

A cone restriction estimate using polynomial partitioning

Yumeng Ou

MIT

Recent developments in harmonic analysis
MSRI Workshop
May 15 2017

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

(Restriction) problem

Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface with surface measure $d\sigma$, find the optimal range of (p, q) s.t.

$$\|\hat{f}|_S\|_{L^q(S; d\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

(Restriction) problem

Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface with surface measure $d\sigma$, find the optimal range of (p, q) s.t.

$$\|\hat{f}|_S\|_{L^q(S; d\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

- $f \in L^1 \implies \hat{f}$ is continuous, bounded \implies all $q \in [1, \infty]$ work.
- $f \in L^2 \implies \hat{f} \in L^2 \implies$ all $q \in [1, \infty]$ fail.

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

(Restriction) problem

Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface with surface measure $d\sigma$, find the optimal range of (p, q) s.t.

$$\|\hat{f}|_S\|_{L^q(S; d\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

- $f \in L^1 \implies \hat{f}$ is continuous, bounded \implies all $q \in [1, \infty]$ work.
- $f \in L^2 \implies \hat{f} \in L^2 \implies$ all $q \in [1, \infty]$ fail.

What happens if $1 < p < 2$?

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

(Restriction) problem

Let S be a smooth compact hypersurface with surface measure $d\sigma$, find the optimal range of (p, q) s.t.

$$\|\hat{f}|_S\|_{L^q(S; d\sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

- $f \in L^1 \implies \hat{f}$ is continuous, bounded \implies all $q \in [1, \infty]$ work.
- $f \in L^2 \implies \hat{f} \in L^2 \implies$ all $q \in [1, \infty]$ fail.

What happens if $1 < p < 2$?

Equivalent (extension) problem

$$\|E_S g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{q'}(S; d\sigma)}, \quad E_S g(x) := \int_S g(\xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\sigma(\xi).$$

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

- Related problems: Kakeya conjecture, Bochner-Riesz conjecture, spacetime norms of solution to certain PDE, etc.

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

- Related problems: Kakeya conjecture, Bochner-Riesz conjecture, spacetime norms of solution to certain PDE, etc.
- S needs to contain sufficient curvature. Prototype examples: compact subsets of sphere, paraboloid, **cone**:

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(\xi, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : \xi_n = |\xi|, 1 \leq \xi_j \leq 2, \forall j\},$$

$$Ef(x) := E_{\mathcal{C}}f = \int_{2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}} e^{i(x_1\xi_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}\xi_{n-1} + x_n|\xi|)} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Fourier restriction (extension) estimate

- Related problems: Kakeya conjecture, Bochner-Riesz conjecture, spacetime norms of solution to certain PDE, etc.
- S needs to contain sufficient curvature. Prototype examples: compact subsets of sphere, paraboloid, **cone**:

$$\mathcal{C} := \{(\xi, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : \xi_n = |\xi|, 1 \leq \xi_j \leq 2, \forall j\},$$

$$Ef(x) := E_{\mathcal{C}}f = \int_{2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}} e^{i(x_1\xi_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}\xi_{n-1} + x_n|\xi|)} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Cone restriction conjecture

For all $p > \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$, $q' \leq \frac{n-2}{n}p$, there holds

$$\|Ef\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{q'}(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}.$$

Conjecture: $\|Ef\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_q$ for $p > \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$, $q' \leq \frac{n-2}{n}p$

The conjecture was solved only in $n = 3$ ([Barcelo '85]) and $n = 4$ ([Wolff '01]).

Conjecture: $\|Ef\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_q$ for $p > \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$, $q' \leq \frac{n-2}{n}p$

The conjecture was solved only in $n = 3$ ([Barcelo '85]) and $n = 4$ ([Wolff '01]).

Theorem (O-Wang '17)

For $n = 5$, the cone restriction estimate holds in the full conjectured range $p > \frac{8}{3}$, $q' \leq \frac{3}{5}p$.

