

Kaisa Matomäki: Correlations of von Mangoldt and higher order divisor functions 44  
 (Turku, Finland)

w/ M. Radziwiłł and T. Tao

Write von Mangoldt function  $\Lambda(n) := \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n=p^v \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

Divisor fn  $d_k(n) := \sum_{n=m_1 \cdots m_k} 1$

Twin primes  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \leq x} \mathbb{1}_{n \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{1}_{n+2 \in \mathcal{P}}$

$\approx \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+2)$

as in Prime Number Thm.,  $\Lambda(n)$  is a good substitute for  $\mathbb{1}_p$

Hardy-Littlewood Conj:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) = \mathcal{G}(h) X + O(x^{1/2 + o(1)})$$

$\uparrow$   
Singular series defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(h) := \begin{cases} 0 & h \text{ odd} \\ 2 \pi \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p|h \\ p > 2}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \end{cases}$$

$\uparrow$   
Twin prime constant

$\uparrow$   
mod p obstructions

This is wide open.

Present Goal: Prove H-L averaging on h.

Thm 1 (M-Radziwiłł-Tao) If  $X \geq H \geq X^{8/33} \geq 2$ , 45

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) = O(h) X (1 + O(\log^{-A} X))$$

for all but  $O(H(\log X)^{-A})$  many  $h$  s.t.  $|h| \leq H$

• Previously Mikawa (1991): True for  $H \geq X^{1/3 + \varepsilon}$

Here  $\frac{8}{33} < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Here the  $\frac{1}{3}$  comes from  $2 \cdot \frac{1}{6}$ , as primes in short intervals results hold for  $X^{1/6}$ .

Taylor expansion of  $\log \zeta(s)$ :

$$\log(1 - (1 - \zeta(s))) = - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} (\zeta(s) - 1)^k \quad \text{"Linnik's identity"}$$

Now compare Dirichlet series, coeffs

$$\frac{1_{n=p^d}}{d} = - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \underbrace{d_k^*(n)}_{\substack{= \sum 1 \\ n = m_1 \cdots m_k \\ m_j > 1 \forall j}}$$

Morally, we need to understand

$$\sum_n d_k(n) d_k(n+h) \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

$k=1$  Trivial ( $d_1 \equiv 1$ )

$k=2$  Known for fixed  $H$

$k \geq 3$  need variant of Thm 1.

Motivation: Related to  $2k$ -th moments of  $\zeta$

Thm 2 IF  $X \geq H \geq (\log X)^{2k} \geq 2$ ,  $(C_k \sim 10000k \log k \text{ works})$  (46)

Then 
$$\sum_{n \leq X} d_k(n) d_k(n+h) = \text{Main Term} \cdot (1 + o(1))$$

order  $X (\log X)^{2k-2}$

$k \log k$  is essential due to divisor fu. oscillation in short intervals

for all but  $o(H)$  values of  $|h| \leq H$ .

Remark: Compared to Thm 1, smaller  $H$  comes at the price of losing log-savings in error terms.

Proof Ideas

Circle Method: 
$$\sum_{n \leq X} a_n a_{n+h} = \int_0^1 \overbrace{\left( \sum_{n \leq X} a_n e(\alpha n) \right)^2}^{S(\alpha)} e(\alpha h) d\alpha$$

i.e. only  $n_1 - n_2 = h$  survive

Split into Major Arcs + minor,

$[0, 1] = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{m}$

$\mathcal{M}$  will give main term via standard arguments

• Challenging part is bounding minor arcs, Consider on average: square magnitude

$$\sum_{|h| \leq H} \left| \int_{\mathcal{m}} |S(\alpha)|^2 e(\alpha h) d\alpha \right|^2$$

$$\leq \dots \leq H \sum_{i=1}^H \left| \int_{\left[\frac{i}{H}, \frac{i+1}{H}\right]} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right|^2$$

$$\leq H \left( \sup_{\delta \in \mathcal{M}} \int_{|\beta - \alpha| \leq \frac{1}{H}} |S(\beta)|^2 d\beta \right)^\delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^H \int_{\left[\frac{i}{H}, \frac{i+1}{H}\right]} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{2-\delta} \quad \delta \in [0, 2]$$

