad \text{with neck size } \varepsilon > 0,$$

satisfies $M \approx$ standard catenoid, $|x| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$

Our rigidity extends the range to unit scale

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq |x| < 1/C.$$

Traizet correspondence: free boundary solutions
 \longleftrightarrow minimal surfaces with reflection symmetry

The two theorems overlap in an easy, but illustrative special case.

TRAIZET CORRESPONDENCE

$$dX_1 + idX_2 = \frac{1}{2}d\bar{z} - 2 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right)^2 dz$$

$$z \mapsto (X_1, X_2, \pm u(z))$$

The image is an immersed minimal surface with symmetry $x_3 \leftrightarrow -x_3$. Moreover,

$$|\nabla u| < 1 \iff \text{embedded}$$

Open Questions.

Suppose that $u : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\Delta u = f(u).$$

What do the level sets of u look like?

Open Questions.

Suppose that $u : \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\Delta u = f(u).$$

What do the level sets of u look like?

Conjecture 1. If $\{u > 0\}$ and $\{u \leq 0\}$ are contractible, then $u = w(a \cdot x)$.

Conjecture 2. (De Giorgi/Calabi-Yau type):
Suppose $\Delta u = f(u)$ in \mathbf{R}^3 , $\nabla u \neq 0$, and/or u has finite topology level sets. If one level set is contained in a half space,

$$\{u = 0\} \subset \{x_3 > 0\},$$

then

$$u(x) = w(x_3)$$

What estimates work for all n ?

What estimates work for all n ?

Bombieri-Giusti/Almgren, De Giorgi
Quantitative connectivity:

$$\left(\int_{B(cr)} |f - \bar{f}|^p d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \leq C \int_{B(r)} |\nabla f| d\sigma$$

Bombieri-Giusti 1972

Scale-invariant Harnack:

$$\sup_{B(r)} u \leq C \inf_{B(r)} u$$

for positive solutions to Laplace-Beltrami

$$\Delta u = 0.$$

Bombieri-Giusti 1972

Scale-invariant Harnack:

$$\sup_{B(r)} u \leq C \inf_{B(r)} u$$

for positive solutions to Laplace-Beltrami

$$\Delta u = 0.$$

Corollary. A global area-minimizing surface in a half-space is a hyperplane. (Miranda)

Conjecture 3. Isoperimetric subsets of symmetric convex bodies are contractible: bounded by smooth graphs in all dimensions.

Conjecture 3. Isoperimetric subsets of symmetric convex bodies are contractible: bounded by smooth graphs in all dimensions.

Neumann boundary condition implies no Simons cone!

Sternberg-Zumbrun 1990-92

Connectivity in isoperimetric case

$$\left(\int_{B(r)} |f|^2 d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \leq C(r) \int_{B(r)} |\nabla f|^2 d\sigma,$$

$f \in C_0^\infty(B(r))$, using stability.

G. David, DJ (work in progress)

Another version of connectivity:

Intrinsic distance \approx extrinsic distance

G. David, DJ (work in progress)

Another version of connectivity:

Intrinsic distance \approx extrinsic distance

The embedding is proper = key step in CM
proof of Calabi-Yau conjecture.

Why does connectivity help?

Why does connectivity help?

Bombieri, De Giorgi, Miranda 1969:

The L^∞ bound on a minimal graph implies a Lipschitz bound (hence a C^∞ bound).

Why does connectivity help?

Bombieri, De Giorgi, Miranda 1969:

The L^∞ bound on a minimal graph implies a Lipschitz bound (hence a C^∞ bound).

2006 proof using Harnack by De Silva, J-.

Also valid in free boundary setting

Conjecture 4. There is $c < 1$ such that if Ω is convex, symmetric and $E \subset \Omega$ has least perimeter for $|E| = |\Omega|/2$, then

$$\Omega \cap \partial E \subset \{-a < x_n < a\},$$

$$|\Omega \cap \{-a < x_n < a\}| \leq c|\Omega|.$$

C. Borell 1975. Isoperimetric subsets of gauss space are half spaces for all n .

S. G. Bobkov 1999. Isoperimetric subsets for log-concave densities on the real line are half lines.

Hot spots conjecture of J. Rauch.

The hottest spot of an insulated region tends to the boundary as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Hot spots conjecture of J. Rauch.

The hottest spot of an insulated region tends to the boundary as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

My favorite version: The first nontrivial Neumann eigenfunction of a symmetric convex domain is monotone in some direction.

KLS Conjecture A least perimeter bisector of a convex set has area comparable to the best bisecting hypersurface.

December 2016 progress by Lee and Vempala

KLS Conjecture A least perimeter bisector of a convex set has area comparable to the best bisecting hypersurface.

December 2016 progress by Lee and Vempala
Our Conjecture 3 is that the extremal interface is a Lipschitz graph, that is, it resembles a hyperplane.