Conjecture: $\|Ef\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_q$ for $p > \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}$, $q' \leq \frac{n-2}{n}p$

The conjecture was solved only in $n = 3$ ([Barcelo '85]) and $n = 4$ ([Wolff '01]).

Theorem (O-Wang '17)

For $n = 5$, the cone restriction estimate holds in the full conjectured range $p > \frac{8}{3}$, $q' \leq \frac{3}{5}p$.

Theorem (O-Wang '17)

For $n \geq 3$, there holds $\|Ef\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}$ whenever

$$p > \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 2 \cdot \frac{3n+1}{3n-3} & \text{if } n > 3 \text{ odd,} \\ 2 \cdot \frac{3n}{3n-4} & \text{if } n > 3 \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

By “ ϵ -removal”, it suffices to prove the localized version

$$\|Ef\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^q(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}.$$


Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

By “ ϵ -removal”, it suffices to prove the localized version

$$\|Ef\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^q(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}.$$

Decomposing cone \mathcal{C} into strips τ with radius K^{-1} yields

$$Ef = \sum_{\tau} Ef_{\tau}, \quad \#\{\tau\} \lesssim K^{n-2} \quad (K < R^\epsilon).$$



- Frequency: τ is contained in a rectangular box of sidelengths $1 \times K^{-1} \times \dots \times K^{-1} \times K^{-2}$;
- Space: Ef_{τ} is essentially supported and constant in its dual tubes of sidelengths $1 \times K \times \dots \times K \times K^2$.
 $G(\tau)$: long direction of the tubes.

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

Decompose B_R into small balls B_{K^2} . For each B_{K^2} , let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$: $(k-1)$ dim subspace ($2 \leq k \leq n$).

$$\|Ef\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})} \lesssim \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{\tau \in V} Ef_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}}_{\text{Narrow}} + \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{\tau \notin V} Ef_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}}_{\text{Broad}}.$$

“ $\tau \in V$ ”: $G(\tau)$ is close to V up to angle K^{-1} .

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

Decompose B_R into small balls B_{K^2} . For each B_{K^2} , let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$: $(k-1)$ dim subspace ($2 \leq k \leq n$).

$$\|Ef\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})} \lesssim \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{\tau \in V} Ef_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}}_{\text{Narrow}} + \underbrace{\left\| \sum_{\tau \notin V} Ef_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}}_{\text{Broad}}.$$

“ $\tau \in V$ ”: $G(\tau)$ is close to V up to angle K^{-1} .

1). **Narrow part**: decoupling + Hölder’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\tau \in V} Ef_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p &\lesssim K^\delta \left(\sum_{\tau \in V} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq K^{C(\delta, k, p)} \sum_{\tau \in V} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

Sum over B_{K^2} :

$$\| \sum_{\tau \in V} E f_{\tau} \|_{L^p(B_R)}^p \lesssim K^{C(\delta, k, p)} \sum_{\tau} \| E f_{\tau} \|_{L^p(B_R)}^p.$$

- ① Lorentz rescaling: blow up the scale of τ , shrink the scale of B_R .
- ② Induct on R : induction closes when $p > p_1(k, n)$.

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

Sum over B_{K^2} :

$$\left\| \sum_{\tau \in V} E f_{\tau} \right\|_{L^p(B_R)}^p \lesssim K^{C(\delta, k, p)} \sum_{\tau} \|E f_{\tau}\|_{L^p(B_R)}^p.$$

- ① Lorentz rescaling: blow up the scale of τ , shrink the scale of B_R .
- ② Induct on R : induction closes when $p > p_1(k, n)$.

2) **Broad part**: “ k -broad” restriction estimate for $p > p_2(k, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{B_{K^2} \subset B_R} \left\| \sum_{\tau \notin V} E f_{\tau} \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p &\lesssim \sum_{B_{K^2} \subset B_R} K^{O(1)} \max_{\tau \notin V} \|E f_{\tau}\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \\ &\lesssim C(K, \epsilon) R^{p\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^q}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Reduce to “ k -broad” restriction estimate

Sum over B_{K^2} :

$$\left\| \sum_{\tau \in V} E f_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_R)}^p \lesssim K^{C(\delta, k, p)} \sum_{\tau} \|E f_\tau\|_{L^p(B_R)}^p.$$

- ① Lorentz rescaling: blow up the scale of τ , shrink the scale of B_R .
- ② Induct on R : induction closes when $p > p_1(k, n)$.