Standard decomposition:

$S_1$  - find pointwise savings

$S_2$  - Use Parseval

• Taking  $\delta=1$ , second term is bounded by

$$S_2 \leq \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \stackrel{\text{Parseval}}{=} \sum_{n \leq X} |a_n|^2$$

Issue:  $\sum_{n \leq X} d_k(n)^2 \approx X(\log X)^{k^2-1}$ ,  
 much larger than Main Term!  
 ( $X(\log X)^{2k-2}$ )

Thus when  $H = (\log X)^{o(1)}$ ,  $S_2$  must be handled differently,  
 e.g.  $\delta = 1/2$

Need bounds like:

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \int_{\alpha^{-1/H}}^{\alpha^{1+1/H}} |S(\alpha_j)|^2 d\alpha \ll J^{1/4} (\log X)^{2(k-1)+o(1)} X$$

Now the  $\log X$  terms are no worse than Main Term, so savings from  $S_1$  will suffice

• For  $S_i$ , need

$$\int_{\alpha^{-1/H}}^{\alpha^{1+1/H}} |S(\beta)|^2 d\beta = O\left(\frac{1}{X} \left(\sum |a_n|\right)^2\right) \quad \forall \alpha \in m$$

Write  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \theta$ ; on  $m$ , not too close to  $\frac{1}{2}$  for small  $S$ ,  
 $|\theta| \leq \frac{1}{2q}$

Reduces to bounding (via Fourier inversion)

$$\int_X^{2X} \left| \frac{1}{H} \sum_{x \leq n \leq x+H} a_n e(\alpha n) \right|^2 dx$$

Note:  $a$  is not important; a bounded Gauss sum term

which becomes (using short interval sum techniques, stationary phase)

$$(*) \int_{t \approx \theta X}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{x \pmod{2}} \frac{1}{|t-H|} \int_{t-\theta H}^{t+\theta H} \left| \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_n \chi(n)}{n^{1/2+it}} \right|^2 dt' \right)^2 dt$$

$$(*)2) \leq \sum_{\chi(q)} \int_{+\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{a_n \chi(n)}{n^{1/2+it}} \right|^2 dt$$

Bound this by method of M. Radziwiłł.

• For Thm 1, decompose  $\Lambda(n)$  into convolutions via Heath-Brown's identity.

$\Rightarrow$  For  $X^{1/3} \geq H \geq X^{1/4}$ , most difficult term is

$$a_n = \mathbb{1}_{m \sim M} * \beta \quad H \leq M \leq X^{1/3}$$

$\uparrow$  This is "roughly  $d_3$ "       $\uparrow$  2-fold divisor fn.       $\uparrow$  Source of  $X^{1/3}$  in Mikawa

For simplicity, let  $g=1$ ,  $\theta = \frac{1}{X^\epsilon}$ ,  $H = X^{2/7+2\epsilon}$  in  $(*)1$ :  
 $H_1 = \theta H$

$$\int_{+\infty}^{\infty} X^{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{H_1} \int_{t-H_1}^{t+H_1} \left| \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{m \sim M} * \beta(n)}{n^{1/2+it}} \right|^2 dt \right) dt$$

Use Cauchy-Schwarz to separate  $\beta$ .

$$\text{Need } \int_T^{T+H_1} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} \frac{1}{m^{1/2+it}} \right|^2 dt = O(H_1^{1+\epsilon})$$

$\forall T \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$

• If second moments of zeta were known, would be finished, but they aren't.

$$\text{Smoothing } \Rightarrow \ll \frac{H}{M} \sum_{|l| \leq \frac{M}{H}} \sum_{m \sim M} \left(1 + \frac{n}{m}\right)^{iT} \ll H^{1+\epsilon}$$

by exponent pair  $(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$

• Discussion: Under RH, Thm 1 improves to  $H \geq X^\epsilon$ , or (maybe  $\log X^c$ )