2) **Broad part**: “ k -broad” restriction estimate for $p > p_2(k, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{B_{K^2} \subset B_R} \left\| \sum_{\tau \notin V} E f_\tau \right\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p &\lesssim \sum_{B_{K^2} \subset B_R} K^{O(1)} \max_{\tau \notin V} \|E f_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \\ &\lesssim C(K, \epsilon) R^{p\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^q}^p. \end{aligned}$$

3) Find the best k that balances $p_1(k, n), p_2(k, n)$.

k-broad norm

$$\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) := \min_{V_1, \dots, V_A(k-1)\text{-subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\max_{\tau \notin V_a, \forall a} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \right)$$

gives rise to a measure on any open set U

$$\|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(U)}^p := \mu_{Ef}(U) := \sum_{B_{K^2} \subset U} \mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}).$$

Theorem (O-Wang '17)

For any $2 \leq k \leq n$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is a large constant A s.t.

$$\|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(B_R)} \lesssim_{K,\epsilon} R^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^2(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}$$

holds for all K and $p \geq \bar{p}(k, n) = 2 \cdot \frac{n+k}{n+k-2}$.

Why need so many $(k - 1)$ -subspace V_1, \dots, V_A ?

Unlike L^p , $\|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(U)}$ is not literally a norm. But for A sufficiently large, it satisfies for all $A = A_1 + A_2$

- Triangle inequality

$$\|E(f_1 + f_2)\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(U)} \lesssim \|Ef_1\|_{BL_{k,A_1}^p(U)} + \|Ef_2\|_{BL_{k,A_2}^p(U)}.$$

- Hölder's inequality

$$\|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(U)} \leq \|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A_1}^{p_1}(U)}^\alpha \|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A_2}^{p_2}(U)}^{1-\alpha},$$

where $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\alpha}{p_1} + \frac{1-\alpha}{p_2}$.

k-broad V.S. k-linear

k-linear restriction conjecture

If f_j is supported in U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset 2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}$ are transversal, i.e. $|G(U_1) \wedge \dots \wedge G(U_k)| \gtrsim 1$, then

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^k |Ef_j|^{1/k} \right\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}^{1/k}$$

whenever $p \geq \bar{p}(k, n) := 2 \cdot \frac{n+k}{n+k-2}$.

k-broad V.S. k-linear

k-linear restriction conjecture

If f_j is supported in U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset 2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}$ are transversal, i.e. $|G(U_1) \wedge \dots \wedge G(U_k)| \gtrsim 1$, then

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^k |Ef_j|^{1/k} \right\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}^{1/k}$$

whenever $p \geq \bar{p}(k, n) := 2 \cdot \frac{n+k}{n+k-2}$.

- Only known for $k = 2, n$ ([Wolff '01], [Bennett-Carbery-Tao '06]).

k-broad V.S. k-linear

k-linear restriction conjecture

If f_j is supported in U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset 2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}$ are transversal, i.e. $|G(U_1) \wedge \dots \wedge G(U_k)| \gtrsim 1$, then

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^k |Ef_j|^{1/k} \right\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}^{1/k}$$

whenever $p \geq \bar{p}(k, n) := 2 \cdot \frac{n+k}{n+k-2}$.

- Only known for $k = 2, n$ ([Wolff '01], [Bennett-Carbery-Tao '06]).
- k-broad estimate is a weaker substitute for this.

k-broad V.S. k-linear

k-linear restriction conjecture


If f_j is supported in U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $U_1, \dots, U_k \subset 2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}$ are transversal, i.e. $|G(U_1) \wedge \dots \wedge G(U_k)| \gtrsim 1$, then

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^k |Ef_j|^{1/k} \right\|_{L^p(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \prod_{j=1}^k \|f_j\|_{L^2(2B^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1})}^{1/k}$$

whenever $p \geq \bar{p}(k, n) := 2 \cdot \frac{n+k}{n+k-2}$.

- Only known for $k = 2, n$ ([Wolff '01], [Bennett-Carbery-Tao '06]).
- k -broad estimate is a weaker substitute for this.
- Some schemes of using bilinear/multilinear restriction to prove linear restriction: [Wolff '01], [Tao '02], [Bourgain-Guth '11].

Wave packet decomposition



Wave packet decomposition: $f = \sum_{\theta, v} f_{\theta, v} + \text{RapDec}(R) \|f\|_{L^2}$.

- $\text{supp } f_{\theta, v} \subset \theta$, $E f_{\theta, v}$ is essentially supported and constant on $T_{\theta, v}$.
- Orthogonality: $\left\| \sum_{\theta, v} f_{\theta, v} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \approx \sum_{\theta, v} \|f_{\theta, v}\|_{L^2}^2$.

Polynomial partitioning

Theorem (Guth '16)

Fix constant D , there exists a polynomial P on \mathbb{R}^n with $\deg(P) \leq D$ s.t. $Z(P)$ divides $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(P)$ into disjoint union of $\sim D^n$ open sets O_i with equal measure $\mu_{Ef}(O_i)$, where μ_{Ef} is the measure determined by

$$\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) = \min_{V_1, \dots, V_{A(k-1)} \text{-subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\max_{\tau \notin V_a, \forall a} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \right).$$

Polynomial partitioning

Theorem (Guth '16)

Fix constant D , there exists a polynomial P on \mathbb{R}^n with $\deg(P) \leq D$ s.t. $Z(P)$ divides $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(P)$ into disjoint union of $\sim D^n$ open sets O_i with equal measure $\mu_{Ef}(O_i)$, where μ_{Ef} is the measure determined by

$$\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) = \min_{V_1, \dots, V_A (k-1)\text{-subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\max_{\tau \notin V_a, \forall a} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \right).$$

- Property: a straight line (not contained in $Z(P)$) can cross $Z(P)$ at most D times.

Polynomial partitioning

Theorem (Guth '16)


Fix constant D , there exists a polynomial P on \mathbb{R}^n with $\deg(P) \leq D$ s.t. $Z(P)$ divides $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(P)$ into disjoint union of $\sim D^n$ open sets O_i with equal measure $\mu_{Ef}(O_i)$, where μ_{Ef} is the measure determined by

$$\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) = \min_{V_1, \dots, V_A(k-1)\text{-subspace of } \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\max_{\tau \notin V_a, \forall a} \|Ef_\tau\|_{L^p(B_{K^2})}^p \right).$$

- Property: a straight line (not contained in $Z(P)$) can cross $Z(P)$ at most D times.
- Fatten up $Z(P)$ to wall $W = N_{R^{1/2}}(Z(P))$: make sure that each $T_{\theta, v}$ can intersect at most D cells $\tilde{O}_i := O_i \setminus W$.

Three cases

Which part of B_R and f makes the most contribution to $\mu_{Ef}(B_R)$?



- $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i)$ (Cellular case)
- $\mu_{Ef_{\text{trans}}}(W)$ where f_{trans} concentrates on the wave packets cutting cross Z (Transversal case)
- $\mu_{Ef_{\text{tang}}}(W)$ where f_{tang} concentrates on the wave packets tangential to Z (Tangential case)

Cellular case $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i)$: induct on # of wave packets

Recall $\#\{\tilde{O}_i\} \sim D^n$. $f_i := \sum_{T_{\theta,v} \cap \tilde{O}_i \neq \emptyset} f_{\theta,v} \implies \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) = \mu_{Ef_i}(\tilde{O}_i)$.

Cellular case $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i)$: induct on # of wave packets

Recall $\#\{\tilde{O}_i\} \sim D^n$. $f_i := \sum_{T_{\theta,v} \cap \tilde{O}_i \neq \emptyset} f_{\theta,v} \implies \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) = \mu_{Ef_i}(\tilde{O}_i)$.

- $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) \gtrsim \mu_{Ef}(B_R)$

$$\implies \text{most } i \text{ satisfies } \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) \gtrsim D^{-n} \mu_{Ef}(B_R). \quad (3.1)$$

- Each $T_{\theta,v}$ intersects $\lesssim D$ different \tilde{O}_i 's $\implies \sum_i \|f_i\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim D \|f\|_{L^2}^2$

$$\implies \text{most } i \text{ satisfies } \|f_i\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim D^{1-n} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Cellular case $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i)$: induct on # of wave packets

Recall $\#\{\tilde{O}_i\} \sim D^n$. $f_i := \sum_{T_{\theta,v} \cap \tilde{O}_i \neq \emptyset} f_{\theta,v} \implies \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) = \mu_{Ef_i}(\tilde{O}_i)$.

- $\sum_i \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) \gtrsim \mu_{Ef}(B_R)$

$$\implies \text{most } i \text{ satisfies } \mu_{Ef}(\tilde{O}_i) \gtrsim D^{-n} \mu_{Ef}(B_R). \quad (3.1)$$

- Each $T_{\theta,v}$ intersects $\lesssim D$ different \tilde{O}_i 's $\implies \sum_i \|f_i\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim D \|f\|_{L^2}^2$

$$\implies \text{most } i \text{ satisfies } \|f_i\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim D^{1-n} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.2)$$


Fix i satisfying both, then

$$\mu_{Ef}(B_R) \stackrel{(3.1)}{\lesssim} D^n \mu_{Ef_i}(\tilde{O}_i) \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} D^n R^{\epsilon p} \|f_i\|_{L^2}^p \stackrel{(3.2)}{\lesssim} R^{\epsilon p} D^n D^{(1-n)p/2} \|f\|_{L^2}^p.$$

*: Induction hypothesis. Induction closes when $n + (1 - n)p/2 \leq 0$.


Transversal case $\mu_{E} f_{\text{trans}}(W)$: induct on R

Cover W with balls $\{B_j\}$ of radius $\rho = R^{1-\delta}$. $f_j := \sum_{T_{\theta,v} \cap B_j \neq \emptyset} f_{\theta,v}$.



Transversal case $\mu_{Ef_{\text{trans}}}(W)$: induct on R

Cover W with balls $\{B_j\}$ of radius $\rho = R^{1-\delta}$. $f_j := \sum_{T_{\theta,v} \cap B_j \neq \emptyset} f_{\theta,v}$.




$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{Ef_{\text{trans}}}(W) \\ & \leq \sum_j \mu_{Ef_j}(B_j \cap W) \\ & \stackrel{*}{\lesssim} \sum_j \rho^{\epsilon p} \|f_j\|_{L^2}^p \leq \rho^{\epsilon p} \left(\sum_j \|f_j\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ & \stackrel{**}{\lesssim} \rho^{\epsilon p} D^{np/2} \|f\|_{L^2}^p \leq R^{\epsilon p} \|f\|_{L^2}^p. \end{aligned}$$

(*: Induction hypothesis. **: Each $T_{\theta,v}$ can transversely cut through at most D^n B_j 's.)


Tangential case $\mu_{E f_{\text{tang}}}(W)$: reduce dimension

This essentially becomes a restriction problem in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , on which we perform another polynomial partitioning.



Tangential case $\mu_{Ef_{\text{tang}}}(W)$: reduce dimension


This essentially becomes a restriction problem in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , on which we perform another polynomial partitioning.



- Cellular case
- **Tangential case**: induct on dimension m of the variety Z . (Base case: $m = k - 1$, $\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) = 0$, $\forall B_{K^2}$.)


Tangential case $\mu_{Ef_{\text{tang}}}(W)$: reduce dimension

This essentially becomes a restriction problem in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , on which we perform another polynomial partitioning.




- Cellular case
- **Tangential case**: induct on dimension m of the variety Z . (Base case: $m = k - 1$, $\mu_{Ef}(B_{K^2}) = 0$, $\forall B_{K^2}$.)
- **Transversal case**: the hard part!

The hard case: tangential in \mathbb{R}^n , transversal in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}



- Cover $W = N_{R^{1/2}}(Z^{n-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with balls $\{B_j\}$ of radius $\rho < R$.
- Induct on R : for $\rho < R$, need new (smaller) wave packets $f = \sum_{\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}} f_{\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}} + \text{RapDec}(\rho) \|f\|_{L^2}$ (strips $\tilde{\theta}$ of radius $\rho^{-1/2}$).
- $f_{\theta, v}$ concentrates on small wave packets that are roughly inside $T_{\theta, v}$ with angle $\lesssim \rho^{-1/2}$.


The hard case: tangential in \mathbb{R}^n , transversal in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}



- The large and small wave packets are connected via medium tubes of sidelength $1 \times R^{1/2} \times \dots \times R^{1/2} \times \rho$. (Locally, mini directions are roughly the same.)
- Induction hypothesis: if $\text{supp } f \in N_{\rho^{1/2}}(Z^n)$ whose wave packets $T_{\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}}$ are tangent to Z^n (**Angle** $< \rho^{-1/2}$, $T_{\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{v}} \subset N_{\rho^{1/2}}(Z)$) and transversal to Z^{n-1} , then $\|Ef\|_{BL_{k,A}^p(B_j)} \lesssim \rho^\epsilon \|f\|_{L^2}$.
- **Angle condition** is satisfied, but **distance condition** is not.


The hard case: tangential in \mathbb{R}^n , transversal in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}

Solution: divide $W = N_{R^{1/2}}(Z)$ into layers $\{\Sigma_b\}$ of thickness $\rho^{1/2}$.



The hard case: tangential in \mathbb{R}^n , transversal in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}

Solution: divide $W = N_{R^{1/2}}(Z)$ into layers $\{\Sigma_b\}$ of thickness $\rho^{1/2}$.




- Use transversal information to estimate contribution from each layer Σ_b (induct on R).
- Use tangential information to sum up layers: $\|f_{ess}\|_{L^2}$ is **equidistributed** across different layers.

Transverse equidistribution estimate: Z hyperplane

Lemma

Either a) $\text{supp } f \subset$ finitely many sectors τ (of radius K^{-1}) or b) Z is transversal to the orthogonal complement of Z_0 .



- Case a): zero (by k -broad norm definition).
- Case b): $\text{supp } f$ is contained in $N_{R^{-1/2}}(Z_0) \cap \mathcal{C} \implies Ef$ is locally constant along Z_0^\perp for $R^{1/2}$, hence $\|Ef\|_{L^2}$ is equidistributed across the layers. Then by Plancherel, $\|f\|_{L^2}$ is also equidistributed.

Transverse equidistribution estimate: general case

- Run the argument locally inside each small ball $B = B_{R^{1/2}}$, which determines a tangent space V to Z .
- Divide the balls into two groups: whether V is in case a) or b). The wave packets that are covered by balls in group a) make zero contribution.
- Reduce to f_{ess} that concentrates on medium wave packets which intersect at least one ball B in group b).
- $\|Ef_{ess}\|_{L^2}$ is equidistributed across the layers. Same for $\|f_{ess}\|_{L^2}$.

Transverse equidistribution estimate: general case

- Run the argument locally inside each small ball $B = B_{R^{1/2}}$, which determines a tangent space V to Z .
- Divide the balls into two groups: whether V is in case a) or b). The wave packets that are covered by balls in group a) make zero contribution.
- Reduce to f_{ess} that concentrates on medium wave packets which intersect at least one ball B in group b).
- $\|Ef_{ess}\|_{L^2}$ is equidistributed across the layers. Same for $\|f_{ess}\|_{L^2}$.

Thank you for your attention!